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#### Abstract

Given a set of vertices $S=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$ of a connected graph $G$, the metric representation of a vertex $v$ of $G$ with respect to $S$ is the vector $r(v \mid S)=\left(d\left(v, v_{1}\right), d\left(v, v_{2}\right), \ldots\right.$, $\left.d\left(v, v_{k}\right)\right)$, where $d\left(v, v_{i}\right), i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ denotes the distance between $v$ and $v_{i} . S$ is a resolving set for $G$ if for every pair of distinct vertices $u, v$ of $G, r(u \mid S) \neq r(v \mid S)$. The metric dimension of $G, \operatorname{dim}(G)$, is the minimum cardinality of any resolving set for $G$. Let $G$ and $H$ be two graphs of order $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$, respectively. The corona product $G \odot H$ is defined as the graph obtained from $G$ and $H$ by taking one copy of $G$ and $n_{1}$ copies of $H$ and joining by an edge each vertex from the $i$ th-copy of $H$ with the $i$ th-vertex of $G$. For any integer $k \geq 2$, we define the graph $G \odot^{k} H$ recursively from $G \odot H$ as $G \odot^{k} H=\left(G \odot^{k-1} H\right) \odot H$. We give several results on the metric dimension of $G \odot^{k} H$. For instance, we show that given two connected graphs $G$ and $H$ of order $n_{1} \geq 2$ and $n_{2} \geq 2$, respectively, if the diameter of $H$ is at most two, then $\operatorname{dim}\left(G \odot^{k} H\right)=n_{1}\left(n_{2}+1\right)^{k-1} \operatorname{dim}(H)$. Moreover, if $n_{2} \geq 7$ and the diameter of $H$ is greater than five or $H$ is a cycle graph, then $\operatorname{dim}\left(G \odot^{k} H\right)=n_{1}\left(n_{2}+1\right)^{k-1} \operatorname{dim}\left(K_{1} \odot H\right)$. © 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.


## 1. Introduction

The concepts of resolvability and location in graphs were described independently by Harary and Melter [1], and Slater [2], to define the same structure in a graph. After these papers were published, several authors developed diverse theoretical works on this topic [3-10]. Slater described the usefulness of these ideas into long range aids to navigation [2]. Also, these concepts have some applications in chemistry for representing chemical compounds [11,12] or to problems of pattern recognition and image processing, some of which involve the use of hierarchical data structures [13]. Other applications of this concept to navigation of robots in networks and other areas appear in [6,8,14]. Some variations on resolvability or location have been appearing in the literature, like those about conditional resolvability [9], locating domination [15], resolving domination [16] and resolving partitions [5,17-19]. In this article we study the metric dimension of corona product graphs.

We begin by giving some basic concepts and notations. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a simple graph of order $n=|V|$. Let $u, v \in V$ be two different vertices in $G$, the distance $d_{G}(u, v)$ between two vertices $u$ and $v$ of $G$ is the length of a shortest path between $u$ and $v$. If there is no ambiguity, we will use the notation $d(u, v)$ instead of $d_{G}(u, v)$. The diameter of $G$ is defined as $D(G)=\max _{u, v \in V}\{d(u, v)\}$. Given $u, v \in V, u \sim v$ means that $u$ and $v$ are adjacent vertices. Given a set of vertices $S=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$ of a connected graph $G$, the metric representation of a vertex $v \in V$ with respect to $S$ is the vector $r(v \mid S)=\left(d\left(v, v_{1}\right), d\left(v, v_{2}\right), \ldots, d\left(v, v_{k}\right)\right)$. We say that $S$ is a resolving set for $G$ if for every pair of distinct vertices $u, v \in V, r(u \mid S) \neq r(v \mid S)$. The metric dimension of $G$ is the minimum cardinality of any resolving set for $G$, and it is denoted by $\operatorname{dim}(G)$.

