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#### Abstract

The strength of a graph $G$ is the smallest integer $s$ such that there exists a minimum sum coloring of $G$ using integers $\{1, \ldots, s\}$, only. For bipartite graphs of maximum degree $\Delta$ we show the following simple bound: $s \leq\lceil\Delta / 2\rceil+1$. As a consequence, there exists a quadratic time algorithm for determining the strength and minimum color sum of bipartite graphs of maximum degree $\Delta \leq 4$.
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## 1. Introduction

For a simple undirected graph $G=(V, E)$, a (proper) vertex coloring $c$ is an assignment $c: V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that for all edges $\{u, v\} \in E, c(u) \neq c(v)$. Given a coloring $c$, we define its color sum $\Sigma_{c}=\sum_{v \in V} c(v)$, and its span $\chi_{c}=\max _{v \in V} c(v)$. The minimum color sum $\Sigma(G)$ is the minimum value of the color sum taken over all colorings of $G$, the chromatic number $\chi(G)$ is the minimum value of span taken over all colorings of $G$, and the strength $s(G)$ is the minimum value of span taken over those colorings of $G$ which have a color sum equal to $\Sigma(G)$. The maximum vertex degree in $G$ is denoted by $\Delta(G)$, whereas the minimum vertex degree is denoted by $\delta(G)$.

The problem of bounding or determining the exact values of $\Sigma(G)$ and $s(G)$ for different graph classes has been given a lot of attention due to the importance of the sum coloring problem in task scheduling (see e.g. [4] for a nice survey of results). The following upper bound on $s(G)$ was shown in [2] and holds for all graphs:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(G) \leq\left\lceil\frac{\Delta(G)+\operatorname{col}(G)}{2}\right\rceil, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{col}(G)=1+\max _{H \subseteq G} \delta(H)$ is the so-called coloring number of $G$. It is known that $\chi(G) \leq \operatorname{col}(G) \leq \Delta(G)+1$, and the authors of [2] have conjectured that bound (1) can in fact be strengthened as follows:

Conjecture 1 (Mehrabadi's Conjecture [2,1]). For any graph $G, s(G) \leq\left\lceil\frac{\Delta(G)+\chi(G)}{2}\right\rceil$.
The bound in Mehrabadi's conjecture has been proved to hold and be tight for the class of trees [3]. In this note we point out that the conjecture is in fact true for all bipartite graphs (i.e. whenever $\chi(G)=2$ ), and remark on some algorithmic consequences of this observation.
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## 2. A proof of Mehrabadi's conjecture for bipartite graphs

Theorem 1. For any bipartite graph $G, s(G) \leq\left\lceil\frac{\Delta(G)}{2}\right\rceil+1$.
Proof. Let $G$ be a bipartite graph with bipartite partitions $V=V_{1} \cup V_{2}$, and let $c$ be a coloring of $G$ with $\Sigma_{c}=\Sigma(G)$. To complete the proof it is enough to show a procedure which constructs a proper coloring $c^{\prime}$ of $G$ such that $\Sigma_{c^{\prime}} \leq \Sigma_{c}$ and $\chi_{c^{\prime}} \leq\left\lceil\frac{\Delta(G)}{2}\right\rceil+1$. Initially, for all $v \in V$, we put $c^{\prime}(v):=\min \left\{c(v),\left\lceil\frac{\Delta(G)}{2}\right\rceil+1\right\}$. At this point coloring $c^{\prime}$ may be improper due to the existence of neighboring vertices sharing color $\left\lceil\frac{\Delta(G)}{2}\right\rceil+1$. We will proceed to modify coloring $c^{\prime}$ to eliminate these conflicts, in such a way that at every step the color sum of $c^{\prime}$ does not increase, and that $c^{\prime}$ restricted to vertices having colors $\left\{1, \ldots,\left\lceil\frac{\Delta(G)}{2}\right\rceil\right\}$ always remains proper. The condition $\chi_{c^{\prime}} \leq\left\lceil\frac{\Delta(G)}{2}\right\rceil+1$ will be fulfilled throughout the process.

