Proceedings of 37<sup>th</sup> PLEA Conference, 26-28 June 2024 Wrocław, Poland

# PLEA 2024: (RE)THINKING RESILIENCE The book of proceedings

Editors: Barbara Widera, Marta Rudnicka-Bogusz, Jakub Onyszkiewicz, Agata Woźniczka



# PLEA 2024: (RE)THINKING RESILIENCE

Proceedings of 37th PLEA Conference, Sustainable Architecture and Urban Design

26-28 June 2024 Wrocław, Poland Wrocław University of Science and Technology

Editors: Barbara Widera, Marta Rudnicka-Bogusz, Jakub Onyszkiewicz, Agata Woźniczka

Organised by: PLEA, Fundacja PLEA 2024 Conference



Honorary Patronage: Rector of Wrocław University of Science and Technology, Prof. Arkadiusz Wójs, DSc, PhD, Eng.



Scientific Patronage: The Committee for Architecture and Town Planning of the Wrocław Branch of the Polish Academy of Sciences



All rights are reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, including photocopying, recording or any information retrieval system, without permission in writing form the publisher.

© Copyright by Fundacja PLEA 2024 Conference, Wrocław 2024

Wrocław University of Science and Technology Publishing House Wybrzeże Wyspiańskiego 27, 50-370 Wrocław http://www.oficyna.pwr.edu.pl e-mail: oficwyd@pwr.edu.pl zamawianie.ksiazek@pwr.edu.pl

ISBN 978-83-7493-275-2

# Local Organizing Committee



### Barbara Widera PhD HDr PLEA 2024 DIRECTOR

Architect, researcher, expert for the European Commission and European Parliament

Research focus: bioclimatic design, nature-based solutions, underwater research and climate resilience

### PLEA Associate

Associate Professor at Wrocław University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Architecture Member of the Mission Board of EU Mission Adaptation to climate change New European Bauhaus Preparatory Action Dimension Lead Expert



### Jakub Onyszkiewicz PhD PLEA 2024 SECRETARY

Licensed architect, researcher and academic teacher

Research focus: biomimetics in geometry and architecture, natural building materials in modern architecture, energy management and sustainability in building renovation and building information modelling (BIM)

Adjunct at Wrocław University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Architecture Member of International Association of Shell and Spacial Structures (IASS)



### Marta Rudnicka-Bogusz PhD PLEA 2024 SECRETARY

Architect, researcher and academic teacher

Research focus: preservation of material heritage with complex parentage, cultural landscape as an outcome of overlapping patronages, sustainable cultural landscape

Adjunct at Wrocław University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Architecture Member of INTBAU and European Architectural Envisioning Association



## Aleksandra Marcinów PhD PLEA 2024 SECRETARY

Architect, researcher, academic teacher, expert in the research of historical objects

Research focus: contemporary adaptations of historic buildings, especially residences, reconstructions of castles and palaces in Silesia in the 19<sup>th</sup> and early 20<sup>th</sup> centuries

Adjunct at Wrocław University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Architecture

## International Advisory Board

Heide Schuster BLAUSTUDIO. GERMANY;

Paula Cadima Architectural Association Graduate School. UNITED KINGDOM;

Waldo Bustamante Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile. CHILE;

**Joana Carla Soares Goncalves** Architectural Association School of Architecture, UK. University of Westminster, UK. Bartlet School of Architecture, UCL, UNITED KINGDOM;

Rajat Gupta Oxford Brookes University. UNITED KINGDOM;

Carlos Javier Esparza López Universidad de Colima. MÉXICO;

Ulrike Passe Iowa State University. USA;

Sanda Lenzholzer Wageningen University. THE NETHERLANDS;

Edward Ng Chinese University of Hong Kong. HONG KONG;

Jorge Rodríguez Álvarez Universidade da Coruña, ESPAÑA;

Mattheos Santamouris Anita Lawrence Chair High Performance Architecture, School Built Environment, Faculty Art Design and Architecture, University New South Wales. AUSTRALIA;

Simos Yannas Architectural Association Graduate School. UNITED KINGDOM;

Pablo La Roche Cal. Poly Pomona / CallisonRTKL Inc. USA;

**Barbara Widera** Faculty of Architecture, Wroclaw University of Science and Technology. POLAND.

### Scientific Committee

### Heide Schuster BLAUSTUDIO. GERMANY. PLEA PRESIDENT;

Hector Altamirano University College of London. UNITED KINGDOM;

Sergio Altomonte Université Catholique de Louvain. BELGIUM;

Anna Bać Wrocław University of Science and Technology. POLAND;

**Umberto Berardi** Canada Research Chair in Building Science, BeTOP Lab, Faculty of Engineering and Architectural Science, Toronto Metropolitan University, CANADA;

