Postprint of: Andruch V., Halko R., Tuček J., Płotka-Wasylka J., Application of deep eutectic solvents in atomic absorption spectrometry, TRAC-TRENDS IN ANALYTICAL CHEMISTRY Vol. 147 (2022), 116510, DOI: 10.1016/j.trac.2021.116510

© 2021. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

1	
2	Application of deep eutectic solvents in atomic absorption spectrometry
3	Vasil Andruch ^a , Radoslav Halko ^b , Jozef Tuček ^b , Justyna Płotka-Wasylka ^{c,d,} *
4	
5 6 7 8	^a Department of Analytical Chemistry, Institute of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, P. J. Šafárik University, Košice, Slovakia ^b Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Comenius University in Bratislava, SK-842 15 Bratislava, Slovakia
9 10	^c Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, Gdańsk University of Technology, 80-233 Gdańsk. Poland
11 12	^d BioTechMed Center, Gdańsk University of Technology, 80-233 Gdańsk, Poland
13	
14	*Corresponding author: juswasyl@pg.edu.pl; plotkajustyna@gmail.com (J. Płotka-Wasylka)
15	
16	Abstract
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) is a widely applied technique for metal quantification due to its practicality, easy use and low cost. However, to improve the metrological characteristics of AAS, in particular the sensitivity and the detection limit, sample pretreatment is commonly used before the detection step itself. In consideration of the principles of Green Analytical Chemistry, new solvents are being introduced into analytical practice. Deep eutectic solvents (DES) are often employed in the sample preparation prior to AAS due to their unique properties. This article deals with the potential of DES for the separation of metals and metalloids followed by AAS quantification. The primary focus is on DES employed in various liquid–liquid microextraction procedures, such UA-LPME, VA-LPME and DLLME; however, examples of less frequently occurring combinations are also presented. We believe that this review can be useful for readers as a starting point for future research in the field of DESs and their application in AAS.
28 29 30	<i>Keywords</i> : deep eutectic solvents; atomic absorption spectrometry; inorganic analysis; speciation

33	Content	
34	1 Introduction	3
35	2 Procedure for DES-based extraction	5
36	3 Elements determined by AAS following DES-based extraction	5
37	3.1 Speciation analysis	6
38	4 Samples of different matrix composition: sampling and sample pre-treatment	7
39	4.1 Water samples	8
40	4.2 Food samples	9
41	4.2.1 Vegetable and fruit samples	10
42	4.2.2 Drink samples	10
43	4.2.3 Oil samples	11
44	4.3 Biological samples	11
45	4.4 Other type of samples	11
46	5 Type of atomization in atomic absorption spectrometry	11
47	6 Addition of ligands (complexing agents)	12
48	7 Less frequently occurring connections	13
49	7.1 DES-based digestion (dissolution)	13
50	7.2 Solidified DES-based procedures	14
51	7.3 Effervescence-assisted procedures	14
52	7.4 Hollow fiber-based procedures	15
53	7.5 Magnetic nanoparticles-based procedures	15
54	8 Terminology	
55	9 Conclusion and future trends	16
56		

1 Introduction 66

67 Metals have been a part of people's lives to a greater or lesser extent since ancient times. The 68 development and progress of civilizations have been linked to the ability to process metals and make useful tools from them. Metals and their compounds are present in almost all aspects of modern life. 69 70 In general, metals are toxic, but some metals, in trace amounts, are essential for all higher forms of 71 life. Therefore, determining the concentration of metals in environmental components, such as 72 different types of water and soils, and in a variety of food and biological samples, is an important 73 task. Many techniques can be used for such elemental analysis, but atomic absorption spectrometry 74 (AAS), whether with flame or electrothermal atomization or hydride or vapor generation, is perhaps 75 the most-recognized and well-established among them [1].

76 Despite the excellent indicators of current analytical equipment and ongoing progress in the development of new instruments, before quantification itself can be performed, the pre-treatment 77 78 of samples is necessary to reduce the influence of the matrix as well as to pre-concentrate the 79 studied analytes due to their low content in the sample [2]. What needs to be noted is that classical 80 pre-concentration techniques, such as liquid–liquid extraction (LLE) and solid-phase extraction (SPE), 81 are gradually being replaced by new microextraction techniques which are more in line with today's 82 requirements for sustainable development and, in addition, often provide better performance and 83 metrological characteristics. The choice of a sample pre-treatment technique depends on the 84 method to be used for the final determination. A great amount of effort has been expended to 85 improve methods of sample preparation for elemental determination (Figure 1) [3-6].





Figure 1. The evolution of sample preparation procedures

87 88 89

91 In the last two decades, various liquid-phase microextraction (LPME) techniques have been gradually introduced. These include single-drop microextraction (SDME) [7], dispersive liquid-liquid 92 93 microextraction (DLLME) [8], solidification of floating organic drop microextraction (SFODME) [9], 94 hollow-fiber liquid-phase microextraction (HF-LPME) [10] as well as many modifications of them. 95 Such techniques satisfy the current requirements of Green Analytical Chemistry (GAC), as they use 96 only microliter volumes of organic solvents. Another current trend is the effort to replace hazardous 97 organic solvents with new, environmentally friendly ones, for example surfactants or ionic liquids (IL). 98 In this context, the introduction of switchable solvents [11] as well as so-called deep eutectic 99 solvents (DES) [12] into analytical practice in the last decade must be mentioned. In this review 100 article, we will deal with the latter mentioned solvents, mostly in regard to their potential use in the 101 separation of metals and metalloids, with subsequent AAS quantification.

102 The number of research papers on the topic of DES is growing rapidly, including articles 103 devoted to the application of DES in analytical chemistry. Of course, this has also resulted in an 104 increase in the number of review articles. Here we list just a few of them. Lee et al. [13] discussed the 105 potential of a new sub-class of DES termed hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents (HDES) for the 106 extraction of nonpolar analytes. The authors focus on the preparation and physicochemical 107 properties of HDES and their applications in the extraction of organic and inorganic analytes from 108 aqueous environments [13]. Li and Row [14] discussed the properties (melting point, density, 109 viscosity, conductivity, surface tension, polarity) as well as the application of DES in various 110 modalities of DLLME [14]. Recently, Sekharan et al. discussed DES as an alternative to other harmful 111 solvents [15], and Tang et al. [16] summarized the use of hydrophilic/hydrophobic DES in analytical 112 microextraction procedures. Figure 2 shows the evolution in the number of publications devoted to 113 the topic of this review. Although the total number of papers is not particularly large, we must 114 emphasize the trend in the annual growth of such publications, which clearly indicates that the topic 115 has found a response in the community of analytical chemists and is an interesting area worth 116 addressing in the near future. However, to the best of our knowledge, there is currently no review 117 article focused on the use of DES for metal and metalloids extraction followed by AAS quantification, 118 and this has motivated us to collect data in this area and write this review, which may be useful for 119 readers as a starting point for future research in this area.



