Targeting Shelterin Proteins For Cancer Therapy

Wioletta Brankiewicz^{1,2*}, Anoop Kallingal¹, Radoslaw Krzemieniecki¹ and Maciej Baginski^{1*}

¹Department of Pharmaceutical Technology and Biochemistry, Gdansk University of Technology, G. Narutowicza St 11/12, 80-233 Gdansk, Poland.

²Department of Medical Genetics, Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Kirkeveien 166, 0450 Oslo, Norway

^{*} corresponding authors:

MB - chemmbag@pg.edu.pl; WB - wioletta.brankiewicz@medisin.uio.no

Abstract

Cancer, a global health challenge, prompts continuous exploration for innovative therapies also based on new targets. One promising avenue is targeting the shelterin protein complex, a safeguard for telomeres crucial in preventing DNA damage. Shelterin's role in modulating ATM and ATR kinases, key players in DNA damage response, establishes its significance. Disrupting shelterin's defence mechanisms especially in cancer cells makes telomeres vulnerable, potentially leading to genomic instability and hindering cancer cell survival. This review outlines recent approaches exploring shelterins as potential anticancer targets, highlighting the prospect of developing selective molecules to exploit telomere vulnerabilities toward new innovative cancer treatment.

Keywords: telomere, shelterin proteins, structure-based drug design, shelterin complex, shelterin protein's inhibitors,

Highlights/Teaser

- Shelterins are underexplored anticancer target
- Molecular structures of the shelterin's protein complex are continuously delivered

- *In silico* technology can open new perspective in exploration shelterins as an anticancer target

Introduction

Cancer is a complex disease that arises from the uncontrolled growth and division of abnormal cells [1, 2]. Despite significant advancements in cancer diagnosis and treatment, it remains a major global health challenge. Therefore, there is a continuous effort to search for new therapies and new targets which can be utilised to fight with cancer. One new promising avenue for anticancer therapy is targeting the shelterin proteins complex, recently proposed as a new target [3–5].

The shelterin complex constitutes the so-called capping end of the chromosome telomeres, which is essential for their protection, preventing telomeres from fusion with other chromosome ends, reducing telomere fragility, and protecting them from degradation [6, 7]. The shelterin complex also plays a crucial role in preventing DNA damage at the telomeres. It allows DNA to form a lasso-like structure with a telomeric loop and a displacement loop that shields the 3'-end from DNA damage [8]. This shielding blocks the activation of DNA repair mechanisms such as ataxia-telangiectasia Rad3-related-mediated DNA damage kinase signalling and ataxia-telangiectasia mutation kinase cascades. ATM (ataxia-telangiectasia mutated) and ATR (Ataxia-Telangiectasia and Rad3-related) kinases function as sensors of DNA damage [9]. Upon sensing DNA damage, ATM and ATR kinases are recruited to the site of damage, where they phosphorylate downstream targets involved in DNA repair and cell cycle arrest. ATM kinase primarily responds to DNA double strand breaks, while ATR kinase primarily responds to single-stranded DNA and stalled replication forks [10].

Additionally, the shelterin complex prevents unwanted repair reactions [11]. There are two main DNA damage repair pathways: non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) and homologydirected repair (HDR) [12]. Non-homologous end joining is a pathway that repairs DNA double strand breaks by directly ligating the broken ends without the requirement for a homologous template. Homology-directed repair, on the other hand, utilizes a homologous template, typically a sister chromatid or a homologous chromosome, to repair DNA double strand breaks with high fidelity. The activation of ATM and ATR kinases in response to DNA damage leads to the recruitment and activation of various downstream proteins involved in these repair pathways. The shelterin complex, through its interactions with ATM and ATR kinases, regulates the activation of these DNA damage repair pathways [13]. The shelterin complex acts as a guardian for telomeres, preventing their recognition as DNA double strand breaks and activation of unnecessary DNA damage repair pathways such as non-homologous end joining and homology-directed repair pathways [7]. The vulnerability of defence mechanisms in telomeres has significant implications for targeting them as a potential anticancer strategy. With the loss of proper shelterin function, telomeres become susceptible to DNA damage and repair proteins. This compromise in protection can lead to genomic instability, increasing the risk of cancer development or its impairment, the latter one would be beneficial for cancer cell damage. Furthermore, targeting the integrity of telomeres and shelterin complex can disrupt telomere length maintenance, which is critical for cancer cell survival and proliferation [14]. By developing selective molecules that specifically target and disrupt shelterin proteins complex, it may be possible to exploit the compromised defence mechanisms in cancer cells telomeres and inhibit cancer growth. This approach could provide a novel therapeutic strategy for cancer treatment by exploiting the vulnerabilities of telomeres and their defence mechanisms. Our review covers different recent approaches which study shelterins as potential anticancer targets.

Shelterin Complex Architecture

One of the shelterin components are two homologous, homodimeric proteins which are called TRF1 (telomere-repeat binding factor 1) and TRF2 (telomere-repeat binding factor 2) [6]. Those proteins serve as a base or even platform for larger complexes formed by TIN2, TPP1, POT1 and RAP1 (as shown Figure 1).



Figure 1. Graphical representation of the shelterin complex in the centre. A - Represents protein structure of TRF1 and TIN2. Light green is TRF1 dimer in solid ribbon representation, dark blue TIN2 in tubular representation. (PDB:3BQO); B - Shows a TRF2 and TIN2 complex structure. Dark green is TRF2 dimer in solid ribbon representation, magenta is TIN2 in tubular representation.(PDB:3BU8); C - Displays the TPP1 and POT1 interaction. Yellow is POT1 structure, red is TPP1 structure, both in solid ribbon are represented.(PDB:5H65),D - Represents an interface between TRF2 and RAP1. Green is RAP1 structure, orange is TRF2 structure both in solid ribbon are represented. (PDB:3K6G).

This is due to the fact that these proteins are the first line proteins binding DNA. TRF2 controls the topology of telomeric DNA to aid t-loop formation. To support the aforementioned function, RAP1 binds the TRF2. While TRF1 counteracts telomerase activity, the POT1-TPP1-TIN2 complex is essential for telomerase recruitment and activation. Hence, each component of the TRF1/2 sheltering protein plays its own role in telomerase maintenance. Their composition is generally compromised in to three main sections which are N-terminal domain - acidic in TRF1 (due to large number of glutamic acid [15]), basic in TRF2 (because of the fact that contains higher amounts of arginine [16]), central domain called TRF-homology (TRFH), responsible for the dimerization between the TRF1 or TRF2 monomers respectively, and C-terminal domain Myb, whose function is telomere recognition and binding

[17]. The role of amino acid sequence between central and Myb domain serves as a hinge in TRF1. Dimeric structures of both paralog forms in a reverse horseshoe shape and performed superposition shows little difference of their spatial conformation of TRFH [18]. Although high similarity of TRF1/2 telomere binding proteins, the heterodimerization amidst the homologs is not possible, due to the divergence in the dimer interface and large difference of buried surface area (TRF2 is by 247Å² wider than TRF1) [19]. Therefore, some important amino acids which are crucial for dimerization, exhibit distinct conformation and do not fit into the heterodimer.

