J Comb Optim (2016) 31:1373–1382
DOI 10.1007/s10878-015-9827-4

An $O(n \log n)$ algorithm for finding edge span of cacti

Robert Janczewski · Krzysztof Turowski

Published online: 17 January 2015
© The Author(s) 2015. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract Let $G = (V, E)$ be a nonempty graph and $\xi : E \to \mathbb{N}$ be a function. In the paper we study the computational complexity of the problem of finding vertex colorings c of G such that:

(1) $|c(u) - c(v)| \geq \xi(uv)$ for each edge $uv \in E$;
(2) the edge span of c, i.e. $\max\{|c(u) - c(v)| : uv \in E\}$, is minimal.

We show that the problem is NP-hard for subcubic outerplanar graphs of a very simple structure (similar to cycles) and polynomially solvable for cycles and bipartite graphs. Next, we use the last two results to construct an algorithm that solves the problem for a given cactus G in $O(n \log n)$ time, where n is the number of vertices of G.

Keywords Cacti · Edge span · Vertex coloring

Mathematics Subject Classification 05C15

1 Introduction

In the literature one can find some variants of vertex coloring which model the frequency assigment problem (Hale 1980), e.g. the backbone coloring (Broersma 2003) or the $L(p, q)$-labeling (Griggs and Yeh 1992). These variants impose similar requirements on the colors assigned to adjacent or close enough vertices: their distance have

R. Janczewski (✉) · K. Turowski
Department of Algorithms and Systems Modelling, Gdańsk University of Technology, Narutowicza 11/12, Gdańsk, Poland
e-mail: skalar@eti.pg.gda.pl

K. Turowski
e-mail: Krzysztof.Turowski@eti.pg.gda.pl

to be greater than or equal to a given number. For instance, in the backbone coloring problem we are given a graph G, its spanning subgraph H (backbone) and the following condition: the distance of the colors assigned to vertices u, v have to be at least 2 if they are adjacent in H or at least 1 if they are adjacent in G. In this paper we introduce a similar concept which we call ξ-colorings.

Definition 1 Let $G = (V, E)$ be a nonempty graph and $\xi\colon E \to \mathbb{N}$ be a function. A function $c\colon V \to \mathbb{Z}$ is a ξ-*coloring* of G if and only if $|c(u) - c(v)| \geq \xi(uv)$ for each edge $uv \in E$.

Clearly, ξ-colorings generalize backbone colorings. They are also related to $L(p, q)$-colorings since these are just ξ-colorings of G^2 for function ξ defined as follows:

$$\xi(uv) = \begin{cases} p, & \text{if } u,\ v \text{ are adjacent in } G, \\ q, & \text{if } u,\ v \text{ are of distance } 2 \text{ in } G. \end{cases}$$

In most works related to the $L(p, q)$-labeling or the backbone coloring (see e.g. Calamoneri (2006); Yeh (2006) for a survey of results) the authors study the problem of finding colorings with minimal *span*, i.e. the difference between the largest and the smallest color used. For instance, it was shown that the $L(p, q)$-labeling problem is NP-complete even for bipartite planar graphs with degree $\Delta \leq 4$ (Janczewski et al. 2009) and polynomially solvable for trees in the case $q = 1$ (Yeh 2006) and for many simple graph classes: paths, cycles etc. It was also shown that the $L(2, 1)$-labeling problem is polynomially solvable for cacti (Jonas 1993) and p-almost trees (Fiala and Kratochvil 2001) for every fixed p.

Herein we focus on similar minimization criterion: the edge span.

Definition 2 Let $c\colon V \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a ξ-coloring of a nonempty graph $G = (V, E)$. The number $\mathrm{esp}(c) := \max\{|c(u) - c(v)|\colon uv \in E\}$ is the *edge span* of c.

Definition 3 Let $G = (V, E)$ be a nonempty graph and $\xi\colon E \to \mathbb{N}$ be a function. The number $\mathrm{esp}(G, \xi) := \min\{\mathrm{esp}(c)\colon c \text{ is a } \xi\text{-}\textit{coloring} \text{ of } G\}$ is the *edge* ξ-*span* of G.

