Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

ELSEVIER

Computers and Mathematics with Applications

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/camwa



# On the metric dimension of corona product graphs

# I.G. Yero<sup>a</sup>, D. Kuziak<sup>b</sup>, J.A. Rodríguez-Velázquez<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Departament d'Enginyeria Informàtica i Matemàtiques, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Av. Països Catalans 26, 43007 Tarragona, Spain <sup>b</sup> Faculty of Applied Physics and Mathematics, Gdańsk University of Technology, ul. Narutowicza 11/12 80-233 Gdańsk, Poland

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 29 September 2010 Received in revised form 10 March 2011 Accepted 10 March 2011

Keywords: Resolving sets Metric dimension Corona graph

## ABSTRACT

Given a set of vertices  $S = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_k\}$  of a connected graph *G*, the metric representation of a vertex *v* of *G* with respect to *S* is the vector  $r(v|S) = (d(v, v_1), d(v, v_2), ..., d(v, v_k))$ , where  $d(v, v_i)$ ,  $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$  denotes the distance between *v* and  $v_i$ . *S* is a resolving set for *G* if for every pair of distinct vertices *u*, *v* of *G*,  $r(u|S) \neq r(v|S)$ . The metric dimension of *G*, dim(*G*), is the minimum cardinality of any resolving set for *G*. Let *G* and *H* be two graphs of order  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ , respectively. The corona product  $G \odot H$  is defined as the graph obtained from *G* and *H* by taking one copy of *G* and  $n_1$  copies of *H* and joining by an edge each vertex from the ith-copy of *H* with the ith-vertex of *G*. For any integer  $k \ge 2$ , we define the graph  $G \odot^k H$  recursively from  $G \odot H$  as  $G \odot^k H = (G \odot^{k-1} H) \odot H$ . We give several results on the metric dimension of  $G \odot^k H$ . For instance, we show that given two connected graphs *G* and *H* of order  $n_1 \ge 2$  and  $n_2 \ge 2$ , respectively, if the diameter of *H* is at most two, then dim $(G \odot^k H) = n_1(n_2+1)^{k-1} \dim(H)$ . Moreover, if  $n_2 \ge 7$  and the diameter of *H* is greater than five or *H* is a cycle graph, then dim $(G \odot^k H) = n_1(n_2 + 1)^{k-1} \dim(K_1 \odot H)$ .

### 1. Introduction

The concepts of resolvability and location in graphs were described independently by Harary and Melter [1], and Slater [2], to define the same structure in a graph. After these papers were published, several authors developed diverse theoretical works on this topic [3–10]. Slater described the usefulness of these ideas into long range aids to navigation [2]. Also, these concepts have some applications in chemistry for representing chemical compounds [11,12] or to problems of pattern recognition and image processing, some of which involve the use of hierarchical data structures [13]. Other applications of this concept to navigation of robots in networks and other areas appear in [6,8,14]. Some variations on resolvability or location have been appearing in the literature, like those about conditional resolvability [9], locating domination [15], resolving domination [16] and resolving partitions [5,17–19]. In this article we study the metric dimension of corona product graphs.

We begin by giving some basic concepts and notations. Let G = (V, E) be a simple graph of order n = |V|. Let  $u, v \in V$  be two different vertices in G, the distance  $d_G(u, v)$  between two vertices u and v of G is the length of a shortest path between u and v. If there is no ambiguity, we will use the notation d(u, v) instead of  $d_G(u, v)$ . The diameter of G is defined as  $D(G) = \max_{u,v \in V} \{d(u, v)\}$ . Given  $u, v \in V, u \sim v$  means that u and v are adjacent vertices. Given a set of vertices  $S = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$  of a connected graph G, the *metric representation* of a vertex  $v \in V$  with respect to S is the vector  $r(v|S) = (d(v, v_1), d(v, v_2), \ldots, d(v, v_k))$ . We say that S is a *resolving set* for G if for every pair of distinct vertices  $u, v \in V, r(u|S) \neq r(v|S)$ . The *metric dimension* of G is the minimum cardinality of any resolving set for G, and it is denoted by dim(G).

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 626247661.

E-mail addresses: ismael.gonzalez@urv.cat (I.G. Yero), dkuziak@mif.pg.gda.pl (D. Kuziak), juanalberto.rodriguez@urv.cat (J.A. Rodríguez-Velázquez).