Responsible for the DNA binding is a three-helical motif in a pattern of helix-turn-helix in human TRF1 and TRF2, located in C-terminal site, which is capable of recognition characteristic DNA telomeric sequence "TTAGGG" in 3' chain. Both protein structures show similarity resulted in 70% [20]. Despite the fact of high similarity, the TRF1 binding domain is attaching stronger to DNA than its protein homology counterpart TRF2 [11]. For the identification of the proper DNA sequence by TRF1 in Myb domain the amino acids which possess positively charged side chain as Arg in position 425 and Lys421; negatively charged Asp422 and polar molecules Ser417, Met419 mostly are involved. These regions are responsible for recognition the major groove of telomeric TTAGGGTTAGGG framework. Furthermore, Arg 380 is responsible for recognition of minor groove site [17, 21]. TRF2 Myblike domain is composed in the same manner as TRF1 DNA binding domain, the architecture of both are nearly the same, although certain changes in primary amino acid structure affect spatial organization of the binding domain of TRF2. In cognate TRF1, C-terminal Myb domain secondary structure consists of three alpha helices, which first (residues 19-31) is a β -turn IV stabilized by a two sets of salt bridges between a pair N-terminal Asn36 - C-terminal Gly35 and N-site Trp37 – C-site Gly35. Second α -helix differs from its TRF1 homologue, due to the presence of proline in position at residue 45, which induces an extra turn resulting in different spatial organization, but the third helix of TRF2 Myb domain preserves high similarity as its TRF1 counterpart. These three are connected with two turns, which are stabilized by noncovalent interaction, but also influence of specific amino acid as for example proline (resulting in specific y turn) [22].

TRF - A versatile telomeric protein binder

TRF1 and TRF2 recruit several proteins, together they bind TIN2 (TERF-interacting nuclear factor 2), while for the TRF1 the responsible site for the binding is TRFH domain with $TIN2_{TBM}$ site (TRFH binding motif - TMB), in the paralog protein the interaction is taking place in TRF2_{TBM} (TIN2 binding motif) [23]. TRF1, also binds with proteins outside the shelterin complex through the dimerization domain (Figure 2), those proteins must satisfy specific

amino acid sequence compromised with phenylalanine, leucine and proline separated by any other amino acid (FxLxP recognition motif x-represents any amino acid except Cys). This is fulfilled by PINX 1 and TERB1. The same role plays dimerization domain in TRF2, where concurrence of YxLxP motif of recognition is observed in proteins such as Apollo, NBS1 or SLX4 and interaction in TRF2 binding pocket was confirmed [24, 25]. Apollo is an Artemis-Related Nuclease, which interacts with TRF2 and protects human telomeres in S Phase [26].



Figure 2. Monomer of dimerization domain of TRF1. In fuchsia is highlighted α 2 chain, following green, which represents α 3 helix lastly the dark blue short alpha helix, is α 4. The distinguished by different colour chains are crucial for interaction with the TIN2 polypeptide.

Important sites in TRFH for binding TIN2

Most of the tertiary structure organization of TRF1 is composed by alpha helices, in total count of twenty, ten per each monomer. Through those helixes, the TIN2_{TBM} interacts with $\alpha 2$, $\alpha 3$ and $\alpha 4$ also with unstructured loop34, the interaction is stabilized mostly by hydrogen bond interactions and important stacking-pi interaction, between Phe142 of TRF1 and Pro262, which is important in protein recognition of binding, it compromises with binding affinity of 3.14 mM [15, 27]. TRF2 contains two sites in order to make a stable connection with TIN2, one of them is the TRFH domain, which also has ten helixes and another site is short protein sequence of TRF2_{TBM} in 352-365 position of amino acids. Surprisingly, the key role for interaction between TRF2 and TIN2 plays the short amino acid of TBM region, rather than the TRFH domain of this protein. The reason lies in exhibited different roles between those two paralogs, each of those two proteins interacts with different associated functional polypeptides, therefore key amino acids are changed, displaying different electrostatic

potential distribution and changed shape of the binding pocket for their counter partners [22–24].

TRF2-Rap1 – recruitment of Rap1 protein.

Another shelterin component which associates with TRF platforms is Rap1 (Repressor/Activator 1). This polypeptide also exhibits six α -helices motif, which interacts with TRF2, where the major role plays weak forces - Van der Waals interaction together with hydrophobic interactions. Rap 1 RCT domain (Rap C-Terminal) with α 1 and α 2 interacts with α 1 and α 2 TRF2_{RBM} (RAP1 Binding-Motif), where one of the most important roles plays Leu in position 288, where makes an important hydrophobic interaction, fitting in the pocket of α 1 of Rap1 [28]. Research of potential polypeptide inhibitors, measuring binding affinity for each amino acid substitution, showed that Leu 295 is crucial. The TRF2_{RBM} structure consists of three alpha helices and four unorganized polypeptides [29].

POT1-DNA interaction

While the TRF1 and the TRF2 are specialized structures for binding double-stranded DNA, the POT1 (Protection of Telomere 1) is responsible for recognition and attachment of single-stranded DNA so called 3'-overhang [30]. Although only POT1 can form a complex with DNA, the studies provided by Wang F, et al. showed that TPP1 (Telomere Protection Protein 1) provides higher stability of the protein POT1-DNA structure [31].

TPP1-POT1 structure and interaction

The TPP1 domain consists of 66 amino acids, which are responsible for binding with the POT1 structure. The dominating interactions are hydrophobic interactions, where, for example, the Leu271 of TPP1 helix $\underline{\alpha}$ 1 is buried in a hydrophobic pocket of POT1 surface. The TPP1 helix $\underline{\alpha}$ 2, makes interaction between the OB-fold and HJR domain, and the parallel case as Leu271, the Trp293 is buried in a hydrophobic pocket of the OB fold. The last two helices of TPP1 binding domain are the $\underline{\alpha}$ 3 and $\underline{\alpha}$ 4, which are responsible for additional interactions with the POT1 OB fold, it is worth to mention that Tyr306 of TPP1 stacks with the Pro371 of POT1 and the Ile315 serves as a linker between the $\underline{\alpha}3 \cdot \underline{\alpha}4$ chains and the POT1. Lastly, the last chain of $\underline{\alpha}4$ shows limited interactions with the POT1C, where an example of interaction may be between side chain of Leu325 of TPP1 and Pro357 with Lys608 of POT1 [32].

The Role of the Shelterin Complex in Non-cancer and Cancer Cells

Non-Cancer Cells

The role of the shelterin complex in non-cancer cells is multifaceted and essential for maintaining genomic stability. Beyond its direct involvement in protecting telomeres from DNA damage response pathways, the intricate interplay of its constituent proteins underscores the complexity of telomere regulation. Specifically, the synergistic action of TRF1 and TRF2 in binding to double-stranded telomeric DNA repeats and the interaction of POT1 with single-stranded telomeric DNA highlights the meticulous mechanisms by which the shelterin complex safeguards telomeres [33]. Moreover, the control of telomere replication through the regulation of telomerase access showcases the intricate molecular orchestration required for the maintenance of telomeric integrity [34, 35]. The prevention of chromosome end fusion by the shelterin complex is a pivotal aspect of its function, as it safeguards against genomic instability and the potential dysregulation of cellular processes [36]. This regulatory framework underscores the critical role of the shelterin complex in ensuring the stability and functionality of non-cancer cells. Furthermore, the shelterin complex has been implicated in playing a role in cellular senescence and aging. Recent research in the field has further elucidated the role of the shelterin complex in non-cancer cells, shedding light on its involvement in cellular senescence and aging. Studies have demonstrated that the shelterin complex not only protects telomeres from DNA damage but also participates in orchestrating the cellular response to replicative stress, ultimately impacting cellular senescence [37]. This expanded understanding underscores the multifaceted nature of the shelterin complex and its far-reaching implications in cellular physiology. Moreover, the intricate interplay of the shelterin complex's constituent proteins extends beyond telomere protection to encompass broader aspects of genomic stability [38]. Research has highlighted the potential implications of the shelterin complex in maintaining not only telomeric integrity but also overall genome stability, suggesting a more holistic role in preserving cellular homeostasis [39]. Furthermore, the intricate regulatory mechanisms employed by the shelterin complex underscore the complexity of telomere regulation and its impact on cellular function. The detailed interplay between TRF1, TRF2, POT1, and other associated proteins exemplifies the meticulous orchestration required for safeguarding telomeres and maintaining genomic stability. Overall, the evolving understanding of the Shelterin complex in non-cancer cells underscores its essential role in cellular physiology, genomic stability, and aging, painting a more comprehensive picture of its significance in cellular function and maintenance.