The edge span was studied in the context of the $L(2, 1)$-labeling (Yeh 2000) and other coloring variants (Chang et al. 1999). It is important since it is used as a local optimization criterion in the frequency assigment problem.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. We begin by showing that it is NP-hard to compute the edge ξ-span of subcubic outerplanar graphs. Next, we show that the edge ξ-span and optimal ξ-colorings, i.e. ξ-colorings with minimal possible edge span, of a bipartite graph G may be found in $O(n + m)$ time, where n is the number of vertices and m is the number of edges of G. Section 4 deals with odd cycles. We present a formula for the edge ξ-span and an $O(n \log n)$ algorithm that produces optimal ξ-colorings of C_{2n+1}. The last section shows how these results can be used to obtain an $O(n \log n)$ algorithm that produces optimal ξ-colorings of cacti.

MOST WIEDZY Downloaded from mostwiedzy.pl

2 Subcubic outerplanar graphs

It appears that computing the edge ξ-span is NP-hard even for relatively simple graphs.

Lemma 1 *Let $a < b$ be positive integers. If d_1, d_2 and d_3 are integers such that $|d_1| = b$, $a \leq |d_2| \leq b$, $b - a \leq |d_3| \leq b$ and $d_1 + d_2 + d_3 = 0$ then $|d_2| = a$ and $|d_3| = b - a$.*

Proof Observe that $|d_2 + d_3| = |-d_1| = b$. There are two cases to consider.

(a) $|d_2+d_3| = |d_2|-|d_3|$ or $|d_2+d_3| = |d_3|-|d_2|$. Then $|d_2+d_3| < \max\{|d_2|, |d_3|\} \leq b$—a contradiction.
(b) $|d_2 + d_3| = |d_2| + |d_3|$. Then $b = a + b - a \leq |d_2| + |d_3| = |d_2 + d_3| = b$, which yields $|d_2| = a$ and $|d_3| = b - a$.

□

Theorem 1 *The following problem is NP-complete:*

Instance: *A nonempty subcubic outerplanar graph $G = (V, E)$, a function $\xi : E \to \mathbb{N}$ and an integer k.*
Question: *Does* $\mathrm{esp}(G, \xi) \leq k$*?*

Proof The problem is clearly in NP. To complete the proof we will show that there is a polynomial-time reduction from the well-known partition problem to our problem. Recall that the partition problem is NP-complete (Karp 1972) even in the following version:

Instance: A sequence of positive integers $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2s}$.
Question: Is there a sequence $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_{2s}$ such that $|\varepsilon_i| = 1$ for all i and $\sum_{i=1}^{2s} \varepsilon_i a_i = 0$?

Given a sequence $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2s}$, we construct an instance of our problem in the following way. Let H_i $(1 \leq i \leq 2s)$ be a complete graph with vertex set $\{u_i, v_i, w_i\}$ and H be a graph whose connected components are $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_{2s}$. G arises from H by adding edges v_1u_2, v_2u_3, …, $v_{2s-1}u_{2s}$ and $v_{2s}u_1$ (see Fig. 1 for an example). We set $k = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{2s} a_i$ and

$$\xi(e) = \begin{cases} a_i, & \text{if } e = u_i v_i, \\ k - a_i, & \text{if } e = u_i w_i, \\ k, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that G is subcubic, outerplanar and the above construction takes $O(s)$ time. To complete the proof it suffices to show that $\mathrm{esp}(G, \xi) \leq k$ if and only if there is a sequence $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_{2s}$ such that $|\varepsilon_i| = 1$ for all i and $\sum_{i=1}^{2s} \varepsilon_i a_i = 0$.

($\Rightarrow$) Let c be an optimal ξ-coloring of G. Since $\mathrm{esp}(G, \xi) \leq k$, we have $|c(u) - c(v)| = k$ for all edges satisfying $\xi(uv) = k$. Combining this with Lemma 1, we get

MOST WIEDZY Downloaded from mostwiedzy.pl



Fig. 1 An example of the reduction for $s = 2$

$\xi(uv) = |c(u) - c(v)|$ for all edges of G since $|c(v_i) - c(w_i)| = k$, $k \geq |c(u_i) - c(v_i)| \geq \xi(u_i v_i) = a_i$ and $k \geq |c(u_i) - c(w_i)| \geq \xi(u_i w_i) = k - a_i$ for all i. Obviously

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2s} \Big((c(v_i) - c(u_i)) + (c(u_{1+(i \bmod 2s)}) - c(v_i)) \Big) = 0.$$

This sum is of form $\sum_{i=1}^{2s} \varepsilon_i a_i + Ak$. Since $k > \sum_{i=1}^{2s} a_i$ and the sum is 0, this gives $A = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{2s} \varepsilon_i a_i = 0$.

