This is the accepted version of the following article:
Romanowska A., Budzyński M., Investigating the Impact of Weather Conditions and Time of Day on Traffic Flow Characteristics, Weather Climate and Society -Vol. 14, iss. 3 (2022), pp. 823-833, which has been published in final form at DOI: 10.1175/WCAS-D-22-0012.1

# Investigating the impact of weather conditions and time of day on traffic flow characteristics

Aleksandra Romanowska[a] and Marcin Budzyński[a]

[a] *Gdansk University of Technology, Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Gdansk, Poland*

*Corresponding author*: Aleksandra Romanowska, aleksandra.romanowska@pg.edu.pl

ABSTRACT

Adverse weather such as rain, snow and fog may significantly reduce visibility or change adhesion properties and, as a consequence, affect drivers' sense of safety, driving comfort and their reaction to a changing driving environment (i.e. lower speed, increased headways). The changed behavior of individual drivers affects both traffic flow characteristics, i.e. average speed and headways, and parameters related to highway performance including free flow speed and capacity. Thus, understanding the impact may be important in the context of predicting and assessing traffic conditions on planned or existing road facilities.

The paper discusses the effects of adverse weather conditions and time of day on traffic flow characteristics and the parameters related to highway performance. Based on real traffic and weather data from a Polish expressway, the paper aims to: identify factors related to weather and time of day that significantly influence traffic flow parameters and traffic conditions, analyze and quantify this impact. The results of the study may help to develop coefficients of weather-related adjustment factors that will allow to estimate i.e. average speed of vehicles in the night-time, or in the conditions of rain or limited visibility. The results of the study may contribute to a new Polish method for capacity estimation and traffic conditions assessment for uninterrupted traffic facilities.

## 1. Introduction

Adverse weather such as rain, snow and fog may significantly reduce visibility or change adhesion properties and, as a consequence, affect drivers' sense of safety, driving comfort and their reaction to a changing driving environment (Chang et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2019; Das et al., 2019). A number of studies have been undertaken in order to investigate the impact of weather on traffic conditions and road safety, distinguishing the impact of fog, rainfall, wind speed or winter conditions (snowfall, black ice) (Theofilatos & Yannis, 2014). Fog is one of the factors that drastically reduces visibility (Gallen et al., 2015; Mueller & Trick, 2012; Zolali & Mirbaha, 2020). It influences both the average speed and headways (Liu et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2018; Zolali & Mirbaha, 2020). According to Jiang et al. (2020), fog contributes to the formation of traffic jams. Peng et al. (2018) indicates that the presence of fog influences the behaviour of car drivers in more extent than truck drivers. A subject of many studies was an impact of fog on the road safety. It was found that in the conditions of fog the frequency of road accidents (especially rear-end collisions) is higher than in regular weather conditions, although, their severity is lower, which results from lower speed maintained by vehicles in the adverse conditions (Shangguan et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2017; Yan et al., 2014). Precipitation and wet road surface are also found to have an effect both on traffic conditions and the road safety (Borowska-Stefańska et al., 2021; Kempa, 2005; Malin et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2017). In their paper of 1988 Brodsky and Hakkert (1988) stated that the risk of accident is 2 to 3 times higher in the conditions of rain when compared to regular weather conditions. A number of later studies indicate that the risk actually increase (Bergel-Hayat et al., 2013; Jung et al., 2014; Keay & Simmonds, 2006; Omranian et al., 2018), however, some other indicate that the impact is ambiguous and does not apply to all types of accidents or locations (Focant & Martensen, 2014). On the other hand, research results from Greece shows the opposite effect – the risk of road accident is lower when it rains when compared to good weather conditions (Karlaftis & Yannis, 2010). Precipitation was also found to have an effect on the individual driving behaviours and thus, traffic flow parameters and traffic conditions (Lam et al., 2013; Li et al., 2016). Unrau and Andrey (2006) found that the higher the precipitation intensity, the higher the impact on speed (decrease); at the same time traffic volume decreases. The last-mentioned was also observed by Keay and Simmonds (2005) based on research in Australia  and by Oh et al. (2002) based on research conducted in Korea. According to Rahman and Lownes (2012), during the rain there is an increase in the dispersion of speed. In terms of both traffic conditions and road safety, winter is a particularly important period of the year, with occurrence of low temperatures, precipitation (including snow, hail, sleet), snow-covered road surface or black ice (Feng & Fu, 2015; Norrman et al., 2000; Romanowska et al., 2018; Umeda et al., 2021). For the road safety, the beginning of snowfall is of particular importance (Fridstrøm et al., 1995; Pennelly et al., 2018). There are also some studies investigating the impact of snowfall and increased road surface slipperiness on drivers' behaviour and traffic conditions (Kim et al., 2015; Kwon J et al., 2013; Roh et al., 2016). Rakha et al. (2008) analysed the effect of rain and snow and visibility on free-flow speed, capacity, speed-at-capacity and jam density. The analyses covered urban roads in the US and used  a calibrated Van Aerde model (van Aerde, 1995). Analysis results showed that depending on its intensity rain leads to a drop in free-flow speed by 2-9%, in speed-at-capacity by 8-14% and in capacity by 10-11%. For snow it is 5-16%, 5-16% and 12-20%, respectively. Jam density was not affected by the changed weather conditions. Lam et al. (2013) compared empirical relations between speed and density for a varying intensity of precipitation by calibrating a modified two-phase Greenshields model and comparing the parameters using the weather influence coefficient. The results have shown that as precipitation intensity increases, capacity falls by 9-17%, free-flow speed falls by 4-7% and speed-at-capacity falls by 15-25% relative to normal conditions. Similarly to Rakha et al. (2008), the study concluded that jam density is not affected by adverse weather conditions. Agarwal et al. (2005) observed that depending on the intensity of precipitation average speed falls by 2-7% and capacity falls by 5-17%. The effect of snow is much stronger with speed falling by 10-15% and capacity falling from 13 to 27% depending on the intensity. While sub-zero temperatures have also been found to have an effect, it only appears for temperatures below -20$^{o}$C and reduces capacity by about 10%. Using data from Spanish motorways Camacho et al. (2010) analysed the effect of temperature, rain and snow, visibility, wind speed and thickness of snow cover on traffic parameters in free-flow traffic. He demonstrated that depending on the intensity of rainfall speed falls by 5.5-7 km/h. In the case of snow the drop in speed is greater and reaches 9-13.7 km/h. He also observed the effect of wind speed (> 8 m/s) on average vehicle speed. In the case of visibility the drop in speed was only observed for visibility of several hundred meters.