Figure 2. Evolution in the number of publications devoted to the topic published during 2013–2021
 based on Scopus and the moving average (searched keywords: deep eutectic solvents and atomic
 absorption spectrometry; accessed on 18 July 2021)

- 125
- 126

127 2 Procedure for DES-based extraction

DES-based extraction is suitable for the analysis of both aqueous and solid samples. Aqueous samples can be analyzed without or with only minimal pretreatment [17]. Procedures for analyzing oil samples have been described, though they are rare, while solid samples typically must be decomposed by a suitable means before analysis, and the residue formed should be dissolved to obtain an aqueous sample solution. Biological samples comprise a separate group and may require special treatment prior to DES extraction [17].

The DES extraction procedures proposed by various authors differ significantly in their individual steps, in the order of those steps, in the reagents used, as well as in the composition and type of DES used (hydrophilic, hydrophobic). Until recently, most synthesized DES were hydrophilic, which prevented their use in the extraction of aqueous samples. However, in the last few years studies on the synthesis and application of hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents has rapidly expanded [17]. Therefore, to describe a general procedure suitable for every procedure is incredibly difficult or almost impossible.

141 All the reagents needed to adjust the pH, ionic strength and ligand solution are added to the 142 pretreated sample solution. If necessary, the solution is mixed and allowed to stand for the reaction 143 to occur between the analyte and the reagents. The DES is then added to this solution, followed by 144 tetrahydrofuran (THF) (if necessary), and the mixture is shaken, stirred, vortexed or sonicated 145 depending on the selected procedure. If temperature control of one or more steps is required, these 146 steps are performed in a water bath. The analytes pass into the DES phase, which is then separated 147 by centrifugation. The enriched DES phase can then be diluted with a suitable solvent to reduce the 148 viscosity and complete the volume required for introduction into the analytical equipment. An 149 overview of applications involving the combination of DES and AAS is provided below, and the 150 relevant information obtained from the papers discussed is summarized in Tables 1-3.

153 3 Elements determined by AAS following DES-based extraction

To date, DES-based procedures coupled with atomic absorption spectrometry have been suggested for a variety of elements (**Figure 3**); examples of their application are given in Tables 1-3. The developed procedures mainly allow the determination of one specific element, such as aluminum [18], cadmium [19-23], chromium [24, 25], cobalt [26-29], copper [30-33], gold [34], iron [35], manganese [36], mercury [37, 38], nickel [39, 40], lead [41-48], palladium [49-51] and silver [52], in the sample, but procedures that allow the determination of two elements (Table 2), such as arsenic and antimony [53], arsenic and selenium [54], cadmium and arsenic [55], cadmium and zinc [56, 57], cobalt and nickel [58], copper and nickel [59], nickel and cobalt [60], lead and cadmium [61-65], and selenium and arsenic [66, 67], or three or more elements simultaneously (Table 2), such as cadmium, copper and lead [68], cadmium, lead and arsenic [69], copper, cadmium and lead [70], copper, iron, and zinc [71], mercury, lead and cadmium [72], cadmium, lead, copper and arsenic [73], lead, cobalt,

151 152

154

155 156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

6

nickel and manganese [74], have also been reported. Particular emphasis needs to be placed onarticles examining speciation analysis (Table 3).



Н																	Не
Li	Be											В	С	Ν	0	F	Ne
Na	Mg											AI	Si	Р	S	Cl	Ar
К	Ca	Sc	Ti	V	Cr	Mn	Fe	Co	Ni	Cu	Zn	Ga	Ge	As	Se	Br	Kr
Rb	Sr	Υ	Zr	Nb	Мо	Тс	Ru	Rh	Pd	Ag	Cd	In	Sn	Sb	Те		Xe
Cs	Ва		Hf	Та	W	Re	Os	Ir	Pt	Au	Hg	TI	Pb	Bi	Ро	At	Rn
Fr	Ra																

¹⁶⁸

169

170 **Figure 3** Applications of DES-based (micro)extraction coupled with atomic spectroscopy, based on

171 the data in Tables 1-3. The dark blue color highlights the elements about which articles on speciation

- 172 analysis have been published
- 173

174 3.1 Speciation analysis

175 AAS is a non-speciating spectroscopic method; therefore, it can be used for speciation analysis only 176 by introducing an additional step to separate the various analyte species. In addition, measures must 177 be taken to prevent alteration of the form of the species during sampling as well as during the sample pretreatments steps [75]. It is common practice to determine the total concentration of the 178 179 target analyte as well as the concentration of one particular form. The concentration of the second 180 form is calculated by subtracting the concentration of the experimentally determined form from the 181 total concentration of the analyte. Speciation analysis using DES extraction and subsequent AAS 182 detection have been published for the following elements: arsenic [76-78], selenium [78-80], 183 chromium [81-85] and organic and inorganic mercury [78, 86, 87] (Table 3).

184 Figure 4 shows the distribution of metals by total concentration and speciation analysis using 185 DES-AAS methods. In all cases of speciation analysis of arsenic or selenium, the reduction of 186 arsenic(V) to arsenic(III) or selenium(VI) to selenium(IV) was used, and the total arsenic or selenium 187 concentration was measured by electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry (ETAAS). However, 188 both reduction and oxidation procedures were used for the speciation analysis of chromium. Fasihi 189 et al. [83, 84] applied ascorbic acid to convert chromium(VI) to chromium(III), and the total 190 chromium concentration in food and water samples was detected by flame atomic absorption 191 spectrometry (FAAS). In contrast, the oxidation of chromium(III) to chromium(VI) with KMnO₄ and 192 H₂SO₄ was used for the speciation analysis of chromium in aqueous samples by ETAAS [81], or in tea 193 and water samples by FAAS [82, 85]. In the case of mercury speciation analysis, Akramipour et al. [78, 194 87] published a method based on the conversion of organic mercury species into mercury(II) using 195 ultraviolet light and microwave and the determination of total mercury in blood samples by ETAAS. 196 Thongsaw et al. [86] took a different approach, extracting the individual species of mercury 197 separately: (1) mercury(II) in the form of a hydrophobic dithizone complex by DES and (2) 198 methylmercury extracted directly into the DES phase. Finally, the determination of mercury in water 199 and freshwater fish samples was carried out by ETAAS.