($\Leftarrow$) Let $c\colon V \to \mathbb{Z}$ be function given by

$$c(u) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \varepsilon_i a_i, & \text{if } u = u_j \text{ and } j \text{ is odd,} \\ k + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \varepsilon_i a_i, & \text{if } u = u_j \text{ and } j \text{ is even,} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{j} \varepsilon_i a_i, & \text{if } u = v_j \text{ and } j \text{ is odd,} \\ k + \sum_{i=1}^{j} \varepsilon_i a_i, & \text{if } u = v_j \text{ and } j \text{ is even,} \\ c(u_i) + k - a_i, & \text{if } u = w_i. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that c is a ξ-coloring of G and $\mathrm{esp}(c) = k$. □

3 Bipartite graphs

The following proposition implies that to obtain an optimal ξ-coloring of a bipartite graph G it suffices to find its partitions and color the vertices of the first one with 0 and the second with $\max \xi(E)$. All these steps can be done in $O(n + m)$ time.

Proposition 1 *Let G be a nonempty graph and $\xi\colon E \to \mathbb{N}$ be a function. Then*

$$\max \xi(E) \leq \mathrm{esp}(G, \xi) \leq \max \xi(E)(\chi - 1),$$

MOST WIEDZY Downloaded from mostwiedzy.pl

where χ is the chromatic number of G.

Proof The left-hand side inequality follows directly from the definition of the edge span and the ξ-coloring. The right-hand side is an easy consequence of the fact that if c is a coloring of G that uses colors $0, 1, \ldots, \chi - 1$ then $\max \xi(E) \cdot c$ is a ξ-coloring of G with edge span that equals $\max \xi(E)(\chi - 1)$. □

4 Odd cycles

In this section, we consider an odd cycle C_{2n+1} and a function $\xi : E(C_{2n+1}) \to \mathbb{N}$. Let $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2n+1}$ be the vertices of C_{2n+1}, numbered in such a way that v_i is adjacent to $v_{1+i \bmod (2n+1)}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n+1$. Let π be a permutation of $\{1, 2, \ldots, 2n+1\}$ such that the sequence

$$\xi_i := \xi(v_{\pi(i)} v_{1+\pi(i) \bmod (2n+1)})$$

is nondecreasing.

Definition 4 A sequence $r = (r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{2n+1})$ is a sequence of *cyclic differences* if and only if

(1) $|r_i| \geq \xi_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2n+1$;
(2) $\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} r_i = 0$.

The number $\|r\| := \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2n+1} |r_i|$ will be called the *norm* of r.

Theorem 2 $\mathrm{esp}(C_{2n+1}, \xi) = \min\{\|r\| : r$ *is a sequence of cyclic differences*$\}$.

Proof It suffices to show that for every positive integer s the existence of a sequence of cyclic differences of norm s is equivalent to the existence of a ξ-coloring of C_{2n+1} with edge span s.

($\Rightarrow$) Let r be a sequence of cyclic differences with norm s. The formula $c(v_i) = \sum_{j:\, \pi(j) < i} r_j$ defines the required ξ-coloring. Indeed,

$$\left| c(v_{1+i \bmod (2n+1)}) - c(v_i) \right| = \left| r_{\pi^{-1}(i)} \right|$$

which gives $|c(v_{1+i \bmod (2n+1)}) - c(v_i)| \geq \xi_{\pi^{-1}(i)} = \xi(v_i v_{1+i \bmod (2n+1)})$ and $\mathrm{esp}(c) = s$.

($\Leftarrow$) Let c be a ξ-coloring of C_{2n+1} with edge span s. It is easy to verify that the formula $r_i = c(v_{1+\pi(i) \bmod (2n+1)}) - c(v_{\pi(i)})$ defines the required sequence of cyclic differences. □

The proof of the above theorem shows that finding an optimal ξ-coloring of C_{2n+1} reduces to finding of a sequence of cyclic differences with minimal possible norm. The transition from one problem to the other requires two steps: we must sort the multiset $\xi(E)$, compute the permutation π and its inverse π^{-1}. All these steps can be done in $O(n \log n)$ time.