Similarly to adverse weather conditions, some drivers may feel less confident in the night-time when there is no natural light or at dusk or dawn when the contrast between a fairly bright sky and dark road makes it more difficult for drivers' eyesight to adjust (Evans et al., 2020; Konstantopoulos et al., 2010; Leibowitz et al., 1998). Drivers respond by slowing down and maintaining longer headways. When driver behaviour changes, it affects both the traffic flow characteristics, such as average speed or average headways, and the parameters related to highway performance, such as free-flow speed and capacity (Bella et al., 2014; Kempa, 2008; Robbins & Fotios, 2021). In the night-time, the risk of accidents also increases (Jafari Anarkooli & Hadji Hosseinlou, 2016; Jamroz et al., 2019; Leibowitz et al., 1998). A combination of adverse weather conditions and the night-time can have an even more negative impact on both traffic conditions and road safety (Awadallah, 2007; Gallen et al., 2015; Higgins et al., 2009). Using data from continuous traffic measurement stations on German motorways Brilon and Ponzlet (1996) demonstrated that speeds drop on wet roadways by 9.5-12 km/h with capacity falling by 350-500 veh/h, depending on the number of lanes. Under normal conditions during night-time speed is observed to change mainly in a partly constrained operation (5-7 km/h). Night-time precipitation, however, has a stronger effect on free-flow speed (falling by about 10 km/h). In addition, during night-time compared with daytime capacity falls by 200 to nearly 400 veh/h regardless of the weather or condition of the road surface. Oh et al. (2002) analysed the effects of weather conditions on speed using regression models of speed-flow and flow-occupancy relationships. He found that when weather conditions change, function slope falls and the speed-volume curve is shifted downwards. He demonstrated that during rain and snow both daytime and night-time average speed falls by several per cent (2-7%).

Understanding the impact of adverse weather conditions and time of day may be important in the context of predicting and assessing traffic conditions on planned or existing road facilities. For this purpose, highway capacity methods are used, such as United States' Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) or Germany's Handbuch für die Bemessung von Straßenverkehrsanlagen (HBS) (Baier et al., 2015; Romanowska et al., 2019; Transportation Research Board, 2016). While these analyses are usually conducted for good weather and daytime, there are several dozen or hundreds of hours in a year when weather conditions are anything but perfect and several to more than a dozen hours a day when the amount of natural light is limited. As a result, the road facility affected may perform below expectations. Most of the highway capacity methods, except HCM (Transportation Research Board, 2016) and the Dutch's Handboek Capaciteitswaarden Infrastructuur Autosnelwegen (CIA) (Heikoop & Henkens, 2016), were not designed to analyse traffic conditions under adverse weather conditions and/or time of day other than daytime. HCM provides coefficients of capacity adjustment factors and speed adjustment factors which are addressed at different stages of the traffic conditions assessment procedure. Depending on the base free-flow speed (the lower it is, the smaller the effect) and the intensity of the weather phenomena (the higher the intensity, the bigger the effect): rainfall reduces speed by 4-9%, capacity by 6-18% with snow reducing speed by 6-19% and 3-28%, respectively. Low temperatures (< -20°C) reduce speed and capacity by 3-5% and 7-10%, respectively. Limited visibility reduces speed and capacity by 4-9% and 10-12%, respectively. Time of day is not considered in the HCM. The Dutch method, CIA, provides coefficients of capacity adjustment factors only. The capacity is reduced by 5% in the case of light rain and by 10% in the case of intensive rainfall. In the night-time the capacity is reduced by 5% or by 3% if the road is illuminated.

To summarise the research presented in the literature it can be concluded that:

- rainfall reduces speed by 2-10% and capacity by 5-30%,
- snowfall reduces speed by 2-19% and capacity by 3-28%,

- in the night-time there is a slight reduction in the speed and capacity (3-5%) but the effect increases when it is also raining,
- on wet road surfaces both the speed and the capacity are reduced by approx. 10%,
- limited visibility causes speed reduction by 4-9% and capacity reduction by 10-12%,
- the effect of temperature is noticeable under severe frost conditions (<-20ºC) when the speed and capacity are reduced by 5-8% and 7-10% respectively,
- strong wind (>8 m/s) affects the average speed but the effect was not determined in the studies.

Between 2016 and 2019 work was under way in Poland on a new method for assessing traffic conditions and estimating capacity on dual carriageways, Metoda Obliczania Przepustowości – Drogi Zamiejskie (MOP-DZ) (Olszewski et al., 2020). The method was finally developed in 2019 but has not been published yet. Similarly to other methods such as HCM or HBS, the procedure for assessing traffic conditions is based on daytime (in natural light) and good weather data (excluding fog, rain or snow, wet, snowy or icy road surface, extreme temperatures or wind speeds). Thus, the method can be used for predicting or assessing traffic conditions in good weather and for daytime only. Efforts to develop tools for analysing adverse weather conditions and time of day other than daytime require scientific support and research on the effects of weather and time of day on traffic flow characteristics. The results from foreign literature should not be directly applied if not verified. To that end data for Polish roads should be used.

The paper presents the results of research on the effects of adverse weather conditions and time of day on traffic flow characteristics and the parameters related to highway performance. The objectives of the article are as follows: to identify factors related to weather and time of day that have an effect on traffic conditions, to analyse the effects of these factors on the particular traffic parameters, to quantify the effects of selected factors and to propose application of the results in the MOP-DZ method.

The introduction (section 1) presents an overview of the literature on the effects of weather and time of day on traffic flow characteristics. Section 2 gives a description of data and data methodology. Section 3 presents the results of the research and Section 4 presents possible application of the study results in the MOP-DZ method. Section 5 discusses the study results. Conclusions are given in section 6.

## 2. Methodology

*a. Data Collection*

The study is based on data stretching over 36 months (2014-2017) from the continuous traffic measurement station located at the S6 expressway in Gdansk, Poland (54º25' N, 18º29' E). The road section at which the station is located is a dual carriageway with four lanes running within the conurbation. Annual average daily traffic is 74,000 vehicles per day and up to 100,000 vehicles per day in peak periods. As a result, the full scope of traffic conditions can be analysed, both for free-flow and congested conditions.

