RESEARCH

Open Access



Iranian future healthcare professionals' knowledge and opinions about rare diseases: cross-sectional study

Reza Jahanshahi¹, Amirreza Nasirzadeh², Mahan Farzan³, Jan Domaradzki⁴, Leila Jouybari⁵, Akram Sanagoo^{5,6*}, Mahour Farzan³, Komeil Aghazadeh-Habashi⁷, Ahmadreza Fallah Faraghe⁸, Sadegh Bagheri⁹, Marziyeh Samiee¹⁰, Arina Ansari¹¹, Kimia Eskandari¹², Negar Namakkoobi¹³, Fatemeh Soltanimoghadam¹⁴, Hadi Mashali¹⁵, Erfan Yavari¹⁶, Saba Bay¹⁷, Nafiseh Memaripanah¹⁸, Elahe Meftah¹⁹, Saeed Amanzadeh²⁰, Fatemeh Talati²¹, and Sasan Bahramzadeh²²

Abstract

Background: Rare diseases are a new global health priority, requiring evidence-based estimates of the global prevalence of diseases to inform public policymakers and provide a serious challenge to the healthcare system that must not be ignored. The purpose of this study is to investigate Iranian future healthcare professionals' knowledge and opinions about rare diseases.

Results: A total of 6838 students responded to the questionnaire. Nursing and medical students had the highest participation. Almost 85% of participants rated their knowledge about rare diseases as poor or insufficient. While nearly 70 percent of participants took courses about rare diseases at university. Finally, 72.7% of future healthcare professionals did not feel ready to take care of a patient with a rare disease.

Conclusion: The present study has indicated a gap in Iranian medical students' knowledge of rare diseases. The researchers believe that health science policymakers should make a joint effort to improve knowledge about rare diseases. Including courses with regard to rare diseases would be of benefit to future healthcare professionals.

Keywords: Rare diseases, Education, Awareness, Healthcare professionals

Introduction

Rare diseases (RDs) are a new global health priority, requiring evidence-based estimates of the global prevalence of diseases to inform public policymakers. When a disease affects less than one in 2000 persons, it is considered as rare [1]. According to available information, 309 RDs have been diagnosed in Iran so far. Currently, around 3 million people with RDs have been identified

*Correspondence: sanagoo@goums.ac.ir

⁵ School of Nursing and Midwifery, Golestan University of Medical Sciences, Gorgan, Iran in Iran and are receiving a variety of support services. Patients cared for by the Rare Diseases Foundation can benefit from a 50% discount on medicines by going to the Red Crescent. The Red Crescent is a non-governmental organization dedicated to humanitarian activities. In addition, it is a government-funded charity that also works to reduce the cost of treating patients with RDs. One of the most important missions of the Rare Diseases Foundation is to improve the lives of people with these diseases by raising awareness in the family and the community [2].

Rare diseases provide a serious challenge to the healthcare system that must not be ignored. Patients with RDs



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

typically experience a lack of treatment alternatives, psychological stress, the financial burden in addition to the burden of disease [3]. Furthermore, these patients face challenges in obtaining a diagnosis of their illness, as it frequently takes 5–6 years to obtain an accurate diagnosis, and more than three different physicians are involved in the diagnosis process [4, 5]. Besides, due to a lack of knowledge about rare diseases and the challenges in properly diagnosing them, many patients with RDs would have visited multiple clinics or hospitals but only received symptomatic therapy [6].

One of the most common variables leading to the diagnostic odyssey is a lack of RD awareness and expertise among healthcare professionals [7]. Previous research has found that RD knowledge is typically considered inadequate by physicians and other health care professionals [8-10]. The majority of them believed their academic expertise was insufficient and unsuitable for diagnosing RDs in everyday practice [7, 11]. While physicians are responsible for managing the care processes of patients with RDs, the role of other health care professionals, including nurses and physiotherapists, is increasing. As a result, the need to increase knowledge and awareness of RD is evident among health care professionals [9]. Unfortunately, no study with a similar purpose was found in Iran and the knowledge of health care students is unknown. Due to the lack of basic information about the medical education curriculum in the field of rare diseases, we cannot predict the strength of the executive curriculum in the country's medical sciences universities.

Due to the importance of the subject, the purpose of this study is to investigate Iranian future healthcare professionals' knowledge and opinions about rare diseases.

Methods

A cross-sectional survey-based study was conducted in Iran from September 2021 to January 2022 using convenience sampling. Our target population consisted of students of health care professionals who had completed two years of education and had access to the Internet. Data collection was carried out via online feedback from participants during the survey. An online questionnaire (Google Form) was administered which also contains the motivation letter explaining the purpose of the participant's response, and the hyperlink to the questionnaire was eventually published by the authors in the virtual student groups of the medical universities of the whole country that had access. To control the answers, each researcher was given a three-digit number and a section was added to the online questionnaire to allow participants who received the questionnaire link from a trusted researcher could enter the researcher's three-digit code. This was done to ensure that the answers were genuine.