201

7

Figure 4 The distribution of metals in total concentration (A) and speciation analysis (B) by the DES-AAS method

204

205

206 4 Samples of different matrix composition: sampling and sample pre-

207 treatment

Procedures for analyzing both liquid and solid samples using DES extraction have been published. However, we must emphasize that methods devoted to the analysis of liquid samples prevail, although it is true that procedures suitable for the analysis of solid samples are generally not exceptional. On the other hand, it should be noted that before DES extraction, solid samples most often need to be decomposed using a suitable pretreatment procedure. DES-based extraction procedures have been widely applied for various types of samples, including water, vegetable, food, environmental and biological samples (**Figure 5**).





Water

24%

218 Figure 5 Types of samples analyzed by DES-AAS. Data extracted from Tables 1-3

220 4.1 Water samples

216 217

219

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

221 Many different types of water samples have been analyzed. However, Figure 6 clearly shows that 222 different types of drinking water, surface water and wastewater predominate. The analyzed water 223 samples can be divided into the following more detailed subgroups: tap water [19, 22, 24, 26, 33, 37, 224 41, 42, 44, 46, 49, 51-53, 57, 59, 60, 64, 77, 79, 81, 83-86], groundwater [21, 24], spring water [18, 225 52, 59], well water [26, 40, 53, 58, 64, 81], mineral water [18, 24, 40, 41, 51, 60, 77, 79, 84, 86], bottled water [53], surface water [57], river water [18, 26, 42, 44, 46, 47, 51-53, 58, 60, 64, 73, 77, 226 227 81, 83, 84, 86], canal water [24, 44], lake water [33, 42, 47, 77, 85], seawater [18, 24, 40, 46, 47, 49, 228 51, 59, 60, 64], rainwater [19], snowmelt water [19] and wastewater [19, 24, 26, 36, 40, 44, 47, 49, 229 52, 53, 81, 85].

Water samples are usually taken in clean polyethylene bottles using standard sampling methods. After collection, they are filtered to remove suspended particles, acidified, if necessary, and stored in a refrigerator at 4 °C until analysis. The aim of the treatment is to prevent the loss of analyte and contamination of the sample. However, some authors analyzed tap water samples without any pre-treatment (dilution or purification) [37]. Altunay et al. reported a deep eutectic solvent-based vortex-assisted microextraction (DES-VAME) procedure for arsenic and antimony in which 50 mL samples of environmental water (wastewater, tap water, well water, river water) were concentrated down to 5 mL by evaporation [53].





241

239

242

243 4.2 Food samples

Food products represent the largest group of samples analyzed, with 40% of the total number of 244 245 analyzed samples. Articles devoted to the analysis of food samples include (a) meat samples, such as 246 liver [32, 35], fish [22, 37, 38, 67, 71, 77, 86], canned fish [22, 44, 47, 79], chicken meat [18, 44, 47], 247 chicken shawarma [22] and beef [44, 47]; (b) milk and dairy products [68], such as cow milk [26, 79], 248 cow and goat milk [19], sheep milk [79], cheese [22], cow and goat cheese [19] and yogurt [79]; (c) 249 juices, including cherry and peach [57], grapefruit [79], grape and peach [58], orange [73, 79] and 250 mixed fruit juice [79]; (d) tea samples, including black tea [22, 44, 47, 73, 77], green tea [44, 47, 77], 251 herbal tea [26] and linden tea [28]; as well as other foods, such as bean stew [22], biscuits [26], bitter 252 chocolate wafers [26], butter [56], margarine [56], chocolate [26], chocolate milk [26], cigarettes [40, 253 45, 77], coffee [36], corn [22, 26], roasted yellow corn [42], canned corn [22], egg [79], honey [53, 70, 79], mushrooms [18, 22, 66, 77, 79, 83], canned mushrooms [22, 44], salted peanuts [42], brown rice 254 255 [53], white rice [53], tomato paste [73], sausage [84], wine [26, 55], wheat [26] and boiled wheat 256 [44]. The distribution of food samples among the different subgroups is shown in Figure 7 and 257 described below.



Figure 7 The distribution of food samples between different subgroups. Data extracted from Tables1-3

Solid food samples are digested by wet acid digestion, in a microwave digestion system or even an ultrasonic-assisted digestion system [53] using previously described procedures or modifications thereof. Fish samples were washed thoroughly with deionized water, dried, cut into small pieces, homogenized and then digested [37, 77, 86]. The digested samples are adjusted to the required pH, and the solution filled to the mark in volumetric flasks with distilled water. Finally, the obtained solution or aliquot is subjected to the developed (micro)extraction procedure.

270 4.2.1 Vegetable and fruit samples

Another large group of samples analyzed using the DES-AAS method are vegetables and fruits, specifically: almonds [42], apple [20], arugula [63], basil [69], broccoli [31, 60], carrot [31, 41, 72, 76], celery [20, 41], chickpeas [42], coriander [69, 72, 76], cumin [47], dill [63], eggplant [63], leek [63], lettuce [31], linseed [47], mint [26, 43, 63], onion [40, 41, 45], parsley [31, 40, 45, 63], pistachios [42], potato [31, 47], quince [30], radish [69, 72, 76], rice [18, 22, 54, 73, 77, 84], soybean [83], spinach [22, 26, 31, 39, 44, 60, 63, 69, 72, 73, 76], tomato [22, 41] and walnut [73]. Vegetable samples are often carefully washed with distilled water to remove impurities, then drained and dried to remove all moisture. They are then homogenized and digested.

4.2.2 Drink samples

Juice samples may be subjected directly to the extraction procedure without any pretreatment or dilution [57], or diluted with water to suppress the matrix effect [58], or possibly even digested [79]. Coffee samples in the form of whole beans were powdered using a grinder, extracted with 50 mL of boiling deionized water for 15 min, passed through a syringe filter and diluted ten-fold [36]. Tea samples can be analyzed in a similar way. A sample of tea (0.25 g) was boiled in a beaker for 10 min

with 25 mL of a 0.1 M Na₂CO₃ solution. After cooling, the mixture was transferred to a tube and the volume was adjusted to 25 mL with deionized water [82]. Linden samples (20 g) were boiled in water for 45 min. The brewed samples were allowed to cool and then filtered while warm to remove solid particles, and the volume made up to 100 mL [28]. However, procedures using wet acid digestion or microwave digestion are more often described [29, 63, 73, 77].

291

292 4.2.3 Oil samples

The direct determination of metals in oils is difficult, due particularly to their high viscosity and their high content of organic compounds. To eliminate these difficulties, decomposition of the sample by a suitable digestion method or dilution of the sample with an appropriate organic solvent is usually used. However, a few published works have used DES instead of sample decomposition to separate and preconcentrate heavy metals from edible oil samples prior to their determination via AAS [56, 65, 74].