[^0]Let $G$ and $H$ be two graphs of order $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$, respectively. The corona product $G \odot H$ is defined as the graph obtained from $G$ and $H$ by taking one copy of $G$ and $n_{1}$ copies of $H$ and joining by an edge each vertex from the $i$ th-copy of $H$ with the $i$ th-vertex of $G$. We will denote by $V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n_{1}}\right\}$ the set of vertices of $G$ and by $H_{i}=\left(V_{i}, E_{i}\right)$ the copy of $H$ such that $v_{i} \sim v$ for every $v \in V_{i}$. Notice that the corona graph $K_{1} \odot H$ is isomorphic to the join graph $K_{1}+H$. For any integer $k \geq 2$, we define the graph $G \odot^{k} H$ recursively from $G \odot H$ as $G \odot^{k} H=\left(G \odot^{k-1} H\right) \odot H$. We also note that the order of $G \odot^{k} \bar{H}$ is $n_{1}\left(n_{2}+1\right)^{k}$.

## 2. Metric dimension of corona product graphs

We begin by presenting the following useful facts.
Lemma 1. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$ and let $H$ be a graph of order at least two. Let $H_{i}=\left(V_{i}, E_{i}\right)$ be the subgraph of $G \odot H$ corresponding to the ith-copy of $H$.
(i) If $u, v \in V_{i}$, then $d_{G \odot H}(u, x)=d_{G \odot H}(v, x)$ for every vertex $x$ of $G \odot H$ not belonging to $V_{i}$.
(ii) If $S$ is a resolving set for $G \odot H$, then $V_{i} \cap S \neq \emptyset$ for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.
(iii) If $S$ is a resolving set for $G \odot H$ of minimum cardinality, then $V \cap S=\emptyset$.
(iv) If $H$ is a connected graph and $S$ is a resolving set for $G \odot H$, then for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, S \cap V_{i}$ is a resolving set for $H_{i}$.

Proof. (i) Let $y=v_{i} \in V$. The result directly follows from the fact that $d_{G \odot H}(u, x)=d_{G \odot H}(u, y)+d_{G \odot H}(y, x)=d_{G \odot H}(v, y)+$ $d_{G \odot H}(y, x)=d_{G \odot H}(v, x)$.
(ii) We suppose $V_{i} \cap S=\emptyset$ for some $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Let $x, y \in V_{i}$. By (i) we have $d_{G \odot H}(x, u)=d_{G \odot H}(y, u)$ for every vertex $u \in S$, which is a contradiction.
(iii) We will show that $S^{\prime}=S-V$ is a resolving set for $G \odot H$. Now let $x, y$ be two different vertices of $G \odot H$. We have the following cases.

Case 1: $x, y \in V_{i}$. By (i) we conclude that there exist $v \in V_{i} \cap S^{\prime}$ such that $d_{G \odot H}(x, v) \neq d_{G \odot H}(y, v)$.
Case 2: $x \in V_{i}$ and $y \in V_{j}, i \neq j$. Let $v \in V_{i} \cap S^{\prime}$. Then we have $d_{G \odot H}(x, v) \leq 2<3 \leq d_{G \odot H}(y, v)$.
Case 3: $x, y \in V$. Let $x=v_{i}$ and let $v \in V_{i} \cap S^{\prime}$. Then we have $d_{G \odot H}(x, v)=1<1+d_{G \odot H}(y, x)=d_{G \odot H}(y, v)$.
Case 4: $x \in V_{i}$ and $y \in V$. If $x \sim y$, then $y=v_{i}$. Let $v_{j} \in V, j \neq i$, and let $v \in V_{j} \cap S^{\prime}$. Then we have $d_{G \odot H}(x, v)=1+d_{G \odot H}(y, v)>d_{G \odot H}(y, v)$. For $x \nsim y=v_{l}$ we take $v \in V_{l} \cap S^{\prime}$ and we obtain $d_{G \odot H}(x, v)=$ $d_{G \odot H}(x, y)+d_{G \odot H}(y, v)>d_{G \odot H}(y, v)$.

Therefore, $S^{\prime}$ is a resolving set for $G \odot H$.
(iv) Let $S_{i}=S \cap V_{i}$. For $x \in S_{i}$ or $y \in S_{i}$ the result is straightforward. We suppose $x, y \in V_{i}-S_{i}$. Since $S$ is a resolving set for $G \odot H$, we have $r(x \mid S) \neq r(y \mid S)$. By (i), $d_{G \odot H}(x, u)=d_{G \odot H}(y, u)$ for every vertex $u$ of $G \odot H$ not belonging to $V_{i}$. So, there exists $v \in S_{i}$ such that $d_{G \odot H}(x, v) \neq d_{G \odot H}(y, v)$. Thus, either ( $v \sim x$ and $v \nsim y$ ) or ( $v \nsim x$ and $v \sim y$ ). In the first case we have $d_{G \odot H}(x, v)=d_{H_{i}}(x, v)=1$ and $d_{G \odot H}(y, v)=2 \leq d_{H_{i}}(y, v)$. The case $v \nsim x$ and $v \sim y$ is analogous. Therefore, $S_{i}$ is a resolving set for $H_{i}$.