The data (Romanowska & Kustra, 2021) was sourced from a continuous traffic measurement station which operates in a double induction loop. The device registers the time a vehicle appears on the detector and its instantaneous speed. It identifies the type of vehicle and lane the vehicle is using. Structured Query Language (SQL) was used for data processing and initial analysis. The data processing was divided into the following stages:

1. Raw traffic data that were provided by the national road authority (General Director for National Roads and Motorways) in the text file format were imported to the database on the installed SQL server.
2. The data were verified in terms of empty rows, zero values, vehicle speeds beyond the expected range, unusual vehicle lengths. The problem of zeros or unusual values concerned approx. 2% of registered vehicles and had marginal impact on the number of registered vehicles – the records were excluded from further processing.
3. Individual vehicle headways were calculated for each record.
4. The data were aggregated to five minute intervals which provided information on traffic volume, space-mean speed, share of heavy goods vehicles and average headways. The traffic volume was calculated into flow rate using passenger car equivalents (Olszewski et al., 2020). Traffic density was determined from the fundamental relationship of traffic flow (May, 1990). Free flow speed was estimated in each interval as speed of passenger cars maintaining headways at least 7 seconds behind and 4 seconds in front of adjacent

vehicles under low volume and low density traffic conditions (<1000 veh/h/lane and <10 veh/km/lane).

Data about the weather during the analysis come from a weather station located on the road. The data include: condition, intensity (0-100%) and type of precipitation (classified according to the data source as: none, light, continuous, intensive, snow, hail), condition of the road surface (classified as: dry, moist, wet, slippery) and temperature. Data on horizontal visibility (classified as: 0-50 m, 50-200 m, 200-500 m, >500 m) was obtained from the nearest climatological station. The time of day for each interval was determined based on calculations of the position of the Sun relative to the horizon for the given date and location. With that the particular time intervals were classified to dusk, day, dawn and night.

Over the analysed period more than 26,000 hours of the survey consisted of 9,100 night-time hours and 1,760 and 1,540 hours of dawn and dusk respectively; 1,800 hours of rain, including 640 hours of intensive rain; 50 hours of snow or hail (Table 2). The latest two occurred only in winter season. The road surface was wet for a total of 4,160 hours and slippery for 1,360 hours. Visibility below 200 m occurred for a total of 326 hours, including 180 hours of visibility below 50 m. Sub-zero temperatures were recorded for nearly 1,160 hours (the lowest observed temperature was -14ºC), including 63 hours of temperatures <-10ºC. For 160 hours the temperatures were above 30ºC but not exceeding 40ºC. Intensity of precipitation was the highest in winter season, while the lowest during summer (Table 1).

Table 1. Precipitation intensity (%) in particular seasons

| Season | Number of intervals | Intensity of precipitation (%) | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Mean | 95% confidence interval | Standard deviation |
| Spring | 77257 | 6.95 | (6.81, 7.01) | 18.53 |
| Summer | 78522 | 3.86 | (3.75, 3.96) | 15.44 |
| Autumn | 78361 | 7.14 | (7.01, 7.26) | 18.16 |
| Winter | 60891 | 20.82 | (20.63, 21.02) | 24.24 |

Table 2. Average speed of cars in relation to the type of precipitation

| Type of precipitation | Number of intervals | Average speed of passenger cars [km/h] | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Mean | Standard deviation | Median | Interquartile range |
| none | 249721 | 102.3 | 9.7 | 103.2 | 7.0 |
| light | 6648 | 98.1 | 10.7 | 99.5 | 7.4 |
| continuous | 7270 | 96.8 | 11.3 | 98.4 | 7.6 |
| intensive | 7725 | 94.9 | 13.0 | 97.2 | 8.5 |
| snow | 464 | 88.6 | 11.7 | 90.8 | 15.5 |
| hail | 152 | 83.5 | 12.5 | 86.4 | 18.3 |

*b. Analyses*

A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) method (Sawyer, 2009) was used to examine the relationship between traffic flow parameters and weather and time of day related factors. Two dependent variables were examined: free-flow speed and average speed. Independent variables included: time of day, precipitation, road surface conditions and visibility. In order to use the method, first its assumptions were tested against the data. With all other conditions met, Welch's correction had to be applied to take account of the failure to meet the condition of equality of variances. The question was: is there a statistically significant (at 5% significance level) relationship between speed and particular weather conditions and time of day. For the significant relationships, post-hoc analyses, using Tukey and Dunnett tests, were additionally conducted in order to investigate whether the differences are significant between classes of particular conditions (i.e. light and heavy rain) and between particular classes and conditions referred to as "normal" (determined based on Tukey test results; including daylight, no precipitation, dry road surface, visibility > 200 m). The effect on speed was additionally tested at different levels of traffic density in order to investigate, whether it is significant in the whole range of observed densities. For this purpose, t-tests were used between group independent samples.

To quantify the effect of weather conditions and time of day the data were assigned to nine scenarios (Table 3). The baseline scenario is scenario 1 which features no rain or snow, dry road surface and horizontal visibility in excess of 200 m. Scenarios of night-time do not include low visibility conditions, because such weather conditions were not observed in the data. Scenarios of dawn and dusk cover only good weather conditions because of relatively small samples if adverse weather conditions are additionally included. Table 4 shows the frequency distribution of the particular conditions in the scenarios.

Table 3. Scenarios of weather conditions and time of day used in the analyses

| Scenario | Time of day | Visibility | Type of precipitation | Condition of road surface |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | day | > 200 m | none | dry |
| 2 | day | ≤ 200 m | none | dry |
| 3 | day | - | rain | moist or wet |
| 4 | day | - | snow | wet or slippery |
| 5 | night | > 200 m | none | dry |
| 6 | night | - | rain | moist or wet |
| 7 | night | - | snow | wet or slippery |
| 8 | dawn | > 200 m | none | dry |
| 9 | dusk | > 200 m | none | dry |

Table 4. Percentage distribution of the frequency of the particular weather conditions and time of day depending on the scenario

| Variable | Value | Scenario | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Traffic volume (veh/h) | <500 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 62 | 56 | 37 | 75 | 5 |
| | 500-999 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 11 | 23 | 21 | 22 | 14 | 25 |
| | 1000-1499 | 7 | 14 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 22 | 4 | 29 |
| | 1500-1999 | 16 | 28 | 18 | 33 | 4 | 7 | 17 | 2 | 22 |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 2000-2499 | 33 | 43 | 36 | 27 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 10 |
| | 2500-2999 | 26 | 9 | 24 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| | 3000-3499 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| | 3500-3999 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | ≥4000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Visibility [m] | <=200 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| | >200 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 94 | 100 | 100 |
| Precipitation type | none | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| | light | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | continuous | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | intensive | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | snow | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 |
| | hail | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 |
| Road pavement condition | dry | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| | moist | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | wet | 0 | 0 | 84 | 2 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | slippery | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Temperature [$^o$C] | <0 | 1 | 86 | 0 | 100 | 5 | 0 | 100 | 2 | 2 |
| | >0 | 99 | 14 | 100 | 0 | 95 | 100 | 0 | 98 | 98 |
| Time of day | dawn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |
| | day | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | dusk | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| | night | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Season | Spring | 32 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 33 | 17 | 0 | 29 | 35 |
| | Summer | 41 | 2 | 25 | 0 | 28 | 7 | 0 | 57 | 34 |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Autumn | 22 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 31 | 31 | 0 | 12 | 23 |
| | Winter | 5 | 88 | 26 | 100 | 8 | 45 | 100 | 2 | 8 |