Waldo Bustamante Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile. CHILE;

Paula Cadima Architectural Association. UNITED KINGDOM;

Paul Carew ARUP - Advanced Building Engineering. GERMANY;

Manuel Correia Guedes University of Lisbon. PORTUGAL;

Robert Crawford University of Melbourne. AUSTRALIA;

Denise Silva Duarte University of São Paulo. BRAZIL;

Rajan Rawal CEPT University. INDIA;

Carlos Esparza University of Colima. MEXICO;

Mark Gilliott University of Nottingham. UNITED KINGDOM;

**Joana Carla Soares Goncalves** Architectural Association School of Architecture, UK. University of Westminster, UK. Bartlet School of Architecture, UCL, UNITED KINGDOM;

Rajat Gupta Oxford Brookes University. UNITED KINGDOM;

Cecilia Jiménez Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú. CJD Arquitectos. PERÚ;

Sanda Lenzholzer Wageningen University. THE NETHERLANDS;

Florian Lichtblau Lichtblau Architects. GERMANY;

Carlos Javier Esparza López Universidad de Colima. MÉXICO;

Emanuele Naboni The Royal Danish Academy of Fine Arts. DENMARK;

Edward Ng The Chinese University of Hong Kong. HONG KONG - CHINA;

Leonardo Monteiro University of Sao Paulo. BRAZIL;

Lucyna Nyka Gdańsk University of Technology. POLAND;

Ulrike Passe Iowa State University. USA;

Alessandra Prata Shimomura FAUUSP. BRAZIL;

Lucelia Rodrigues University of Nottingham. UNITED KINGDOM; Jorge Rodríguez Álvarez Universidade da Coruña, ESPAÑA; Rosa Schiano-Phan University of Westminster. UNITED KINGDOM; Aurora Monge-Barrio Universidad de Navarra. SPAIN; Daniel Zepeda Rivas University College London, UK; Mattheos Santamouris University of Athens. GREECE; Marc E Schiler University of Southern California. USA; Masanori Shukuya Tokyo City University. JAPAN; Marta Skiba University of Zielona Góra. POLAND; Victoria Soto Magan Swiss Federal Institute of Technology. SWITZERLAND; Marwa Dabaieh Malmö University. SWEDEN; Małgorzata Sztubecka Bydgoszcz University of Science and Technology. POLAND; Leena E. Thomas University of Technology. AUSTRALIA; Barbara Widera Wroclaw University of Technology. POLAND; Jan Wienold École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne. SWITZERLAND; Feng Yang Tongji University. CHINA; Simos Yannas Architectural Association. UNITED KINGDOM; Aram Yeretzian American University of Beirut. LEBANON.

Florencia Collo ATMOS LAB. UNITED KINGDOM, UTDT University from Argentina.

### Acknowledgements

Local Organising Committee acknowledges and very much appreciates the invaluable help and constant support of PLEA President Heide Schuster, PLEA v-ce President Joana Carla Soares Gonçalves, PLEA Board, International Advisory Board and Scientific Committee. We are extremely grateful for the Honorary Patronage of Rector of Wrocław University of Science and Technology, Prof. Arkadiusz Wójs, DSc, PhD, Eng. and for resulting help of WUST provided at each step of organisation of PLEA 2024. We truly value the Scientific Patronage of The Committee for Architecture and Town Planning of the Wroclaw Branch of the Polish Academy of Sciences.

We acknowledge the role of PLEA 2024 Partners, and Sponsors without whom the organisation of PLEA 2024 would be impossible. We want to express our thanks to our Strategic Partners: The Museum of Architecture and Państwowa Szkoła Muzyczna II stopnia im. Ryszarda Bukowskiego we Wrocławiu. The multidimensional support of the VELUX Group as the Main Industrial Partner of PLEA 2024 was critical for the successful organisation of the conference. Mercedes-Benz GRUPA WRÓBEL helped us to reduce the environmental impact from mobility, in line with our sustainability targets.

In honour of the late Jeffrey Cook, Society of Building Science Educators awards Jeffrey Cook Student Travel Scholarship to PLEA 2024 to support students presenting papers at the PLEA Conference. Funding for this opportunity has been generously provided by the Jeffrey Cook Charitable Trust.

The full list of Contributors, Media Partners and Parter Journals is provided on next pages. We are also truly grateful to all Students, PhD Candidates and young Researchers who assisted participants throughout the whole conference and who made this event vivid and unforgettable experience.