Theorem 2. Let $G$ and $H$ be two connected graphs of order $n_{1} \geq 2$ and $n_{2} \geq 2$, respectively. Then,

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(G \odot^{k} H\right) \geq n_{1}\left(n_{2}+1\right)^{k-1} \operatorname{dim}(H)
$$

Proof. Let $S$ be a resolving set of minimum cardinality in $G \odot H$. From Lemma 1(iii) we have that $S \cap V=\emptyset$. Moreover, by Lemma 1(ii) we have that for every $i \in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{1}\right\}$ there exist a nonempty set $S_{i} \subset V_{i}$ such that $S=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_{1}} S_{i}$. Now, by using Lemma 1(iv) we have that $S_{i}$ is a resolving set for $H_{i}$. Hence, $\operatorname{dim}(G \odot H)=|S|=\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}}\left|S_{i}\right| \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} \operatorname{dim}(H)=n_{1} \operatorname{dim}(H)$. As a result, the lower bound follows.

Theorem 3. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n_{1} \geq 2$ and let $H$ be a graph of order $n_{2} \geq 2$. If $D(H) \leq 2$, then $\operatorname{dim}\left(G \odot^{k} H\right)=n_{1}\left(n_{2}+1\right)^{k-1} \operatorname{dim}(H)$.

Proof. Let $S_{i} \subset V_{i}$ be a resolving set for $H_{i}$ and let $S=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_{1}} S_{i}$. We will show that $S$ is a resolving set for $G \odot H$. Let us consider two different vertices $x, y$ of $G \odot H$. We have the following cases.

Case 1: $x, y \in V_{i}$. Since $D\left(H_{i}\right) \leq 2$, we have that $r\left(x \mid S_{i}\right) \neq r\left(y \mid S_{i}\right)$ leads to $r(x \mid S) \neq r(y \mid S)$.
Case 2: $x \in V_{i}$ and $y \in V_{j}, i \neq j$. Let $v \in S_{i}$. Hence we have $d(x, v) \leq 2<3 \leq d(y, v)$.
Case 3: $x, y \in V$. Let $x=v_{i}$. Then for every vertex $v \in S_{i}$ we have $d(x, v)=1<d(y, x)+1=d(y, v)$.
Case 4: $x \in V_{i}$ and $y \in V$. If $x \sim y$, then let $v \in S_{j}$, for some $j \neq i$. So we have $d(x, v)=1+d(y, v)>d(y, v)$. Moreover, if $x \nsim y=v_{j}$, for $v \in S_{j}$ we have $d(x, v)=d(x, y)+d(y, v)>d(y, v)$.

Thus, for every different vertices $x, y$ of $G \odot H$, we have $r(x \mid S) \neq r(y \mid S)$, as a consequence, $\operatorname{dim}(G \odot H) \leq n_{1} \operatorname{dim}(H)$. Therefore, we have $\operatorname{dim}\left(G \odot^{k} H\right) \leq n_{1}\left(n_{2}+1\right)^{k-1} \operatorname{dim}(H)$. By Theorem 2 we conclude the proof.

In order to show a consequence of the above theorem we present the following well-known result, where $K_{t}$ denotes a complete graph of order $t, K_{s, t}$ denotes a complete bipartite graph of order $s+t$ and $N_{t}$ denotes an empty graph of order $t$.

Lemma 4 ([6]). Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 4$. Then $\operatorname{dim}(G)=n-2$ if and only if $G=K_{s, t},(s, t \geq 1), G=$ $K_{s}+N_{t},(s \geq 1, t \geq 2)$, or $G=K_{s}+\left(K_{1} \cup K_{t}\right),(s, t \geq 1)$.

