

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I



www.sciencedirect.com

Combinatorics

A lower bound on the total outer-independent domination number of a tree

Une borne inférieure pour le cardinal des sous-ensembles totalement dominants et extérieurement-indépendants d'un arbre

Marcin Krzywkowski

Faculty of Electronics, Telecommunications and Informatics, Gdańsk University of Technology, Narutowicza 11/12, 80-233 Gdańsk, Poland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 28 February 2010 Accepted after revision 19 November 2010 Available online 18 December 2010

Presented by the Editorial Board

ABSTRACT

A total outer-independent dominating set of a graph *G* is a set *D* of vertices of *G* such that every vertex of *G* has a neighbour in *D*, and the set $V(G) \setminus D$ is independent. The total outer-independent domination number of a graph *G*, denoted by $\gamma_t^{oi}(G)$, is the minimum cardinality of the total outer-independent dominating set of *G*. We prove that for every nontrivial tree *T* of order *n* with *l* leaves we have $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \ge (2n - 2l + 2)/3$, and we characterize the trees attaining this lower bound.

© 2010 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

RÉSUMÉ

Un sous-ensemble totalement dominant et extérieurement indépendant d'un graphe est un sous-ensemble *D* des sommets de *G* tel que chaque sommet de *G* ait un voisin dans *D* et l'ensemble $V(G) \setminus D$ soit indépendant. Le plus petit cardinal d'un tel sous-ensemble est noté $\gamma_t^{oi}(G)$. Nous démontrons que pour tout arbre *T* non trivial, d'ordre *n* avec *l* feuilles, nous avons $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \ge (2n - 2l + 2)/3$. De plus, nous caractérisons les arbres réalisant cette borne inférieure.

© 2010 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let G = (V, E) be a graph. By the neighbourhood of a vertex v of G we mean the set $N_G(v) = \{u \in V(G): uv \in E(G)\}$. The degree of a vertex v, denoted by $d_G(v)$, is the cardinality of its neighbourhood. By a leaf we mean a vertex of degree one, while a support vertex is a vertex adjacent to a leaf. The path on n vertices we denote by P_n . We say that a subset of V(G) is independent if there is no edge between every two its vertices. By a star we mean a connected graph in which exactly one vertex has degree greater than one. By a double star we mean a graph obtained from a star by joining a positive number of vertices to one of its leaves.

A subset $D \subseteq V(G)$ is a dominating set of *G* if every vertex of $V(G) \setminus D$ has a neighbour in *D*, while it is a total dominating set of *G* if every vertex of *G* has a neighbour in *D*. The domination (total domination, respectively) number of *G*, denoted by $\gamma(G)$ ($\gamma_t(G)$, respectively), is the minimum cardinality of a dominating (total dominating, respectively) set

E-mail address: fevernova@wp.pl.

¹⁶³¹⁻⁰⁷³X/\$ – see front matter © 2010 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.crma.2010.11.021

of *G*. Total domination in graphs was introduced by Cockayne, Dawes, and Hedetniemi [2]. For a comprehensive survey of domination in graphs, see [3,4].

A subset $D \subseteq V(G)$ is a total outer-independent dominating set, abbreviated TOIDS, of *G* if every vertex of *G* has a neighbour in *D*, and the set $V(G) \setminus D$ is independent. The total outer-independent domination number of *G*, denoted by $\gamma_t^{oi}(G)$, is the minimum cardinality of a total outer-independent dominating set of *G*. A total outer-independent dominating set of *G* of minimum cardinality is called a $\gamma_t^{oi}(G)$ -set. The study of total outer-independent domination in graphs was initiated in [5].

Chellali and Haynes [1] established the following lower bound on the total domination number of a tree. For every nontrivial tree *T* of order *n* with *l* leaves we have $\gamma_t(T) \ge (n - l + 2)/2$. They also characterized the extremal trees.

We prove the following lower bound on the total outer-independent domination number of a tree. For every nontrivial tree *T* of order *n* with *l* leaves we have $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \ge (2n - 2l + 2)/3$. We also characterize the trees attaining this lower bound.

2. Results

We begin with the following two straightforward observations.

Observation 1. Every support vertex of a graph *G* is in every $\gamma_t^{oi}(G)$ -set.

Observation 2. For every connected graph G of diameter at least three there exists a $\gamma_t^{oi}(G)$ -set that contains no leaf.