# Strategic Partners



Museum of Architecture in Wrocław



Państwowa Szkoła Muzyczna II stopnia im. Ryszarda Bukowskiego

# Sustainable Mobility Partner

Mercedes-Benz grupa wróbel



Mercedes-Benz GRUPA WRÓBEL

# Media Partner



Akademickie Radio Luz

# Partner Journals



Energy and Buildings | Journal | ScienceDirect.com by Elsevier



Buildings &Cities | Community

# ARCHITECTUS

Architectus | Journal | architectus.pwr.edu.pl/en/

# Main Industrial Partner



VELUX Group

# Student Workshop Partner



National Institute of Architecture and Urban Planning

# PLEA 2024 WROCŁAW

(Re)thinking Resilience

# Language of Movement for Building Assessment: A Review of the Evaluation Methods of the Human Movement in the Built Space

### MOSLEH AHMADI<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Architecture, Gdansk University of Technology, Gdansk, Poland

ABSTRACT: The purpose of this article is to provide a framework for the categorization of methods and techniques of human movement evaluation, measurement, and assessment in the built space. The reviewed methods have been put together in a framework by the consideration of the level of movement and the timescale. In doing so, the phenomenon of movement has been distinguished as a four-level of movement scale that are subject to eight timescales. These four levels are imbedded movement, dynamic posture, dynamic location, and dynamic agent. Finally, twenty selected techniques and methods are defined and the methodological procedures of each of them have been described. These methods are mainly derived from studies on daylighting and comfort.

KEYWORDS: Movement, Daylighting, Architecture, Comfort, Methodology

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A collection of choreographic postures has been employed to establish a distinctive movement vocabulary, governed by geometric rules specifically formulated for the human body. This codified grammar of movement, detailed in a set of rules, intricately shapes the dynamics of the human body, giving rise to a nuanced corporeal experience [1]. Consequently, the deliberate and coordinated movements originating from diverse parts and joints of the body can be meticulously observed and monitored, offering valuable insights into various variables associated with the psychophysiological conditions of individuals. This paper explores a selected set of architectural and urban studies to shape a comprehensive review of evaluation methods for human movement within built spaces, exploring the complex language of movement as a tool for building assessment.

The interdisciplinary nature of the research, drawing insights from neuroscience, biometrics, and psychology, further broadens the understanding of human movement. This expansion of scope highlights the multifaceted nature of movement and its profound impact on psychophysiological conditions. As a result, the research facilitates a structured approach to the analysis of human movement, enabling more informed, user-centric decisions in diverse contexts within the built environment.

#### 2. METHODOLOGY

This paper reviews the diverse approaches employed for measuring, assessing, and evaluating movement within a selected group of studies in architecture and urbanism. The contexts of the studies selected to review are daylighting and comfort that evolved around the main keywords of 'movement', 'daylight', and 'architecture'. It explores the intricacies of the methodologies applied in these studies, shedding light on the tools, instruments, and techniques utilized to capture and analyze movement data. For classification of these methods, movement could be distinguished into three main scales (Fig. 1).



Figure 1: movement scales. Movement of the facial muscles and heart (A), Movement of the joints and eye (B), and Movement of the body through the space (C) (source: author).

By categorizing movement into distinct levels and timescales, the comprehensive framework provided here enriches the theoretical foundation of architectural and urban studies and empowers it with practical applications and examples for each movement level and timescale.

### 3. RESULTS

Based on the review on the selected studies a table could be created illustrating the methods of evaluating movement in the built space. The table provides a structured overview of movement levels categorized across various timescales, ranging from instant to annual intervals. It distinguishes movement in four scales of micro-scale (imbedded motion of organs), mid-scale (reposition, redirection, ocular movement, limb movement) (Table 1), macro-scale (relocation, movement through space), and megascale (occupation cycle, migration, walking rate) (Table 2).

Table 1: Methods of evaluation of movement in the built space based on the scale and time of the movement for micro and mid scales

Movement	Micro-scale:	Mid-scale:
level	Imbedded motion /	Reposition /
	movement of	redirection / ocular
	organs	movement /
$\backslash$		movement of the
Timescale		joints
Instant	* Biometric analysis	* Eye Tracking,
(a range of	(EEG, SGR, EMG,	Motion sensing
seconds)	PPG)	* Adaptive zone
	* Affectiva iMotions	* Time-of-Flight
	video analysis	sensing
		* Vision-based pose
		estimation
Momentary	* Biometric analysis	* Eye Tracking
(minutely	(EEG, SGR, EMG,	* Adaptive zone
or a range	PPG)	* Time-of-Flight
of minutes)	* Affectiva iMotions	sensing
	video analysis	* Vision-based pose
		estimation
Temporary	* Smart bracelet	* Eye Tracking
(hourly or a	recording	* Adaptive zone
range of		* Time-of-Flight
hours)		sensing
Diurnal	* Smart bracelet	* Actigraphy
(daily)	recording	

Table 2: Methods of evaluation of movement in the built
space based on the scale and time of the movement for
macro and meaa scales