Corollary 5. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n_{1} \geq 2$ and let $H$ be a graph of order $n_{2} \geq 4$ and diameter $D(H) \leq 2$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(G \odot^{k} H\right)=n_{1}\left(n_{2}+1\right)^{k-1}\left(n_{2}-2\right)
$$

if and only if $H=K_{s, t},(s, t \geq 1) ; H=K_{s}+N_{t},(s \geq 1, t \geq 2)$, or $H=K_{s}+\left(K_{1} \cup K_{t}\right),(s, t \geq 1)$.
We recall that the wheel graph of order $n+1$ is defined as $W_{1, n}=K_{1} \odot C_{n}$, where $K_{1}$ is the singleton graph and $C_{n}$ is the cycle graph of order $n$. The metric dimension of the wheel $W_{1, n}$ was obtained by Buczkowski et al. in [20].

Remark 6 ([20]). Let $W_{1, n}$ be a wheel graph. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(W_{1, n}\right)= \begin{cases}3 & \text { for } n=3,6 \\ 2 & \text { for } n=4,5 \\ \left\lfloor\frac{2 n+2}{5}\right\rfloor & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

The fan graph $F_{n_{1}, n_{2}}$ is defined as the graph join $N_{n_{1}}+P_{n_{2}}$, where $N_{n_{1}}$ is the empty graph of order $n_{1}$ and $P_{n_{2}}$ is the path graph of order $n_{2}$. The case $n_{1}=1$ corresponds to the usual fan graphs. Notice that, for the metric dimension of fan graphs, it is possible to find an equivalent result to Remark 6 which was obtained by Caceres et al. in [4].

Remark 7 ([4]). Let $F_{1, n}$ be a fan graph. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(F_{1, n}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for } n=1, \\ 2 & \text { for } n=2,3, \\ 3 & \text { for } n=6, \\ \left\lfloor\frac{2 n+2}{5}\right\rfloor & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

As a particular case of Theorem 3 we obtain the following results.
Corollary 8. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n_{1} \geq 2$. If $H$ is a wheel graph or a fan graph of order $n_{2} \geq 8$, then

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(G \odot^{k} H\right)=n_{1}\left(n_{2}+1\right)^{k-1}\left\lfloor\frac{2 n_{2}}{5}\right\rfloor
$$

Theorem 9. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n_{1} \geq 2$ and let $H$ be a graph of order $n_{2} \geq 2$. Let $\alpha$ be the number of connected components of $H$ of order greater than one and let $\beta$ be the number of isolated vertices of $H$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(G \odot^{k} H\right) \leq \begin{cases}n_{1}\left(n_{2}+1\right)^{k-1}\left(n_{2}-\alpha-1\right) & \text { for } \alpha \geq 1 \text { and } \beta \geq 1 \\ n_{1}\left(n_{2}+1\right)^{k-1}\left(n_{2}-\alpha\right) & \text { for } \alpha \geq 1 \text { and } \beta=0 \\ n_{1}\left(n_{2}+1\right)^{k-1}\left(n_{2}-1\right) & \text { for } \alpha=0\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We suppose $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\beta \geq 1$. Let $A_{i}$ be the set of vertices of $G \odot H$ formed by all but one of the vertices per each of the $\alpha$ connected components of $H_{i}$. If $\beta \geq 2$ we define $B_{i}$ to be the set of vertices of $G \odot H$ formed by all but one of the isolated vertices of $H_{i}$. If $\beta=1$, we assume $B_{i}=\emptyset$. Let us show that $S=\cup_{j=1}^{n_{1}}\left(A_{j} \cup B_{j}\right)$ is a resolving set for $G \odot H$. Let $x, y$ be two different vertices of $G \odot H$. We suppose $x, y \notin S$. We have the following cases.

Case 1: $x=v_{i} \in V$ and $y \in V_{i}$. For every vertex $u \in V_{j} \cap S, j \neq i$, we obtain $d(y, u)=d(y, x)+d(x, u)>d(x, u)$.
case 2: $x=v_{i} \in V$ and $y \notin V_{i}$. For every $v \in S \cap V_{i}$ we have $d(x, v)=1<d(y, v)$.
Case 3: $x \in V_{i}$ and $y \in V_{j}, j \neq i$. For every $u \in V_{i} \cap S$ we have $d(x, u) \leq 2<3 \leq d(y, u)$.
Case 4: $x, y \in V_{i}$. We consider, without loss of generality, that $x$ is not an isolated vertex in $H_{i}$. Then there exists $v \in V_{i} \cap S$ such that $v \sim x$, so $d(x, v)=1<2=d(y, v)$.