We show that if *T* is a nontrivial tree of order *n* with *l* leaves, then $\gamma_t^{oi}(T)$ is bounded below by (2n - 2l + 2)/3. For the purpose of characterizing the trees attaining this bound we introduce a family \mathcal{T} of trees $T = T_k$ that can be obtained as follows. Let T_1 be a path P_4 with support vertices labelled *x* and *y*, and let $A(T_1) = \{x, y\}$. Let *H* be a path P_3 with a leaf labelled *u*, and the support vertex labelled *v*. If *k* is a positive integer, then T_{k+1} can be obtained recursively from T_k by one of the following operations.

- Operation \mathcal{O}_1 : Attach a vertex by joining it to any vertex of $A(T_k)$. Let $A(T_{k+1}) = A(T_k)$.
- Operation \mathcal{O}_2 : Attach a copy of *H* by joining *u* to any leaf of T_k . Let $A(T_{k+1}) = A(T_k) \cup \{u, v\}$.

Now we prove that for every tree *T* of the family \mathcal{T} , the set A(T) defined above is a TOIDS of minimum cardinality equal to (2n - 2l + 2)/3.

Lemma 3. If $T \in \mathcal{T}$, then the set A(T) defined above is a $\gamma_t^{oi}(T)$ -set of size (2n - 2l + 2)/3.

Proof. We use the terminology of the construction of the trees $T = T_k$, the set A(T), and the graph H defined above. To show that A(T) is a $\gamma_t^{oi}(T)$ -set of cardinality (2n - 2l + 2)/3 we use the induction on the number k of operations performed to construct T. If $T = T_1 = P_4$, then $(2n - 2l + 2)/3 = (8 - 4 + 2)/3 = 2 = |A(T)| = \gamma_t^{oi}(T)$. Let $k \ge 2$ be an integer. Assume that the result is true for every tree $T' = T_k$ of the family T constructed by k - 1 operations. Let n' be the order of the tree T' and l' the number of its leaves. Let $T = T_{k+1}$ be a tree of the family T constructed by k operations.

If *T* is obtained from *T'* by operation \mathcal{O}_1 , then n = n' + 1. Observe that A(T') contains no leaf. Thus l = l' + 1. It is easy to see that A(T) = A(T') is a TOIDS of the tree *T*. Thus $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq |A(T)| = |A(T')| = \gamma_t^{oi}(T')$. Of course, $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \geq \gamma_t^{oi}(T')$. This implies that $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) = |A(T)| = |A(T')| = (2n' - 2l' + 2)/3 = (2n - 2 - 2l + 2 + 2)/3 = (2n - 2l + 2)/3$.

Now assume that *T* is obtained from *T'* by operation \mathcal{O}_2 . We have n = n' + 3 and l = l'. It is easy to see that $A(T) = A(T') \cup \{u, v\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree *T*. Thus $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq |A(T)| = |A(T')| + 2 = \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2$. Let us denote by *w* the neighbour of *u* other than *v* and by *x* a neighbour of *w* other than *u*. First assume that there exists a $\gamma_t^{oi}(T)$ -set that does not contain *w*. Thus $u, v \in D$. It is easy to see that $D \setminus \{u, v\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree *T'*. Now assume that every $\gamma_t^{oi}(T)$ -set contains *w*. Since diam $(T) \geq 3$, let *D* be a $\gamma_t^{oi}(T)$ -set that contains no leaf. Thus $u, v \in D$. If $x \in D$, then it is easy to see that $D \setminus \{u, v\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree *T'*. Now suppose that $x \notin D$. Since $T' \in \mathcal{T}$, we have $T' \neq P_2$. This implies that $d_{T'}(x) = d_T(x) \geq 2$. Since $x \notin D$ and the set $V(T) \setminus D$ is independent, every neighbour of *x* belongs to the set *D*. Let us observe that $D \cup \{x\} \setminus \{w\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree *T'*, we get $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) - 2$. Now we conclude that $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) = \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2$. We get $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) = |A(T)| = \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2 = |A(T') \cup \{u, v\}| = (2n' - 2l' + 2)/3 + 2 = (2n - 6 - 2l + 2 + 6)/3 = (2n - 2l + 2)/3$.

Now we establish the main result, a lower bound on the total outer-independent domination number of a tree together with the characterization of the extremal trees.

Theorem 4. If T is a nontrivial tree of order n with l leaves, then $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \ge (2n-2l+2)/3$ with equality if and only if $T \in \mathcal{T}$.