Incorrect code answers were excluded from the study. The online questionnaire was designed in order that individuals can withdraw at any time. Over 10,000 students from all medical sciences universities participated in this study, and after removing those who did not meet the inclusion criteria or fill out the questionnaire incompletely, we reached 6838 eligible participants.

Measures

Demographic checklist

Includes: age, gender, marital status, the field of study, grade, duration of education, and domicile.

Knowledge and attitudes of rare diseases questionnaire

The questionnaire used in the present study was developed by Domaradzki et al. based on the previous literature review and the aim of the study. The detailed description of the questionnaire and the method of its construction are explained elsewhere [9, 10].

In summary, the questionnaire consisted of 26 questions: the first questions concerned students' basic knowledge of RDs. Students were also asked to name RDs from a list that included twenty-eight diseases: 10 most common conditions and 18 RDs. The second section covered questions on organizational issues. The third section consisted of questions on students' awareness of RDs and their self-assessment knowledge and skills in the field of RDs.

The methodological procedures for the translation and cultural adaptation of the current questionnaire were employed as suggested by Beaton et al. according to a formal forward/backward translation protocol [12]. In the next step, some questions changed based on the cultural policies of Iran (questions: 6, 7, 12–18). The prepared version of the questionnaire was sent to 15 experts (Experts including nurse, physician, geneticist, sociologist, and physiotherapist) who were invited to give their opinion in order to improve the final version.

Content validity includes Content Validity Ratio (CVR) and Content Validity Index (CVI). Fifteen experts reviewed the questionnaire items and chose one of the essential, useful but not necessary, or unnecessary options [13]. According to the Lawshe table, the acceptance rate is 0.49 [14]. None of the phrases have been deleted in this section. After applying expert opinions and enriching the phrases, 15 other experts reviewed the questionnaire to evaluate CVI [15].

Data analysis

Data were entered into SPSS software version 18 and reported in frequency, percentage, mean, and standard deviation. Differences in proportion were tested with the Chi-square test if any cells had an expected count smaller than 5. A statistical analysis of possible answers' differences between all study groups was performed using the Kruskal–Wallis test. The level of significance was set at p < 0.05.

Ethics

The study procedures were approved by the Clinical Research Development Unit (CRDU) of Sayad Shirazi Hospital of Golestan University of Medical Sciences and Ethical Review Board (IR.GOUMS.REC.1400.194). All participants entered the study with knowledge of the purpose of the study and informed consent and were informed that they could withdraw from the study at any time.

Results

A total of 6838 people responded to the questionnaire. The majority of the participants were women (60.1%). Nursing and medical students also had the highest participation, 34.2% and 40% respectively. The demographics of the participants can be seen in Table 1. In the process of evaluating the content validity, no items were removed from the research, but changes were made in the form and richness of the words for better understanding. The CVR value was 0.5–0.9 for the items and 0.73 for the whole scale.

Although almost all of the participants had heard of the term "rare disease" (96.4%), they were not sufficiently aware of its prevalence in the community (3.9%). only 8.5% correctly estimated the number of RD (Table 2). Students' knowledge about the number of RD patients in the world (6.2%), Asia (3.8%), and Iran (3.8%) was low. A quarter of future healthcare professionals knew the percentage of RDs that were genetic (24.8%). Most participants used the "I do not know" option when responding to the questions.

Participants selected rare diseases from a list of 28 diseases (Table 3). The most recognized RD were: Sickle cell anemia (30.2%), Marfan syndrome (28.6%), and Gaucher disease (28%). Least often were indicated Pompe disease (10.5%) and Osteogenesis imperfecta (9.9%). In contrast, the diseases most frequently confused with RD were Crohn's disease (31.6%), Cerebral palsy (25.7%), and Glaucoma (21%).