For each scenario, the averaged values of free flow speed $v_{sw}$, average speed v, average headway h and traffic volume q were calculated. For each parameter p a relative percentage change in the average parameter value $dp_i$ was determined compared with the baseline scenario (Eq. 1).

$$dp_i = \frac{p_i - p_0}{p_0} \cdot 100\% \tag{1}$$

where: $dp_i$ – percentage change of the average parameter value p in scenario i compared with the baseline scenario [%], $p_0$ – average value of parameter p in the baseline scenario [-], $p_i$ – average value of parameter p in scenario i [-].

Next, the speed-density model developed by Romanowska (2019) was calibrated in order to estimate values of free-flow speed, speed-at-capacity and capacity in each scenario (Eq. 2).

$$v = \frac{v_{sw}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{k}{k_{opt}}\right)^n\right)^{1-\frac{1}{n}}} \tag{2}$$

where: v – average speed [km/h], $v_{sw}$ – free flow speed [km/h], k – traffic density [veh/km], $k_{opt}$ – density-at-capacity [veh/km], n – shape parameter.

## 3. Results

Results (Table 5, Table 6) show a significant effect of both time of day (F(3, 271908)=2353.5, p<.001), precipitation (F(5, 271908)=575.9, p<.001), road surface condition (F(3, 271908)= 1192,0, p<.001) and visibility (F(3, 271908)= 12.9, p<.001) on the average speed. Similarly, a significant effect was also noticed in the case of free flow speed as a dependent variable: time of day (F(3,107616)=369.6, p<.001), precipitation (F(5, 107616)=122.7, p<.001), road surface condition (F(3, 107616)= 759, p<.001) and visibility (F(3, 107616)= 22.9, p<.001). For the analysed interactions a post hoc Tukey test results showed that at .05 significance level both the average speed and the free flow speed differed significantly (at p<.05) in each group within time of day, precipitation and road surface condition. The average speed did not differed significantly between <50 m and 50÷200 m visibilities, but significant differences were found between both classes and higher visibility ranges. The free-flow speed differed significantly between all visibility levels below 500 m. Based on the Tukey test results, control group for a post hoc Dunnett test was determined as: daytime, no precipitation, dry road surface, visibility above 200 m (referred to as “normal conditions”). The results at .05 significance level showed a significant difference between the average speed or the free flow speed for any weather conditions and time of day deviating from those pointed above ($p<.05$).

Table 5. Results of one-way ANOVA: average speed of passenger cars

| | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Time of day | 661562 | 3 | 220521 | 2353.5 | <.001 |
| Type of precipitation | 269803 | 5 | 53961 | 575.9 | <.001 |
| Condition of road surface | 335064 | 3 | 111688 | 1192.0 | <.001 |
| Visibility | 3615 | 3 | 1205 | 12.9 | <.001 |
| Error | 25477995 | 271908 | 94 | | |

Table 6. Results of one-way ANOVA: free-flow speed

| | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Time of day | 91440 | 3 | 30480 | 369.6 | <.001 |
| Type of precipitation | 50580 | 5 | 10116 | 122.7 | <.001 |
| Condition of road surface | 187770 | 3 | 62590 | 759.0 | <.001 |
| Visibility | 5654 | 3 | 1885 | 22.9 | <.001 |
| Error | 8874843 | 107616 | 82 | | |

The average speed in normal and adverse (here referred to as conditions other than normal) conditions was compared for different density levels. The analyses showed that for the density range of 0÷50 pc/km the average speed differs significantly in adverse and normal conditions, p<.05 (Table 7). The effect was not found significant in the case of higher densities.

Table 7. T-test results (p-values) for the average speed in normal and adverse conditions grouped by traffic density

| Average speed $v$ [km/h] | Traffic density $k$ [pc/km] | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 0÷10 | 10÷20 | 20÷30 | 30÷40 | 40÷50 | 50÷60 | >60 |
| Normal conditions | 109.0 | 105.8 | 101.8 | 96.0 | 80.7 | 53.3 | 34.9 |
| Adverse conditions | 104.2 | 102.2 | 98.3 | 91.4 | 76.7 | 52.1 | 30.0 |
| *p-value* | *<.05* | *<.05* | *<.05* | *<.05* | *<.05* | *.51* | *n.d.* |

Table 8 presents the average values of the average speed, free flow speed, headway and traffic volume in each scenario and the relative change of each parameter ($dp_i$ – Eq. 1) from the baseline scenario (scenario 1). We can see that when the weather deteriorates both in daytime and night-time, the average vehicle speed and the free flow speed fall and average headways increase. During the daytime, the occurrence of rain causes a fall in the average speed by 6%, in the free flow speed by 4%, and an increase in headways by about 9%; in case of snowfall the average speed decreases by 11%, free flow speed decreases by 12%, and headways increase by approximately 27%. When visibility is poor, the average speed do not change and the free-flow speed falls by approximately 2%; average headways increase by about 10%. In the night-time the average speed is higher than during daytime but free-flow speed decrease by 2%. When the rain occurs in the night-time, the average speed falls by 2%, free-flow speed decreases by 7% compared to normal weather conditions during daytime; snowfall at the night-time causes 14% reduction in the average speed and 17% reduction in the free-flow speed compared to the daytime and normal weather conditions. Average headways increase by several times in the night-time and the very high deviations from the average can be noticed. This can be probably explained by low traffic volumes during the night-time ($q = 529 \div 842$ P/h). In the dawn and dusk, when the amount of the natural light is limited, the observed average speed is higher than in the baseline, but the free-flow speed falls by 3.5% and 0.7% respectively.