Cancer cells

The shelterin complex, a crucial regulator of telomere function, has emerged as a focal point in cancer research, with multiple studies revealing its intricate involvement in various types of cancer. In breast cancer, the downregulation of TRF1 has been consistently reported, linked to the overexpression of miR-155, an oncomiR targeting TRF1's 3'UTR [40]. This downregulation correlates with longer telomeres in cancer cells, facilitating prolonged proliferation. Additionally, TIN2 upregulation in breast cancer cell lines suggests its role in promoting cell proliferation and migration [41]. Conversely, TRF2 is upregulated in breast cancer, safeguarding critically short telomeres from DNA damage recognition, thereby preventing apoptosis [42]. RAP1 and NF-kB levels are highly correlated, contributing to higher breast cancer grades [43]. In lung cancer, TRF1 and TRF2 expression increases with disease progression, providing tolerance to short telomeres and preventing apoptosis [44]. Colorectal cancer patients display disrupted telomeric homeostasis, with telomeric length inversely correlated with DDR pathway activation [45]. Prostate cancer exhibits upregulation of TRF1 and TIN2, while gastric cancer showcases diverse alterations in shelterin components, influencing telomerase activity, telomere length, and cell immortalization [46]. Hepatocellular carcinoma demonstrates progressive upregulation of TRF1, TRF2, TIN2, and POT1, contributing to telomere shortening and increased chromosomal instability [47]. Glioblastoma displays varying expression patterns of TRF1, TRF2, and POT1, influencing telomere shortening, chromosomal instability, and prognosis [48]. Leukaemias, including acute lymphocytic leukaemia and adult T-cell leukaemia, exhibit upregulated shelterin components, leading to telomere shortening and increased genetic instability [49]. Chronic lymphocytic leukaemia displays complex alterations, with upregulation of TRF1, RAP1, and TPP1 [50], while chronic myeloid leukaemia exhibits initial upregulation followed by downregulation of TRF1 [51] and TRF2 [49]. Non-small cell lung cancer experiences upregulation of TRF1 and TRF2, contributing to telomere dysfunction and altered checkpoint controls [52]. Pancreatic cancer [53], renal cell carcinoma [54], head and neck squamous cell carcinoma [55], classical Hodgkin lymphoma [56], skin cancer [57], familial papillary thyroid cancer [58], splenic marginal zone lymphomas [59], and melanoma [60] all demonstrate unique alterations in shelterin components, influencing various aspects of tumorigenesis. In summary, mutations in shelterin complex genes are evident across diverse cancers, emphasizing their potential role in tumorigenesis. While these mutations are relatively rare, the intricate involvement of the shelterin complex in telomere maintenance and genomic stability underscores its significance in cancer development. Further research is crucial to unravel the precise mechanisms and therapeutic potential of targeting the shelterin complex in specific cancer types.

Shelterin Proteins as an Emerging Anti-Cancer Target

Since different levels and mutations of telomeric proteins are observed in cancer cells and their role for maintaining chromosome stability in the latter cells seems to be more important than in normal cells due to usually shorter telomeres in malignant cells, targeting shelterins looks promising [3, 4, 61]. Different approaches have been already applied to target shelterin complex or its components alone by small molecules or peptide-like structures and different biological effect have been recorded (Table 1). In general strategies to target shelterin complex may cover blocking access of TRF1 or TRF2 as well as POT1 to the DNA. Another approach may be responsible for regulation of expression levels of key protein components of shelterin complex. The third type of action is inhibition of binary interactions between shelterin components. The first approach is more general and is mostly focus on stabilisation of non-canonical 2D DNA structures (usually G-quadruplex structures) which can block access of different proteins to DNA [62, 63]. However, this interaction of different ligands with telomeric DNA is not very selective because telomeric G-rich sequences has been discovered also in many promoter regions of genes [64]. Thus this approach does not guarantee selective blocking access of shelterin proteins to DNA. Taking into account this fact two other approaches look more promising. However, modulation of expression of shelterin proteins or its degradation is also challenging since it may target also normal cells function. The experiments with silencing particular genes or degradation of shelterins also showed that lack of certain proteins is lethal for cells [65, 66]. Concerning this fact, one may say that the most promising and still not very extensively explored approach should be inhibition or modulation of the interaction between components of shelterin complex. This approach is also very challenging because it leads to inhibition of protein-protein interactions. Such inhibition is not easy since usually requires spatial molecules, peptides or peptidomimetics. Two strategies can be applied to find or design such protein-protein inhibitors. One is High-Throughput Screening (HTS) which can be used for identifying chemical compounds that can modulate shelterin function. HTS enables the rapid testing of a large number of chemical substances for activity in diverse areas of biology [67]. Currently, HTS has become the most common approach for identifying starting points for drug development, with a growing number of publicly accessible HTS databases allowing researchers access to a large volume of HTS results [68]. The second approach is in silico technology to find or to design new molecules modulating protein-protein interactions in shelterin complex. In silico methods involve different computational methods to predict potential inhibitors or modulators of proteins. This concept of drug discovery is based on the computational procedure named structure-based drug design. In order to use this approach molecular structures of different shelterin proteins and their binary complexes should be

known and subsequently use for drug search. Therefore, a lot of efforts have been dedicated to define protein structures of shelterins and many of these structures have been already resolved (Figure 1 and Figure 2) [69, 70].

Nevertheless, many structures have been resolved the most explored structures toward drug finding is complex TRF1/TRF2-TIN2 [71-73] and TRF2-Apollo [74, 75] as well as TRF2-Rap1 [29]. Concerning structure-based drug design approach two strategies can be applied, one is Virtual High-Throughput Screening (VHTS) or the second de novo drug design. Since shelterin components are proteins, therefore to inhibit their mutual interactions the studies have been conducted mostly on modified peptide molecules as potential modulators of the protein functions. Particularly the TRF1 and TRF2 proteins demonstrate the application of in silico designed "peptidomimetic" molecules as potential modulators of their interaction with other components of shelterins. Modified peptides or peptidomimetics have been mostly designed using de novo design approach. Peptides either with single modified residue or extensively modified structures were design to mimic TIN2 or Apollo protein interacting with TRFs. There were also efforts to design stapled peptides targeting Rap1-TRF2 proteinprotein interaction in shelterin complex. Concerning efforts to design or find small molecules to block protein-protein interaction within shelterins also TRF1 or TRF2 proteins were used. In this case HTS technology was applied and different molecules have been selected [72, 72, 73]. Thus targeting shelterin components using modified peptides or small molecules has emerged as a promising approach for cancer therapy.