Let $V_{C} \subseteq V_{2}$ be the subset of nodes $v \in V_{2}$ such that $c^{\prime}(v)=\left\lceil\frac{\Delta(G)}{2}\right\rceil+1$ and $v$ has at least one neighbor in $V_{1}$ colored with the same color as $v$. As long as $V_{C}$ is non-empty, at each step we arbitrarily choose a vertex $v \in V_{C}$. Since $v$ has at least one neighbor in $V_{1}$ also colored with color $\left\lceil\frac{\Delta(G)}{2}\right\rceil+1, v$ can have at most $(\Delta-1)$ neighbors colored with colors from the range $\left\{1, \ldots,\left\lceil\frac{\Delta(G)}{2}\right\rceil\right\}$, and by the pigeon-hole principle there must exist a color value $a \in\left\{1, \ldots,\left\lceil\frac{\Delta(G)}{2}\right\rceil\right\}$ such that $v$ has at most one neighbor colored with color $a$. If $v$ has no neighbor colored with color $a$, we simply put $c^{\prime}(v):=a$, thus decreasing the color sum of $c^{\prime}$ without creating any new conflicts. Otherwise, let $u \in V_{1}$ be the unique neighbor of $v$ such that $c^{\prime}(u)=a$. We now modify coloring $c^{\prime}$ by switching the color values of $u$ and $v$, i.e. $c^{\prime}(u):=\left\lceil\frac{\Delta(G)}{2}+1\right\rceil$ and $c^{\prime}(v)=a$. This does not change the color sum of $c^{\prime}$, and moreover $c^{\prime}$ restricted to vertices having colors $\left\{1, \ldots,\left\lceil\frac{\Delta(G)}{2}\right\rceil\right\}$ remains proper since $u$ was the unique neighbor of $v$ originally having color $a$.

The above procedure is iterated until set $V_{C}$ is empty. It terminates after at most a linear number of steps because at each step the number of vertices in $V_{2}$ having color $\left\lceil\frac{\Delta(G)}{2}\right\rceil+1$ decreases by exactly 1 . (In some steps the size of set $V_{C}$ may increase, but this is irrelevant.) When the procedure terminates, since set $V_{C}$ is empty and the graph is bipartite, $c^{\prime}$ is a proper coloring. Recalling that $\Sigma_{c^{\prime}} \leq \Sigma_{c}$ and $\chi_{c^{\prime}} \leq\left\lceil\frac{\Delta(G)}{2}\right\rceil+1$ completes the proof.

## 3. Sum coloring of bipartite graphs with $\Delta \leq 4$

The problem of determining the color sum $\Sigma(G)$ and strength $s(G)$ of a graph is known to be computationally hard even when restricted to special graph classes. For example, the problem "is $s(G) \leq 2$ ?" is coNP-complete even for bipartite graphs [6], whereas determining the exact value of $\Sigma(G)$ is NP-hard for bipartite graphs for any value of maximum degree $\Delta(G) \geq 5$ [5]. On the other hand, it was shown in [5] that it is possible to determine $\Sigma(G)$ precisely in polynomial time for bipartite graphs with $\Delta(G) \leq 3$, while the question of the complexity of determining $\Sigma(G)$ for bipartite graphs of maximum degree $\Delta(G)=4$ was posed as the main open problem. Taking into account the proof of Theorem 1, we can now provide a positive answer to this question.

Theorem 2. For any bipartite graph $G$ of maximum degree $\Delta(G) \leq 4$, the values of $\Sigma(G)$ and $s(G)$ can be exactly determined in $O\left(|V|^{2}\right)$ time.

Proof. In order to find $\Sigma(G)$, we take advantage of an advanced routine from [5, Thm. 3], which finds in $O(|V||E|)$ time an improper coloring $c$ of any bipartite graph with colors $\{1,2,3\}$, such that $c$ restricted to colors $\{1,2\}$ is proper (though vertices having color 3 can be adjacent), and moreover $\Sigma_{c} \leq \Sigma(G)$. Observing that for $\Delta \leq 4$ we have $\left\lceil\frac{\Delta(G)}{2}\right\rceil+1 \leq 3$, by applying the procedure from the proof of Theorem 1 to modify coloring $c$, we obtain in $O(|V||E|)=O\left(|V|^{2}\right)$ time a proper coloring $c^{\prime}$ of $G$ such that $\Sigma_{c^{\prime}} \leq \Sigma_{c} \leq \Sigma(G)$. Obviously, $c^{\prime}$ is an optimal sum coloring of $G$ and $\Sigma(G)=\Sigma_{c^{\prime}}$.

In order to determine $s(G)$, we note that by Theorem 1 for $\Delta \leq 4, s(G) \leq\left\lceil\frac{\Delta(G)}{2}\right\rceil+1 \leq 3$. Assuming that $G$ is non-empty, this means that either $s(G)=2$, or $s(G)=3$. So, it suffices to check whether $s(G)=2$, and this holds if and only if for each connected component $H$ of $G$ we have $\Sigma(H)=\min \left\{\Sigma_{c_{1}}, \Sigma_{c_{2}}\right\}$, where $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are the only two distinct colorings of bipartite graph $H$ using 2 colors. Since the parameters $\Sigma(H), \Sigma_{c_{1}}, \Sigma_{c_{2}}$ can be determined in $O\left(|V|^{2}\right)$ time, this completes the proof.

It is interesting to ask whether any of the simple techniques presented here, especially the proof of Theorem 1, can be generalized to non-bipartite graphs. A direct application of the proposed construction only removes color conflicts with respect to one independent set of the graph.
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