<sup>0898-1221/\$ –</sup> see front matter s 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.camwa.2011.03.046

Let *G* and *H* be two graphs of order  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ , respectively. The corona product  $G \odot H$  is defined as the graph obtained from *G* and *H* by taking one copy of *G* and  $n_1$  copies of *H* and joining by an edge each vertex from the *i*th-copy of *H* with the *i*th-vertex of *G*. We will denote by  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n_1}\}$  the set of vertices of *G* and by  $H_i = (V_i, E_i)$  the copy of *H* such that  $v_i \sim v$  for every  $v \in V_i$ . Notice that the corona graph  $K_1 \odot H$  is isomorphic to the join graph  $K_1 + H$ . For any integer  $k \ge 2$ , we define the graph  $G \odot^k H$  recursively from  $G \odot H$  as  $G \odot^k H = (G \odot^{k-1} H) \odot H$ . We also note that the order of  $G \odot^k H$  is  $n_1(n_2 + 1)^k$ .

#### 2. Metric dimension of corona product graphs

We begin by presenting the following useful facts.

**Lemma 1.** Let G = (V, E) be a connected graph of order  $n \ge 2$  and let H be a graph of order at least two. Let  $H_i = (V_i, E_i)$  be the subgraph of  $G \odot H$  corresponding to the ith-copy of H.

(i) If  $u, v \in V_i$ , then  $d_{G \odot H}(u, x) = d_{G \odot H}(v, x)$  for every vertex x of  $G \odot H$  not belonging to  $V_i$ .

(ii) If S is a resolving set for  $G \odot H$ , then  $V_i \cap S \neq \emptyset$  for every  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ .

(iii) If *S* is a resolving set for  $G \odot H$  of minimum cardinality, then  $V \cap S = \emptyset$ .

(iv) If *H* is a connected graph and *S* is a resolving set for  $G \odot H$ , then for every  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ ,  $S \cap V_i$  is a resolving set for  $H_i$ .

**Proof.** (i) Let  $y = v_i \in V$ . The result directly follows from the fact that  $d_{G \odot H}(u, x) = d_{G \odot H}(u, y) + d_{G \odot H}(y, x) = d_{G \odot H}(v, y) + d_{G \odot H}(v, x)$ .

(ii) We suppose  $V_i \cap S = \emptyset$  for some  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ . Let  $x, y \in V_i$ . By (i) we have  $d_{G \odot H}(x, u) = d_{G \odot H}(y, u)$  for every vertex  $u \in S$ , which is a contradiction.

(iii) We will show that S' = S - V is a resolving set for  $G \odot H$ . Now let x, y be two different vertices of  $G \odot H$ . We have the following cases.

Case 1:  $x, y \in V_i$ . By (i) we conclude that there exist  $v \in V_i \cap S'$  such that  $d_{G \odot H}(x, v) \neq d_{G \odot H}(y, v)$ .

Case 2:  $x \in V_i$  and  $y \in V_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ . Let  $v \in V_i \cap S'$ . Then we have  $d_{G \odot H}(x, v) \le 2 < 3 \le d_{G \odot H}(y, v)$ .

Case 3:  $x, y \in V$ . Let  $x = v_i$  and let  $v \in V_i \cap S'$ . Then we have  $d_{G \odot H}(x, v) = 1 < 1 + d_{G \odot H}(y, x) = d_{G \odot H}(y, v)$ .

Case 4:  $x \in V_i$  and  $y \in V$ . If  $x \sim y$ , then  $y = v_i$ . Let  $v_j \in V$ ,  $j \neq i$ , and let  $v \in V_j \cap S'$ . Then we have  $d_{G \odot H}(x, v) = 1 + d_{G \odot H}(y, v) > d_{G \odot H}(y, v)$ . For  $x \not\sim y = v_i$  we take  $v \in V_i \cap S'$  and we obtain  $d_{G \odot H}(x, v) = d_{G \odot H}(x, y) + d_{G \odot H}(y, v) > d_{G \odot H}(y, v)$ .

Therefore, S' is a resolving set for  $G \odot H$ .