Movement	Macro-scale:	Mega-scale:
level Timescale	Relocation / movement pattern	Occupation cycle / migration / walking rate / trajectory
Instant (a range of seconds)	<ul> <li>* Timelapse footage</li> <li>with short intervals</li> <li>* Video recording</li> <li>analysis</li> <li>* Direct Observation</li> <li>* Time-of-Flight sensing</li> <li>* Behavioral mapping</li> </ul>	* Long Short- Term Memory (LSTM) trajectory prediction
Momentary (minutely or a range of minutes)	<ul> <li>* Timelapse footage</li> <li>with short intervals</li> <li>* Video recording</li> <li>analysis</li> <li>* Space syntax</li> <li>* Direct Observation</li> <li>* Time-of-Flight sensing</li> <li>* Vision-based motion</li> <li>tracking</li> <li>* Behavioral mapping</li> </ul>	* Datalogging * Monitoring * Long Short- Term Memory (LSTM) trajectory prediction * Space syntax * Behavioral mapping
<b>Temporary</b> (hourly or a range of hours)	* Timelapse footage with intervals * Space syntax	* Datalogging * Monitoring * Space syntax * Behavioral

	* Direct Observation	mapping
	* Time-of-Flight sensing	
	* Vision-based motion	
	tracking	
	* Behavioral mapping	
Diurnal	* Timelapse footage	* Datalogging
(daily)	with long intervals	* Monitoring
	* Actigraphy	* Space syntax
	* Space syntax	
	* Time-of-Flight sensing	
Periodic	* Actigraphy	* Actigraphy
(weekly)		* Monitoring
		* Web-based
		observation
Cyclical	* Actigraphy	* Actigraphy
(monthly)		* Monitoring
		* Web-based
		observation
Quarterly	-	* Observation
(seasonally)		* Web-based
/ Annual		observation
(yearly)		

### 4. DISCUSSION

The methods addressed in the tables (1, and 2) could be categorized in four movement types of imbedded motion, dynamic position, dynamic location, and dynamic agent each of which occur in different levels. Therefore, they require different approaches of analysis.

### 4.1. Small-scale Movement: Imbedded Motion

"The body expresses movement even when motionless" [2]. This notion stems from the physical and physiological facts that even in a static position, the same push and pull of the environmental and biological forces needed for motion occurs. This ongoing struggle is characterized by the constant interaction between the body and gravitational forces (refer to neutral body orientation as depicted by researchers [3]), as well as the dynamic interplay within the skeletal framework and musculature.

Heartbeat variability represents a form of motion generated by the cardiac muscle. This dynamic aspect was a pivotal factor in the mood-related analysis conducted by Peper et al. [4]. Consequently, in this study, posture, conceptualized as a distinct form of movement, was established as the independent variable, with heartbeat variability serving as the dependent variable (Fig. 3). In a separate investigation [5], the Photoplethysmogram (PPG) was employed to measure heartbeat variability, contributing to a deeper understanding of emotional responses and stress levels. Moreover, recognizing heartbeat variability resulting from physical activity, essentially movement within movement, presents an avenue for developing a thermal comfort model, as illustrated in the work by [6].



Figure 3: Effect of posture on respiratory breathing pattern and heart rate variability (source: [4]).

Beyond the motions orchestrated by the internal activities of human organs, studies investigating sleep have underscored the significance of readiness for movement and activity. Understanding this readiness holds particular importance in the realm of movement studies, as the body aligns its internal chronometer with environmental cues, leading to variations in activity-rest patterns across different environmental conditions [7]. These patterns can be intentionally manipulated to achieve specific timing for movement and activity. Consequently, in these scenarios, human activity patterns and schedules are established as independent variables, exerting influence on how the built space should be approached. Considering this, regression analysis has been employed to predict human movement [8].

Biometric tools to record and map human experience is one of the recent areas of research. Ergan et al. [5], in their research argue that to map the experience of human effected by the architectural design features, the use of body area sensor network concept proves to be useful. In doing so, they [5] integrated Electroencephalogram (EEG), facial- or vision-based Electromyography (EMG), Galvanic Skin Response (SGR), Photoplethysmogram (PPG), and Eye Tracking tools with virtual reality environment to analyze human experience in the virtual built space.