Proof. If diam(T) = 1, then $T = P_2$. We have $(2n - 2l + 2)/3 = (4 - 4 + 2)/3 = 2/3 < 2 = \gamma_t^{oi}(T)$. If diam(T) = 2, then T is a star $K_{1,m}$. We have n = m + 1 and l = m. Now we get $(2n - 2l + 2)/3 = (2m + 2 - 2m + 2)/3 = 4/3 < 2 = \gamma_t^{oi}(T)$. Now let us

assume that diam(T) = 3. Thus T is a double star. If $T = P_4$, then $T \in \mathcal{T}$, and by Lemma 3 we have $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) = (2n - 2l + 2)/3$. Now assume that T is a double star different than P_4 . By Observation 1, for any double star T^* of the family \mathcal{T} both support vertices belong to every $\gamma_t^{oi}(T^*)$ -set. Lemma 3 implies that they belong to the set $A(T^*)$ defined earlier. Therefore the tree T can be obtained from P_4 by proper numbers of operations \mathcal{O}_1 performed on the support vertices. Thus $T \in \mathcal{T}$. By Lemma 3 we have $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) = (2n - 2l + 2)/3$.

Now we assume that $diam(T) \ge 4$. Thus the order of the tree *T* is an integer $n \ge 5$. We obtain the result by induction on the number *n*. Assume that the theorem is true for every tree *T'* of order n' < n with *l'* leaves.

First assume that some support vertex of *T*, say *x*, is adjacent to at least two leaves. One of them let us denote by *y*. Let T' = T - y. We have n' = n - 1 and l' = l - 1. Since every $\gamma_t^{oi}(T')$ -set, as well as every $\gamma_t^{oi}(T)$ -set, contains every support vertex, it is easy to observe that $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) = \gamma_t^{oi}(T')$. Now we get $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) = \gamma_t^{oi}(T') \ge (2n' - 2l' + 2)/3 = (2n - 2 - 2l + 2 + 2)/3 = (2n - 2l + 2)/3$. If $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) = (2n - 2l + 2)/3$, then obviously $\gamma_t^{oi}(T') = (2n' - 2l' + 2)/3$. By the inductive hypothesis we have $T' \in \mathcal{T}$. By Observation 1, the vertex *x* is in every TOIDS of the tree *T'*. Lemma 3 implies that $x \in A(T')$. Therefore the tree *T* can be obtained from *T'* by operation \mathcal{O}_1 . Thus $T \in \mathcal{T}$. Henceforth, we can assume that every support vertex of *T* is adjacent to exactly one leaf.

We now root *T* at a vertex *r* of maximum eccentricity diam(*T*). Let *v* be a support vertex at maximum distance from *r*, *u* be the parent of *v*, and *w* be the parent of *u* in the rooted tree. By T_x let us denote the subtree induced by a vertex *x* and its descendants in the rooted tree *T*. We distinguish between the following two cases: $d_T(u) \ge 3$ and $d_T(u) = 2$.

Case 1. $d_T(u) \ge 3$. First assume that u has a child $b \ne v$ that is a support vertex. Let $T' = T - T_v$. We have n' = n - 2 and l' = l - 1. Let D be a $\gamma_t^{oi}(T)$ -set that contains no leaf. Thus $u, v, b \in D$. Of course, $D \setminus \{v\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T'. Therefore $\gamma_t^{oi}(T') \le \gamma_t^{oi}(T) - 1$. Now we get $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \ge \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 1 \ge (2n' - 2l' + 2)/3 + 1 = (2n - 4 - 2l + 2 + 2 + 3)/3 = (2n - 2l + 3)/3 > (2n - 2l + 2)/3$.

Now assume that v is the only one support vertex among the descendants of u. Thus u is a parent of a leaf, say x. Let T' = T - x. We have n' = n - 1 and l' = l - 1. Let D be any $\gamma_t^{oi}(T)$ -set. We have $u, v \in D$. It is easy to see that D is a TOIDS of the tree T'. Therefore $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T)$. Now we get $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \geq \gamma_t^{oi}(T') \geq (2n' - 2l' + 2)/3 = (2n - 2 - 2l + 2 + 2)/3 = (2n - 2l + 2)/3$. If $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) = (2n - 2l + 2)/3$, then obviously $\gamma_t^{oi}(T') = (2n' - 2l' + 2)/3$. By the inductive hypothesis we have $T' \in T$. It follows from the definition of the family T that for every tree $T^* \in T$ the set $A(T^*)$ does not contain any leaf. Lemma 3 implies that A(T') is a TOIDS of the tree T'. Since v has to have a neighbour in A(T), we have $u \in A(T')$. Therefore the tree T can be obtained from T' by operation \mathcal{O}_1 . Thus $T \in T$.