Altogether, *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments have been instrumental in elucidating the role of the shelterin complex in cancer and in evaluating the potential of drugs targeting this complex. Moreover, some *in silico* approaches have started recently and also delivered new molecules. The summery of different approaches and discovered or designed molecules is presented in Table 1.

Table	1.	The	list	of	shelterin	complex	inhibitors	together	with	the	short	description	of	their
nechanism of action and the way of their discovery:														

Compound	Mechanism	Ref.
6-ThiodG (6-thio-2'-	A nucleoside analogue that, once incorporated into	[76]
deoxyguanosine)	thereby inhibiting the binding of TRF2.	
Identified by in vitro		
screening		
A822 and B327	Small molecules blocking (in vitro confirmed)	[77]
	interactions between TIN2 and TRF1/TRF2,	
Identified by in silico HTS	respectively and exhibiting anticancer activity.	
Alexidine-2HCI	Alexidine 2HCl, was found to impede tumour growth,	[78]
	neo-angiogenesis, and immunosuppression by	
Identified by in vitro	downregulating TRF2 expression	

ľ

screening		
Alicortib (ETP_51624)	Treatment with ETD 51624 leads to telemore	[70]
Identified by HTS screening in cells.	shortening and reduced TRF1 expression in lung cancer cells, indicating that the drug may have a significant impact on telomere maintenance and TRF1 function.	[/9]
	ETP-51634-induced DNA damage activates the ATM kinase pathway, which subsequently phosphorylates and destabilizes TRF1, ultimately leading to telomere dysfunction.	
APOD(ApolipoproteinD)Identified by in vitro and in silcosilcoscreeningand	APOD interacts with the TRF2 protein and promotes the formation of the T-loop structure at telomeres, which is essential for telomere protection and stability.	[71]
validation	APOD regulate telomere length and contribute to cellular senescence through its interaction with TRF2.	
APOD53 cyclic peptide	APOD53 forms a covalent adduct with a reactive	[80]
Identified by <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in silco</i> screening and validation	cysteine residue present in the $TRF2_{TRFH}$ domain and induces phenotypes consistent with $TRF2_{TRFH}$ domain mutants. These include induction of a telomeric DNA damage response, increased telomeric replication stress, and impaired recruitment of RTEL1 and SLX4 to telomeres.	
AR-A014418	AR-A014418 impaired tumour growth, neo-	[78]
Identified by <i>in vitro</i> screening and validation	angiogenesis and immunosuppression by downregulating TRF2.	L - J
Arsenic trioxide (As ₂ O ₃)	Treatment with As ₂ O ₃ led to a decrease in TRF2	[81]
Identified by <i>in vitro</i>	protein levels and telomere dysfunction.	
Berberine (Sysu-00692)	Berberine demonstrates the ability to interfere with	[82]
Identified by <i>in vitro</i> screening and validation	the binding between human POT1 and telomeric DNA. The compound exhibits mild inhibitory effects on telomerase activity and cell proliferation.	
Congo red (CR)	CR can disrupt the interaction between POT1 in	[83]
Identified by <i>in vitro</i> and also proved by <i>in silco</i>	vitro.	
Curcusone C Identified by HTS in cells	Curcusone C binds to the TRF2 protein and blocks its localization in DNA, which induces DNA damage response (DDR) and ultimately cell death in cancer cells.	[84]
Dasatinib (ETP-51801)	The treatment with ETP-51801 led to telomere	[85]
Identified by in vitro	shortening and reduced TRF1 expression in chronic myeloid leukaemia cells.	
	Dasatinib-induced DNA damage led to activation of	

Epigallocatechin-3- gallate (EGCG)EGCG inhibits the binding of TRF1 to telomeric DNA.[86]Identified by <i>in vitro</i> ETP-47228The chemical compound identified to reduce TRF1[72]
Identified by in vitroETP-47228The chemical compound identified to reduce TRF1[72]
ETP-47228 The chemical compound identified to reduce TRF1 [72]
and it is not mentioned specifically which way it will Identified by HTS in cells work
ETP-47037 The chemical compound identified to reduce TRF1 [72]
and it is not mentioned specifically which way it will
Identified by HTS in cells work.
ETP-50946The chemical compound identified to reduce TRF1 [72]
Identified by HTS in cells work.
FKB04 Discovery of a selective IRF2 inhibitor FKB04 [87]
Induced leiomere shortening and senescence in liver
Flavopiridol (ETP- FTP-47306 interacts with components of the [79]
47306) shelterin complex, including TRF1 and TRF2 (though
the specific molecular mechanism is not yet known),
Identified by HTS in cells indicating its involvement in the regulation of
telomere maintenance and function.
Geldanamycin (ETP- ETP-50853 downregulates TRF1 expression and [79, 85]
50853) induce telomere dysfunction and apoptosis in cancer
Cells.
Gencitabing (FTP- Treatment with FTP-45337 led to telomere [79,85]
45337) shortening and reduced TRF1 expression in pancreatic cancer cells.
Identified by HTS
screening in cells ETP-45337-induced DNA damage led to activation of
the ATR kinase pathway, which in turn
phosphorylated and destabilized TRF1, leading to telemere dysfunction
GSK461364 (ETP-51799) FTP-51799 interacts with several components of the [79, 85]
telomere-associated shelterin complex, including
Identified by HTS TRF1 and TRF2 (though the precise molecular
screening in cells mechanism is not known), and has been implicated
in the regulation of telomere length and stability.
KU-0063/94 (ETP-50537) ETP-50537 interacts with several components of the [79, 85]
Identified by HTS TRE1 and TRE2 (though the precise molecular
screening in cells mechanism is not known) and has been implicated
in the regulation of telomere length and stability.
MiR-182-3p MiR-182-3p targets TRF2 and impairs tumour growth [88]
of triple-negative breast cancer.
Identified by in silico and
by <i>in vitro</i> screening and
Validation Validation
methyl-4 .
vinylpyridinium)carbazol DNA, leading to telomere dysfunction and eventual

e diiodide)	cell death.	
Identified by <i>in vitro</i> screening and validation		
PEP1	The peptide that mimics the core of the TIN2 binding	[73]
Identified by <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in silco</i> screening and validation	domain. The molecule interferes with the binding of TIN2 to TRF1 <i>in vitro</i> .	
RHPS4 (3,11-Difluoro- 6,8,13-trimethyl-8H- quino[4,3,2-kl]acridinium methosulfate)	A small molecule that binds to the G-quadruplex structure formed by the telomeric DNA and inhibits the binding of TRF2 to the telomeres.	[90–92]
Identified by <i>in vitro</i> screening and validation		
SCH772984 (ETP-50728) Identified by HTS screening in cells	A small molecule inhibitor of the extracellular signal- regulated kinase (ERK) pathway. The ERK pathway has been shown to interact with several components of the shelterin complex, including TRF1 and TRF2 (not known molecular mechanism), and has been implicated in the regulation of telomere maintenance and function.	[79, 85]
Selumetinib 51667)(ETP-IdentifiedbyHTSscreening in cells	A small molecule inhibitor of the mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase (MEK) pathway. The MEK pathway has been shown to interact with several components of the shelterin complex, including TRF1 and TRF2 (not known molecular mechanism), and has been implicated in the regulation of telomere maintenance and function.	[79, 85]
Sirtinol Identified by HTS screening in cells	A small molecule inhibitor of the sirtuin family of NAD+-dependent deacetylases, which regulates TRF2 activity.	[93]
ZINC00005600 (Acacetin) Identified by <i>in silico</i> screening and validation ZNC00020258 (3,3'- Methylenebis(2-hydroxy- 4H-chromen-4-one)	Interactions with the POT1 protein of these compounds possibly interrupt the natural state binding of POT1 with telomeric ssDNA, thus probably enhancing the telomere uncapping, elongation of the telomere, and chromosomal aberration which finally leads to cell death.	[94]
Identified by <i>in silico</i> screening and validation		