(iv) Let  $S_i = S \cap V_i$ . For  $x \in S_i$  or  $y \in S_i$  the result is straightforward. We suppose  $x, y \in V_i - S_i$ . Since S is a resolving set for  $G \odot H$ , we have  $r(x|S) \neq r(y|S)$ . By (i),  $d_{G \odot H}(x, u) = d_{G \odot H}(y, u)$  for every vertex u of  $G \odot H$  not belonging to  $V_i$ . So, there exists  $v \in S_i$  such that  $d_{G \odot H}(x, v) \neq d_{G \odot H}(y, v)$ . Thus, either  $(v \sim x \text{ and } v \nsim y)$  or  $(v \nsim x \text{ and } v \sim y)$ . In the first case we have  $d_{G \odot H}(x, v) = d_{H_i}(x, v) = 1$  and  $d_{G \odot H}(y, v) = 2 \leq d_{H_i}(y, v)$ . The case  $v \nsim x$  and  $v \sim y$  is analogous. Therefore,  $S_i$  is a resolving set for  $H_i$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.** Let G and H be two connected graphs of order  $n_1 \ge 2$  and  $n_2 \ge 2$ , respectively. Then,

 $\dim(G \odot^k H) \ge n_1(n_2+1)^{k-1} \dim(H).$ 

**Proof.** Let *S* be a resolving set of minimum cardinality in  $G \odot H$ . From Lemma 1(iii) we have that  $S \cap V = \emptyset$ . Moreover, by Lemma 1(ii) we have that for every  $i \in \{1, ..., n_1\}$  there exist a nonempty set  $S_i \subset V_i$  such that  $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_1} S_i$ . Now, by using Lemma 1(iv) we have that  $S_i$  is a resolving set for  $H_i$ . Hence, dim $(G \odot H) = |S| = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} |S_i| \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \dim(H) = n_1 \dim(H)$ . As a result, the lower bound follows.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.** Let *G* be a connected graph of order  $n_1 \ge 2$  and let *H* be a graph of order  $n_2 \ge 2$ . If  $D(H) \le 2$ , then

$$\dim(G \odot^k H) = n_1(n_2 + 1)^{k-1} \dim(H).$$

**Proof.** Let  $S_i \subset V_i$  be a resolving set for  $H_i$  and let  $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_1} S_i$ . We will show that S is a resolving set for  $G \odot H$ . Let us consider two different vertices x, y of  $G \odot H$ . We have the following cases.

Case 1:  $x, y \in V_i$ . Since  $D(H_i) \leq 2$ , we have that  $r(x|S_i) \neq r(y|S_i)$  leads to  $r(x|S) \neq r(y|S)$ .

Case 2:  $x \in V_i$  and  $y \in V_i$ ,  $i \neq j$ . Let  $v \in S_i$ . Hence we have  $d(x, v) \le 2 < 3 \le d(y, v)$ .

Case 3:  $x, y \in V$ . Let  $x = v_i$ . Then for every vertex  $v \in S_i$  we have d(x, v) = 1 < d(y, x) + 1 = d(y, v).

Case 4:  $x \in V_i$  and  $y \in V$ . If  $x \sim y$ , then let  $v \in S_j$ , for some  $j \neq i$ . So we have d(x, v) = 1 + d(y, v) > d(y, v). Moreover, if  $x \not\sim y = v_i$ , for  $v \in S_j$  we have d(x, v) = d(x, y) + d(y, v) > d(y, v).

Thus, for every different vertices x, y of  $G \odot H$ , we have  $r(x|S) \neq r(y|S)$ , as a consequence,  $\dim(G \odot H) \leq n_1 \dim(H)$ . Therefore, we have  $\dim(G \odot^k H) \leq n_1(n_2 + 1)^{k-1} \dim(H)$ . By Theorem 2 we conclude the proof.  $\Box$ 

In order to show a consequence of the above theorem we present the following well-known result, where  $K_t$  denotes a complete graph of order t,  $K_{s,t}$  denotes a complete bipartite graph of order s + t and  $N_t$  denotes an empty graph of order t.

**Lemma 4** ([6]). Let G be a connected graph of order n > 4. Then dim(G) = n - 2 if and only if  $G = K_{s,t}$ , (s, t > 1), G = 1 $K_s + N_t$ , (s > 1, t > 2), or  $G = K_s + (K_1 \cup K_t)$ , (s, t > 1).

**Corollary 5.** Let G be a connected graph of order  $n_1 \ge 2$  and let H be a graph of order  $n_2 \ge 4$  and diameter  $D(H) \le 2$ . Then

 $\dim(G \odot^k H) = n_1(n_2 + 1)^{k-1}(n_2 - 2)$ 

if and only if  $H = K_{s,t}$ ,  $(s, t \ge 1)$ ;  $H = K_s + N_t$ ,  $(s \ge 1, t \ge 2)$ , or  $H = K_s + (K_1 \cup K_t)$ ,  $(s, t \ge 1)$ .

We recall that the wheel graph of order n + 1 is defined as  $W_{1,n} = K_1 \odot C_n$ , where  $K_1$  is the singleton graph and  $C_n$  is the cycle graph of order *n*. The metric dimension of the wheel  $W_{1,n}$  was obtained by Buczkowski et al. in [20].