Future healthcare professionals' practical information about RD is shown in Table 3. Only 9.8% of participants were aware of the Iranian website (Rare Diseases Foundation of Iran) that provided information about RDs (https://radoir.org/). This is while about 59% of the participants were aware of the central register of RD patients in Iran and about 43% of them were aware of the existence of a national plan for RDs in Iran. Nursing students, Table 1 Socio-demographic characteristics of students

Characteristics	N (%)
Gender	
Male	2731 (39.9)
Female	4107 (60.1)
Field of study	
Medical students	2732 (40)
Nursing students	2338 (34.2)
Midwifery students	637 (9.3)
Dentistry students	425 (6.2)
Physiotherapy students	111 (1.6)
Pharmacy students	490 (7.2)
Occupational and speech therapist students	105 (1.5)
Grade	
Bachelor degree	2807 (53.7)
Master degree	357 (5.2)
MD and DDS	3674 (53.7)
Marital status	
Single	6003 (87.8)
Married	835 (12.2)
Age (year)	22.7 ± 3.6
Duration of education (year)	5.6 ± 2.3
Domicile	
Under 10,000 inhabitants	423 (6.2)
10–50,000 inhabitants	1219 (17.8)
51–100,000 inhabitants	921 (13.5)
101–500,000 inhabitants	1271 (18.6)
Over 500,000 inhabitants	34 (43.9)
Have you ever met a person suffering from RD?	
Yes	3105 (45.4)
No	2154 (31.5)
l do not know	1579 (23.1)

Values are presented as n (%), mean \pm standard deviation

compared to other students, mistakenly selected three diseases (Down syndrome, glaucoma, and Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome) as rare diseases (P < 0.05).

Students' perceptions of their knowledge of rare diseases are shown in Table 4. Almost 85% of participants rated their knowledge about rare diseases as poor or insufficient. While nearly 70 percent of participants took courses about rare diseases at university, a small number of them declared their knowledge because of the university courses. 60.3% of participants indicated that rare diseases are serious public health issues and, in their opinion, family physicians (49.3%) and geneticists (64.6%) should be trained specifically in rare diseases. Finally, 72.7% of future healthcare professionals did not feel ready to take care of a patient with a rare disease.

Table 2 Students' knowledge about rare diseases

ltems	Medicals N (%)	Nursings	Others	P-value
1. Have you ever hea	ard the term 'ra	re diseases'?		
Yes	2636 (96.5)	2252 (96.3)	1701 (96.2)	0.884
No	96 (3.5)	86 (3.7)	67 (3.8)	
2. Rare disease is the	one that affec	ts less than:		
1 person in 1000	320 (11.7)	276 (11.8)	224 (12.7)	0.979
1 person in 2000	107 (3.9)	89 (3.8)	68 (3.8)	
1 person in 3000	91 (3.3)	73 (3.1)	55 (3.1)	
1 person in 5000	135 (4.9)	116 (5)	76 (4.3)	
1 person in 10,000	853 (31.2)	770 (32.9)	577 (32.6)	
l do not know	1226 (44.9)	1014 (43.4)	768 (43.4)	
3. What is the estima	ted number of	rare diseases?		
100-500	196 (7.2)	187 (8)	129 (7.3)	0.082
1000-2000	282 (10.3)	237 (10.1)	160 (9)	
3000-5000	209 (7.7)	128 (5.5)	100 (5.7)	
6000-8000	211 (7.7)	203 (8.7)	170 (9.6)	
9000-1000	65 (2.4)	78 (3.3)	53 (3)	
Over 10,000	168 (6.1)	140 (6)	80 (4.5)	
l do not know	1601 (58.6)	1365 (58.4)	1076 (60.9)	
4. At what age group	o do rare diseas	ses most freque	ently appear?	
Newborns	680 (24.9)	640 (27.4)	467 (26.4)	0.095
Children	663 (24.3)	581 (24.9)	390 (22.1)	
Adolescents	83 (3)	59 (2.5)	58 (3.3)	
Adults	135 (4.9)	101 (4.3)	76 (4.3)	
They are present in all age groups equally	404 (14.8)	324 (13.9)	273 (15.4)	
l do not know	767 (28.1)	633 (27.1)	504 (28.5)	
5. How many people	suffer from ra	re diseases wo	rldwide?	
10-15,000,000	142 (5.2)	145 (6.2)	103 (5.8)	0.592
50-75,000,000	213 (7.8)	143 (6.1)	121 (6.8)	
100-150,000,000	193 (7.1)	181 (7.7)	122 (6.9)	
200-250,000,000	126 (4.6)	128 (5.5)	90 (5.1)	
300– 350,000,000	172 (6.3)	149 (6.4)	100 (5.7)	
Over 500,000,000	74 (2.7)	60 (2.6)	44 (2.5)	
l do not know	1812 (66.3)	1532 (65.5)	1188 (67.2)	
6. How many people	e in the Asia sul	fer from rare d	iseases?	
10-50,000,000	259 (9.5)	260 (11.1)	167 (9.4)	0.336
50-100,000,000	197 (7.2)	171 (7.3)	113 (6.4)	
100-200,000,000	138 (5.1)	106 (4.5)	84 (4.8)	
200– 250,000,000	74 (2.7)	49 (2.1)	40 (2.3)	
250-300,000,000	112 (4.1)	95 (4.1)	57 (3.3)	
l do not know	1952 (71.4)	1657 (70.9)	1307 (73.9)	