299

308

315

316 317

318

319

320 321

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

300 4.3 Biological samples

Biological samples were typically pretreated as follows: Urine samples were centrifuged, passed through a filter and diluted with distilled water [59] or a 10.0 mM sodium phosphate monobasic solution to adjust the pH and ionic strength and decrease the matrix effect [25]. Microwave-assisted digestion has also been described [27]. Blood samples were usually deproteinated by the addition of acetonitrile and a zinc sulfate solution, vortexed and centrifuged, and then the supernatant was diluted using ultrapure water to decrease the matrix effects [48, 78, 80, 87]. Hair [37, 52, 64] and nail [37] samples were washed with acetone to remove contaminants, then dried and digested.

309 4.4 Other type of samples

The smallest group comprises environmental/technical samples, such as soil [64, 69, 72, 76, 77], sediment [77], ore [52], road dust [49, 51], tunnel dust [51], catalytic converter samples [49-51], as well as cosmetic products (lipsticks and eye shadows) [61], pharmaceutical supplement [29] and cigarette samples [45, 77]. These kinds of samples should also be digested before DES-based extraction.

The variety of analyzed samples and analytes determined clearly testifies to the great potential of DES in sample pretreatment prior to AAS quantification, especially in the analysis of water, vegetable and food samples. On the other hand, analysts have thus far paid significantly less attention to the analysis of more complex samples, especially biological and technical ones.

322 5 Type of atomization in DES-AAS procedures

In the case of a combination of DES-based extraction and AAS detection for the extraction, preconcentration and detection of elements from various samples, all types of atomization in AAS, such as flame, electrothermal and vapor generation, are represented. In the presented works, good compatibility of the DES solvents with AAS was observed for all mentioned types of atomization. As we can see in Figure 8, the most common type is flame atomization, which accounts for up to 62% of all applications. A significant part of more than two -thirds of these applications are conventional FAAS systems, mainly due to their simplicity and economy [19, 21, 26, 31, 35, 36, 41, 42, 45, 46, 50-

330 52, 55-61, 63, 64, 68, 70, 71, 74, 82-84]. But conventional FAAS has low sensitivity of detection, and the DES-rich phase needs to be sufficiently diluted before being injected into the FAAS system. In 331 332 order to improve nebulization efficiency and/or atomization of analytes in conventional FAAS, several authors have used a basic slotted quartz tube (SQT) [20, 23, 27, 28, 30, 34, 39, 43]. Due to the 333 334 small volumes of the DES-rich phase after the extraction process, in some cases it was more 335 advantageous to use a micro-sample injection system coupled to a flame atomic absorption 336 spectrometer (MS-FAAS), where the injection volumes after diluting of the DES-rich phase were 337 hundreds of microliters [29, 32, 40, 44, 62, 85]. The problem with the small volume of DES and 338 sensitivity of detection was eliminated when using electrothermal atomization: ETAAS or graphite 339 furnace atomic absorption spectrometry (GFAAS) was used, where the DES-rich phase was injected 340 directly or only after a minimal dilution into the AAS spectrometer after the extraction procedure 341 [18, 22, 24, 25, 33, 37, 47-49, 65-67, 69, 72, 73, 76-81, 86, 87]. Only three applications were found to 342 use vapor generation atomization after DES-based extraction. Altunay et al. [53] and Elik et al. [54] 343 used hydride generation-atomic absorption spectrometry (HGAAS) to determine arsenic, selenium 344 and antimony in rice samples and Rastegarifard et al. [38] published the determination of mercury in 345 marine fish samples by cold vapor atomic absorption spectrometry (CVAAS).





Figure 8 The distribution of the methods by type of atomization in AAS. Data extracted from Tables 1-3

6 Addition of ligands (complexing agents)

The choice of ligand (chelating agent or ion-pairing agent [54, 70]) is very important to ensure efficient separation of the analytes (metal ions) and their transport to the organic phase. It should be kept in mind that other cations may also form complexes with the chosen agent, which may lead to a decrease in the separation efficiency. Well-known commercially available complexing agents are commonly used, such as APDC [21, 61, 82], DAB [79], DDTC [19, 34, 40, 77, 85], DMDTC [32], DDTP [69, 72, 76, 78, 80, 87], DPC [20, 31, 33, 39, 81], PAN [49, 83, 84], TAR [47, 63], dithizone [26, 43, 53,

347 348

349 350

351

352

353

354

355

356

62, 86], 8-hydroxyquinoline [18], 1-nitroso-2-naphthol [29, 45] and 5-Br-PADAP [60]. However, a few
papers have reported the application of synthesized reagents [22, 28, 30, 36].

361 We can also find articles in which the complexing agent is part of the DES itself or is dissolved in a DES. Sorouraddin et al. developed an air-assisted liquid-liquid microextraction method (AALLME) 362 363 based on a ternary solidified deep eutectic solvent in the extraction and preconcentration of heavy 364 metals in water and fruit juice samples followed by FAAS quantification. The synthesized menthol-365 sorbitol-mandelic acid (1:2:1) DES has a double role: it is a chelating agent to form complexes with 366 cadmium and zinc and is also the extraction solvent in the subsequent AALLME procedure [57]. The 367 same group later applied this approach to the development of an extraction and preconcentration procedure for heavy metals (cadmium, copper and lead) from milk samples [68]. They also reported 368 369 a DLLME procedure for the extraction and preconcentration of cobalt(II) and nickel(II) from water 370 and juice samples using a DES formed by mixing choline chloride and 4-aminophenol (1:1) [58]. Very 371 recently, Ragheb et al. described an MSPE followed by DES-UA-DLLME using L-menthol-salicylic acid 372 (4:1) DES as both the extractant and complexing agent for the preconcentration of mercury (II), 373 followed by determination by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectroscopy (GFAAS) [37].

374

375

382

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

376 7 Less frequently occurring connections

As has already been mentioned, DES are unique solvents and we can unequivocally and without any doubt boldly claim that DES are now commonly used in various liquid–liquid microextraction procedures, such as common LPME, UA-LPME, VA-LPME, AA-LPME and DLLME. However, some connections can still be considered exceptional. When speaking about sample preparation prior to AAS analysis, we must be aware of other methods that can utilize DES for different purposes.

383 7.1 DES-based digestion (dissolution)

384 Solid food samples must be decomposed using conventional wet acid digestion, microwave-assisted 385 digestion or ultrasonic digestion before the inorganic constituents are determined by AAS. However, 386 researchers have also reported the disadvantages of these methods, especially the fact that concentrated acids or oxidizing agents can cause interference and the formation of nitrous vapors. 387 388 Habibi et al. [71] reported the applicability of a choline chloride–oxalic acid (1:2) based DES for the dissolution of fish samples as well as solubilization of selected heavy metals (iron, copper and zinc) 389 prior to FAAS determination. To increase the yield of Fe and Cu, 1 mol L⁻¹ HNO₃ was added to the 390 391 sample after dissolution in the DES. The method was used to digest various tissues from a sample of 392 marine fish (muscle, liver and gills) [71].