**Remark 6** ([20]). Let  $W_{1,n}$  be a wheel graph. Then

$$\dim(W_{1,n}) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{for } n = 3, 6\\ 2 & \text{for } n = 4, 5\\ \left\lfloor \frac{2n+2}{5} \right\rfloor & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The fan graph  $F_{n_1,n_2}$  is defined as the graph join  $N_{n_1} + P_{n_2}$ , where  $N_{n_1}$  is the empty graph of order  $n_1$  and  $P_{n_2}$  is the path graph of order  $n_2$ . The case  $n_1 = 1$  corresponds to the usual fan graphs. Notice that, for the metric dimension of fan graphs, it is possible to find an equivalent result to Remark 6 which was obtained by Caceres et al. in [4].

**Remark 7** ([4]). Let  $F_{1,n}$  be a fan graph. Then

$$\dim(F_{1,n}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } n = 1, \\ 2 & \text{for } n = 2, 3, \\ 3 & \text{for } n = 6, \\ \left\lfloor \frac{2n+2}{5} \right\rfloor & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

As a particular case of Theorem 3 we obtain the following results.

**Corollary 8.** Let G be a connected graph of order  $n_1 \ge 2$ . If H is a wheel graph or a fan graph of order  $n_2 \ge 8$ , then

 $\dim(G \odot^k H) = n_1(n_2 + 1)^{k-1} \left| \frac{2n_2}{5} \right|.$ 

**Theorem 9.** Let G be a connected graph of order  $n_1 \ge 2$  and let H be a graph of order  $n_2 \ge 2$ . Let  $\alpha$  be the number of connected components of H of order greater than one and let  $\beta$  be the number of isolated vertices of H. Then

$$\dim(G \odot^k H) \leq \begin{cases} n_1(n_2+1)^{k-1}(n_2-\alpha-1) & \text{for } \alpha \ge 1 \text{ and } \beta \ge 1, \\ n_1(n_2+1)^{k-1}(n_2-\alpha) & \text{for } \alpha \ge 1 \text{ and } \beta = 0, \\ n_1(n_2+1)^{k-1}(n_2-1) & \text{for } \alpha = 0. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** We suppose  $\alpha \ge 1$  and  $\beta \ge 1$ . Let  $A_i$  be the set of vertices of  $G \odot H$  formed by all but one of the vertices per each of the  $\alpha$  connected components of  $H_i$ . If  $\beta \ge 2$  we define  $B_i$  to be the set of vertices of  $G \odot H$  formed by all but one of the isolated vertices of  $H_i$ . If  $\beta = 1$ , we assume  $B_i = \emptyset$ . Let us show that  $S = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_1} (A_j \cup B_j)$  is a resolving set for  $G \odot H$ . Let x, ybe two different vertices of  $G \odot H$ . We suppose  $x, y \notin S$ . We have the following cases. Case 1:  $x = v_i \in V$  and  $y \in V_i$ . For every vertex  $u \in V_j \cap S, j \neq i$ , we obtain d(y, u) = d(y, x) + d(x, u) > d(x, u).

case 2:  $x = v_i \in V$  and  $y \notin V_i$ . For every  $v \in S \cap V_i$  we have d(x, v) = 1 < d(y, v).

Case 3:  $x \in V_i$  and  $y \in V_j$ ,  $j \neq i$ . For every  $u \in V_i \cap S$  we have  $d(x, u) \le 2 < 3 \le d(y, u)$ . Case 4:  $x, y \in V_i$ . We consider, without loss of generality, that x is not an isolated vertex in  $H_i$ . Then there exists  $v \in V_i \cap S$ 

such that  $v \sim x$ , so d(x, v) = 1 < 2 = d(y, v).

Thus, for every two different vertices x, y of  $G \odot H$ , we obtain  $r(x|S) \neq r(y|S)$  and, as a consequence, dim $(G \odot H) \leq r(y|S)$  $n_1(n_2 - \alpha - 1)$ .

As above, if  $\beta = 0$  then we take  $S = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_1} A_j$  and we obtain dim $(G \odot H) \le n_1(n_2 - \alpha)$  and if  $\alpha = 0$ , then we take  $S = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_1} B_j$  and we obtain dim $(G \odot H) \le n_1(n_2 - 1)$ . Note that if  $\alpha = 0$ , then it is not necessary to consider Case 4. Thus, the result follows.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 10.** Let G be a connected graph of order  $n_1 \ge 2$  and let H be an unconnected graph of order  $n_2 \ge 2$ . Then

$$\dim(G \odot^k H) = n_1(n_2 + 1)^{k-1}(n_2 - 1)$$

if and only if  $H \cong N_{n_2}$ .