Lemma 2 *Let r be a sequence of cyclic differences.*

MOST WIEDZY Downloaded from mostwiedzy.pl

(1) *$-r$ is a sequence of cyclic differences.*
(2) *The sequence r' that arises as a result of sorting r in order of nondecreasing absolute values is a sequence of cyclic differences.*

Proof (1) Obvious.
(2) It suffices to show that swapping r_i with r_j, where $i < j$ and $|r_i| > |r_j|$, results in a sequence of cyclic differences. It holds since swapping does not change the sum of the sequence, $|r_i| > |r_j| \geq \xi_j$ and $|r_j| \geq \xi_j \geq \xi_i$.

□

Lemma 3 *If there exists an integer k such that $n+1 \leq k \leq 2n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i \leq (2n+1-k)\xi_{2n+1}$ then there exist an integer s such that $0 \leq s \leq k-2$ and the sequence r given by*

$$r_i = \begin{cases} -\xi_{2n+1}, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq s, \\ \sum_{j=s+2}^{k} \xi_j - (2n+1-k-s)\xi_{2n+1}, & \text{if } i = s+1, \\ -\xi_i, & \text{if } s+2 \leq i \leq k, \\ \xi_{2n+1}, & \text{if } k < i \leq 2n+1 \end{cases}$$

is a sequence of cyclic differences with norm ξ_{2n+1}.

Proof Let us notice that for all possible values of s we have $\xi_i \leq |r_i| \leq \xi_{2n+1}$ for $i \neq s+1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} r_i = -s\xi_{2n+1} + \sum_{i=s+2}^{k} \xi_i - (2n+1-k-s)\xi_{2n+1} - \sum_{i=s+2}^{k} \xi_i + (2n+1-k)\xi_{2n+1} = 0$. To complete the proof it suffices to show that there is s such that $\xi_{s+1} \leq |r_{s+1}| \leq \xi_{2n+1}$.

Let $\delta\colon \{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\} \to \mathbb{Z}$ be the function given by $\delta(j) = (2n+1-k-j)\xi_{2n+1} - \sum_{i=j+1}^{k} \xi_i$. We know that $\delta(0) = \xi_{2n+1}(2n+1-k) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i \geq 0$. Moreover $\delta(k-1) = 2(n+1-k)\xi_{2n+1} - \xi_k \leq -\xi_k < 0$ and $\delta(j+1) - \delta(j) = \xi_{j+1} - \xi_{2n+1} \leq 0$, so there must be s such that $0 \leq s \leq k-2$, $\delta(s) \geq 0$ and $\delta(s+1) < 0$. To complete the proof it suffices to note that $|r_{s+1}| = \xi_{s+1} + \delta(s)$ and $\xi_{s+1} \leq \xi_{s+1} + \delta(s) < \xi_{s+1} + \delta(s) - \delta(s+1) = \xi_{2n+1}$. □

Theorem 3 *The following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *there exists an integer k such that $n+1 \leq k \leq 2n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i \leq (2n+1-k)\xi_{2n+1}$;*
(2) *there exists a sequence of cyclic differences with norm ξ_{2n+1}.*

Proof ($\Rightarrow$) Follows immediately from Lemma 3.

($\Leftarrow$) For every sequence of cyclic differences r with norm ξ_{2n+1} we define a parameter $\zeta(r) = \sum_{i\,:\,r_i>0} |\xi_{2n+1} - r_i|$. It is easy to see that $\zeta(r) \geq 0$ and $\zeta(r) = 0$ if and only if $r_i = \xi_{2n+1}$ for each $r_i > 0$.