Thus, for every two different vertices $x, y$ of $G \odot H$, we obtain $r(x \mid S) \neq r(y \mid S)$ and, as a consequence, $\operatorname{dim}(G \odot H) \leq$ $n_{1}\left(n_{2}-\alpha-1\right)$.

As above, if $\beta=0$ then we take $S=\cup_{j=1}^{n_{1}} A_{j}$ and we obtain $\operatorname{dim}(G \odot H) \leq n_{1}\left(n_{2}-\alpha\right)$ and if $\alpha=0$, then we take $S=\cup_{j=1}^{n_{1}} B_{j}$ and we obtain $\operatorname{dim}(G \odot H) \leq n_{1}\left(n_{2}-1\right)$. Note that if $\alpha=0$, then it is not necessary to consider Case 4 . Thus, the result follows.

Corollary 10. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n_{1} \geq 2$ and let $H$ be an unconnected graph of order $n_{2} \geq 2$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(G \odot^{k} H\right)=n_{1}\left(n_{2}+1\right)^{k-1}\left(n_{2}-1\right)
$$

if and only if $H \cong N_{n_{2}}$.

Proof. In [21] the authors showed that $\operatorname{dim}\left(G \odot N_{n_{2}}\right)=n_{1}\left(n_{2}-1\right)$. Hence, $\operatorname{dim}\left(G \odot^{k} N_{n_{2}}\right)=n_{1}\left(n_{2}+1\right)^{k-1}\left(n_{2}-1\right)$. Moreover, by the above theorem, if $H$ is unconnected and $H \neq N_{n_{2}}$, then $\operatorname{dim}\left(G \odot^{k} H\right) \leq n_{1}\left(n_{2}+1\right)^{k-1}\left(n_{2}-2\right)$.

Theorem 11. Let $G$ and $H$ be two connected graphs of order $n_{1} \geq 2$ and $n_{2} \geq 3$, respectively. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(G \odot^{k} H\right)=n_{1}\left(n_{2}+1\right)^{k-1}\left(n_{2}-1\right)
$$

if and only if $H \cong K_{n_{2}}$. Moreover, if $H \neq K_{n_{2}}$, then

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(G \odot^{k} H\right) \leq n_{1}\left(n_{2}+1\right)^{k-1}\left(n_{2}-2\right) .
$$

Proof. Since $\operatorname{dim}\left(K_{n_{2}}\right)=n_{2}-1$, by Theorem 3 we conclude $\operatorname{dim}\left(G \odot^{k} K_{n_{2}}\right)=n_{1}\left(n_{2}+1\right)^{k-1}\left(n_{2}-1\right)$. On the contrary, we suppose $H \not \not K_{n_{2}}$. Given a set $X$ of vertices of $H$ and a vertex $v$ of $H, N_{X}(v)$ denotes the set of neighbors that $v$ has in $X$ : $N_{X}(v)=\{u \in X: u \sim v\}$. Given two vertices $a, b$ of $H$, let $X_{a, b}$ be the set formed by all vertices of $H$ different from $a$ and $b$. Since $H$ is a connected graph and $H \neq K_{n_{2}}$, there exist at least two vertices $a, b$ of $H$ such that $N_{X_{a, b}}(a) \neq N_{X_{a, b}}(b)$. Let $a_{i}, b_{i}$ be the vertices corresponding to $a, b$, respectively, in the $i$ th-copy $H_{i}=\left(V_{i}, E_{i}\right)$ of $H$. Let $S=\cup_{i=1}^{n_{2}}\left(V_{i}-\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}\right)$. We will show that $S$ is a resolving set for $G \odot H$. Let $x, y$ be two different vertices of $G \odot H$ such that $x, y \notin S$. We have the following cases.

Case 1: $x=a_{i}$ and $y=b_{i}$. Since $N_{X_{a, b}}(a) \neq N_{X_{a, b}}(b)$ we have $r(x \mid S) \neq r(y \mid S)$.
Case 2: $x=v_{i} \in V$ and $y \in V_{i}$. For every $v \in V_{j}-\left\{a_{j}, b_{j}\right\}, j \neq i$, we have $d(y, v)=d(y, x)+d(x, v)>d(x, v)$. If $x \in V_{i}$ and $y \in V_{j}, j \neq i$, then for every $v \in V_{i}-\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}$ we have $d(x, v) \leq 2<3 \leq d(y, v)$.