**Proof.** In [21] the authors showed that  $\dim(G \odot N_{n_2}) = n_1(n_2 - 1)$ . Hence,  $\dim(G \odot^k N_{n_2}) = n_1(n_2 + 1)^{k-1}(n_2 - 1)$ . Moreover, by the above theorem, if *H* is unconnected and  $H \ncong N_{n_2}$ , then  $\dim(G \odot^k H) \le n_1(n_2 + 1)^{k-1}(n_2 - 2)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 11.** Let *G* and *H* be two connected graphs of order  $n_1 \ge 2$  and  $n_2 \ge 3$ , respectively. Then

$$\dim(G \odot^k H) = n_1(n_2 + 1)^{k-1}(n_2 - 1)$$

if and only if  $H \cong K_{n_2}$ . Moreover, if  $H \not\cong K_{n_2}$ , then

$$\dim(G \odot^k H) \le n_1(n_2+1)^{k-1}(n_2-2).$$

**Proof.** Since dim $(K_{n_2}) = n_2 - 1$ , by Theorem 3 we conclude dim $(G \odot^k K_{n_2}) = n_1(n_2 + 1)^{k-1}(n_2 - 1)$ . On the contrary, we suppose  $H \ncong K_{n_2}$ . Given a set X of vertices of H and a vertex v of H,  $N_X(v)$  denotes the set of neighbors that v has in X:  $N_X(v) = \{u \in X : u \sim v\}$ . Given two vertices a, b of H, let  $X_{a,b}$  be the set formed by all vertices of H different from a and b. Since H is a connected graph and  $H \neq K_{n_2}$ , there exist at least two vertices a, b of H such that  $N_{X_{a,b}}(a) \neq N_{X_{a,b}}(b)$ . Let  $a_i, b_i$  be the vertices corresponding to a, b, respectively, in the ith-copy  $H_i = (V_i, E_i)$  of H. Let  $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_2} (V_i - \{a_i, b_i\})$ . We will show that S is a resolving set for  $G \odot H$ . Let x, y be two different vertices of  $G \odot H$  such that  $x, y \notin S$ . We have the following cases.

Case 1:  $x = a_i$  and  $y = b_i$ . Since  $N_{X_{a,b}}(a) \neq N_{X_{a,b}}(b)$  we have  $r(x|S) \neq r(y|S)$ . Case 2:  $x = v_i \in V$  and  $y \in V_i$ . For every  $v \in V_j - \{a_j, b_j\}$ ,  $j \neq i$ , we have d(y, v) = d(y, x) + d(x, v) > d(x, v). If  $x \in V_i$  and  $y \in V_j$ ,  $j \neq i$ , then for every  $v \in V_i - \{a_i, b_i\}$  we have  $d(x, v) \leq 2 < 3 \leq d(y, v)$ .

Case 3:  $x, y \in V$ . Say  $x = v_i$ . Then for every  $v \in V_i - \{a_i, b_i\}$  we have d(x, v) = 1 < d(y, v).

Hence, for every two different vertices x, y of  $G \odot H$ , we obtain  $r(x|S) \neq r(y|S)$ . Thus, dim $(G \odot H) \leq n_1(n_2-2)$ . Therefore, the result follows.  $\Box$ 

As we have shown in Corollary 5, the above bound is tight.

**Theorem 12.** Let G be a connected graph of order  $n_1 \ge 2$  and let H be a graph of order  $n_2 \ge 2$ . Then

$$\dim(G \odot^{\kappa} H) \leq n_1(n_2+1)^{\kappa-1} \dim(K_1 \odot H).$$

**Proof.** We denote by  $K_1 \odot H_i$  the subgraph of  $G \odot H$ , obtained by joining the vertex  $v_i \in V$  with all vertices of  $H_i$ . For every  $v_i \in V$ , let  $B_i$  be a resolving set of minimum cardinality of  $K_1 \odot H_i$  and let  $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_1} B_i$ . By Lemma 1(iii) we have that  $v_i$  does not belong to any resolving set of minimum cardinality for  $K_1 \odot H_i$ . So, B does not contain any vertex from G. We will show that B is a resolving set for  $G \odot H$ . Let x, y be two different vertices in  $G \odot H$ . We consider the following cases.

