This material may be downloaded for personal use only. Any other use requires prior permission of the American Society of Civil Engineers. This material may be found at

 $\underline{https://ascelibrary.org/doi/10.1061/\%28ASCE\%29GT.1943-5606.0002210}.$

Discussion on "Axisymmetric Simulations of Cone Penetration in Saturated Clay" by D.M. Moug, R.W. Boulanger, J.T. DeJong, and R. Jaeger

Jakub Konkol¹, Lech Bałachowski²

¹ Assistant Professor, Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering; Department of Geotechnics, Geology and Marine Civil Engineering; Gdańsk University of Technology (GUT); 11/12 Gabriela Narutowicza Street; 80-233 Gdańsk; Poland (corresponding author). E-mail: jakub.konkol@pg.edu.pl

² Associate Professor, Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering; Department of Geotechnics, Geology and Marine Civil Engineering; Gdańsk University of Technology (GUT); 11/12 Gabriela Narutowicza Street; 80-233 Gdańsk; Poland. E-mail: lech.balachowski@pg.edu.pl

The Authors presented a valuable research and case study on the axisymmetric simulation of a Cone Penetration Test (CPT) in Boston Blue Clay (BBC). The Discussers would like to raise two issues related to the calibration of the geotechnical parameters. The first considers the influence of rate effects in the numerical model, the second concerns the shearing mode and soil anisotropy.

1. The behavior of cohesive soils is generally rate-dependent (e.g., Bjerrum 1973; Casagrande and Shannon 1948; Leroueil et al. 1985). The rate-dependency of BBC was shown by

Sheahan et al. (1996). This effect seems to have not been considered by the Authors in the applied soil constitutive model and calibration procedure. The Mohr-Coulomb (MC) (reduced to the Tresca criterion in the paper) and Modified Cam Clay (MCC) models are rate-independent constitutive models. The MIT-S1 constitutive model as proposed by Pestana et al. (1999, 2002) is also rate-independent to the best of the Discussers' knowledge. The Authors use CK_0UC , CK_0UE , and CK_0UDSS tests for constitutive model calibration, but these are performed at a relatively low axial strain rate (usually about 1 to 5 %/hour). The cone penetrates the soil with the standard rate of 2 cm/s, and, as shown by Chen and Mayne (1994), the strain rate in the cone vicinity can be as high as 200 000 %/hour. Assuming a typical 10% increase of s_{tt} in one log cycle, the differences in rates between laboratory tests (used for model calibration) and CPT probing can result in an almost 50% increase in undrained shear strength. One might also expect a similar increase of q_{tt} . Bearing in mind the above comments, the inclusion of rate-dependency can strongly influence the results in Fig. 10 and 11. The Discussers are interested in the Authors' opinions regarding the BBC rate-dependency and its influence on their calibration procedure.

2. The Authors calibrated the undrained shear strength on the basis of CK₀UC tests, which delivers the upper boundary of the s_{u}/σ'_{v0} ratio (e.g., Ladd and DeGroot 2003; Lefebvre et al. 1983). Three shearing modes appear in the cone vicinity (Baligh 1985; Lunne et al. 1997) as noticed by the Authors. As the MC and MCC have an isotropic yield surface, a better way to use these models in the cone penetration simulation is to calibrate them on the average value of the s_{u}/σ'_{v0} ratio (or at least on the direct simple shear mode). This will arbitrarily include the anisotropic soil behavior. Consequently, the cone factors $N_{kt,iso}$ for MCC and MC will be closer to $N_{kt,avg}$ for MIT-S1. The Discussers are interested in how the average shear mode in the calibration of the MC and MCC models will affect the results (with the rate-dependency taken into account).

References

Baligh, M. M. (1985). "Strain path method." J. Geotech. Eng., 111(9), 1108–1136. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9410(1985)111:9(1108)

Bjerrum, L. (1973). "Problems of soils mechanics and construction on soft clays and structurally unstable soils (collapsible, expansive and others)." Proc., 8th Int. Conf. on Soil *Mechanics and Foundation Engineering*, Moscow, 109–159.

Casagrande, A., and Shannon, W. L. (1948). "Stress-deformation and strength characteristics of soils under dynamic loads." Proc., 2nd Int. Conf. on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Haarlem, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, 29–34.

Chen, B. S., and Mayne, P. W. (1994). Profiling the overconsolidation ratio of clays by piezocone tests. Report no. GIT-CEEGEO-94-1. Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta Ladd, C. C., and DeGroot, D. J. (2003). "Recommended practice for soft ground site characterization: Arthur Casagrande Lecture." Proc., 12th Panamerican Conf. on Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering, VGE, Verl. Glückauf, MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA, 1–59.

Lefebvre, G., Ladd, C. C., Mesri, G., and Tavenas, F. (1983). Report of the testing committee. Annexe I, Committee of Specialists on Sensitive Clays on the NBR Complex, SEBJ, Montreal.

Leroueil, S., Kabbaj, M., Tavenas, F., and Bouchard, R. (1985). "Stress-strain-strain rate relation for the compressibility of natural clays." Géotechnique, 35(2), 159–180. https://doi.org/10.1680/geot.1985.35.2.159

Lunne, T., Robertson, P. K., and Powell, J. M. M. (1997). Cone penetration testing in geotechnical practice. Taylor & Francis Group, New York.

Pestana, J. M., and Whittle, A. J. (1999). "Formulation of a unified constitutive model for clays and sands." Int. J. Numer. Anal. Methods Geomech., 23(12), 1215–1243.



https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9853(199910)23:12<1215::AID-NAG29>3.0.CO;2-F Pestana, J. M., Whittle, A. J., and Gens, A. (2002). "Evaluation of a constitutive model for clays and sands: Part II - clay behaviour." Int. J. Numer. Anal. Methods Geomech., 26(11), 1123-1146. https://doi.org/10.1002/nag.238

Sheahan, T. C., Ladd, C. C., and Germaine, J. T. (1996). "Rate-Dependent Undrained Shear Behavior of Saturated Clay." J. Geotech. Eng., 122(2), 99-108.

https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9410(1996)122:2(99)