Page 4 of 9

Table 2 (continued)

Items	Medicals	Nursings	Others	P-value
	N (%)			
7. How many people	suffer from rai	re diseases in Ir	an?	
10-50,000	258 (9.4)	250 (10.7)	167 (9.4)	0.507
100-300,000	253 (9.3)	219 (9.4)	153 (8.7)	
300-500,000	201 (7.4)	154 (6.6)	114 (6.4)	
1-1,500,000	213 (7.8)	194 (8.3)	128 (7.2)	
Over 2,000,000	96 (3.5)	95 (4.1)	72 (4.1)	
l do not know	1711 (62.6)	1426 (61)	1134 (64.1)	
8. What is the most o	ommon cause	of rare disease	es?	
Infectious and bacterial	91 (3.3)	49 (2.1)	49 (2.8)	0.421
Genetic	1911 (69.9)	1674 (71.6)	1244 (70.4)	
Autoimmune	233 (8.5)	245 (10.5)	176 (10)	
Mitochondrial	39 (1.4)	27 (1.2)	23 (1.3)	
Environmental	50 (1.8)	42 (1.8)	30 (1.7)	
l do not know	408 (14.9)	301 (12.9)	246 (13.9)	
9. What percentage	of rare diseases	are of genetic	origin?	
5-10%	270 (9.9)	227 (9.7)	180 (10.2)	0.911
20%	285 (10.4)	235 (10.1)	177 (10)	
50%	363 (13.3)	323 (13.8)	220 (12.4)	
80%	676 (24.7)	585 (25)	432 (24.4)	
100%	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
l do not know	1138 (41.7)	968 (41.4)	759 (42.9)	

The correct answers to the questions are presented in bold

None of the demographic items had a significant relationship with students' knowledge and attitudes (P > 0.05). Students' self-assessment of their knowledge about RD is shown in Table 5.

Discussion

According to the literature review, no studies were found in Iran examining the knowledge of future healthcare professionals about RD. Due to the growing understanding of the medical community about genetically based diseases, there is a need for educational programs in the area of RD in particular. As a result, future healthcare professionals often do not receive the necessary training in RD [16].

Consistent with previous studies [16–19], the results of the present study showed that future healthcare professionals receive little education about RD. Although almost all of the participating students were familiar with RD and most believed that RD was due to genetic factors, they had little knowledge of the epidemiology of

Table 3 Which of the following diseases are considered to be rare in Iran?

ltems	N (%)	P-value
Fragile X syndrome	1365 (20)	
Alzheimer's disease	1499 (21.9)	
Progeria	1006 (14.7)	
Duchenne muscular dystrophy	1466 (21.4)	
Crohn's disease	2164 (31.6)	
Niemann-Pick disease	1029 (15)	
Huntington disease	1342 (19.6)	
Cerebral palsy	1758 (25.7)	
Marfan syndrome	1957 (28.6)	
Phenylketonuria	1165 (17)	
Munchausen syndrome	438 (6.4)	
Sickle cell anemia	2064 (30.2)	
Down syndrome	1070 (15.6)	0.012
Acromegaly	1077 (15.8)	
Osteogenesis imperfect	680 (9.9)	
Pompe disease	715 (10.5)	
Schizophrenia	322 (4.7)	
Mucopolysaccharidosis	1782 (26.1)	
Gaucher disease	1916 (28)	
Halitosis	857 (12.5)	
Haemophilia	1618 (23.7)	
Craniodiaphyseal dysplasia	1312 (19.2)	
Glaucoma	1438 (21)	0.01
Neurofibromatosis	1037 (15.2)	
Achondroplasia	874 (12.8)	
Cystic fibrosis	1482 (21.7)	
Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome	1317 (19.3)	0.018
Fibromyalgia	532 (7.8)	

The correct answers to the questions are presented in bold

RD. Many students have used the "I do not know" option and the problem of insufficient education is evident during their studies. In Iranian medical universities, basic sciences including biochemistry, physiology as well as genetics are taught in the first year. Regarding the major, it may continue or not. Numerous RDs such as PKU, CF, Huntington's disease, and sickle cell disease are included in genetics courses. In addition to the diseases, diagnostic tests and major clinical manifestations are also taught. We hypothesize that medical sciences students (MSSs) learn the knowledge of RDs randomly and casually. The premise is according to the following reasons: 1) while most students were aware of their knowledge deficits, they had an unsatisfactory level of information on RDs. 2) RDs are not taught as a separate subject and in a systematic comprehensive form. Rather, it is considered a subset of genetics. So all of MSSs are deprived of training in relation to RDs. In line with our findings, previous studies [9, 20] authenticate the fact that the retention of MSSs' knowledge and skills is compromised. Many studies, like our results, addressed the unfavorable level of knowledge of the students [10, 16, 17]. Using similar tools, Domaradzki et al. [9] pointed out that the students' knowledge was insufficient. The vast majority of respondents were also aware of their lack of knowledge. In their study, medical students were significantly better informed about RD than other students. However, the findings of our study showed that there was no significant difference in the knowledge and attitude of nursing, medical, and the other students. The results of a study conducted in Kazakhstan show that medical students and physicians suffer from inadequate education in rare diseases. They suggested an immediate revision of medical education standards [21].