However it is worth to note, that these findings underscore the importance of *in vitro* experiments in elucidating the mechanisms underlying the role of the shelterin complex in cancer and in identifying potential therapeutic targets. The *in vivo* experiments also have provided valuable insights into the therapeutic potential of drugs targeting the shelterin

complex [61]. For example, the identification of shelterin complex-related signatures in oral squamous cell carcinoma has been proposed as a novel prognostic marker for cancer and a potential target for tumour therapy [95]. Additionally, the role of miR-185 in inducing telomere dysfunction and cellular senescence highlights the potential of microRNA-based interventions targeting shelterin components for cancer treatment [96]. The development of drugs targeting the shelterin complex in cancer has also been supported by the exploration of hybrid drugs that simultaneously target multiple points of signalling networks and various structures within cancer cells. The synergistic effects of complex drug combinations, such as dual inhibition of MAPK-ERK pathway components and combined inhibition of MEK and components of the PI3K signalling pathway, have shown promise in *in vitro* and clinical cancer research [97, 96]. To this end all approaches synergistically utilise knowledge from *in silico, in vitro* and *in vivo* studies and thus hopefully will bring new drug candidate molecules.

Conclusions and future directions

It is worth to note that after telomerase and telomeric DNA shelterin proteins became the centre of interests. The shelterin complex has garnered substantial attention in basic and clinical research, with a primary focus on its implications in cancer and aging-related diseases. Aberrations in shelterin complex genes have been meticulously documented across various cancer types, presenting a promising avenue for diagnostic and prognostic applications and for search of new anticancer targets. These genetic alterations not only serve as distinctive markers for identifying specific cancers but also offer insights into predicting the likely course of the disease, contributing to more informed and personalized patient care, especially if all these abnormalities will be understood at molecular level.

As our understanding of the shelterin complex deepens, the prospect of targeting its components emerges as a novel and promising therapeutic strategy for cancer treatment. The intricate interplay between shelterin proteins and telomeric DNA has been unravelled, revealing their multifaceted roles in the initiation and progression of various cancers. This newfound knowledge not only enhances our grasp of the underlying molecular mechanisms driving cancer but also points towards the development of targeted therapies that could disrupt these specific pathways. Such precision medicine approaches hold the potential for a more effective and tailored treatment strategy, minimizing adverse effects and optimizing outcomes. The clinical implications of shelterin complex aberrations extend beyond cancer to encompass also aging-related diseases. Research into the biological processes influenced by shelterin complex dysfunction has sparked a new wave of exploration into age-related pathologies. Unravelling the molecular intricacies of how the shelterin complex contributes to

aging opens up avenues for innovative approaches to managing and intervening in agerelated diseases. This knowledge could potentially lead to therapies addressing the root causes of age-related pathologies, presenting a paradigm shift in the way these conditions are approached and treated. Furthermore, shelterin complex components are increasingly recognized as promising targets for precision medicine. The ability to specifically target the shelterin complex opens avenues for personalized treatment strategies, revolutionizing cancer treatment and providing targeted solutions for aging-related conditions. This personalized approach holds the potential to improve treatment outcomes, minimize side effects, and enhance the overall quality of life for patients.

In conclusion, the development of shelterin protein inhibitors or modulators encounters several challenges. One major challenge in developing shelterin inhibitors for cancer therapy is to target cancer cells only and spare normal cells. Since telomeres and shelterin complexes are also present in normal cells, it is important to develop strategies to selectively target cancer cells while minimizing toxicity to normal cells or to elaborate inhibitors which action based on the same target will be more harmful for cancer cells than normal. This goal is very challenging but using detailed structures of all components of shelterin complex and applying advanced in silico methods as well as extensive *in vitro* tests it will be possible to introduce more selective therapies. Another challenge is to identify which specific types of cancer would be eradicated the most from these therapies.

Overall, the future of shelterin inhibitors in cancer therapy looks promising, but more research is needed to fully understand their potential for cancer treatments and to develop strategies leading to minimization of potential side effects. This is still developing and underexplored area of medicinal chemistry where structural biology and in silico methods will play pivotal role.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by project from National Centre of Research, Grant No. 2023/49/B/NZ7/03456, Krakow, Poland.

The author WB has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 801133.

References

- 1. Anand U, Dey A, Chandel AKS, Sanyal R, Mishra A, Pandey DK, et al. Cancer chemotherapy and beyond: Current status, drug candidates, associated risks and progress in targeted therapeutics. *Genes Dis.* 2022;10:1367–1401. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gendis.2022.02.007.
- 2. Hanahan D. Hallmarks of cancer: new dimensions. *Cancer Discov*. 2022;12:31–46. https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-21-1059.
- Bilsland AE, Cairney CJ, Keith WN. Targeting the telomere and shelterin complex for cancer therapy: current views and future perspectives. *J Cell Mol Med*. 2011;15:179– 186. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1582-4934.2010.01253.x.
- 4. Martínez P, Blasco MA. Telomere-driven diseases and telomere-targeting therapies. *J Cell Biol.* 2017;216:875. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201610111.
- Mir SM, Samavarchi Tehrani S, Goodarzi G, Jamalpoor Z, Asadi J, Khelghati N, et al. Shelterin Complex at telomeres: implications in ageing. *Clin Interv Aging.* 2020;15:827– 839. https://doi.org/10.2147/CIA.S256425.
- 6. de Lange T. Shelterin: the protein complex that shapes and safeguards human telomeres. *Genes Dev.* 2005;19:2100–2110. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1346005.
- 7. de Lange T. Shelterin-mediated telomere protection. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 2018;52:223–247. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genet-032918-021921.
- Liu D, O'Connor MS, Qin J, Songyang Z. Telosome, a mammalian telomere-associated complex formed by multiple telomeric proteins. *J Biol Chem.* 2004;279:51338–51342. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M409293200.
- 9. Chen L-Y, Liu D, Songyang Z. Telomere maintenance through spatial control of telomeric proteins. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2007;27:5898–5909. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.00603-07.
- 10. Patel TN, Vasan R, Gupta D, Patel J, Trivedi M. Shelterin proteins and cancer. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2015;16:3085–3090. https://doi.org/10.7314/APJCP.2015.16.8.3085.
- 11. Veverka P, Janovič T, Hofr C. Quantitative biology of human shelterin and telomerase: searching for the weakest point. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2019;20:3186. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20133186.
- Stinson BM, Loparo JJ. Repair of DNA double-strand breaks by the non-homologous end joining pathway. *Annu Rev Biochem*. 2021;90:137–164. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-080320-110356.
- Bae NS, Baumann P. A RAP1/TRF2 complex inhibits nonhomologous end-joining at human telomeric DNA ends. *Mol Cell*. 2007;26:323–334. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2007.03.023.
- Kendellen MF, Barrientos KS, Counter CM. POT1 Association with TRF2 regulates telomere length. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 2009;29:5611–5619. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.00286-09.
- 15. Broccoli D, Chong L, Oelmann S, Fernald AA, Marziliano N, van Steensel B, et al. Comparison of the human and mouse genes encoding the telomeric protein, TRF1:

chromosomal localization, expression and conserved protein domains. *Hum Mol Genet*. 1997;6:69–76. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/6.1.69.