Case 1:  $x, y \in V_i$ . There exists  $u \in B_i$  such that  $d_{K_1 \odot H_i}(x, u) \neq d_{K_1 \odot H_i}(y, u)$ , which leads to  $d_{G \odot H}(x, u) \neq d_{G \odot H}(y, u)$ .

Case 2:  $x \in V_i$  and  $y \in V_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ . Let  $v \in B_i$ . We have  $d_{G \odot H}(x, v) \le 2 < 3 \le d_{G \odot H}(y, v)$ .

Case 3:  $x, y \in V$ . Suppose now that x is adjacent to the vertices of  $H_i$ . Hence, for every vertex  $v \in B_i$  we have  $d_{G \odot H}(x, v) = 1 < d_{G \odot H}(y, x) + 1 = d_{G \odot H}(y, v)$ .

Case 4:  $x \in V_i$  and  $y \in V$ . If  $x \sim y$ , then for every vertex  $v \in B_j$ , with  $j \neq i$ , we have  $d_{G \odot H}(x, v) = 1 + d_{G \odot H}(y, v) > d_{G \odot H}(y, v)$ . Now, let us assume that  $x \not\sim y$ . Hence, there exists  $v \in B_j$  adjacent to y, with  $j \neq i$ . So, we have  $d_{G \odot H}(x, v) = d_{G \odot H}(x, y) + 1 = d_{G \odot H}(x, y) + d_{G \odot H}(y, v)$ .

Thus, for every two different vertices x, y of  $G \odot H$ , we have  $r(x|S) \neq r(y|S)$  and, as a consequence, dim $(G \odot H) \leq n_1 \dim(K_1 \odot H)$ . Therefore, the result follows.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 13.** Let G be a connected graph of order  $n_1 \ge 2$  and let H be a graph of order  $n_2 \ge 7$ . If  $D(H) \ge 6$  or H is a cycle graph, then

$$\dim(G \odot^k H) = n_1(n_2 + 1)^{k-1} \dim(K_1 \odot H).$$

**Proof.** Let *S* be a resolving set of minimum cardinality in  $G \odot H$ . By Lemma 1(iii) we have  $S \cap V = \emptyset$ , as a consequence,  $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_1} S_i$ , where  $S_i \subset V_i$ . Notice that, by Lemma 1(ii),  $S_i \neq \emptyset$  for every  $i \in \{1, ..., n_1\}$ . Now we differentiate two cases in order to show that  $r(x|S_i) \neq (1, ..., 1)$  for every  $x \in V_i - S_i$ .

Case 1: *H* is a cycle graph of order  $n_2 \ge 7$ . If  $r(a|S_i) = (1, 1)$  for some  $a \in V_i - S_i$ , then, since  $n_2 \ge 7$ , there exist two vertices  $x, y \in V_i - S_i$  such that  $d_{H_i}(x, v) > 1$  and  $d_{H_i}(y, v) > 1$ , for every  $v \in S_i$ . Hence,  $d_{G \odot H}(x, v) = d_{G \odot H}(y, v) = 2$  for every  $v \in S_i$ , which is a contradiction because, by Lemma 1(i),  $d_{G \odot H}(x, v) = d_{G \odot H}(y, v)$  for every vertex u of S not belonging to  $S_i$ .

Case 2:  $D(H) \ge 6$ . Let  $x, y \in V_i - S_i$ . Since S is a resolving set for  $G \odot H$ , we have  $r(x|S) \ne r(y|S)$ . As we have noted before, by Lemma 1(i) we have that  $d_{G \odot H}(x, u) = d_{G \odot H}(y, u)$  for every vertex u of  $G \odot H$  not belonging to  $V_i$ . So, there exists  $v \in S_i$  such that  $d_{G \odot H}(x, v) \ne d_{G \odot H}(y, v)$  and, as a consequence, either  $(v \sim x \text{ and } v \not \gamma y)$  or  $(v \not \sim x \text{ and } v \sim y)$ . Now we suppose that there exists a vertex  $a \in V_i - S_i$  such that  $r(a|S_i) = (1, 1, ..., 1)$ . If there exists a vertex  $b \in V_i - S_i$  such that  $d_{H_i}(b, u) > 1$ , for every  $u \in S_i$ , then for every  $w \in V_i - (S_i \cup \{a, b\})$ , there exists  $v \in S_i$  such that  $w \sim v$ . Then  $D(H_i) \le 5$ . Moreover, if for every  $b \in V_i - S_i$  there exists  $v_b \in S_i$  such that  $v_b \sim b$ , then  $D(H) \le 4$ . Therefore, if  $D(H) \ge 6$ , then  $r(a|S_i) \ne (1, 1, ..., 1)$  for every  $a \in V_i - S_i$ .