Case 3: $x, y \in V$. Say $x=v_{i}$. Then for every $v \in V_{i}-\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}$ we have $d(x, v)=1<d(y, v)$.
Hence, for every two different vertices $x, y$ of $G \odot H$, we obtain $r(x \mid S) \neq r(y \mid S)$. Thus, $\operatorname{dim}(G \odot H) \leq n_{1}\left(n_{2}-2\right)$. Therefore, the result follows.

As we have shown in Corollary 5, the above bound is tight.
Theorem 12. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n_{1} \geq 2$ and let $H$ be a graph of order $n_{2} \geq 2$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(G \odot^{k} H\right) \leq n_{1}\left(n_{2}+1\right)^{k-1} \operatorname{dim}\left(K_{1} \odot H\right)
$$

Proof. We denote by $K_{1} \odot H_{i}$ the subgraph of $G \odot H$, obtained by joining the vertex $v_{i} \in V$ with all vertices of $H_{i}$. For every $v_{i} \in V$, let $B_{i}$ be a resolving set of minimum cardinality of $K_{1} \odot H_{i}$ and let $B=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_{1}} B_{i}$. By Lemma 1(iii) we have that $v_{i}$ does not belong to any resolving set of minimum cardinality for $K_{1} \odot H_{i}$. So, $B$ does not contain any vertex from $G$. We will show that $B$ is a resolving set for $G \odot H$. Let $x, y$ be two different vertices in $G \odot H$. We consider the following cases.

Case 1: $x, y \in V_{i}$. There exists $u \in B_{i}$ such that $d_{K_{1} \odot H_{i}}(x, u) \neq d_{K_{1} \odot H_{i}}(y, u)$, which leads to $d_{G \odot H}(x, u) \neq d_{G \odot H}(y, u)$.
Case 2: $x \in V_{i}$ and $y \in V_{j}, i \neq j$. Let $v \in B_{i}$. We have $d_{G \odot H}(x, v) \leq 2<3 \leq d_{G \odot H}(y, v)$.
Case 3: $x, y \in V$. Suppose now that $x$ is adjacent to the vertices of $H_{i}$. Hence, for every vertex $v \in B_{i}$ we have $d_{G \odot H}(x, v)=1<d_{G \odot H}(y, x)+1=d_{G \odot H}(y, v)$.

Case 4: $x \in V_{i}$ and $y \in V$. If $x \sim y$, then for every vertex $v \in B_{j}$, with $j \neq i$, we have $d_{G \odot H}(x, v)=1+d_{G \odot H}(y, v)>$ $d_{G \odot H}(y, v)$. Now, let us assume that $x \nsim y$. Hence, there exists $v \in B_{j}$ adjacent to $y$, with $j \neq i$. So, we have $d_{G \odot H}(x, v)=$ $d_{G \odot H}(x, y)+1=d_{G \odot H}(x, y)+d_{G \odot H}(y, v)>d_{G \odot H}(y, v)$.

Thus, for every two different vertices $x, y$ of $G \odot H$, we have $r(x \mid S) \neq r(y \mid S)$ and, as a consequence, $\operatorname{dim}(G \odot H) \leq$ $n_{1} \operatorname{dim}\left(K_{1} \odot H\right)$. Therefore, the result follows.

Theorem 13. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n_{1} \geq 2$ and let $H$ be a graph of order $n_{2} \geq 7$. If $D(H) \geq 6$ or $H$ is a cycle graph, then

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(G \odot^{k} H\right)=n_{1}\left(n_{2}+1\right)^{k-1} \operatorname{dim}\left(K_{1} \odot H\right)
$$

Proof. Let $S$ be a resolving set of minimum cardinality in $G \odot H$. By Lemma 1(iii) we have $S \cap V=\emptyset$, as a consequence, $S=\cup_{i=1}^{n_{1}} S_{i}$, where $S_{i} \subset V_{i}$. Notice that, by Lemma 1 (ii), $S_{i} \neq \emptyset$ for every $i \in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{1}\right\}$. Now we differentiate two cases in order to show that $r\left(x \mid S_{i}\right) \neq(1, \ldots, 1)$ for every $x \in V_{i}-S_{i}$.