- Fouché N, Cesare AJ, Willcox S, Ozgür S, Compton SA, Griffith JD. The basic domain of TRF2 directs binding to DNA junctions irrespective of the presence of TTAGGG repeats. *J Biol Chem.* 2006;281:37486–495. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M608778200.
- 17. Court R, Chapman L, Fairall L, Rhodes D. How the human telomeric proteins TRF1 and TRF2 recognize telomeric DNA: a view from high-resolution crystal structures. *EMBO Rep.* 2005;6:39–45. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.embor.7400314.
- Kalathiya U, Padariya M, Baginski M. The structurally similar TRFH domain of TRF1 and TRF2 dimers shows distinct behaviour towards TIN2. *Arch Biochem Biophys*. 2018;642:52–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abb.2018.02.005.
- Fairall L, Chapman L, Moss H, de Lange T, Rhodes D. Structure of the TRFH dimerization domain of the human telomeric proteins TRF1 and TRF2. *Molecular Cell*. 2001;8:351–361. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1097-2765(01)00321-5.
- Hanaoka S, Nagadoi A, Nishimura Y. Comparison between TRF2 and TRF1 of their telomeric DNA-bound structures and DNA-binding activities. *Protein Sci.* 2005;14:119– 30. https://doi.org/10.1110/ps.04983705.
- 21. Wieczór M, Tobiszewski A, Wityk P, Tomiczek B, Czub J. Molecular recognition in complexes of TRF proteins with telomeric DNA. *PLoS One*. 2014;9:e89460. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0089460.
- 22. Bilbille Y, Paquet F, Meudal H, Giraud-Panis M-J, Lancelot G. NMR studies of telomeric nucleoprotein complexes involving the Myb-like domain of the human telomeric protein TRF2. *C R Chim.* 2006;9:452–458. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crci.2005.06.016.
- 23. Chen Y, Yang Y, van Overbeek M, Donigian JR, Baciu P, de Lange T, et al. A shared docking motif in TRF1 and TRF2 used for differential recruitment of telomeric proteins. *Sci.* 2008;319:1092–1096. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1151804.
- 24. Kim H, Lee O-H, Xin H, Chen L-Y, Qin J, Chae HK, et al. TRF2 functions as a protein hub and regulates telomere maintenance by recognizing specific peptide motifs. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2009;16:372–379. https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.1575.
- 25. Chen Y. The Structural biology of the shelterin complex. *Biol Chem.* 2018;400:457–466. https://doi.org/10.1515/hsz-2018-0368.
- 26. van Overbeek M, de Lange T. Apollo, an Artemis-related nuclease, interacts with TRF2 and protects human telomeres in S phase. *Curr Biol.* 2006;16:1295–1302. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2006.05.022.
- 27. Hu C, Rai R, Huang C, Broton C, Long J, Xu Y, et al. Structural and functional analyses of the mammalian TIN2-TPP1-TRF2 telomeric complex. *Cell Res.* 2017;27:1485–502. https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2017.144.
- Chen Y, Rai R, Zhou Z-R, Kanoh J, Ribeyre C, Yang Y, et al. A conserved motif within RAP1 has diversified roles in telomere protection and regulation in different organisms. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2011;18:213–221. https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.1974.
- 29. Ran X, Liu L, Yang C-Y, Lu J, Chen Y, Lei M, et al. Design of high-affinity stapled peptides to target the repressor activator protein 1 (RAP1)/telomeric repeat-binding factor 2

(TRF2) protein–protein interaction in the shelterin complex. *J Med Chem*. 2016;59:328–334. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b01465.

- Lei M, Podell ER, Cech TR. Structure of human POT1 bound to telomeric single-stranded DNA provides a model for chromosome end-protection. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2004;11:1223–1229. https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb867.
- 31. Wang F, Podell ER, Zaug AJ, Yang Y, Baciu P, Cech TR, et al. The POT1-TPP1 telomere complex is a telomerase processivity factor. *Nat*. 2007;445:506–510. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05454.
- Rice C, Shastrula PK, Kossenkov AV, Hills R, Baird DM, Showe LC, et al. Structural and functional analysis of the human POT1-TPP1 telomeric complex. *Nat Commun.* 2017;8:14928. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14928.
- Pan H, Kaur P, Barnes R, Detwiler AC, Sanford SL, Liu M, et al. Structure, dynamics, and regulation of TRF1-TIN2-mediated trans- and cis-interactions on telomeric DNA. *J Biol Chem.* 2021;297:101080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2021.101080.
- Xin H, Liu D, Wan M, Safari A, Kim H, Sun W, et al. TPP1 is a homologue of ciliate TEBPbeta and interacts with POT1 to recruit telomerase. *Nat.* 2007;445:559–562. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05469.
- 35. Zhong FL, Batista LFZ, Freund A, Pech MF, Venteicher AS, Artandi SE. TPP1 OB-fold domain controls telomere maintenance by recruiting telomerase to chromosome ends. *Cell*. 2012;150:481–494. https://doi.org/94. 10.1016/j.cell.2012.07.012.
- 36. Chakravarti D, LaBella KA, DePinho RA. Telomeres: history, health, and hallmarks of aging. *Cell*. 2021;184:306–322. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.12.028.
- Lototska L, Yue J, Li J, Giraud-Panis M, Songyang Z, Royle NJ, et al. Human RAP1 specifically protects telomeres of senescent cells from DNA damage. *EMBO Rep.* 2020;21:e49076. https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201949076.
- 38. van Emden TS, Forn M, Forné I, Sarkadi Z, Capella M, Martín Caballero L, et al. Shelterin and subtelomeric DNA sequences control nucleosome maintenance and genome stability. *EMBO Rep.* 2019;20:e47181. https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201847181.
- 39. Roake CM, Artandi SE. Regulation of human telomerase in homeostasis and disease. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2020;21:384–397. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-020-0234-z.
- Heng J, Zhang F, Guo X, Tang L, Peng L, Luo X, et al. Integrated analysis of promoter methylation and expression of telomere related genes in breast cancer. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8:25442–25454. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.16036.
- 41. Gao R, Singh R, Kaul Z, Kaul SC, Wadhwa R. Targeting of DNA damage signaling pathway induced senescence and reduced migration of cancer cells. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2015;70:701–713. https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glu019.
- 42. Diehl MC, Idowu MO, Kimmelshue KN, York TP, Jackson-Cook CK, Turner KC, et al. Elevated TRF2 in advanced breast cancers with short telomeres. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2011;127:623–630. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-010-0988-7.
- 43. Zhang Y, Chiu S, Liang X, Gao F, Zhang Z, Liao S, et al. Rap1-mediated nuclear factorkappaB (NF-κB) activity regulates the paracrine capacity of mesenchymal stem cells in

heart repair following infarction. *Cell Death Discov*. 2015;1:15007. https://doi.org/10.1038/cddiscovery.2015.7.