In the present study, some of MSSs have selected the following diseases as RDs mistakenly: Crohn's disease (31.6%), Cerebral palsy (25.7%), and Glaucoma (21%). The above-mentioned diseases are diseases which are well discussed in the classes. Lack of recognition of rare diseases from other diseases indicates that misdiagnosis can delay treatment. More interestingly, in high school education, some basic information about genetic disorders and RDs is already present, therefore this problem is rooted in an educational system which is consistent with Williams' findings [22]. These results indicate that the medical sciences curriculum is likely to be disrupted and inappropriate.

Moreover, there is no specific course, guideline, or recommendations at Iranian universities pertaining to RDs. Our medical education system usually focuses on more prevalent disorders and prepares students for facing conventional diseases rather than rare ones. More studies in this field are needed to determine whether an elective or mandatory course on RDs should be included in the medical education curriculum.

Additionally, preparing a comprehensive content including multidimensional information about RDs like prevalence, incidence, the relevance of RDs to everyday medical care, early detection, potential treatment strategies, and the last but not least, the challenges that healthcare workers face during admission of RD patients is necessary. Healthcare workers have to know sources of information and support for RD patients to help RD patients' families and caregivers.

McKay [23] suggests that teaching programs should not focus on any particular RD. There are an estimated 6000–8000 different types of RDs and focusing on all RDs is impossible. Trying to raise public awareness about cursory knowledge of the prevalence and incidence of RDs would be of profound importance. Pisklakov acknowledges that health professionals' false beliefs may interfere

Items Medicals Nursings Others P value N (%) 11. What percentage of rare disease can be treated with drugs? 0% 212 (7.8) 168 (7.1) 121 (6.8) 0.84 5% 326 (11.9) 278 (11.9) 220 (12.4) 10% 235 (8.6) 234 (10) 161 (9.1) 15% 168 (6.1) 151 (6.5) 109 (6.2) 20% 183 (6.7) 171 (7.3) 123 (7) 50% 85 (3.1) 54 (2.3) 57 (3.2) I do not know 1523 (55.7) 1283 (54.9) 977 (55.3) 12. When is rare disease day celebrated? 79 (2.9) 59 (2.5) 51 (2.9) 0.77 January 26 February 26 489 (17.9) 328 (18.6) 414 (17.7) March 26 67 (2.5) 68 (2.9) 50 (2.8) April 26 94 (3.4) 90 (3.8) 58 (3.3) I do not know 2003 (73.3) 1707 (73) 1281 (72.5) 13. The Iranian non-governmental patient's organization in the field of rare diseases is: 0.25 Ghoghnoos 132 (4.8) 113 (4.8) 79 (4.5) Iranian Rare Diseases Foundation 575 (21) 529 (22.6) 366 (20.7) Zanjireh Omid International Charity 185 (6.8) 187 (8) 109 (6.2) Rare Disease Protection O 292 (10.7) 242 (10.4) 202 (11.4) I do not know 1548 (56.7) 1267 (54.2) 1012 (57.2) 14. What is the name of the Iranian website providing information about RD and orphan drugs? Radoir 255 (9.3) 229 (9.8) 185 (10.5) 0.46 NORD 137 (5.9) 103 (5.8) 173 (6.3) EURORDIS 59 (2.2) 38 (1.6) 39 (2.2) RARE 167 (6.1) 167 (7.1) 99 (5.6) Orphanet 65 (2.4) 45 (1.9) 33 (1.3) Global Genes 28(1) 32 (1.4) 23 (1.3) I do not know 1985 (72.7) 1690 (72.3) 1286 (72.7) 15. Is Iran a member of the world organization for rare diseases? 0.45 Yes 1088 (39.8) 923 (39.5) 672 (38) No 186 (6.8) 187 (8) 137 (7.7) I do not Know 1458 (53.4) 1228 (52.5) 959 (54.2) 16. Is there a central register of RD patients in Iran? 1253 (53.6) 999 (56.5) 0.17 Yes 1499 (54.9) No 154 (5.6) 161 (6.6) 88 (5) I do not know 924 (39.5) 1079 (39.5) 681 (38.5) 17. Are orphan drugs reimbursed in Iran? 0.007 Yes 517 (29.2) 800 (29.3) 608 (26) Yes, some 1139 (41.7) 977 (41.8) 718 (40.6) No 0 (0) 0 (0) 0 (0) I do not know 793 (29) 753 (32.2) 533 (30.1) 18. Is there a national plan for rare diseases in Iran? 0.117 Yes 795 (29.1) 681 (29.1) 561 (31.7) No 490 (17.9) 463 (19.8) 284 (16.1) 1447 (53) 1194 (51.1) 923 (52.2) I do not know