**Corollary 14.** *Let G be a connected graph of order*  $n_1 \ge 2$ *.* 

(i) If 
$$n_2 \ge 7$$
, then  $\dim(G \odot^k C_{n_2}) = n_1(n_2 + 1)^{k-1} \left\lfloor \frac{2n_2 + 2}{5} \right\rfloor$ .  
(ii) If  $n_2 \ge 7$ , then  $\dim(G \odot^k P_{n_2}) = n_1(n_2 + 1)^{k-1} \left\lfloor \frac{2n_2 + 2}{5} \right\rfloor$ .

All our previous results concern to  $G \odot H$  for H of order at least two. Now we consider the case  $H \cong K_1$ . We obtain a general bound for dim $(G \odot^k K_1)$  and, when G is a tree, we give the exact value for this parameter.

**Claim 15.** Let *G* be a simple graph. If v is a vertex of degree greater than one in *G*, then for every vertex *u* adjacent to *v* there exists a vertex  $x \neq u$ , *v* of *G*, such that  $d(v, x) \neq d(u, x) + 1$ .

The following lemma obtained in [20] is useful to obtain the next result.

**Lemma 16** ([20]). If  $G_1$  is a graph obtained by adding a pendant edge to a nontrivial connected graph G, then dim $(G) \leq \dim(G_1) \leq \dim(G) + 1$ .

**Theorem 17.** For every connected graph *G* of order  $n \ge 2$ ,

$$\dim(G \odot^k K_1) \le 2^{k-1}n - 1$$

**Proof.** If  $G \cong K_2$ , then  $\dim(K_2 \odot K_1) = \dim(P_4) = 1$ . So, let us suppose  $G \ncong K_2$ . Let us suppose, without loss of generality, that  $v_n$  is a vertex of degree greater than one in G and let  $S = V - \{v_n\}$ . For every  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ , let  $u_i$  be the pendant vertex of  $v_i$  in  $G \odot K_1$ . We will show that S is a resolving set for  $G \odot K_1$ . Let x, y be two different vertices of  $G \odot K_1$ . If  $x = u_i$  and  $y = u_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ , then we have either  $i \neq n$  or  $j \neq n$ . Let us suppose for instance  $i \neq n$ . So, we obtain that  $d(x, v_i) = 1 \neq d(y, v_i)$ . On the other hand, if  $x = v_n$  and  $y = u_i$ , then let us suppose  $d(x, v_i) = 1$ . Since  $v_n$  is a vertex of degree greater than one in G, by Claim 15, there exists a vertex  $v_j \in S$  such that  $d(x, v_j) \neq d(v_i, v_j) + 1$ . So, we have  $d(x, v_j) \neq d(v_i, v_j) + 1 = d(v_i, v_j) + d(u_i, v_i) = d(y, v_i) + d(v_i, v_j) = d(y, v_j)$ . Therefore, for every different vertices x, y of  $G \odot K_1$  we have  $r(x|S) \neq r(y|S)$  and, as a consequence,  $\dim(G \odot K_1) \leq n - 1$ . Therefore,  $\dim(G \odot^k K_1) \leq 2^{k-1}n - 1$ .

By Lemma 16 we have  $\dim(K_n \odot K_1) \ge \dim(K_n) = n - 1$ . Thus, for k = 1 the above bound is achieved for the graph  $G = K_n$ .

To present the next result, we need additional definitions. A vertex of degree at least 3 in a graph *G* will be called a *major vertex* of *G*. Any vertex *u* of degree one is said to be a *terminal vertex* of a major vertex *v* if d(u, v) < d(u, w) for every other major vertex *w* of *G*. The *terminal degree* of a major vertex *v* is the number of terminal vertices of *v*. A major vertex *v* is an *exterior major vertex* if it has positive terminal degree. Given a graph *G*,  $n_1(G)$  denotes the number of vertices of degree one and ex(*G*) denotes the number of exterior major vertices of *G*.