Case 1: $H$ is a cycle graph of order $n_{2} \geq 7$. If $r\left(a \mid S_{i}\right)=(1,1)$ for some $a \in V_{i}-S_{i}$, then, since $n_{2} \geq 7$, there exist two vertices $x, y \in V_{i}-S_{i}$ such that $d_{H_{i}}(x, v)>1$ and $d_{H_{i}}(y, v)>1$, for every $v \in S_{i}$. Hence, $d_{G \odot H}(x, v)=d_{G \odot H}(y, v)=2$ for every $v \in S_{i}$, which is a contradiction because, by Lemma $1(\mathrm{i}), d_{\mathrm{G} \odot H}(x, v)=d_{G \odot H}(y, v)$ for every vertex $u$ of $S$ not belonging to $S_{i}$.

Case 2: $D(H) \geq 6$. Let $x, y \in V_{i}-S_{i}$. Since $S$ is a resolving set for $G \odot H$, we have $r(x \mid S) \neq r(y \mid S)$. As we have noted before, by Lemma $1(\mathrm{i})$ we have that $d_{G \odot H}(x, u)=d_{G \odot H}(y, u)$ for every vertex $u$ of $G \odot H$ not belonging to $V_{i}$. So, there exists $v \in S_{i}$ such that $d_{G \odot H}(x, v) \neq d_{G \odot H}(y, v)$ and, as a consequence, either ( $v \sim x$ and $v \nsim y$ ) or ( $v \nsim x$ and $v \sim y$ ). Now we suppose that there exists a vertex $a \in V_{i}-S_{i}$ such that $r\left(a \mid S_{i}\right)=(1,1, \ldots, 1)$. If there exists a vertex $b \in V_{i}-S_{i}$ such that $d_{H_{i}}(b, u)>1$, for every $u \in S_{i}$, then for every $w \in V_{i}-\left(S_{i} \cup\{a, b\}\right)$, there exists $v \in S_{i}$ such that $w \sim v$. Then $D\left(H_{i}\right) \leq 5$. Moreover, if for every $b \in V_{i}-S_{i}$ there exists $v_{b} \in S_{i}$ such that $v_{b} \sim b$, then $D(H) \leq 4$. Therefore, if $D(H) \geq 6$, then $r\left(a \mid S_{i}\right) \neq(1,1, \ldots, 1)$ for every $a \in V_{i}-S_{i}$.

Now, we denote by $K_{1} \odot H_{i}$ the subgraph of $G \odot H$, obtained by joining the vertex $v_{i} \in V$ with all vertices of the $i$ th-copy of $H$. In both the above cases we have $r\left(v_{i} \mid S_{i}\right)=(1,1, \ldots, 1) \neq r\left(x \mid S_{i}\right)$ for every $x \in V_{i}-S_{i}$, so $S_{i}$ is a resolving set for $K_{1} \odot H_{i}$. Hence, $\operatorname{dim}\left(K_{1} \odot H_{i}\right) \leq\left|S_{i}\right|$, for every $i \in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{1}\right\}$. Thus, $\operatorname{dim}(G \odot H) \geq n_{1} \operatorname{dim}\left(K_{1} \odot H_{i}\right)$ and, as a consequence, $\operatorname{dim}\left(G \odot^{k} H\right) \geq n_{1}\left(n_{2}+1\right)^{k-1} \operatorname{dim}\left(K_{1} \odot H\right)$. We conclude the proof by Theorem 12 .

Corollary 14. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n_{1} \geq 2$.
(i) If $n_{2} \geq 7$, then $\operatorname{dim}\left(G \odot^{k} C_{n_{2}}\right)=n_{1}\left(n_{2}+1\right)^{k-1}\left|\frac{2 n_{2}+2}{5}\right|$.
(ii) If $n_{2} \geq 7$, then $\operatorname{dim}\left(G \odot^{k} P_{n_{2}}\right)=n_{1}\left(n_{2}+1\right)^{k-1}\left[\frac{2 n_{2}+2}{5}\right]$.

All our previous results concern to $G \odot H$ for $H$ of order at least two. Now we consider the case $H \cong K_{1}$. We obtain a general bound for $\operatorname{dim}\left(G \odot^{k} K_{1}\right)$ and, when $G$ is a tree, we give the exact value for this parameter.