Table 4 Students' knowledge about healthcare system for RD patients

The correct answers to the questions are presented in bold

Table 5 Students' self-assessment of their knowledge about RD

Items	Medical s N (%)	Nursing s	Other s	P value
 Do RD constitute a serious public health issues?				
Absolutely yes	820 (30.01)	668 (28.57)	516 (29.18)	0.15
Yes	850 (31.11)	700 (29.9)	570 (32.23)	
No	281 (10.2)	239 (10.2)	198 (11.19)	
Definitely no	66 (2.41)	51 (2.18)	47 (2.65)	
l do not now	715 (26.17)	680 (29.08)	437 (24.71)	
Which physicians should be uniquely trained in RD?				
Family physician	1356 (49.6)	1133 (48.5)	881 (49.8)	-
Pediatrician	932 (34.1)	842 (36)	602 (34)	
Neurologist	497 (18.2)	411 (17.6)	329 (18.6)	
Geneticist	1755 (64.2)	1547 (66.2)	1116 (63.1)	
Psychiatrist	453 (16.6)	402 (17.2)	310 (17.5)	
Immunologist	739 (27)	629 (26.9)	508 (28.7)	
Have you had any classes about rare disease during your studies?				
Yes	1879 (68.8)	1629 (69.7)	1238 (70)	0.63
No	594 (21.7)	491 (21)	383 (21.7)	
I do not know	259 (9.5)	218 (9.3)	147 (8.3)	
How would you rate your knowledge about rare diseases?		. ,		
Very good	51 (1.9)	45 (1.9)	29 (1.6)	0.91
Fair enough	366 (13.4)	279 (11.9)	223 (12.6)	
Insufficient	1197 (43.8)	1072 (45.9)	791 (44.7)	
Very poor	1118 (40.9)	942 (40.3)	725 (71)	
Would you like to broaden your knowledge about rare diseases?				
Yes	2213 (81)	1865 (79.8)	1403 (79.4)	0.44
No	300 (11)	292 (12.5)	231 (13.1)	
l do not know	219 (8)	181 (7.7)	134 (7.6)	
Do you think that there should be a mandatory course on rare diseases ir medical curricula?				
Definitely yes	584 (21.4)	528 (22.6)	382 (21.6)	0.60
Rather yes	1378 (50.4)	1168 (50)	898 (50.8)	
Rather not	423 (15.5)	353 (15.1)	258 (14.6)	
Definitely not	188 (6.9)	166 (7.1)	135 (7.6)	
l do not know	159 (5.8)	123 (5.3)	95 (5.4)	
Where do you get your knowledge about RD from?				
Mandatory courses at the university	663 (24.3)	590 (25.2)	462 (26.1)	-
Faculty courses at the university	193 (7.1)	135 (5.8)	142 (8)	
Scientific literature and research	654 (23.9)	533 (22.8)	448 (25.3)	
Scientific conferences, symposia	313 (11.5)	231 (9.9)	180 (10.2)	
Internet	1517 (55.5)	1332 (57)	954 (54)	
l do not search for such information	546 (20)	442 (18.9)	344 (19.5)	
Do you feel prepared for caring over a patient with a rare disease?				
Definitely yes	164 (6)	123 (5.3)	91 (5.1)	0.24
Rather yes	605 (22.1)	508 (21.7)	382 (21.6)	
Rather not	858 (31.4)	710 (30.4)	544 (30.8)	
Definitely not	814 (29.8)	751 (32.1)	552 (31.2)	
I do not know	291 (10.7)	246 (10.5)	199 (11.3)	

with their attitude and disrupt the situation. This is of key importance because health professionals' false beliefs in their knowledge and skills make it difficult to change the situation of patients with rare diseases [24] Alawi et al. [25] suggest using RDs as a teaching model to transfer the basic sciences and clinical practice to students.

The findings of our study showed that there was no significant difference in the knowledge and attitude of nursing, medical, and the other students.