Let r be a sequence of cyclic differences with norm ξ_{2n+1} such that $\zeta(r)$ is minimal. Suppose that $\zeta(r) > 0$. Then there is j such that $0 < r_j < \xi_{2n+1}$. By Lemma 2, $-r$ is a sequence of cyclic differences. Since $\|-r\| = \|r\|$, we have $\zeta(-r) > 0$ and there must be l such that $0 < -r_l < \xi_{2n+1}$. But then the sequence r' given by

$$r'_i = \begin{cases} r_j + 1, & \text{if } i = j, \\ r_l - 1, & \text{if } i = l, \\ r_i, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

MOST WIEDZY Downloaded from mostwiedzy.pl

would be a sequence of cyclic differences with $\|r'\| = \xi_{2n+1}$ and $\zeta(r') = \zeta(r) - 1$—a contradiction. Hence $\zeta(r) = 0$ and $r_i = \xi_{2n+1}$ for all $r_i > 0$. Let $k = |\{i : r_i < 0\}|$. By Lemma 2, the sequence r'' resulting from r by sorting it in order of nondecreasing absolute values is a sequence of cyclic differences. Since only negative elements of r may have absolute value less than ξ_{2n+1}, we may assume that $r''_i < 0$ if and only if $i \le k$. Hence

$$(2n+1-k)\xi_{2n+1} = \sum_{i=k+1}^{2n+1} r''_i = -\sum_{i=1}^{k} r''_i = \sum_{i=1}^{k} |r''_i|,$$

which, combined with $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} |r''_i| \le k\xi_{2n+1}$, gives immediately $k \ge n+1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i \le (2n+1-k)\xi_{2n+1}$. This completes the proof since $k \le 2n$ is obvious. □

The above theorem combined with Lemma 3 leads to a linear algorithm that can verify if there is a sequence of cyclic differences of norm ξ_{2n+1}. The algorithm, if the answer is yes, will give us a formula describing the required sequence. Indeed, it suffices to verify for $k = n+1, n+2, \ldots, 2n$ whether $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i \le (2n+1-k)\xi_{2n+1}$, and, if such k was found, use the formula of Lemma 3.

Lemma 4 *If there exists an integer k such that $1 \le k \le 2n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i > (2n+1-k)\xi_{2n+1}$ then the sequence r given by*

$$r_i = \begin{cases} -\xi_i, & \text{if } i \le k, \\ q, & \text{if } k+1 \le i \le 2n+1-s, \\ q+1, & \text{if } i > 2n+1-s, \end{cases}$$

where q is the quotient and s is the remainder from the division of $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i$ by $2n+1-k$, is a sequence of cyclic differences with norm $\lceil \sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i/(2n+1-k) \rceil$.

Proof Since $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i > (2n+1-k)\xi_{2n+1}$, we have $q \ge \xi_{2n+1}$. To complete the proof, it suffices to observe that $\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} r_i = -\sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i + q(2n+1-s-k) + (q+1)s = -\sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i + q(2n+1-k) + s = 0$. □

Theorem 4 *Suppose that every sequence of cyclic differences is of norm greater than ξ_{2n+1}. If r is a sequence of cyclic differences with the minimal possible norm then there is an integer k such that $1 \le k \le 2n$, $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i > (2n+1-k)\xi_{2n+1}$ and*

$$\|r\| \ge \left\lceil \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\xi_i}{2n+1-k} \right\rceil.$$

Proof For a given sequence of cyclic differences s we define a parameter

$$\zeta_1(s) = \min\left\{ \sum_{i:\, s_i<0} |s_i + \xi_i|, \sum_{i:\, s_i>0} |s_i - \xi_i| \right\}.$$

MOST WIEDZY Downloaded from mostwiedzy.pl

It is easy to see that $\zeta_1(s) \geq 0$ and $\zeta_1(s) = 0$ if and only if holds at least one of the following conditions:

(a) for all i such that $s_i < 0$ we have $s_i = -\xi_i$;
(b) for all i such that $s_i > 0$ we have $s_i = \xi_i$.

Let s be a sequence of cyclic differences such that $\|s\| = \|r\|$ and the value of $\zeta_1(s)$ is minimal. If $\zeta_1(s) > 0$ then there would exist l and j such that $s_l < -\xi_l$, $s_j > \xi_j$ and the function given by

$$s_i' = \begin{cases} s_i, & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } i \neq l, \\ s_l + 1, & \text{if } i = l, \\ s_j - 1, & \text{if } i = j, \end{cases}$$

would be a sequence of cyclic differences satisfying $\|s'\| = \|r\|$ and $\zeta_1(s') = \zeta_1(s) - 1$—a contradiction. Hence $\zeta_1(s) = 0$. Since $\zeta_1(-s) = \zeta_1(s)$ and $\|s\| = \|-s\|$, we may assume without loss of generality that s satisfies condition (a).