Elementary	Muscles involved	Produced actions	
Happiness	<ul> <li>Orbicularis oculi</li> <li>Zygomaticus major</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Closing eyelids</li> <li>Pulling mouth corners upward and laterally</li> </ul>	common reference electrode (at border of hair line)
Surprise	<ul> <li>Frontalis</li> <li>Levator palpebrae superioris</li> </ul>	<ul><li> Raising eyebrows</li><li> Raising upper eyelid</li></ul>	imaginary vertical line through pupil
Fear	<ul> <li>Frontalis</li> <li>Corrugator supercilii</li> <li>Levator palpebrae superioris</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Raising eyebrows</li> <li>Lowering eyebrows</li> <li>Raising upper eyelid</li> </ul>	trontalis (VII) corrugator supercilii (VII)
Anger	<ul> <li>Corrugator supercilii</li> <li>Levator palpebrae superioris</li> <li>Orbicularis oculi</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Lowering eyebrows</li> <li>Raising upper eyelid</li> <li>Closing eyelids</li> </ul>	lev. labii sup al. nasi (VII)
Sadness	<ul> <li>Frontalis</li> <li>Corrugator supercilii</li> <li>Depressor anguli oris</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Raising eyebrows</li> <li>Lowering eyebrows</li> <li>Depressing lip corners</li> </ul>	zygomaticus major (VII) orbicularis
Disgust	<ul> <li>Levator labii superioris</li> <li>Levator labii superioris alaeque nasi</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Raising upper lip</li> <li>Raising upper lip and wrinkling nasal skin</li> </ul>	oris (VII) depressor anguli oris (VII) mentalis (VII)

Figure 4: Connection of the emotional expressions with the produced facial actions (Source: [10]).

Deciphering the facial expressions would help to assess the affective impact of architectural features on the user. Each produced action is linked to an emotional state representing the condition of the built space (Fig. 4). Beside EMG tool, cutting edge software could have the ability of analyzing the affective impact of the architectural elements. For instance, Affectiva iMotions is a facial expression analysis software that evaluates the video recordings of the users to read the facial expressions [9]. This technology enhances the precision of evaluating the affective responses of individuals to various architectural features.

Eye tracking technologies play a pivotal role in advancing the assessment of dynamic visual attention, as demonstrated by the use of portable eye trackers [11]. These technologies excel not only in pinpointing areas of interest but also in generating insightful heatmaps [12]. Moreover, the analysis extends to factors such as the direction of gaze, the degree of eye opening, and pupil size, particularly valuable for investigations into daylighting [13].

# **4.2.** Medium-scale Movement: Dynamic Posture and Position

Dynamic physical expressions and the activity of limbs and body parts shape the overall dynamic state of the human body in the space. The study of different postures and positions of the body in the space could determine valuable information for the researchers and designers collecting data on mood, sleep, and activity rates in the built space.

The dynamic portrayal of the body within an environment serves as a valuable tool for assessing human emotive states. Paterson's study [14] on the experiential aspects of architecture, focusing on vision and touch, demonstrates that user mood states can be discerned through the observation of postures and gestures. Building on this notion, researchers [4] conducted an experiment linking depression levels and emotional recall to varying postures, including both erect and collapsed conditions (refer to Fig. 3). Consequently, understanding the motivations and stimuli driving user movement within a building becomes feasible by gauging mood states, which can deduced through he posture and gesture observation.

The dynamic appearance of the body in space is not limited to visual observation; it extends to the use of motion sensors to monitor user activity, enabling strategic adaptations of the built environment to meet occupants' needs. Research in this realm includes the application of passive-infrared (PIR) motion sensors, notably enhancing adaptive lighting control through movement detection [15]. Additionally, ceiling-mounted Time-of-Flight sensors (ToF) provide opportunities for gesture recognition [16], extending their utility beyond mere recognition to applications like occupancy sensing, people counting, and activity monitoring. This multi-faceted approach facilitates comprehensive analysis of movement and interaction patterns within a space.

Limb activities could be considered as the main parameter of shaping the dynamic position and posture in the space. A tool to measure physical activity at this level is Actigraph. This tool could be used for different purposes ranging from physical activity assessment such as speed, activity counts, activity intensity, and steps per a given time [17] to rest/activity or sleep/wake cycle [18] [19]. Actigraphy with the use of actiwatches was a method to study the level of activity of participants in a long-term survey to study well-being and sleep quality [20].

Activity of the joints is also noteworthy specially for studies related to healthcare, safety, and sports. This activity defines the grammar of human skeleton motion while performing certain tasks. Motion could be captured through vision-based human motion sensing for ergonomic and biomechanical analysis [21]. The motion data in Liu et al.'s [21] research is consisted of the angles at body joints and could be depicted in a 3D or 2D illustration (Fig. 6A).

Dynamic direction or position of view is an output of the movement of head or the rotation of body. In daylighting studies, this behavior is attributed to the concept of 'adaptive zone' in which the visual comfort of the observer would be evaluated [22]. Although the method known as adaptive zone is initially to assess visual comfort and not movement, however, the view angles introduced to run the analysis imply the existence of movement of the body in a fixed location. Therefore, it could be possible to use this method for the evaluation of other environmental effects.