A bit later, Yilmaz and Soylak [35] introduced an ultrasound-assisted deep eutectic solvent (UA-DES) procedure for separation and preconcentration of iron in bovine liver, sheep liver and chicken liver samples prior to its FAAS determination. Iron was extracted to the DES phase (choline chloride–lactic acid, 1:1) in an ultrasonic bath. The relatively uniform solution obtained was then centrifuged, filtered and diluted with water [35]. In this context, some other publications devoted to the use of DES for dissolution/decomposition of various solid samples need to be mentioned: a method for the determination of total Hg in fish samples [38], a method for the determination of copper in liver samples [32], and a method for the determination of selenium and arsenic in fish [67] and in edible mushroom [66] samples.

403 7.2 Solidified DES-based procedures

404 In the last few years, DES-based methods have been proposed whose common feature is that 405 separation occurs by changing the temperature of the sample. For this purpose, DES with a density 406 lower than that of water and a melting point close to room temperature are required. First, the DES 407 forms a homogeneous phase with the aqueous sample due to the effect of temperature in a water 408 bath, vortex mixing, dispersion solvent, ultrasound, or air-assisted stirring. The tubes are then most 409 often immersed in an ice bath or, in some cases, transferred to a freezer [69, 76], where the DES 410 phase solidifies; it is then separated and transferred to a clean tube, where it is quickly melted at 411 room temperature and adjusted for analysis according to the instrument requirements. The great 412 advantage of this approach is that it allows easy separation of the DES phase enriched with the 413 analyte. Here are some examples:

414 Habibollahi et al. [72] reported a new mode of DLLME based on a solidified deep eutectic 415 solvent (SDES) for the extraction of heavy metals (lead, cadmium and mercury) from soil and vegetable samples, followed by GFAAS determination with a LOD of 0.01–0.03 μ g kg⁻¹ [72]. Rapid 416 417 injection of [DMIM]Cl and 1-undecanol (1:2) DES into the sample solution results in the formation of 418 a cloudy state. After being maintained in a water bath at 55 °C, followed by vortexing and 419 centrifugation, the fine droplets of DES floated to the top of the test tube are solidified in an ice bath 420 within a few minutes. Unlike conventional DLLME, this procedure does not require a disperser 421 solvent [72]. Akramipour et al. described an SDES-based microextraction procedure using choline 422 chloride–decanoic acid (1:2) DES followed by GFAAS for the speciation of selenium(IV), selenium(VI) 423 and total inorganic selenium [80] and for the speciation of arsenic(III), arsenic(V), selenium(IV), 424 selenium(VI), mercury(II) and organic mercury (R–Hg) [78] in blood samples.

425 Seidi et al. [25] published an ultrasound-assisted microextraction method based on the 426 solidification of dispersed fine droplets (SDFD) of a low melting point DES for preconcentration and 427 determination of chromium(VI) in urine samples [25]. Chromium(VI) was first complexed with 1,5-428 diphenylcarbazone and then extracted by a water-immiscible DES consisting of 429 benzyltriphenylphosphonium bromide (BTPPB) and phenol. The low freezing point of the DES enables 430 the rapid collection of the extraction phase by solidification and subsequent centrifugation. The limits of detection and quantification were calculated as 2.0 and 7.0 ng L^{-1} , respectively [25]. 431

Sorouraddin et al. developed a ternary SDES-based air-assisted LLME [57] and DLLME [68] method for the extraction and preconcentration of heavy metals from water and fruit juice [57] and from milk [68] samples prior to their determination by FAAS. Very recently, a UA-DES-DLLME-SFO method for the simultaneous preconcentration and determination of nickel and cobalt in food and water samples [60] and an IH-DES-AA-LPME method for cobalt FAAS determination in liquid and solid samples were published [26]. In this context, the work devoted to temperature-controlled HLLE is also appropriately mentioned [31, 49].

7.3 Effervescence-assisted procedures

An effervescence-assisted dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction based on the deep eutectic solvent method (EA-DLLME) for preconcentration and FAAS determination of copper ions in aqueous samples was developed [33]. The authors used 1,5-diphenylcarbazide (DPC) to form a stable hydrophobic complex, a DES prepared by mixing choline chloride and phenol in a molar ratio of 1:3 as the extraction phase, THF as the emulsifier, and a mixture of sodium dihydrogen phosphate and sodium carbonate as the effervescent powder. The application of the effervescent material allowed the extraction solvent to be dispersed without the need for additional energy (vortex, ultrasound,

439

440 441

442

443

444

445

446

shaking, etc.) [33]. The efficiency of the effervescence-assisted (EA) method was compared with other methods of sample agitation and it was concluded that the efficiency of the EA dispersion is comparable to sonication and better than manual shaking and vortexing. The method has a detection limit of 2.9 μ g L⁻¹ and was applied for copper determination in water samples [33].

452

453 7.4 Hollow fiber-based procedures

Alavi et al. [48] developed a three-phase carrier-mediated hollow fiber liquid-phase microextraction (CM-HFLPME) of lead from whole blood samples. First, the lead was extracted from 17 mL of an acidic sample solution into 1-octanol containing CTAB and subsequently back-extracted into 25 μ L of deep eutectic solvent containing KClO₄ as the receiving phase. Choline chloride–urea in a 1:2 molar ratio was utilized as the deep eutectic solvent. The final analyses of extracts were carried out by ETAAS. The developed method is characterized by a wide linear range of 1 to 200 ng mL⁻¹ and a detection limit of 0.1 ng mL⁻¹ [48].

Karimi et al. [52] described a hollow fiber-supported graphene oxide (GO) nanosheet 461 462 modified with a deep eutectic solvent (DES-GO/HF) for the extraction of silver ions [52]. First, a DES consisting of choline chloride and thiourea in a molar ratio of 1:2 was immobilized on the surface of 463 464 the GO and then put into the pores and lumen of the hollow fiber. The sorbent thus prepared was 465 used to separate and preconcentrate trace amounts of silver ions. A modified GO/HF segment was 466 added to the sample solution, and the solution was stirred (15 min at 800 rpm). After completion of 467 the extraction, the GO/HF containing the analyte was removed, and the retained analyte was 468 desorbed with 250 μ L of nitric acid solution (1.0 mol L⁻¹) under sonication. Finally, the desorbed 469 analyte was determined by FAAS [52].