Table 8. Changes in selected parameters of vehicle flow in the scenarios of weather and time of day

| Scenario i | Average speed $v$ [km/h] | | | Free flow speed $v_{sw}$ [km/h] | | | Average headways $h$ [sec.] | | | Traffic volume $q$ [veh/h] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $v_i$ | $dv_i$ | Std. dev. | ${v_{sw}}_i$ | $dv_{sw_i}$ | $st.de$ | ${h_t}_i$ | $dh_{t_i}$ | Std. dev. | $q_i$ |
| **1*** | **100.9** | **n.a.** | **11.3** | **110.7** | **n.a** | **13.6** | **3.4** | **n.a** | **9.8** | **2254** |
| 2 | 101.3 | +0.4% | 3.4 | 108.7 | -1.8% | 5.4 | 3.8 | +10.2% | 2.2 | 1924 |
| 3 | 94.6 | -6.2% | 14.4 | 106.1 | -4.2% | 12.8 | 3.7 | +8.5% | 4.4 | 2152 |
| 4 | 89.7 | -11.1% | 10.4 | 97.3 | -12.1% | 10.9 | 4.3 | +25.3% | 3.0 | 1876 |
| 5 | 105.2 | +4.3% | 6.6 | 108.3 | -2.1% | 7.5 | 29.6 | +767.8% | 248.3 | 528 |
| 6 | 99.1 | -1.8% | 9.1 | 103.0 | -6.9% | 9.5 | 32.5 | +853.8% | 161.6 | 639 |
| 7 | 86.9 | -13.9% | 11.5 | 91.4 | -17.4% | 11.7 | 27.0 | +692.8% | 51.4 | 842 |
| 8 | 104.6 | +3.7% | 6.0 | 106.8 | -3.5% | 7.2 | 30.4 | +793.1% | 33.1 | 460 |
| 9 | 103.4 | +2.5% | 6.1 | 109.8 | -0.7% | 7.1 | 6.0 | +75.9% | 3.8 | 1439 |

* baseline scenario; n.a. = not applicable

In order to estimate the capacity under different weather and time of day conditions, the speed-density model (Eq. 2) was used. For each scenario the model was fitted to the data and calibrated. A constant value of density at capacity was adopted ($\mathrm{k_{opt}} = 52$ pc/km). The other parameters were estimated using the model. The results are given in Table 9. As we can see, as the weather deteriorates, the free flow speed and speed-at-capacity fall as does capacity.

Under conditions of limited visibility the reduction in capacity is about 3% with rain causing a drop in capacity by about 5% in the daytime and by 8% in the night-time. Snowfall causes a drop in capacity by about 9% in daytime and 19% in the night-time (all compared to the baseline scenario 1). During dawn and dusk both the free flow speed and capacity are lower by approximately 3%.

Table 9. Free flow speed, capacity and speed-at-capacity estimated with Eq. 2 and the relative change in relation to the baseline scenarios

| Parameter | Scenario | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | **1*** | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| Free flow speed $v_{sw}$ | **108** | 104 | 103 | 98 | 104 | 99 | 88 | 105 | 105 |
| | **n.a.** | -3.3% | -4.6% | -9.2% | -3.7% | -8.3% | -18.5% | -2.6% | -3.1% |
| Capacity $C$ | **4010** | 3880 | 3820 | 3640 | 3860 | 3680 | 3270 | 3910 | 3883 |
| | **n.a.** | -3.2% | -4.7% | -9.2% | -3.7% | -8.2% | -18.5% | -2.5% | -3.2% |
| Speed-at-capacity $v_{opt}$ | **77** | 74 | 73 | 70 | 74 | 70 | 63 | 74 | 73 |
| | **n.a.** | -3.9% | -5.2% | -9.1% | -3.9% | -9.1% | -18.2% | -3.9% | -5.1% |

* baseline scenario; n.a. = not applicable

## 4. Application of the results

The results presented in the study may contribute to the MOP-DZ method. Fig. 1 presents the proposed extension of the MOP-DZ procedure for assessing traffic conditions, that allows to consider weather and time of day characteristics. Just as with the HCM method (Transportation Research Board, 2016), an additional step is proposed to correct free-flow speed for adverse conditions. In such case, in the step 2.2 the estimated or measured (in normal conditions) value of the free flow speed is adjusted by multiplying it by a correction coefficient (Eq. 3).

$$v'_{sw} = v_{sw} * w_A \quad (3)$$

where: $v'_{sw}$ – free-flow speed under adverse weather and/or lighting [km/h], $w_A$ – correction coefficient (Table 10).

In the speed-flow relationships developed for the MOP-DZ method, the average speed and capacity depend on the free-flow speed. As a result, adjusted free-flow speed will have an indirect effect on the new values of both parameters. If traffic volume remains unchanged, reduced speed will increase traffic density which is the basis for determining the level of service. This way the effect of weather and time of day will be included in the entire traffic conditions assessment procedure thanks to the adjusted free-flow speed.




Fig. 1. Procedure of traffic conditions assessment using the MOP-DZ method including the effects of weather

Based on the results presented in section 3, coefficients of weather and time of day-related adjustment factors may be proposed for the studied site (Table 10).

Table 10. The proposed coefficients of weather and time of day related free flow speed adjustment factors

| Time of day | Visibility | Type of precipitation | Condition of road surface | Correction factor [-] |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| day | > 200 m | none | dry | 1.00 |
| | ≤ 200 m | none | dry | 0.97 |
| | - | rain | moist or wet | 0.95 |
| | - | snow | wet or slippery | 0.91 |
| night (illuminated road) | > 200 m | none | dry | 0.96 |
| | - | rain | moist or wet | 0.92 |
| | - | snow | wet or slippery | 0.81 |
| dawn | > 200 m | none | dry | 0.97 |
| dusk | > 200 m | none | dry | 0.97 |

## 5. Discussion

The paper presents the results of the study on the effects of adverse weather conditions and time of day on traffic flow characteristics and highway performance. Using statistical methods it was proved, that both rain and snow, road surface condition, visibility and time of day (in reference to the amount of natural light) significantly affect the average speed in the wide range of traffic densities (0÷50 veh/km). The strength of the effect was estimated by calculating the means of particular parameters and comparing them in nine scenarios depending on weather conditions and time of day. A speed-density model was also used in order to support the analyses and estimate road capacity and the corresponding speed. It was proved that when compared to normal weather conditions: under conditions of daytime, rain and wet road surface the average speed is reduced by 4-6% (depending on traffic density) and the capacity is reduced by 5%; when it is snowing and the road surface is wet or slippery during the daytime the average speed is reduced by 9-12% and the capacity is reduced by 9%; under conditions of limited visibility with no precipitation in the daytime, the average speed is reduced by 0-4% and the capacity is reduced by 3%. In the night-time and good weather conditions the speed and capacity are lower than in the daytime, by 2-4% and 4%

respectively. When it additionally rains at night and the road surface is wet speed is reduced by up to 9% and capacity is reduced by 8%. During snowfall speed decreases by 14-19% and capacity is reduced by 19% when compared to the baseline normal weather conditions during daytime. In the night-time, the effect of weather conditions is stronger when compared to the daytime. It needs to be noticed that the road at study site is illuminated, which may affect the magnitude of the effect in the night-time. The effect of dawn and dusk was also evaluated showing that limited natural lighting also affect both speed and capacity that are reduced by 1-5% and 4-5% respectively.