#### 4.3. Large-scale Movement: Dynamic Location

The dynamic interplay of the body with the space between points has been used to study the successfulness of the spatial design. The most basic form of movement analysis on the surface is space syntax methodology. While space syntax is taking into account factors such as movement patterns, cognition, and behavior [23], it could not cover all aspects of human movement varying from different timescales and levels explained previously in this article. In this method movement is a part of analysis based on the analysis of the spatial configurations integrated with social structures other than tracking and sensing movement [24]. Connectivity and integration are the two closely related factors when it comes into the study of movement as a link between different spatial units. These factors find application in generating heatmaps [25].

More direct approaches could be implemented to have a more accurate track of movement. Autographical shading and dotting on the map to locate the spatial appraised points [26], phenomenological writings and modeling [27], and autoethnography of the experience of movement [28] were three techniques to assess the experience of the space. They [28] understood atmospheres emerging according to the levels of activity and movement. With this approach each location is given an identity. Emotional representation of the locations in the space has been introduced as a variable dependent on the affective state of people in different locations besides spatial navigation [26]. Here movement described as spatial navigation plays an extraneous role for the independent variable. Chun and Towse [29] express that with autoethnographical approach researchers will narrate their 'own spatial experiences' to guide further design decisions.

Mapping of perceived daylight boundaries and best locations through survey and based on participants' drawing was a method of perceptional representation of the locations in the space [30]. This research aimed to understand the perception of users. In urban, researchers [31] have used timelapse photography with 1.5 minutes intervals to capture the use of resting areas in a square over time scale to assess each location.

human Mapping behavior to examine independent variables has captured the interest of many researchers. For example, in a study on the impact of the daylighting condition, the users' movements in a café have been mapped to understand whether the daylit areas are busiest or not [32]. Hong et al. [33] conducted a behavioral mapping using virtual agents. They used Dassault 3DVia Virtools which is a visual Systèmes' programming platform to create anthropomorphic goal-oriented agents mimicking human activities with four defined parameters defining and limiting the range of behaviors. Their goal was to find an optimal match between human activity and built environment in architectural design. In another context, aiming to classify behavior pattern, researchers [34] were able to model manual lighting control behavior patterns based on daylight illuminance and interior layout. To categorize, occupancy detectors were used to understand the change of occupancy and interaction pattern with the change in the layout and daylight conditions. Based on the data, a fuzzy logic model through MATLAB FIS editor was constructed.

Observation is the first method of data collection in studies related to behavior of people in a space regarding the use of space or the elements in the space [35]. More convenient methods such as, timelapse photography has proven to be a good method for the analysis of occupation cycle and pattern to study the energy use [35]. However, for the study of occupancy, the use of computer vision sensors and cameras is a good method for data collection is a more advanced methodology [36].

The rate of corporeal and sensory engagement with the environment as a dependent variable has been studied through observation and monitoring in different studies [37]. The higher the level of environmental affordances, the higher the rate of corporeal and sensory engagement. Or in better terms, the richness of quality environmental affordances directly contributes to an enhanced adaptive engagement experience.

#### 4.4. Mega-scale Movement: Dynamic Agents

Some certain studies such as post occupancy evaluation that involve long duration measurements or studies on urban components that involve higher intensities and volumes of movement patterns require other methods of evaluation. In other words, movement could also be interpreted and studied as the change in occupant counts or occupancy duration in each location. For instance, Jens and Khoudi [38] have grouped these two parameters with seats and tables to understand which place shows lesser change in occupants count and duration before and after a planned intervention. Based on this knowledge, the impact of different conditions on the occupancy patterns could be deciphered.

Pedestrian motion is the most analyzed parameters in the urban studies. For example, in an early study, Burse [39] simulated the movement and route decision of virtual individuals in an open space through an online multi-agent system called BOTworld to analyze human thermal comfort in different microclimatic condition.

On the urban scale, there have been many other studies considering user movement as a dependent variable on the environmental conditions or morphology. For example, researchers [40] have tried to simulate user movement through the analysis of the relationship of movement with the urban components. de Montigny et al. [41] have visually inspected the volumes of walking to establish its correlation with the locally felt weather.

One of the most important problems when dealing with volumes of movement is safety issues. For this reason, predicting models such as image processing have been used to predict trajectories of the pedestrians (Fig. 6B). However, the improved method of trajectory prediction has been recently introduced [42].



Figure 6: A 3D skeleton extraction for motion sensing and analysis (A) (Source: [21]). Prediction of the human movment (B) (Source: [42])

### **5. LIMITATIONS**

While this research helps the understanding of human movement within built spaces, it is essential to acknowledge that the proposed framework is not comprehensive and can benefit from further refinement. The correlations between movement levels and timescales could be more robust, and to enhance the validity and generalizability of the framework, a systematic review encompassing a broader range of references beyond those primarily related to daylighting and comfort is recommended. The current review provides a valuable starting point, offering practical insights and applications, yet a more comprehensive analysis could strengthen the results and refine the framework.