Claim 15. Let $G$ be a simple graph. If $v$ is a vertex of degree greater than one in $G$, then for every vertex $u$ adjacent to $v$ there exists a vertex $x \neq u, v$ of $G$, such that $d(v, x) \neq d(u, x)+1$.

The following lemma obtained in [20] is useful to obtain the next result.
Lemma 16 ([20]). If $G_{1}$ is a graph obtained by adding a pendant edge to a nontrivial connected graph $G$, then $\operatorname{dim}(G) \leq$ $\operatorname{dim}\left(G_{1}\right) \leq \operatorname{dim}(G)+1$.

Theorem 17. For every connected graph $G$ of order $n \geq 2$,

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(G \odot^{k} K_{1}\right) \leq 2^{k-1} n-1
$$

Proof. If $G \cong K_{2}$, then $\operatorname{dim}\left(K_{2} \odot K_{1}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(P_{4}\right)=1$. So, let us suppose $G \not \equiv K_{2}$. Let us suppose, without loss of generality, that $v_{n}$ is a vertex of degree greater than one in $G$ and let $S=V-\left\{v_{n}\right\}$. For every $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, let $u_{i}$ be the pendant vertex of $v_{i}$ in $G \odot K_{1}$. We will show that $S$ is a resolving set for $G \odot K_{1}$. Let $x, y$ be two different vertices of $G \odot K_{1}$. If $x=u_{i}$ and $y=u_{j}, i \neq j$, then we have either $i \neq n$ or $j \neq n$. Let us suppose for instance $i \neq n$. So, we obtain that $d\left(x, v_{i}\right)=1 \neq d\left(y, v_{i}\right)$. On the other hand, if $x=v_{n}$ and $y=u_{i}$, then let us suppose $d\left(x, v_{i}\right)=1$. Since $v_{n}$ is a vertex of degree greater than one in $G$, by Claim 15 , there exists a vertex $v_{j} \in S$ such that $d\left(x, v_{j}\right) \neq d\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)+1$. So, we have $d\left(x, v_{j}\right) \neq d\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)+1=d\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)+d\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right)=d\left(y, v_{i}\right)+d\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)=d\left(y, v_{j}\right)$. Therefore, for every different vertices $x, y$ of $G \odot K_{1}$ we have $r(x \mid S) \neq r(y \mid S)$ and, as a consequence, $\operatorname{dim}\left(G \odot K_{1}\right) \leq n-1$. Therefore, $\operatorname{dim}\left(G \odot^{k} K_{1}\right) \leq 2^{k-1} n-1$.

By Lemma 16 we have $\operatorname{dim}\left(K_{n} \odot K_{1}\right) \geq \operatorname{dim}\left(K_{n}\right)=n-1$. Thus, for $k=1$ the above bound is achieved for the graph $G=K_{n}$.

To present the next result, we need additional definitions. A vertex of degree at least 3 in a graph $G$ will be called a major vertex of $G$. Any vertex $u$ of degree one is said to be a terminal vertex of a major vertex $v$ if $d(u, v)<d(u, w)$ for every other major vertex $w$ of $G$. The terminal degree of a major vertex $v$ is the number of terminal vertices of $v$. A major vertex $v$ is an exterior major vertex if it has positive terminal degree. Given a graph $G, n_{1}(G)$ denotes the number of vertices of degree one and $\operatorname{ex}(G)$ denotes the number of exterior major vertices of $G$.

Lemma 18 ([6,1,2]). If $T$ is a tree that is not a path, then $\operatorname{dim}(T)=n_{1}(T)-\operatorname{ex}(T)$.
Theorem 19. For any tree $T$ of order $n \geq 3$,

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(T \odot^{k} K_{1}\right)= \begin{cases}n_{1}(T) & \text { for } k=1, \\ 2^{k-2} n & \text { for } k \geq 2 .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. If $T$ is a path of order $n \geq 3$, then we have $\operatorname{dim}\left(T \odot K_{1}\right)=2=n_{1}(T)$. Now, if $T$ is not a path, then by using Lemma 18, since $T \odot K_{1}$ is a tree, $n_{1}\left(T \odot K_{1}\right)=n$ and ex $\left(T \odot K_{1}\right)=n-n_{1}(T)$, we obtain the result for $k=1$. Since for every tree $T$ of order $n$ we have $n_{1}\left(T \odot K_{1}\right)=n$, we obtain the result for $k \geq 2$.
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