# Multiple Group Membership and Collective Action Intention

Tomasz Besta<sup>1\*</sup>, Paweł Jurek<sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Gdańsk (8 Jana Bażyńskiego Street, Gdańsk, Poland)
- \* Correspondence author: tomasz.besta@ug.edu.pl; ORCID: 0000-0001-6209-3677

### **Abstract**

Datasets from two studies conducted in Poland on the relation between identity fusion, group identification, multiple group membership, perceived injustice, and collective action intention. The presented studies, in the context of protests against attempts to restrict abortion law, were conducted to examine the link between belonging to multiple groups, group efficacy & identification, per ceived injustice and collective act ion intention. The dataset includes responses from 181 participants in Study 1, and 262 participants in Study 2.

**Keywords:** opinion-based group; multiple group membership; collective action; Facebook; identity fusion

https://doi.org/10.34808/x55q-sz53\_dyr\_roz45

## Specification table (data records)

Subject area	Psychology
More specific subject area	Social psychology; Collective action research
Type of data	Quantitative data
How the data was acquired	The data was collected online via the Survey Monkey platform
Data format	SPSS .sav format
Data source location	MOST Wiedzy Open Research Catalog, Gdańsk University of Technology, Gdańsk, Poland
Data accessibility	The dataset is accessible and is publicly and freely available for any research or educational purposes; CC BY Attribution

## Background

Data from both studies were gathered in the context of collective action directed to stop the law that would introduce a total ban on abortion in Poland. So-called Black Protest took place in Poland in 2016. The offline street demonstrations were linked to a huge social media campaign and activist actions. Protests appeared in the biggest cities in Poland, as well as in smaller towns. Eventually, after the large demonstrations, lawmakers voted the bill down. This decision was seen by many as persuaded by civic resistance. The datasets consist of participants' responses in online surveys grounded in the social identity research on opinion-based collective action (McGarty, Bliuc, Thomas, & Bongiorno, 2009). Analyses conducted on the presented data could broaden the knowledge on the issues of online activism by examining the link between multiple group membership and Collective Action (CA) as well as by outlining the role of group efficacy belief as a mediator of the relationship between belonging to many social groups and CA.

## Methods

181 Facebook users participated in Study 1; 262 Facebook users participated in Study 2. The studies were conducted online via the Survey Monkey platform, where all questions were asked. A link to the questionnaire was posted on various Facebook sites, and undergraduate students were asked to circulate the link to the studies among their friends. Participants were asked about their attitudes towards abortion law in Poland, following items included in the identity fusion scale (Gómez et al., 2011; for use in Polish, see Besta, Gómez, & Vázquez, 2014), group identification (Leach et al., 2008), multiple group membership scale (Iyer et al., 2009), group efficacy scale and perceived injustice scale (both scales based on items used by Van Zomeren et al., 2004), and collective action intention scale (both normative and radical non-normative CA).

## Data quality and availability

All measurements used a Likert-type scale (e.g. 7-point scale with 1=not at all to 7=very much). The reliability of scales were good, with the lowest alpha coefficients of .80. The dataset is linked to the publication under the title "Multiple group membership and collective actions of opinion-based internet groups: The case of protests against abortion law restriction in Poland" with the doi address https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2019.01.025

## **Dataset DOI**

10.34808/ass9-pk50

#### **Dataset License**

CC-BY

## Acknowledgements

The generation of this dataset was supported by a research grant from National Science Centre, Poland; project acronym: 2014/14/E/HS6/00587. A full description of the data analyses could be found in the published paper by Besta et al. (2019).



## References

- Besta, T., Gómez, Á., and Vázquez, A. (2014) 'Readiness to deny group's wrongdoing and willingness to fight for its members: The role of the Poles' identity fusion with the country and religious group. Current Issues in Personality Psychology, 2(1), pp. 49–55. DOI: 10.5114/ cipp.2014.43101.
- Besta, T. et al. (2019) 'Multiple group membership and collective actions of opinion-based internet groups: The case of protests against abortion law restriction in Poland', Computers *In Human Behavior*, 95, pp. 94–100. DOI: 10.1016/j.chb.2019.01.025.
- Bliuc, A.-M. et al. (2007) 'Opinion-based group membership as a predictor to commitment to political action', European Journal of Social Psychology, 37(1), pp. 19-32. DOI: 10.1002/ ejsp.334.
- Gómez, A. et al. (2011) 'On the nature of identity fusion: Insights into the construct and a new measure', Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 100 (5), pp. 918-933. DOI: 10.1037/ a0022642.
- Iyer, A. et al. (2009) 'The more (and the more compatible) the merrier: Multiple group memberships and identity compatibility as predictors of adjustment after life transitions', British Journal of Social Psychology, 48 (4), pp. 707-733. DOI: 10.1348/014466608X397628.
- Leach, C.W. et al. (2008) 'Group-level self-definition and self-investment: A hierarchical (multicomponent) model of in-group identification, Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 95(1), pp. 144–165. DOI: 10.1037/0022-3514.95.1.144.
- McGarty, C. et al. (2009) 'Collective action as the material expression of opinion-based group membership', Journal of Social Issues, 65(4), pp. 839-857, DOI: 10.1111/j.1540-4560.2009.01627.x.
- Van Zomeren, M. et al. (2004) 'Put your money where your mouth is! Explaining collective action tendencies through group-based anger and group efficacy', Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 87(5), pp. 649–664. DOI: 10.1037/0022-3514.87.5.649.