#### 6. CONCLUSION

Based on the framework presented in this article that classifies movement into 4 levels and 8 timescales, the diversity of movement evaluation has been shown. 20 methods have been introduced and explained here to measure, assess, or evaluate human movement inside the built space. These methods are: Biometric analysis (EEG, SGR, EMG, PPG), Affectiva iMotions video analysis, Eye Tracking, Motion sensing, Adaptive zone, Time-of-Flight sensing, Vision-based pose estimation, Timelapse footage with short intervals, Video recording analysis, Direct Observation, Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) trajectory prediction, Space syntax, Vision-based motion tracking, Datalogging, Monitoring, Smart bracelet recording, Timelapse footage with intervals, Timelapse footage with long intervals, Actigraphy, and Web-based observation.

In addition, the classification introduced here does not consider methods as subject-specific. Therefore, depending on study they could be modified. It is important to note that this review is a contribution to the establishment of a framework to practically correlate human movement with architecture.

#### REFERENCES

1. Piedade Ferreira, M., Cabral, D., & Duarte, J. P. (2011). The Grammar of Movement: A Step Towards a Corporeal Architecture. Nexus Network Journal, 13(1), 131–149.

2. Macarthur, J. (2007). Movement and tactility: Benjamin and Wölfflin on imitation in architecture. The Journal of Architecture, 12(5), 477–487.

3. Hauplik-Meusburger, S. (2011). Architecture for astronauts: Activity-based approach. Springer Wien NewYork.

4. Peper, E., Lin, I.-M., Harvey, R., & Perez, J. (2017). How Posture Affects Memory Recall and Mood. Biofeedback, 45(2), 36–41.

5. Ergan, S., Radwan, A., Zou, Z., Tseng, H. A., & Han, X. (2019). Quantifying human experience in architectural spaces with integrated virtual reality and body sensor networks. Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering, 33(2), 04018062.

6. Zhang, Y., Liu, J., Zheng, Z., Fang, Z., Zhang, X., Gao, Y., & Xie, Y. (2020). Analysis of thermal comfort during movement in a semi-open transition space. Energy and Buildings, 225, 110312.

7. Ahmadi, M. (2020). The experience of movement in orbital space architecture: A narrative of weightlessness. Cogent Arts & Humanities, 7(1), 1787722.

8. Das, A., & Paul, S. K. (2015). Artificial illumination during daytime in residential buildings: Factors, energy implications and future predictions. Applied Energy, 158, 65–85.

9. Kulke, L., Feyerabend, D., & Schacht, A. (2020). A Comparison of the Affectiva iMotions Facial Expression Analysis Software with EMG for Identifying Facial Expressions of Emotion. Frontiers in Psychology, 11.

10. Boxtel, A. (2010). Facial EMG as a tool for inferring affective states. Proceedings of Measuring Behavior 2010 (Eindhoven, The Netherlands, August 24-27, 2010).

11. de la Fuente Suárez, L. A. (2020). Subjective experience and visual attention to a historic building: A real-world eye-tracking study. Frontiers of Architectural Research, 9(4), 774–804.

12. Rusnak, M. A., & Rabiega, M. (2021). The Potential of Using an Eye Tracker in Architectural Education: Three Perspectives for Ordinary Users, Students and Lecturers. Buildings, 11(6), 245.

13. Yamín Garretón, J. A., Rodriguez, R. G., & Pattini, A. E. (2016). Glare indicators: an analysis of ocular behaviour in an office equipped with venetian blinds. Indoor and Built Environment, 25(1), 69–80.

14. Paterson, M. (2011). More-than visual approaches to architecture. Vision, touch, technique. *Social & Cultural Geography*, *12*(3), 263–281.

15. Gunay, H. B., O'Brien, W., Beausoleil-Morrison, I., & Gilani, S. (2017). Development and implementation of an adaptive lighting and blinds control algorithm. Building and Environment, 113, 185-199.

16. Jia, L., Afshari, S., Mishra, S., & Radke, R. J. (2014). Simulation for pre-visualizing and tuning lighting controller behavior. Energy and Buildings, 70, 287–302.

17. Chomistek, A. K., Yuan, C., Matthews, C. E., Troiano, R. P., Bowles, H. R., Rood, J., Barnett, J. B., Willett, W. C., Rimm, E. B., & Bassett, D. R. (2017). Physical Activity Assessment with the ActiGraph GT3X and Doubly Labeled Water. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise, 49(9), 1935–1944.

18. Baker, F. C., & O'Brien, L. M. (2017). Sex Differences and Menstrual-Related Changes in Sleep and Circadian Rhythms. In Principles and Practice of Sleep Medicine (pp. 1516-1524.e5). Elsevier.