Karimi et al. [59] published an application of DES-modified cotton as the sorbent for SPE and
for trace amounts of copper and nickel in water and biological samples [59]. The resulting sorbent
was packed on a microcolumn, and 50 mL of the sample solution with the adjusted pH were passed
through it. The adsorbed analytes were then eluted by an acidic solution and transported to the FAAS
for quantification. The detection limits of the method were 0.05 and 0.60 μgL⁻¹ for copper and nickel,
respectively [59].

477 7.5 Magnetic nanoparticles-based procedures

Karimi et al. [64] developed a deep eutectic solvent-mediated extraction for ligand-less preconcentration of lead and cadmium from environmental samples using magnetic nanoparticles (DES-MNP) [64]. The 200 μ L of DES (choline chloride–urea 1:2.5) and 20 mg of MNPs (Fe₃O₄) were added to 60 mL of sample solution and stirred thoroughly for 10 min. The metal ions interact with the DES adhering to the magnetic nanoparticles, and the sorbent was separated by means of a strong magnet. The analytes were then desorbed with 600 μ L of 1.0 M nitric acid and determined by flame atomic absorption spectrometry [64]. The method had wide linear range of 2 to 250 μ g L⁻¹ and 0.5 to 30 μ g L⁻¹ and good limit of detection of 0.4 and 0.1 μ g L⁻¹ for lead and cadmium, respectively [64].

Shirani et al. [73] used a magnetic nanofluid (MNF) prepared by mixing magnetic carbon nanotubes (MCNTs) and a deep eutectic solvent (choline chloride–thiacetamide, 1:2) as the extraction phase prior to the ETAAS determination of cadmium, lead, copper and arsenic in food samples and non-alcoholic beverages (CL-DES-MNF-AALLME) [73]. An amount of 40 μ L of DES-MNF was added to a 48 mL sample solution, and the mixture was agitated by the rapidly sucking/dispensing the solution six times with a syringe, resulting in the dispersion of fine droplets of

476

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485 486

487

488

489

490

492 DES-MNF in the sample solution and the transfer of the analyte into them. Then, the DES-MNF was 493 separated using an external magnet without centrifugation [73]. Very similar approaches were later 494 applied for cadmium [23] and cobalt [27] determination.

495 496

497

8 Terminology

498 To date, DES has been used in a variety of LPME techniques, including their modifications, such as 499 ultrasound-assisted, vortex-assisted, air-assisted and effervescence-assisted procedures. The 500 ambiguity in the terminology of microextraction techniques [88] is also manifested in the case of 501 DES-based procedures [89], which greatly complicates the literature search. Ideally, the name of the 502 method and its abbreviation should provide the reader with sufficient information; however, it 503 should be as simple as possible and free of unnecessary details [89].

504 Regarding DES-based extraction, we would recommend the simplest notation, DES-ME, 505 regardless of the type of microextraction used, but if authors want to highlight the microextraction 506 procedure itself, then styles such as DES-LPME, DES-DLLME, DES-AALLME, etc. seem more 507 appropriate [89]. In this article, however, we have left the original abbreviations used by the authors 508 (with a few exceptions), although this is not in line with our previous recommendations.

509 On the other hand, the acronym HDES for hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents can now be 510 considered as established and should be used whenever a hydrophobic DES is utilized in a procedure. Further, in our opinion, with procedures based on the solidification of the DES phase after extraction, 511 512 this should be emphasized in the name and abbreviation. The simplest way seems to be to use the 513 SDES notation.

514 515

9 Conclusion and future trends 516

517 For decades, several analytical techniques, including AAS, have been used for the determination of metals. AAS is a widely applied technique which has important advantages, such as precision, 518 519 accuracy and robustness. Moreover, it is a relatively inexpensive instrument that offers low cost for 520 its use. On the other hand, this method has some drawbacks, the most important of which is its low 521 sensitivity, which hinders the determination of metals at trace concentrations. This is why a sample 522 preparation step, mainly based on an extraction process, is required prior to the final determination. 523 Nowadays, traditional pretreatment techniques, such as LLE and SPE, are gradually being replaced by 524 new microextraction techniques. The reduction or elimination of conventional hazardous organic 525 solvents is one of the most important factors in GAC, and thus, in separation science, including 526 sample preparation methods, leading to the innovation of these techniques but also to the 527 introduction of new materials and solvents that can be characterized as green in nature. Due to their 528 unique properties, DES are widely considered to be an extraction phase in sample pretreatment 529 methodologies, even in the case of AAS application. DES are characterized by high solubility not only 530 for organic compounds, but also for inorganic species, and this is why they are of interest for the 531 application of AAS in metal determination. When AAS is applied as the final determination technique, 532 DES-based extraction is suitable for the isolation of analytes in both aqueous and solid samples, 533 although it needs to be noted that for solid samples some additional processes are also 534 recommended to decompose the sample by means of a suitable procedure. Indeed, the analysis of oil samples is also possible, though it is problematic, with some requirements for the sample preparation procedures. The same issue arises with most biological samples, which may need special pretreatment before DES-based extraction. In sum, DES-based extraction procedures prior to AAS determination can be applied to a wide range of samples characterized by different matrix composition, but they differ significantly in the individual steps, the reagents utilized and generally in the composition of the DES used. In general, procedures based on DES-AAS are characterized by a linear range with a good correlation coefficient and a low LOD.

542 In summary, it can be concluded that the combination of a DES-based sample preparation 543 process and the detection power of AAS creates a sensitive analytical procedure with high accuracy and precision. However, there are still some future directions that can be followed to improve 544 545 existing solutions. For example, the use of innovative magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) as sorbents 546 modified by DES will be the focus of research in the future. Nowadays, most studies on MNPs containing a DES are limited to the laboratory. Thus, further complementary assessment of their 547 548 practicability as well as their economic benefits is required for industrial application. Another point 549 that must be considered in the future is the possibility of regenerating DES as well as 550 sorbents/nanoparticles and their future characterization and possible re-use. In addition, a broader 551 comparison as well as evaluation for the performance of DES in AAS can still be expected.

552

553

554 Acknowledgments

V.A. would like to express his thanks to the Scientific Grant Agency of the Ministry of Education,
Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic (VEGA 1/0220/21 and VEGA 1/0678/19) and the
Slovak Research and Development Agency (APVV-17-0373). J.P.W would like to thank to the National
Science Centre of Poland for the financial support given within the grant project (No.: DEC2020/37/B/ST4/02886).