Case 2. $d_T(u) = 2$. We consider the following two possibilities: $d_T(w) = 2$ and $d_T(w) \ge 3$. First assume that $d_T(w) = 2$. The parent of w let us denote by x. If $d_T(x) = 1$, then $T = P_5$. We have $(2n - 2l + 2)/3 = (10 - 4 + 2)/3 = 8/3 < 3 = \gamma_t^{oi}(T)$. Now assume that $T \ne P_5$. Thus $d_T(x) \ge 2$. First let us prove that there exists a $\gamma_t^{oi}(T)$ -set that does not contain w. Assume that there exists a $\gamma_t^{oi}(T)$ -set D that contains w. If $x \notin D$, then every neighbour of x belongs to D as the set $V(T) \setminus D$ is independent. It is easy to see that $D \cup \{x\} \setminus \{w\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T of cardinality $|D| = \gamma_t^{oi}(T)$. Thus $D \cup \{x\} \setminus \{w\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T of cardinality $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) - 1$, a contradiction. Let y be any neighbour of x besides w. Observe that $D \cup \{y\} \setminus \{w\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T of cardinality $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) - 1$, a contradiction. Let y be any neighbour of x besides w. Observe that $D \cup \{y\} \setminus \{w\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T of cardinality $|D| = \gamma_t^{oi}(T)$. Thus $D \cup \{y\} \setminus \{w\}$ is a $\gamma_t^{oi}(T)$ -set that does not contain w. If $x \in D$, then one neighbour of x besides w belongs to the set D, otherwise $D \setminus \{w\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T of cardinality $|D| = \gamma_t^{oi}(T)$. Thus $D \cup \{y\} \setminus \{w\}$ is a $\gamma_t^{oi}(T)$ -set that does not contain w. Now we conclude that there exists a $\gamma_t^{oi}(T)$ -set that does not contain w. Let D be such a set. Of course, we have $u, v \in D$. Let $T' = T - T_u$. We have n' = n - 3 and l' = l. Let us observe that $x \in D$ as $w \notin D$ and the set $V(T) \setminus D$ is independent. Thus $D \setminus \{u, v\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T'. Therefore $\gamma_t^{oi}(T') \le \gamma_t^{oi}(T) - 2$. Now we get $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \ge \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2 \ge (2n' - 2l' + 2) + 2 = (2n - 6 - 2l + 2 + 6)/3 = (2n - 2l + 2)/3$. If $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) = (2n - 2l + 2)/3$, then we easily get $\gamma_t^{oi}(T') = (2n' - 2l' + 2)/3$. By the inductive hypothesis we get $T' \in T$. The tree T can be obtained from T' by operation \mathcal{O}_2 . Thus $T \in T$.

Now assume that $d_T(w) \ge 3$. First assume some descendant of w is a leaf. Let D be a $\gamma_t^{oi}(T)$ -set that contains no leaf. Thus $v, u, w \in D$. The descendant of v let us denote by z. Let T' = T - z. We have n' = n - 1 and l' = l. It is easy to see that $D \setminus \{v\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree T'. Therefore $\gamma_t^{oi}(T') \le \gamma_t^{oi}(T) - 1$. Now we get $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \ge \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 1 \ge (2n' - 2l' + 2)/3 + 1 = (2n - 2 - 2l + 2 + 3)/3 = (2n - 2l + 3)/3 > (2n - 2l + 2)/3$.

Now assume that among the descendants of *w* there is no leaf. Let *x* be a descendant of *w* different from *u*. Let $T' = T - T_u$. We have n' = n - 3 and l' = l - 1. Let *D* be a $\gamma_t^{oi}(T)$ -set that contains no leaf. We have $u, v, x \in D$. Observe that $D \setminus \{u, v\}$ is a TOIDS of the tree *T'*. Therefore $\gamma_t^{oi}(T') \leq \gamma_t^{oi}(T) - 2$. Now we get $\gamma_t^{oi}(T) \geq \gamma_t^{oi}(T') + 2 \geq (2n' - 2l' + 2)/3 + 2 = (2n - 6 - 2l + 2 + 2 + 6)/3 = (2n - 2l + 4)/3 > (2n - 2l + 2)/3$. \Box

References

- [1] M. Chellali, T. Haynes, A note on the total domination number of a tree, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. 58 (2006) 189-193.
- [2] E. Cockayne, R. Dawes, S. Hedetniemi, Total domination in graphs, Networks 10 (1980) 211-219.
- [3] T. Haynes, S. Hedetniemi, P. Slater, Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [4] T. Haynes, S. Hedetniemi, P. Slater (Eds.), Domination in Graphs: Advanced Topics, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [5] M. Krzywkowski, Total outer-independent domination in graphs, submitted for publication.