19. Manber, R., Bootzin, R. R., & Loewy, D. (1998). Sleep Disorders. In Comprehensive Clinical Psychology (pp. 505–527). Elsevier.

20. Lee, J., & Boubekri, M. (2020). Impact of daylight exposure on health, well-being and sleep of office workers based on actigraphy, surveys, and computer simulation. Journal of Green Building, 15(4), 19-42.

21. Liu, M., Han, S., & Lee, S. (2016). Tracking-based 3D human skeleton extraction from stereo video camera toward an on-site safety and ergonomic analysis. Construction Innovation, 16(3), 348–367.

22. Bian, Y., Leng, T., & Ma, Y. (2018). A proposed discomfort glare evaluation method based on the concept of 'adaptive zone'. Building and Environment, 143, 306-317. 23. Yamu, C., van Nes, A., & Garau, C. (2021). Bill Hillier's Legacy: Space Syntax—A Synopsis of Basic Concepts, Measures, and Empirical Application. Sustainability, 13(6), 3394.

24. Bafna, S. (2003). Space Syntax. Environment and Behavior, 35(1), 17–29.

25. Both, K., Heitor, T., & Medeiros, V. (2013). Assessing Academic Library Design: A Performance-Based Approach. 337–346.

26. Galvez-Pol, A., Nadal, M., & Kilner, J. M. (2021). Emotional representations of space vary as a function of

peoples' affect and interoceptive sensibility. Scientific Reports, 11(1), 16150.

27. Bader, A. P. (2015). A model for everyday experience of the built environment: the embodied perception of architecture. The Journal of Architecture, 20(2), 244–267.

28. Sumartojo, S., Edensor, T., & Pink, S. (2019). Atmospheres in Urban Light. Ambiances, 5.

29. Chun, S., and Twose, S. (2019). On the effect of therapeutic spaces: a case for an autoethnographic study in architecture. In Proceedings of the Annual Design Research Conference 2019: 99-115. Melbourne, 2020.

 Izmir Tunahan, G., Altamirano, H., Teji, J. U., & Ticleanu,
 C. (2022). Evaluation of Daylight Perception Assessment Methods. Frontiers in Psychology, 13.

31. Krüger, E. L., Piaskowy, N. A., Moro, J., & Minella, F. O. (2019). Identifying solar access effects on visitors' behavior in outdoor resting areas in a subtropical location: a case study in Japan Square in Curitiba, Brazil. International journal of biometeorology, 63, 301-313.

32. Dubois, C., Demers, C., & Potvin, A. (2009). Daylit spaces and comfortable occupants: A variety of luminous ambiences in support of a diversity of individuals. In Proceedings of the PLEA.

33. Hong, S. W., Schaumann, D., & Kalay, Y. E. (2016). Human behavior simulation in architectural design projects: An observational study in an academic course. Computers, Environment and Urban Systems, 60, 1–11.

34. Cılasun Kunduracı, A., & Kazanasmaz, Z. T. (2019). Fuzzy logic model for the categorization of manual lighting control behaviour patterns based on daylight illuminance and interior layout. Indoor and Built Environment, 28(5), 584–598.

35. Hunt, D. R. G. (1979). The use of artificial lighting in relation to daylight levels and occupancy. Building and environment, 14(1), 21-23.

36. Omar, O., García-Fernández, B., Fernandez-Balbuena, A. A., & Vázquez-Moliní, D. (2018). Optimization of daylight utilization in energy saving application on the library in faculty of architecture, design and built environment, Beirut Arab University. Alexandria engineering journal, 57(4), 3921-3930.

37. Atmodiwirjo, P. (2014). Space affordances, adaptive responses and sensory integration by autistic children. International Journal of Design, 8(3), 35-47.

38. Jens, K., & Khoudi, A. (2022). Using computer-vision sensors to study the impact of window views on occupancy and self-assessed productivity in flexible working environments: an intervention study. Intelligent Buildings International, 1-13.

39. Bruse, M. (2007). Simulating human thermal comfort and resulting usage patterns of urban open spaces with a multi-agent system. In Proceedings of PLEA (Vol. 24, pp. 699-706).

40. Yıldız, B., & Çağdaş, G. (2020). Fuzzy logic in agent-based modeling of user movement in urban space: Definition and application to a case study of a square. Building and Environment, 169, 106597.

41. de Montigny, L., Ling, R., & Zacharias, J. (2012). The Effects of Weather on Walking Rates in Nine Cities. Environment and Behavior, 44(6), 821–840.

42. Zeibo, J., Mishra, M. K., Panda, A. R., Mishra, B. S. P., & Mallick, P. K. (2021). Pedestrian Trajectory Prediction in Crowd Scene Using Deep Neural Networks (pp. 277–288).