For all sequences of cyclic differences s that satisfy (a) and the equality $\|s\| = \|r\|$ we define a parameter

$$\zeta_2(s) = \min \left\{ \sum_{i\,:\,s_i > 0} \max\{s_i - \xi_{2n+1}, 0\}, \sum_{i\,:\,s_i > 0} \max\{\xi_{2n+1} - s_i, 0\} \right\}.$$

Obviously, $\zeta_2(s) \geq 0$ and $\zeta_2(s) = 0$ if and only if at least one of the following conditions hold

(c) for all i such that $s_i > 0$ we have $s_i \leq \xi_{2n+1}$;
(d) for all i such that $s_i > 0$ we have $s_i \geq \xi_{2n+1}$.

Let s be a sequence of cyclic differences that satisfies (a), the equality $\|s\| = \|r\|$ and has the minimal value of $\zeta_2(s)$. If $\zeta_2(s) > 0$ then there would exist l and j such that $0 < s_l < \xi_{2n+1}$, $s_j > \xi_{2n+1}$ and the function given by

$$s_i' = \begin{cases} s_i, & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } i \neq l, \\ s_l + 1, & \text{if } i = l, \\ s_j - 1, & \text{if } i = j, \end{cases}$$

would be a sequence of cyclic differences satisfying (a), the equality $\|s'\| = \|r\|$ and $\zeta_2(s') = \zeta_2(s) - 1$—a contradiction. Hence $\zeta_2(s) = 0$, which means that s satisfies (d), otherwise (c) would be satisfied and $\|s\| = \xi_{2n+1} < \|r\|$. Let $k = |\{i : s_i < 0\}|$. By Lemma 2 the sequence s'' resulting from sorting s in order of nondecreasing absolute values is a sequence of cyclic differences. Since only the negative elements of s may have absolute value less than ξ_{2n+1}, we may assume that $s_i'' < 0$ if and only if $i \leq k$. Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i = -\sum_{i=1}^{k} s_i'' = \sum_{i=k+1}^{2n+1} s_i'',$$

MOST WIEDZY Downloaded from mostwiedzy.pl

which along with $\sum_{i=k+1}^{2n+1} s_i'' > (2n+1-k)\xi_{2n+1}$ (at least one is greater than ξ_{2n+1}) and $\|r\| = \|s''\| \geq \max\{s_i'' : s_i'' > 0\} \geq \lceil \sum_{i=k+1}^{2n+1} s_i''/(2n+1-k) \rceil = \lceil \sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i/(2n+1-k) \rceil$) completes the proof. □

Observe that from Lemma 4 it follows that the inequality $\|r\| \geq \lceil \sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i/(2n+1-k) \rceil$ must be equality for some k. This means that this theorem along with Lemma 4 leads to another linear algorithm which this time finds a sequence of cyclic differences with minimal norm under the assumption that there is no sequence of cyclic differences with norm ξ_{2n+1}. Combining these algorithms we obtain a linear algorithm that finds a sequence of cyclic differences with minimal norm. We also obtain the following formula:

(1) if there is k such that $n+1 \leq k \leq 2n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i \leq (2n+1-k)\xi_{2n+1}$ then $\mathrm{esp}(G, \xi) = \xi_{2n+1}$;
(2) otherwise $\mathrm{esp}(G, \xi) = \min\{\lceil \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\xi_i}{2n+1-k} \rceil : 1 \leq k \leq 2n \wedge \sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i > (2n+1-k)\xi_{2n+1}\}$.

5 Cacti

A connected graph G is a cactus if and only if every edge belongs to at most one cycle. It is known that G is a cactus if and only if there exists a sequence of graphs G_1, G_2, …, G_k, called a *decomposition* of G, such that:

(1) G_i is a cycle or a path P_2 for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$;
(2) G_i has exactly one vertex in common with $G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \ldots \cup G_{i-1}$ for $i = 2, 3, \ldots, k$;
(3) $G = G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \ldots \cup G_k$.

Verification whether G is a cactus and, if the answer is yes, obtaining a decomposition is executable in a linear time. Now we are ready to formulate and prove our main result.