In the case of rain and snow the results of the study are consistent with the research results in the literature (Agarwal et al., 2005; Brilon & Ponzlet, 1996; Camacho et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2019; Heikoop & Henkens, 2016; Lam et al., 2013; Oh et al., 2002; Rakha et al., 2008; Transportation Research Board, 2016) – the speed and capacity reductions fit within the range defined in the works reviewed (Table 11). There is a difference in the case of limited visibility with speed and capacity falling less in this work compared with the literature studies. However, in the case of reduced visibility and night-time there are only single studies that cover these conditions, some present the reductions of speed and capacity in original units making any comparisons of the values difficult.

Table 11. Percentage reduction of speed and capacity in adverse weather and natural lighting conditions - comparison between study results and the literature

| Literature | Rain | | Snow | | Reduced visibility | | Night-time, good weather | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | v | C | v | C | v | C | v | C |
| Rakha et al. (2008) | 2-9% | 10-11% | 5-16% | 12-20% | | | | |
| Oh et al. (2002) | 2-7% | | 2-7% | | | | | |
| Lam et al. (2013) | | 9-17% | | | | | | |
| Agarwal et al. (2005) | 2-7% | 5-17% | 10-15% | 13-27% | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HCM (2016) | | 10-12% | 6-19% | 3-28% | 4-9% | 10-12% | | |
| Heikoop & Henkens (2016) | | 5-10% | | | | | | 3-5% |
| This study | 4-6% | 5% | 9-12% | 9% | 0-4% | 3% | 2-4% | 4% |

The article proposes that adverse weather and time of day conditions can be included in the procedure for traffic conditions assessment for uninterrupted traffic facilities in the new MOP-DZ method (Olszewski et al., 2020). This may be done by adjusting the free flow speed estimated with MOP-DZ procedure or measured in normal conditions for the impact of adverse weather and time of day. For this purpose, the coefficients of weather and time of day related adjustment factors need to be developed. Such coefficients were proposed based on the results from this study for the studied site. To cover for different road and traffic characteristics, the coefficients need to be developed based on data from different sites. To that end, further research should be conducted.

The most important limitation of the study is lack of representativeness for different road and traffic conditions (i.e. roads with different design, speed limits, location, heavy vehicles share). This limitation is strongly related to the poor traffic data availability in Poland. At the time of doing the research, there were only seven permanent traffic counting stations which could provide eligible data (with regard to the data format and measured traffic parameters). Most of them were located on low-volume roads (annual average daily traffic below 25 thous. vehicles per day). If higher traffic volumes are not observed on a site, the research cannot cover for the entire range of traffic conditions (both free-flow traffic and congested traffic) and the impact of weather and time of day can only be studied for free-flow traffic and low densities. In the case of the studied site, high traffic volumes reaching up to 100,000 veh/24h were observed and weather and time of day impact could be studied for the full range of traffic conditions. Another issue related to data availability is that weather measurement station need to be located in the proximity of a site and this condition was also not met in most cases. These conclusions may be important for road authorities in the context of choosing locations for installing permanent traffic counting stations in the road network.

While comparison of results from this study with literature showed consistent findings, further research is needed to investigate whether the results apply to roads with different characteristics.

## 6. Conclusion

The specific goals of the study presented in the paper included identification of factors related to weather and time of day that have an effect on traffic conditions, analysis and quantification of the effects of these factors on the particular traffic parameters and application of the results to the MOP-DZ method. The way to achieve these goals and the obtained results are presented in the paper. This lets us assume that the goals set in the introduction were achieved. In order to summarize the findings, it can be stated that:

- There is a statistically significant effect of weather and time of day on both traffic flow characteristics and parameters related to highway performance. The effect is observed at a wide range of traffic densities.
- Depending on the time of day and weather conditions, average speed may decrease by even 19% and capacity by even 18% when compared to normal weather conditions in the daytime. The higher the intensity of weather phenomena, the higher is the effect it has on traffic flow.
- There is a group of conditions that were not covered by the study, i.e. extremely low or high temperatures. These kind of conditions did not occur at the study area within study period and due to low frequencies may be difficult to study based on data from Polish sites.
- The research results may contribute to the new Polish highway capacity manual MOP–DZ. The extended traffic conditions assessment procedure was proposed that allows for including adverse weather and time of day in the analysis.
- The research provides coefficients of the weather and time of day related free flow speed adjustment factors for the studied site that are based on the long-term measurements covering entire range of traffic conditions (from free-flow to congested). Determination of such coefficients for different types of roads may notably increase the scope of possible applications of the MOP-DZ method.

- Efforts to develop tools to account for adverse weather and time of day in assessing traffic conditions require further scientific support.

*Acknowledgments.*

The study was a part of doctoral thesis (Romanowska, 2019) and was delivered under the RID 2B project which is designed to develop methods for estimating capacity and assessing traffic conditions on Poland’s dual carriageways. The outcome of the project is the Polish Highway Capacity Manual, with procedures for assessing traffic conditions and identifying the capacity of rural and agglomeration roads (Olszewski et al., 2020).

*Data Availability Statement.*

The data presented in this study are openly available in MostWiedzy repository at https://doi.org/10.34808/8xkq-7714 (Romanowska & Kustra, 2021).

## REFERENCES

Agarwal, M., Maze, T. H., & Souleyrette, R. R. (2005). *Impacts of weather on urban freeway traffic flow characteristics and facility capacity*. http://www.ctre.iastate.edu/pubs/midcon2005/index.htm

Awadallah, F. (2007). Differential speed limit analysis and regulations on rural expressways. *Road and Transport Research*, *16*(4), 16–25.

Baier, M. M., Brilon, W., Hartkopf, G., Lemke, K., Maier, R., & Schmotz, M. (2015). *HBS2015 Handbuch für die Bemessung von Straßenverkehrsanlagen. Teil L*. Forschungsgesellschaft für Straßen- und Verkehrswesen (FGSV).