The present study has limitations, the most important of which is the content validity of the questionnaire. The items used in the questionnaire used were made in accordance with the conditions of Poland and European policies. Therefore, it cannot adequately cover all the required aspects of Iran's health policy. It is proposed to conduct more detailed studies to create a questionnaire according to Iran's health policy. The questionnaire may not effectively measure numerous concepts such as diagnosis, care, and treatment. It is suggested that future studies explore other concepts in the curricula of universities of medical science.

In conclusion, the present study shows that, according to the participants' answers, the existence of curricula on rare diseases is not enough for them and they do not feel ready to care for patients with rare diseases. We have shown that a fundamental problem exists and we suggest that future researchers look into the causes of this problem so that steps can be taken to improve the current situation.

Conclusion

The present study has indicated a gap in Iranian medical students' knowledge of RDs. The researchers believe that health science policymakers should make a joint effort to improve knowledge about RDs. Including courses with regard to RDs would be of benefit to future healthcare professionals, the importance of pharmaceutical education on orphan drugs which could be included in the medical curricula; the need to establish closer collaboration with other neighboring countries, the role of machine learning and artificial intelligence that can support the decision process and overcome barriers that affect the diagnostic odyssey and the role of telemedicine and telepharmacy services in providing RD patients the opportunity to continue treatment.

Acknowledgements

All students who somehow participated in this study are sincerely appreciated. Finally, thanks to the Student Research Committee of Golestan University of Medical Sciences.

Author contributions

RJ was responsible for analyzing the data, drafting the manuscript, reviewing the manuscript, and approving the final version. AN was responsible for collecting data, analyzing the data, drafting the manuscript, and approving the final version. MF was responsible for collecting data and approving the final version. SB, MF, KA, AF, SB, MS, AA, KE, NN, FS, HM, EY, SB, NM, EM, SA, and FT were responsible for collecting data. JD and AS were responsible for reviewing the manuscript and approving the final version. LJ was responsible for designing the study, reviewing the manuscript, and approving the final version. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

None.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was conducted following receipt of the ethics approval (IR.GOUMS. REC.1400.194) from the Golestan University of Medical Sciences. All students gave informed consent for the use of data for research purposes.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Author details

Clinical Research Development Unit (CRDU), Sayad Shirazi Hospital, Golestan University of Medical Sciences, Gorgan, Iran. ²Student Research Committee, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran. ³Student Research Committee, Shahrekord University of Medical Sciences, Shahrekord, Iran. ⁴Department of Social Sciences and Humanities, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland. ⁵School of Nursing and Midwifery, Golestan University of Medical Sciences, Gorgan, Iran. ⁶School of Nursing and Midwifery, Golestan University of Medical Sciences, Gorgan, Iran. ⁷Student Research Committee, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran. ⁸Student Research Committee, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran. ⁹Department of Nursing, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Gonabad University of Medical Sciences, Gonabad, Iran. ¹⁰Department of Medical Laboratory Sciences, The School of Allied Medicine Sciences, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ¹¹Student Research Committee, School of Medicine, North Khorasan University of Medical Sciences, Bojnurd, Iran. ¹²Student Research Committee, Torbat Heydariyeh University of Medical Sciences, Torbat Heydariyeh, Iran. ¹³Student Research Committee, Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, Rafsanjan, Iran.¹⁴Department of Biology, Science and Art University, Yazd, Iran. ¹⁵Student Research Committee, Shiraz University of Medical Science, Shiraz, Iran. ¹⁶Student Research Committee, School of Nursing, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ¹⁷Student Research Committee, Golestan University of Medical Sciences, Gorgan, Iran.¹⁸Student Research Committee, Semnan University of Medical Sciences, Semnan, Iran. ¹⁹Students' Scientific Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ²⁰Students Research Committee, Golestan University of Medical Sciences, Gorgan, Iran.²¹Department of Nutrition, Islamic Azad University, Sarvestan Branch, Sarvestan, Iran. ²²Student Research Committee, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran

Received: 30 March 2022 Accepted: 17 July 2022 Published online: 29 September 2022

References

 Radoir. Rare diseases foundation of Iran. Estimating the prevalence of rare diseases: analysis of Orphan data. 2020. https://b2n.ir/b30736. Accessed 26 Mar 2022.