560 561

562 **CRediT authorship contribution statement**

563 Radoslav Halko: Conceptualization, Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review & Editing, Visualization

564 Jozef Tuček: Visualization

Justyna Płotka-Wasylka: Conceptualization, Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review & Editing,
 Visualization, Supervision

567 Vasil Andruch: Conceptualization, Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review & Editing, Supervision

568

569 Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any
 570 commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

 Table 1 Selected examples of DES-based microextraction for the determination of inorganics by AAS

Analyte	Sample	Microextraction ¹	Detection	Comments ²	LOD	Ref.
Aluminum	Water (drinking, river, sea,	DES-UALPME	ETAAS	DES : choline chloride–phenol, 1:4;	0.032 μg L ⁻¹	[18]
	mineral and spring water)			25 mL sample solution; 8-hydroxyquinoline		
	and food (rice, cultivated			solution; 0.5 mL DES; 0.5 mL THF;		
	mushrooms, chicken meat)			sonicated, 2 min; centrifuged, 5 min, 4000		
	samples			rpm; DES phase filled up to 500 μ L with		
				acidic ethanol; 20 µL directed to nebulizer		
Cadmium	Food (cow and goat cheese,	HDES-LPME	FAAS	HDES: [P ₆₆₆₍₁₄₎][CI]–pivalic acid, 1:4;	1.6 μg L ⁻¹	[19]
	cow and goat milk) and			12 mL sample solution; 0.8 mg DDTC; 200		
	water (wastewater, snow			μL HDES; shaken manually for one minute;		
	water, rainwater and tap			centrifuged, 5 min, 116.41 G		
	water) samples					
Cadmium	Celery and apple samples	UA-DES-ME	SQT-FAAS	DES : choline chloride–phenol, 1:2;	0.35 µg L ⁻¹	[20]
				8 mL sample solution, 1 mL 0.05% (w/v)		
				DPC solution; 0.5 mL DES; sonicated, 15 s; 1		
				mL THF; centrifuged, 120 s, 3461g		
Cadmium	Groundwater samples from	UDDLLµE	FAAS	DES : ZnCl ₂ and acetamide, 1:2;	$0.046 \ \mu g \ L^{-1}$	[21]
	aquifers at different depth in			20 mL sample solution; 1 mL APDC solution		
	a coal mining area			0.1–0.5% (m/v); 100 μL DES; sonicated, 80		
				s, 50°C; centrifuged, 15 min, 3500 rpm;		
				back extracted into 0.2 mL to 0.5 mL of 2		
				mol L^{-1} of HNO ₃		
Cadmium	Food (bean stew, black tea,	UA-DES-LPME	ETAAS	DES : choline chloride–phenol, 1:4;	0.023 ng L^{-1}	[22]
	chicken shawarma, canned			50 mL sample solution; (Z)-N-(3,5-diphenyl-		
	corn, corn, canned			1H-pyrrol-2-yl)-3,5-diphenyl-2H-pyrrol-2-		
	mushrooms, cheese,			imine solution; 0.5 mL DES; 600 μ L THF;		
	mushrooms, fish tissue,			sonicated, 3 min; centrifuged, 5 min, 4000;		
	tomatoe, meat, canned fish,			DES phase, 0.5 mL acidic ethanol added		
	rice and spinach) and water					
	(tap, waste) samples					
Cadmium	Eucalyptus and rosemary tea	DES-MNF-LPME	SQT-FAAS	DES : choline chloride–phenol, 1:3;	0.25 ng mL ⁻¹	[23]

				8 mL sample solution; 1 mL DPC (0.05% w/v in ethanol); shaken, 15 s; aspiration/dispersion cycles, 4 times; vortexed, 15 s; centrifuged, 2 min, 3000 rpm; DES–MNF separated using an external magnet; analytes eluted with 150 μL 5 M nitric acid solution under ultrasonication for one minute		
Chromium(III)	Water samples (wastewater, groundwater, seawater, canal water, mineral water and tap water)	DES-UA-DLLME	GFAAS	 DES: ZnCl₂ and acetamide, 1:3; 25 mL sample solution; calmagite solution; 800 μL DES and 900 μL THF; sonicated, 5 min 	6.0 ng L^{-1}	[24]
Chromium(VI)	Urine samples	SDFD-DES	ETAAS	 DES: BTPPB-phenol, 1:7; (water-immiscible DES); 10 mL pretreated and diluted human urine sample; 128 μL DES; sonicated, 1 min; ice bath, 3 min; centrifuged, 4 min, 5000 rpm; solidified droplets melted at room temperature; extract diluted with methanol (1:1) 	2.0 ng L ⁻¹	[25]
Cobalt	Solid (biscuit, bitter chocolate wafers, white, chocolate, corn, wheat, herbal tea, spinach and mint) and liquid (tap water, wastewater, river water, well water, chocolate milk, cow milk and red wine) samples	AA-IHDES-LPME	FAAS	 HDES: Tetraheptylammonium chloride– oleic acid, 1:1; 5 mL sample solution; dithizone solution; 200 μL DES; aspiration/dispersion cycles, 7 times; ice bath, 5 min 	0.04 μg L ⁻¹	[26]
Cobalt	Urine samples	DES-MCG-DSPE	SQT-FAAS	DES : choline chloride–phenol, 1:3; 8 mL sample solution; 150 µL DES-MCG; sonicated, 60 s; centrifuged, 2 min, 6000 rpm; MNPs separated using a strong magnet; 0.10 mL 2 M nitric acid added as	4.6 ng mL ⁻¹	[27]

				eluent for desorption process; sonicated,		
Cobalt	Linden tea samples	DES-LPME	SQT-FAAS	DES: choline chloride—phenol, 1:2 10 mL sample solution; (Z)-3-bromo-5-((p- tolylimino)methyl) phenol solution as ligand; 0.60 mL DES; 1 mL THF; centrifuged, 120 s, 6000 rpm	2.0 μg L ⁻¹	[28]
Cobalt	Pharmaceutical supplement and tea samples	DES-UA-LPME	MS-FAAS	DES: choline chloride-phenol, 1:4; 10 mL sample solution; 1-nitroso-2- naphthol solution; 0.5 mL DES; 0.5 mL THF; sonicated, 2 min; centrifuged, 5 min, 4000 rpm	1.10 μg L ⁻¹	[29]
Copper	Quince samples	VA-DES-ELPME	SQT-FAAS	DES : choline chloride–phenol, 1:2; 8 mL sample solution, (Z)-4-bromo- 2[(naphthalene-2-ylimino)methyl]phenol solution; 0.40 mL DES; 1.5 mL THF; vortexed, 45 s; centrifuged, 2 min, 6000 rpm	0.5 μg L ⁻¹	[30]
Copper	Vegetable samples (spinach, lettuce, broccoli, potato, carrot and parsley)	DES-HLLME	FAAS	DES: benzyl triphenyl phosphonium bromide-ethylene glycol, 1:8; 20 mL sample solution; DPC solution; 80 mg DES; water bath, 40 °C, 4 min, vortexed; ice bath; centrifuged, 5 min, 4000 rpm	0.13 μg L ⁻¹	[31]
Copper	Liver samples	DES-digestion and UA-LPME	MS-FAAS	DES : choline chloride–lactic acid, 1:2 (for digestion step) and tetrabuthylamonium chloride–decanoic acid, 1:2 (for UA-LPME)	4.00 μg L ⁻¹	[32]
Copper	Water samples (tap water and lake water)	EA-DLLME-DES	FAAS	DES : choline chloride–phenol, 1:3; 0.4 g effervescence powder in 50 mL conical bottom centrifuge tube; 25 mL sample solution; 500 μL 1% (w/v) DPC; 1000 μL DES and 1000 μL THF; centrifuged, 3 min, 4020×g; DES phase completed to 500 μL with 1% acidic ethanol	2.9 μg L ⁻¹	[33]