Bella, F., Calvi, A., & D’Amico, F. (2014). Analysis of driver speeds under night driving conditions using a driving simulator. *Journal of Safety Research*, *49*, 45.e1-52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2014.02.007

Bergel-Hayat, R., Debbarh, M., Antoniou, C., & Yannis, G. (2013). Explaining the road accident risk: Weather effects. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, *60*, 456–465. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2013.03.006

Borowska-Stefańska, M., Mikusova, M., Kowalski, M., Kurzyk, P., & Wiśniewski, S. (2021).

Changes in Urban Mobility Related to the Public Bike System with Regard to Weather Conditions and Statutory Retail Restrictions. *Remote Sensing 2021, Vol. 13, Page 3597*, *13*(18), 3597. https://doi.org/10.3390/RS13183597

Brilon, W., & Ponzlet, M. (1996). Variability of speed-flow relationships on German autobahns. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, *1555*(1), 91–98. https://doi.org/10.3141/1555-12

Brodsky, H., & Hakkert, A. S. (1988). Risk of a road accident in rainy weather. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, *20*(3), 161–176. https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-4575(88)90001-2

Camacho, F. J., García, A., & Belda, E. (2010). Analysis of impact of adverse weather on freeway free-flow speed in Spain. *Transportation Research Record*, *2169*, 150–159. https://doi.org/10.3141/2169-16

Chang, X., Li, H., Qin, L., Rong, J., Lu, Y., & Chen, X. (2019). Evaluation of cooperative systems on driver behavior in heavy fog condition based on a driving simulator. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, *128*, 197–205. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2019.04.019

Chen, C., Zhao, X., Liu, H., Ren, G., & Liu, X. (2019). Influence of adverse weather on drivers' perceived risk during car following based on driving simulations. *Journal of Modern Transportation*, *27*(4), 282–292. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40534-019-00197-4

Das, A., Ghasemzadeh, A., & Ahmed, M. M. (2019). Analyzing the effect of fog weather conditions on driver lane-keeping performance using the SHRP2 naturalistic driving study data. *Journal of Safety Research*, *68*, 71–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2018.12.015

Evans, T., Stuckey, R., & Macdonald, W. (2020). Young drivers' perceptions of risk and difficulty: Day versus night. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, *147*, 105753. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2020.105753

Feng, F., & Fu, L. (2015). Winter road surface condition forecasting. *Journal of Infrastructure Systems*, *21*(3).

Focant, N., & Martensen, H. (2014). Are there more accidents in the rain? Exploratory analysis of the influence of weather conditions on the number of road accidents in Belgium. *Belgian Road Safety Institute.*

Fridstrøm, L., Ifver, J., Ingebrigtsen, S., Kulmala, R., & Thomsen, L. K. (1995). Measuring the contribution of randomness, exposure, weather, and daylight to the variation in road accident counts. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, *27*(1), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-4575(94)E0023-E

Gallen, R., Cord, A., Hautiere, N., Dumont, E., & Aubert, D. (2015). Nighttime Visibility Analysis and Estimation Method in the Presence of Dense Fog. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, *16*(1), 310–320. https://doi.org/10.1109/TITS.2014.2331177

Heikoop, H., & Henkens, N. (2016). Recent Developments and History of the Dutch HCM. *Transportation Research Procedia*, *15*, 51–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2016.06.005

Higgins, L., Miles, J. D., Carlson, P., Burns, D., Aktan, F., Zender, M., & Kaczmarczik, J. M. (2009). Nighttime Visibility of Prototype Work Zone Markings under Dry, Wet-Recovery, and Rain Conditions. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, *2107*(1), 69–75. https://doi.org/10.3141/2107-07

Jafari Anarkooli, A., & Hadji Hosseinlou, M. (2016). Analysis of the injury severity of crashes by considering different lighting conditions on two-lane rural roads. *Journal of Safety Research*, *56*, 57–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2015.12.003

Jamroz, K., Budzyński, M., Romanowska, A., Żukowska, J., Oskarbski, J., & Kustra, W. (2019). Experiences and Challenges in Fatality Reduction on Polish Roads. *Sustainability*, *11*(4), 959. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11040959

Jiang, Y., Zhang, N., Li, A., Wu, H., Li, R., & Yang, J. (2020). Effects of Weather on Highway Traffic Capacity in China: Characteristics and Causes of Roadblocks Due to Fog Events. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, *177*(10), 5027–5040. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-020-02535-8

Jung, S., Jang, K., Yoon, Y., & Kang, S. (2014). Contributing factors to vehicle to vehicle crash frequency and severity under rainfall. *Journal of Safety Research*, *50*, 1–10. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2014.01.001

Karlaftis, M. G., & Yannis, G. (2010). *Weather Effects on Daily Traffic Accidents and Fatalities: Time Series Count Data Approach*.

Keay, K., & Simmonds, I. (2005). The association of rainfall and other weather variables with road traffic volume in Melbourne, Australia. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, *37*(1), 109–124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2004.07.005

Keay, K., & Simmonds, I. (2006). Road accidents and rainfall in a large Australian city. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, *38*(3), 445–454. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2005.06.025

Kempa, J. (2005). Wpływ zawilgocenia nawierzchni spowodowanego opadami deszczu na zagrożenie w ruchu drogowym. In T. Szczuraszek (Ed.), *Badanie zagrożeń w ruchu drogowy*.

Kempa, J. (2008). *Charakterystyki ruchu swobodnego na krzywoliniowych odcinkach dróg w planie* (Issue 132). Uniwersytet Technologiczno-Przyrodniczy w Bydgoszczy.

Kim, S., Rim, H., Oh, C., Jeong, E., & Kim, Y. (2015). Multiple-Step Traffic Speed Forecasting Strategy for Winter Freeway Operations. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, *2482*(1), 133–140. https://doi.org/10.3141/2482-17

Konstantopoulos, P., Chapman, P., & Crundall, D. (2010). Driver's visual attention as a function of driving experience and visibility. Using a driving simulator to explore drivers' eye movements in day, night and rain driving. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, *42*(3), 827–834.

Kwon J, T., Fu, L., Jiang, C., Kwon, T., Fu, L., & Jiang, C. (2013). Effect of winter weather and road surface conditions on macroscopic traffic parameters. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, *2329*(2329), pp 54–62. https://doi.org/10.3141/2329-07

Lam, W. H. K., Asce, M., Tam, M. L., Cao, X., & Li, X. (2013). Modeling the effects of rainfall intensity on traffic speed, flow, and density relationships for urban roads. *Journal of Transportation Engineering*, *4*(July), 758–770. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)TE.1943-5436.0000544.