- Saki C, Hamzehzadeh A. Atlas of the rare diseases in Iran. Iran: Urmia University of Medical Sciences; 2019. https://radoir.org/fa//wp-content/ uploads/2017/08/atlas.pdf.
- Schadewald A, Kimball E, Ou L. Coping strategies, stress, and support needs in caregivers of children with mucopolysaccharidosis. JIMD Rep. 2018;42:89–97. https://doi.org/10.1007/8904_2017_87.
- Zurynski Y, Deverell M, Dalkeith T, Johnson S, Christodoulou J, Leonard H, et al. Australian children living with rare diseases: experiences of diagnosis and perceived consequences of diagnostic delays. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2017;12(1):1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13023-017-0622-4.
- Pelentsov LJ, Fielder AL, Laws TA, Esterman AJ. The supportive care needs of parents with a child with a rare disease: results of an online survey. BMC Fam Pract. 2016;17(1):1–13. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s12875-016-0488-x.
- Anderson M, Elliott EJ, Zurynski YA. Australian families living with rare disease: experiences of diagnosis, health services use and needs for psychosocial support. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2013;8:1–9. https://doi.org/10. 1186/1750-1172-8-22.
- Sanges S, Farhat MM, Assaraf M, Galland J, Rivière E, Roubille C, et al. Raising rare disease awareness using red flags, role play simulation and patient educators: results of a novel educational workshop on Raynaud phenomenon and systemic sclerosis. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2020;15(1):159. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13023-020-01439-z.
- Vandeborne L, van Overbeeke E, Dooms M, De Beleyr B, Huys I. Information needs of physicians regarding the diagnosis of rare diseases: a questionnaire-based study in Belgium. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2019;14:99. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13023-019-1075-8.
- Domaradzki J, Walkowiak D. Knowledge and attitudes of future healthcare professionals towards rare diseases. Front Genet. 2021;12:639610. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2021.639610.
- Domaradzki J, Walkowiak D. Medical students' knowledge and opinions about rare diseases: a case study from Poland. Intractable Rare Dis Res. 2019;8(4):252–9. https://doi.org/10.5582/irdr.2019.01099.
- Ramalle-Gómara E, Domínguez-Garrido E, Gómez-Eguílaz M, Marzo-Sola ME, Ramón-Trapero JL, Gil-de-Gómez J. Education and information needs for physicians about rare diseases in Spain. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2020;15(1):18. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13023-019-1285-0.
- Beaton DE, Bombardier C, Guillemin F, Ferraz MB. Guidelines for the process of cross-cultural adaptation of self-report measures. Spine. 2000;25(24):3186–91.
- Hajizadeh E, Asghari M. Methods and statistical analyzes by looking at the research method in the life sciences and health sciences, Tehran: Publishing Co., Jihad University; 2015.
- Lawshe CH. A quantitative approach to content validity. Pers Psychol. 1975;28(4):563–75.
- Polit DF, Beck CT. The content validity index: are you sure you know what's being reported? Critique and recommendations. Res Nurs Health. 2006;29(5):489–97.
- Jonas K, Waligóra M, Hołda M, Sulicka-Grodzicka J, Strach M, Podolec P, et al. Knowledge of rare diseases among health care students-the effect of targeted education. Przegl Epidemiol. 2017;71(1):80–9.
- Alam T, Hameed A, Naveed S, Sharif N. Rare diseases: awareness amongst pharmacy students in Karachi, Pakistan. RADS-JPPS. 2016;4(1):96–101.
- Kopeć G, Podolec P. Establishing a curriculum on rare diseases for medical students. J Rare Cardiovasc Dis. 2015;2(3):74–6. https://doi.org/10.20418/ jrcd.vol2no3.194.
- Ramalle-Gómara E, Ruiz E, Quiñones C, Andrés S, Iruzubieta J, Gilde-Gómez J. General knowledge and opinion of future health care and non-health care professionals on rare diseases. J Eval Clin Pract. 2015;21(2):198–201. https://doi.org/10.1111/jep.12281.
- Greb AE, Brennan S, McParlane L, Page R, Bridge PD. Retention of medical genetics knowledge and skills by medical students. Genet Med. 2009;11:365–70. https://doi.org/10.1097/GIM.0b013e31819c6b2d.
- Walkowiak D, Bokayeva K, Miraleyeva A, Domaradzki J. The awareness of rare diseases among medical students and practicing physicians in the Republic of Kazakhstan. An exploratory study. Public Health Front. 2022;10:861. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.872648.
- Williams LZJ. Repurposing a rare opportunity: a brief insight into how implicit bias towards biomedicine impacts the care received by patients with a rare illness. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2019;14:1–3. https://doi.org/10. 1186/s13023-019-1024-6.

- McKay L. From amyloidosis to Zellweger syndrome: how can the medical education system include thousands of rare diseases? NZMSJ. 2019;29:7–10.
- Pisklakov S, Rimal J, McGuirt S. Role of self-evaluation and self-assessment in medical student and resident education. Br J Educ Soc Behav Sci. 2014;4:1–9. https://doi.org/10.9734/BJESBS/2014/5066.
- Alawi F. Using rare diseases as teaching models to increase awareness. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol. 2019;128(2):99–100. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.oooo.2019.04.015.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