**Lemma 18** ([6,1,2]). If *T* is a tree that is not a path, then  $\dim(T) = n_1(T) - \exp(T)$ .

**Theorem 19.** For any tree *T* of order  $n \ge 3$ ,

$$\dim(T \odot^k K_1) = \begin{cases} n_1(T) & \text{for } k = 1\\ 2^{k-2}n & \text{for } k \ge 2 \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** If *T* is a path of order  $n \ge 3$ , then we have dim $(T \odot K_1) = 2 = n_1(T)$ . Now, if *T* is not a path, then by using Lemma 18, since  $T \odot K_1$  is a tree,  $n_1(T \odot K_1) = n$  and  $ex(T \odot K_1) = n - n_1(T)$ , we obtain the result for k = 1. Since for every tree *T* of order *n* we have  $n_1(T \odot K_1) = n$ , we obtain the result for  $k \ge 2$ .  $\Box$ 

### Acknowledgements

The research was partially done while the first author was at Gdańsk University of Technology, Poland, supported by "Fundació Ferran Sunyer i Balaguer", Catalunya, Spain. This work was partly supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Education through the project MTM2011-25189.

### References

[2] P.J. Slater, Leaves of trees, proceeding of the 6th southeastern conference on combinatorics, graph theory, and computing, Congressus Numerantium 14 (1975) 549–559.

<sup>[1]</sup> F. Harary, R.A. Melter, On the metric dimension of a graph, Ars Combinatoria 2 (1976) 191–195.

- [3] J. Caceres, C. Hernando, M. Mora, I.M. Pelayo, M.L. Puertas, C. Seara, D.R. Wood, On the metric dimension of Cartesian product of graphs, SIAM Journal of Discrete Mathematics 21 (2) (2007) 273–302.
- [4] J. Caceres, C. Hernando, M. Mora, I.M. Pelayo, M.L. Puertas, C. Seara, On the metric dimension of some families of graphs, Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics 22 (2005) 129–133.
- [5] G. Chappell, J. Gimbel, C. Hartman, Bounds on the metric and partition dimensions of a graph, Ars Combinatoria 88 (2008) 349-366.
- [6] G. Chartrand, L. Eroh, M.A. Johnson, O.R. Oellermann, Resolvability in graphs and the metric dimension of a graph, Discrete Applied Mathematics 105 (2000) 99-113.
- [7] G. Chartrand, C. Poisson, P. Zhang, Resolvability and the upper dimension of graphs, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 39 (2000) 19–28.
- [8] S. Khuller, B. Raghavachari, A. Rosenfeld, Landmarks in graphs, Discrete Applied Mathematics 70 (1996) 217–229.
- [9] V. Saenpholphat, P. Zhang, Conditional resolvability in graphs: a survey, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences 38 (2004) 1997-2017.
- [10] I. Tomescu, Discrepancies between metric and partition dimension of a connected graph, Discrete Mathematics 308 (2008) 5026-5031.
- [11] M.A. Johnson, Structure-activity maps for visualizing the graph variables arising in drug design, Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics 3 (1993) 203–236.
- [12] M.A. Johnson, Browsable structure-activity datasets, in: R. Carbó-Dorca, P. Mezey (Eds.), Advances in Molecular Similarity, JAI Press, Connecticut, 1998, pp. 153–170.
- [13] R.A. Melter, I. Tomescu, Metric bases in digital geometry, Computer Vision, Graphics, and Image Processing 25 (1984) 113-121.
- [14] B.L. Hulme, A.W. Shiver, P.J. Slater, A Boolean algebraic analysis of fire protection, Algebraic and Combinatorial Methods in Operations Research 95 (1984) 215–227.
- [15] T.W. Haynes, M. Henning, J. Howard, Locating and total dominating sets in trees, Discrete Applied Mathematics 154 (2006) 1293-1300.
- [16] R.C. Brigham, G. Chartrand, R.D. Dutton, P. Zhang, Resolving domination in graphs, Mathematica Bohemica 128 (1) (2003) 25–36.
- [17] G. Chartrand, E. Salehi, P. Zhang, The partition dimension of a graph, Aequationes Mathematicae 59 (1-2) (2000) 45-54.
- [18] M. Fehr, S. Gosselin, O.R. Oellermann, The partition dimension of Cayley digraphs, Aequationes Mathematicae 71 (2006) 1–18.
- [19] I.G. Yero, J.A. Rodríguez-Velázquez, A note on the partition dimension of Cartesian product graphs, Applied Mathematics and Computation 217 (2010) 3571-3574.
- [20] P.S. Buczkowski, G. Chartrand, C. Poisson, P. Zhang, On k-dimensional graphs and their bases, Periodica Mathematica Hungarica 46 (1) (2003) 9–15.
  [21] H. Iswadi, F.T. Baskoro, R. Simaniuntak, A.N.M. Salman, The metric dimension of graph with pendant edges, Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics
- [21] H. Iswadi, E.T. Baskoro, R. Simanjuntak, A.N.M. Salman, The metric dimension of graph with pendant edges, Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing 65 (2008) 139–145.