- 44. Lantuejoul S, Raynaud C, Salameire D, Gazzeri S, Moro-Sibilot D, Soria J-C, et al. Telomere maintenance and DNA damage responses during lung carcinogenesis. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2010;16:2979–2988. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-10-0142.
- 45. El Maï M, Wagner K-D, Michiels J-F, Ambrosetti D, Borderie A, Destree S, et al. The Telomeric Protein TRF2 Regulates Angiogenesis by Binding and Activating the PDGFRβ Promoter. *Cell Rep.* 2014;9:1047–1060. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2014.09.038.
- 46. Hu H, Zhang Y, Zou M, Yang S, Liang X-Q. Expression of TRF1, TRF2, TIN2, TERT, KU70, and BRCA1 proteins is associated with telomere shortening and may contribute to multistage carcinogenesis of gastric cancer. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol.* 2010;136:1407–1414. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00432-010-0795-x.
- 47. Lee J-E, Oh B-K, Choi J, Park YN. Telomeric 3' overhangs in chronic HBV-related hepatitis and hepatocellular carcinoma. *Int J Cancer*. 2008;123:264–272. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.23376.
- La Torre D, Conti A, Aguennouz MH, De Pasquale MG, Romeo S, Angileri FF, et al. Telomere length modulation in human astroglial brain tumors. *PLoS One*. 2013;8:e64296. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0064296.
- 49. Bellon M, Datta A, Brown M, Pouliquen J-F, Couppie P, Kazanji M, et al. Increased expression of telomere length regulating factors TRF1, TRF2 and TIN2 in patients with adult T-cell leukemia. *Int J Cancer*. 2006;119:2090–2097. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.22026.
- Poncet D, Belleville A, t'kint de Roodenbeke C, Roborel de Climens A, Ben Simon E, Merle-Beral H, et al. Changes in the expression of telomere maintenance genes suggest global telomere dysfunction in B-chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood.* 2008;111:2388–2391. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2007-09-111245.
- 51. Campbell LJ, Fidler C, Eagleton H, Peniket A, Kusec R, Gal S, et al. hTERT, the catalytic component of telomerase, is downregulated in the haematopoietic stem cells of patients with chronic myeloid leukaemia. *Leukemia*. 2006;20:671–679. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.leu.2404141.
- 52. Nakanishi K, Kawai T, Kumaki F, Hiroi S, Mukai M, Ikeda E, et al. Expression of mRNAs for telomeric repeat binding factor (TRF)-1 and TRF2 in atypical adenomatous hyperplasia and adenocarcinoma of the lung. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2003;9:1105–1111.
- 53. Yajima T, Yagihashi A, Kameshima H, Kobayashi D, Hirata K, Watanabe N. Telomerase reverse transcriptase and telomeric-repeat binding factor protein 1 as regulators of telomerase activity in pancreatic cancer cells. *Br J Cancer*. 2001;85:752–757. https://doi.org/10.1054/bjoc.2001.1954.
- 54. Pal D, Sharma U, Singh SK, Kakkar N, Prasad R. Over-expression of telomere binding factors (TRF1 & TRF2) in renal cell carcinoma and their inhibition by using SiRNA induce apoptosis, reduce cell proliferation and migration invitro. *PLoS One*. 2015;10:e0115651. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0115651.

- 55. Roy S, Roy S, Kar M, Thakur S, Akhter Y, Kumar A, et al. p38 MAPK pathway and its interaction with TRF2 in cisplatin induced chemotherapeutic response in head and neck cancer. *Oncogenesis*. 2018;7:53. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41389-018-0062-6.
- 56. Knecht H, Mai S. LMP1 and Dynamic Progressive telomere dysfunction: a major culprit in EBV-associated Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Viruses*. 2017;9:164. https://doi.org/10.3390/v9070164.
- 57. Muñoz P, Blanco R, Flores JM, Blasco MA. XPF nuclease-dependent telomere loss and increased DNA damage in mice overexpressing TRF2 result in premature aging and cancer. *Nat Genet.* 2005;37:1063–1071. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1633.
- 58. Cantara S, Capuano S, Capezzone M, Benigni M, Pisu M, Marchisotta S, et al. Lack of mutations of the telomerase RNA component in familial papillary thyroid cancer with short telomeres. *Thyroid*. 2012;22:363–368. https://doi.org/10.1089/thy.2011.0109.
- Vega F, Cho-Vega JH, Lennon PA, Luthra MG, Bailey J, Breeden M, et al. Splenic marginal zone lymphomas are characterized by loss of interstitial regions of chromosome 7q, 7q31.32 and 7q36.2 that include the protection of telomere 1 (POT1) and sonic hedgehog (SHH) genes. *Br J Haematol.* 2008;142:216–226. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2141.2008.07176.x.
- 60. Panero J, Arbelbide J, Fantl DB, Rivello HG, Kohan D, Slavutsky I. Altered mRNA expression of telomere-associated genes in monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance and multiple myeloma. *Mol Med.* 2010;16:471–478. https://doi.org/10.2119/molmed.2010.00057.
- Fernandes SG, Dsouza R, Pandya G, Kirtonia A, Tergaonkar V, Lee SY, et al. Role of Telomeres and telomeric proteins in human malignancies and their therapeutic potential. *Cancers*. 2020;12:1901. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers12071901.
- 62. Chen L, Dickerhoff J, Sakai S, Yang D. DNA G-quadruplex in human telomeres and oncogene promoters: structures, functions, and small molecule targeting. *Acc Chem Res.* 2022;55:2628–2646. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.2c00337.
- 63. Figueiredo J, Djavaheri-Mergny M, Ferret L, Mergny J-L, Cruz C. Harnessing Gquadruplex ligands for lung cancer treatment: A comprehensive overview. *Drug Discov. Today.* 2023;28:103808. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2023.103808.
- 64. Huppert JL, Balasubramanian S. G-quadruplexes in promoters throughout the human genome. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2007;35:406–413. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkl1057.
- 65. Donate LE, Blasco MA. Telomeres in cancer and ageing. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 2011;366:76–84. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0291.
- 66. Wang Z, Liu J, Chen H, Qiu X, Xie L, Kaniskan HÜ, et al. Telomere targeting chimera enables targeted destruction of telomeric Repeat-Binding Factor proteins. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2023;145:10872–10879. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.3c02783.
- 67. Wei M, Wynn R, Hollis G, Liao B, Margulis A, Reid BG, et al. High-throughput determination of mode of inhibition in lead identification and optimization. *SLAS Discov*. 2007;12:220–228. https://doi.org/10.1177/1087057106296679.
- 68. Hagiwara Y, Ohno K, Watanabe T, Orita M. Rating high-throughput screening results via h-index. *J. of Chemom.* 2014;28:844–850. https://doi.org/10.1002/cem.2658.