Leibowitz, H. W., Owens, D. A., & Tyrrell, R. A. (1998). The assured clear distance ahead rule: Implications for nighttime traffic safety and the law. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, *30*(1), 93–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0001-4575(97)00067-5

Li, J., Lam, W. H. K., & Li, X. (2016). Modeling the Effects of Rainfall Intensity on the Heteroscedastic Traffic Speed Dispersion on Urban Roads. *Journal of Transportation Engineering*, *142*(6), 05016002. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)TE.1943-5436.0000833

Liu, Y., Zou, Y., Wang, Y., & Wu, B. (2020). Impact of Fog Conditions on Lane-Level Speeds on Freeways. *Journal of Transportation Engineering Part A: Systems*, *146*(9).

Malin, F., Norros, I., & Innamaa, S. (2019). Accident risk of road and weather conditions on different road types. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, *122*, 181–188. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2018.10.014

May, A. D. (1990). *Traffic Flow Fundamentals* (p. 464). http://books.google.com/books?id=JYJPAAAAMAAJ&pgis=1

Mueller, A. S., & Trick, L. M. (2012). Driving in fog: The effects of driving experience and visibility on speed compensation and hazard avoidance. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, *48*, 472–479. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2012.03.003

Norrman, J., Eriksson, M., & Lindqvist, S. (2000). Relationships between road slipperiness, traffic accident risk and winter road maintenance activity. *Climate Research*, *15*(3), 185–193. https://doi.org/10.3354/cr015185

Oh, J. S., Shim, Y. U., & Cho, Y. H. (2002). Effect of weather conditions to traffic flow on freeway. *SCE Journal of Civil Engineerir*, *6*(4), 413–420.

Olszewski, P., Dybicz, T., Kustra, W., Romanowska, A., Jamroz, K., & Ostrowski, K. (2020). Development of the New Polish Method for Capacity Analysis of Motorways and Expressways. *Archives of Civil Engineering*, *66*(4), 453–470. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.24425/ace.2020.135231

Omranian, E., Sharif, H., Dessouky, S., & Weissmann, J. (2018). Exploring rainfall impacts on the crash risk on Texas roadways: A crash-based matched-pairs analysis approach. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, *117*, 10–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2018.03.030

Peng, Y., Abdel-Aty, M., Lee, J., & Zou, Y. (2018). Analysis of the impact of fog-related reduced visibility on traffic parameters. *Journal of Transportation Engineering Part A: Systems*, *144*(2). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1061/JTEPBS.0000094

Pennelly, C., Reuter, G. W., & Tjandra, S. (2018). Effects of Weather on Traffic Collisions in Edmonton, Canada. *Atmosphere - Ocean*, *56*(5), 362–371.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/07055900.2018.1548344

Rahman, A., & Lownes, N. E. (2012). Analysis of rainfall impacts on platooned vehicle spacing and speed. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, *15*(4), 395–403. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2012.03.004

Rakha, H., Arafeh, M., & Park, S. (2008). Modeling inclement weather impacts on traffic stream behavior. *Transportation Research Record Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, *2071*, 8–18. https://doi.org/10.3141/2071-02

Robbins, C. J., & Fotios, S. (2021). Road lighting and distraction whilst driving: Establishing the significant types of distraction. *Lighting Research and Technology*, *53*(1), 30–40. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1177%2F1477153520916515

Roh, H.-J., Sharma, S., & Sahu, P. K. (2016). Modeling snow and cold effects for classified highway traffic volumes. *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, *20*(4), 1514–1525. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s12205-015-0236-0

Romanowska, A. (2019). *Macroscopic traffic flow models for basic motorways and express roads sections. Doctoral Thesis*. Gdansk University of Technology.

Romanowska, A., Jamroz, K., & Olszewski, P. (2019). Review of methods for assessing traffic conditions on basic motorway and expressway sections. *Archives of Transport*, *52*(4), 7–25. https://doi.org/10.5604/01.3001.0014.0205

Romanowska, A., & Kustra, W. (2021). *Permanent traffic counting stations - Expressway S6 in Gdansk (dataset containing 5-min aggregated traffic data and weather information)*. Gdansk University of Technology. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.34808/8xkq-7714

Romanowska, A., Kustra, W., & Jamroz, K. (2018). Impact of Adverse Winter Weather on Traffic Flow. *XVth International Winter Road Congress*, 1–14.

Sawyer, S. F. (2009). Analysis of variance: The fundamental concepts. *The Journal of Manual & Manipulative Therapy*, *17*(2), 27E-38E. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1179/jmt.2009.17.2.27E

Shangguan, Q., Fu, T., & Liu, S. (2020). Investigating rear-end collision avoidance behavior under varied foggy weather conditions: A study using advanced driving simulator and survival analysis. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, *139*. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2020.105499

Theofilatos, A., & Yannis, G. (2014). A review of the effect of traffic and weather characteristics on road safety. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, *72*, 244–256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2014.06.017

Transportation Research Board. (2016). *Highway Capacity Manual 6th Edition: A Guide for Multimodal Mobility Analysis*. Washington, D.C.: The National Academies Press. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.17226/24798

Umeda, S., Kawasaki, Y., Kuwahara, M., & Iihoshi, A. (2021). Risk evaluation of traffic standstills on winter roads using a state space model. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, *125*, 103005. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2021.103005

Unrau, D., & Andrey, J. (2006). Driver Response to Rainfall on Urban Expressways. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, *1980*(1), 24–30. https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198106198000105

van Aerde, M. (1995). A Single Regime Speed-Flow-Density Relationship for Freeways and Arterials. *Proceedings of the 74th Transportation Research Board Annual Meeting*.

Wang, Y., Liang, L., & Evans, L. (2017). Fatal crashes involving large numbers of vehicles and weather. *Journal of Safety Research*, *63*, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2017.08.001

Wu, Y., Abdel-Aty, M., Cai, Q., Lee, J., & Park, J. (2018). Developing an algorithm to assess the rear-end collision risk under fog conditions using real-time data. *TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH PART C-EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES*, *87*, 11–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2017.12.012

Yan, X., Li, X., Liu, Y., & Zhao, J. (2014). Effects of foggy conditions on drivers' speed control behaviors at different risk levels. *Safety Science*, *68*, 275–287. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2014.04.013

Zolali, M., & Mirbaha, B. (2020). Analysing the effect of foggy weather on drivers' speed choice in two-lane highways. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Transport*, *173*(3), 171–183. https://doi.org/10.1680/jtran.17.00140