Theorem 5 *Let G be a nonempty cactus, $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k$ be its decomposition and $\xi : E \to \mathbb{N}$ be a function. Then*

$$\mathrm{esp}(G, \xi) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \mathrm{esp}(G_i, \xi_i),$$

where $\xi_i := \xi|_{E(G_i)}$.

Proof The inequality $\mathrm{esp}(G, \xi) \geq \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \mathrm{esp}(G_i, \xi_i)$ follows from the fact that G_i is a subgraph of G and $\xi_i = \xi|_{E(G_i)}$. To complete the proof we use induction on j to show that $\mathrm{esp}(G'_j, \xi'_j) \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq j} \mathrm{esp}(G_i, \xi_i)$, where $G'_j := G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \ldots \cup G_j$ and $\xi'_j := \xi|_{E(G'_j)}$.

This is obvious for $j = 1$ since $G'_1 = G_1$ and $\xi'_1 = \xi_1$. Assume that the inequality holds for $j-1$, i.e. $\mathrm{esp}(G'_{j-1}, \xi'_{j-1}) \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq j-1} \mathrm{esp}(G_i, \xi_i)$. Let c' be an optimal ξ'_{j-1}-coloring of G'_{j-1} and c_j be an optimal ξ_j-coloring of G_j. Let v be the only

MOST WIEDZY Downloaded from mostwiedzy.pl

common vertex of G'_{j-1} and G_j. It is easy to see that the function $c\colon V(G'_j) \to \mathbb{Z}$ given by

$$c(u) = \begin{cases} c'(u), & \text{if } u \in V(G'_{j-1}), \\ c_j(u) + c'(v) - c_j(v), & \text{if } u \in V(G_j), \end{cases}$$

is a well-defined ξ'_j-coloring of G'_j. Moreover $\mathrm{esp}(c) = \max\{\mathrm{esp}(c'), \mathrm{esp}(c_j)\}$, so $\mathrm{esp}(G'_j, \xi'_j) \le \mathrm{esp}(c) = \max\{\mathrm{esp}(G'_{j-1}, \xi'_{j-1}), \mathrm{esp}(G_j, \xi_j)\} \le \max_{1\le i\le j} \mathrm{esp}(G'_i, \xi'_i)$. □

The above proof shows how to construct an optimal ξ-coloring of a cactus G provided that we have its decomposition $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_k$ and optimal ξ_i-colorings. The former can be done in $O(n)$, the latter was proved to be executable in $O(n \log n)$ time since the elements of the decomposition are paths and cycles. The construction presented in the proof is clearly linear, thus the overall complexity of this construction is $O(n \log n)$.

Acknowledgments This project has been partially supported by Narodowe Centrum Nauki under contract DEC-2011/02/A/ST6/00201.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

Broersma HJ (2003) A general framework for coloring problems: old results, new results, and open problems. In: Combinatorial geometry and graph theory. Springer, Berlin, p 65–79

Calamoneri T (2006) The $L(h, k)$-labelling problem: a survey and annotated bibliography. Comput J 49:585–608

Chang GJ, Juan S-T, Hu S-J (1999) T-colorings and T-edge spans of graphs. Graphs Combin 15:295–301

Fiala J, Kratochvil J (2001) Complexity of partial covers of graphs., Lectures notes in computer science-Springer, Berlin, pp 537–549

Griggs JR, Yeh RK (1992) Labeling graphs with a condition at distance two. SIAM J Discret Math 5:586–595

Hale WK (1980) Frequency assigment: theory and applications. Proc IEEE 68:1497–1514

Janczewski R, Kosowski A, Małafiejski M (2009) The complexity of the $L(p, q)$-labeling problem for bipartite planar graphs of small degree. Discret Math 309:3270–3279

Jonas K (1993) Graph coloring analogues with a condition at distance two: $L(2, 1)$-labelings and list λ-labelings. Ph.D. Thesis, University of South Carolina, Columbia

Karp RM (1972) Reducibility among combinatorial problems. In: Miller RE, Thatcher JW (eds) Complex Comput Comput. Plenum, New York, pp 85–103

Yeh RK (2000) The edge span of distance two labellings of graphs. Taiwan J Math 4:675–683

Yeh RK (2006) A survey on labeling graphs with a condition at distance two. Discret Math 306:1217–1231

MOST WIEDZY Downloaded from mostwiedzy.pl