- 69. Smith EM, Pendlebury DF, Nandakumar J. Structural biology of telomeres and telomerase. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* 2020;77:61–79. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-019-03369-x.
- 70. Lim CJ, Cech TR. Shaping human telomeres: from shelterin and CST complexes to telomeric chromatin organization. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2021;22:283–298. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-021-00328-y.
- 71. Di Maro S, Zizza P, Salvati E, De Luca V, Capasso C, Fotticchia I, et al. Shading the TRF2 recruiting function: a new horizon in drug development. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2014;136:16708–16711. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja5080773.
- García-Beccaria M, Martínez P, Méndez-Pertuz M, Martínez S, Blanco-Aparicio C, Cañamero M, et al. Therapeutic inhibition of TRF1 impairs the growth of p53-deficient K-RasG12V-induced lung cancer by induction of telomeric DNA damage. *EMBO Mol Med.* 2015;7:930–949. https://doi.org/10.15252/emmm.201404497.
- 73. Brankiewicz W, Kalathiya U, Padariya M, Węgrzyn K, Prusinowski M, Zebrowska J, et al. Modified peptide molecules as potential modulators of shelterin protein functions; TRF1. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2023;29:e202300970. https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202300970.
- 74. Chen X, Liu L, Chen Y, Yang Y, Yang C-Y, Guo T, et al. Cyclic peptidic mimetics of Apollo peptides targeting telomeric repeat binding factor 2 (TRF2) and Apollo interaction. ACS *Med Chem Lett.* 2018;9:507–511. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsmedchemlett.8b00152.
- 75. Chen X, Dong Y, Guo T, Yang C-Y, Chen Y, Sun H. N-terminal modified cyclopeptidic mimetics of ApolloTBM as inhibitors of TRF2. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett.* 2020;30:127401. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2020.127401.
- Mender I, Gryaznov S, Dikmen ZG, Wright WE, Shay JW. Induction of telomere dysfunction mediated by the telomerase substrate precursor 6-Thio-2'-Deoxyguanosine. *Cancer Discov*. 2015;5:82. https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-14-0609.
- 77. Brankiewicz W, Baginski M, Skowron P, Zebrowska J, Prusinowski M, Wegrzyn K, et al. Inhibitors of interactions between TRF1-TIN2 or TRF2-TIN2 telomeric proteins for use in anticancer therapy, *Patent application*, PCT/PL2023/050022.
- 78. El Maï M, Janho dit Hreich S, Gaggioli C, Roisin A, Wagner N, Ye J, et al. A novel screen for expression regulators of the telomeric protein TRF2 identified small molecules that impair TRF2 dependent immunosuppression and tumor growth. *Cancers*. 2021;13:2998. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13122998.
- 79. Bejarano L, Bosso G, Louzame J, Serrano R, Gómez-Casero E, Martínez-Torrecuadrada J, et al. Multiple cancer pathways regulate telomere protection. *EMBO Mol Med.* 2019;11:e10292. https://doi.org/10.15252/emmm.201910292.
- Sobinoff AP, Di Maro S, Low RRJ, Benedetti R, Tomassi S, D'Aniello A, et al. Irreversible inhibition of TRF2_{TRFH} recruiting functions by a covalent cyclic peptide induces telomeric replication stress in cancer cells. *Cell Chem Biol.* 2023;30:1652-1665.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2023.11.008.
- 81. Jiao Y, Zhang W, Liu J, Ni W, Xu W, Jin J, et al. Telomere attrition, and chromosome instability via downregulation of TRF2 contributes to arsenic trioxide-induced apoptosis of human T-cell leukemia cell line molt-4 cells. *Cancer Biol Ther*. 2007;6:1197–1203. https://doi.org/10.4161/cbt.6.8.4381.

- Xiao N, Chen S, Ma Y, Qiu J, Tan J-H, Ou T-M, et al. Interaction of Berberine derivative with protein POT1 affect telomere function in cancer cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2012;419:567–572. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2012.02.063.
- Baranauskiene L, Matulis D, et al. POT1 stability and binding measured by fluorescence thermal shift assays. *PLoS ONE*. 2021;16:e0245675. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245675.
- 84. Wang M, Cao J, Zhu J-Y, Qiu J, Zhang Y, Shu B, et al. Curcusone C induces telomeric DNA-damage response in cancer cells through inhibition of telomeric repeat factor 2. *Biochim Biophys Acta Proteins Proteom.* 2017;1865:1372–1382. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbapap.2017.08.022.
- 85. Blasco-Marhuenda MA, Pastor-Fernandez JA, Bejarano-Bosque L, Mendez-Pertuz M, Martinez-Rodriguez P, Blanco-Aparicio C, et al. Modulation of TRF1 for brain cancer treatment. *Patent Application Publication*. 2022; US 2022/0054496 A1.
- Udroiu I, Marinaccio J, Sgura A. Epigallocatechin-3-gallate induces telomere shortening and clastogenic damage in glioblastoma cells. *Environ Mol Mutagen*. 2019;60:683– 692. https://doi.org/10.1002/em.22295.
- 87. Qiu Y, Yan Q, Wang Y, Ye Y, Wang Y, Wang M, et al. Discovery of a selective TRF2 inhibitor FKB04 induced telomere shortening and senescence in liver cancer cells. *Acta Pharmacol Sin.* 2024;1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41401-024-01243-6.
- Dinami R, Pompili L, Petti E, Porru M, D'Angelo C, Di Vito S, et al. MiR-182-3p targets TRF2 and impairs tumor growth of triple-negative breast cancer. *EMBO Mol Med.* 2023;15:e16033. https://doi.org/10.15252/emmm.202216033.
- Chang C-C, Chu J-F, Kao F-J, Chiu Y-C, Lou P-J, Chen H-C, et al. Verification of antiparallel G-quadruplex structure in human telomeres by using two-photon excitation fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy of the 3,6-Bis(1-methyl-4vinylpyridinium)carbazole diiodide molecule. *Anal Chem.* 2006;78:2810–2815. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac052218f.
- 90. Leonetti C, Amodei S, D'Angelo C, Rizzo A, Benassi B, Antonelli A, et al. Biological Activity of the G-quadruplex ligand RHPS4 (3,11-Difluoro-6,8,13-trimethyl-8Hquino[4,3,2-kl]acridinium methosulfate) is associated with telomere capping alteration. *Mol Pharmacol.* 2004;66:1138–1146. https://doi.org/10.1124/mol.104.001537.
- Biroccio A, Rizzo A, Elli R, Koering C-E, Belleville A, Benassi B, et al. TRF2 inhibition triggers apoptosis and reduces tumourigenicity of human melanoma cells. *Eur J Cancer*. 2006;42:1881–1888. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2006.03.010.
- 92. Salvati E, Leonetti C, Rizzo A, Scarsella M, Mottolese M, Galati R, et al. Telomere damage induced by the G-quadruplex ligand RHPS4 has an antitumor effect. *J Clin Invest*. 2007;117:3236–3247. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCl32461.
- 93. Grozinger CM, Chao ED, Blackwell HE, Moazed D, Schreiber SL. Identification of a class of small molecule inhibitors of the Sirtuin family of NAD-dependent Deacetylases by phenotypic screening *. J. Biol. Chem. 2001;276:38837–38843. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M106779200.
- 94. Amir M, Mohammad T, Prasad K, Hasan GM, Kumar V, Dohare R, et al. Virtual highthroughput screening of natural compounds in-search of potential inhibitors for

protection of telomeres 1 (POT1). J *Biomol Struct Dyn.* 2020;38:4625–4634. https://doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2019.1682052.

- 95. Zhang S, Yu H, Li J, Zhao L, Tan L, Song Q, et al. Identification of prognostic and tumor microenvironment by shelterin complex-related signatures in oral squamous cell carcinoma. Oxid Med and Cell Longev. 2022;15:6849304. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/6849304.
- 96. Folkesson E, Sakshaug BC, Hoel AD, Klinkenberg G, Flobak A. Synergistic effects of complex drug combinations in colorectal cancer cells predicted by logical modelling. *Front. Syst. Biol.* 2023;3:1112831. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsysb.2023.1112831.
- 97. Szumilak M, Wiktorowska-Owczarek A, Stanczak A. Hybrid drugs a strategy for overcoming anticancer drug resistance? *Molecules*. 2021;26:2601. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26092601.