Gold	Plating bath solution	DES-LPME	SQT-FAAS	DES : choline chloride–phenol, 1:2;	5.1 μg/L	[34]
	_			8 mL sample solution; 1 mL 0.05% (w/v)		
				DDTC; 0.50 mL DES; 0.50 mL THF;		
				mechanical shaker, 45 s; centrifuged, 2 min,		
				6000 rpm		
Iron	Sheep, bovine and chicken	UA-DES-E	FAAS	DES : choline chloride–lactic acid, 1:1;	0.026 µg mL ⁻	[35]
	liver samples	(extraction from		50 mg bovine liver CRM; 8 mL DES;	1	
		solid samples)		ultrasonic extraction in ultrasonic bath, 45		
				min; centrifuged, 3 min, 4000 rpm		
Manganese	Coffee and wastewater	DES-LPME	FAAS	DES : choline chloride–phenol, 1:2;	0.52 μg L ^{−1}	[36]
_	samples			8 mL sample solution, 3-[[(2-		
				hydroxyphenyl)imino]methyl]-2-		
				naphthalenol solution; 500 µL DES,		
				vortexed, 30 s; 1 mL THF; vortexed, 30 s;		
				centrifuged, 2 min, 3451 g		
Mercury	Fish, hair, nail, and tap water	MSPE followed	ETAAS	HDES: L-menthol–salicylic acid, 4:1;	0.34 ng mL ⁻¹	[37]
	samples	by DES-USA-		MSPE step; elution; 50 µL DES; sonicated,		
		DLLME		120 sec; centrifuged, 10 min, 4000 rpm		
Total mercury	Marine fish samples	DES-assisted	CVAAS	DES : choline chloride–oxalic acid, 1:2;	0.03 μg g ⁻¹	[38]
		digestion		0.20 g fish sample; 3 mL DES; stirred, 120		
				rpm, 10 min. During this stage, the majority		
				of the powdered fish sample was dissolved.		
				However, some small particles could still be		
				observed; 5 mL 7 M HNO ₃ was added,		
				stirred, 5 min; diluted to 25 mL with water		
Nickel	Spinach samples	DES-LPME	SQT-FAAS	DES : choline chloride–phenol, 1:2;	3.8 μg L ⁻¹	[39]
				8 mL sample solution; 1 mL 0.50% DPC		
				ligand; 0.50 mL DES; 1 mL THF; centrifuged,		
				2 min, 6000 rpm		
Nickel	Water (wastewater,	DES-LPME	MS-FAAS	DES: tetrabutyl ammonium chloride-	0.13 µg L ⁻¹	[40]
	seawater, mineral water,			decanoic acid, 1:3;		
	well water), cigarette and			sample solution; 0.2 mL 0.15% (w/v) DDTC;		
	food (onion, parsley)			0.1 mL DES; 0.25 mL THF; sonicated, 3 min;		

	samples			centrifuged, 10 min, 4000 rpm; DES phase completed to 500 μ L with HNO ₃ (65%); 100 μ L injected		
Lead	Water (<i>tap water, mineral water</i>) and vegetable (<i>onion, celery, carrot, and tomato</i>) samples	dSPE-poly (TBAB- 2AA DES)	FAAS	PolyDES : tetrabutylammonium bromide– acrylic acid, 1:2, poly (TBAB-2AA DES) 10 mg poly TBAB-2AA DES dispersed in 50 mL sample solution; shaken vigorously, 15 min; centrifuged, 5 min, 6000 rpm; 1 mL HNO ₃ (5 mol L ⁻¹) as elution solvent; sonicated, 5 min; centrifuged, 5 min, 6000 rpm	2.0 μg L ⁻¹	[41]
Lead	Water (tap, lake, and river water) and food samples extracts (salted peanuts, chickpeas, roasted yellow corn, pistachios, and almonds)	DES-ME	FAAS	DES : α-benzoin oxime, iron(III) chloride– phenol, 1:5; sample solution; 150 μL DES; vortexed, 5 min; centrifuged, 12 min, 4000 rpm; dissolved in nitric acid to 500 μL	0.008 μg L ⁻¹	[42]
Lead	Milk samples	DES-LPME	SQT-FAAS	DES : choline chloride–phenol, 1:1; 8 mL sample solution; dithizone solution; 0.50 mL DES; 1 mL THF; centrifuged, 2 min, 6000 rpm	8.7 μg L ⁻¹	[43]
Lead	Water (fresh canal water and wastewater) and food (black tea, canned fish, green tea, spinach, canned mushrooms, chicken, beef, boiled wheat) samples	DES-µSS	MS-FAAS	HDES : choline chloride–decanoic acid, 1:1; 10 mL sample solution; 500 μ L (1 mol L ⁻¹) (2,9-dimethyl-4,7-diphenyl-1,10- phenanthroline); 100 μ L DES; aspiration/dispersion cycles, 10 times; ice bath	0.086 μg L ⁻¹	[44]
Lead	Cigarette tobacco and food samples (<i>onion, parsley</i>)	UA-LPME-DES	FAAS	DES : decanoic acid–tetrabutyl ammonium chloride; sample solution; 100 μL 0.01% 1-nitroso-2- naphthol; 100 μL DES; 100 μL THF; sonicated, 5 min; centrifuged, 4 min, 4000 rpm; DES phase completed to 500 μL with	4.4 μg L ⁻¹	[45]

				0.2 M HNO ₃		
Lead	Water samples (tap water, river water and seawater)	ELLME-DES	FAAS	 DES: choline chloride and 2-chlorophenol, 1:2; 10 mL sample solution; 0.5 mL 0.03% (w/v) purpurin solution; 150 μL DES; 0.75 mL THF; manually shaken, 2 min; centrifuged, 4000 rpm, 5 min; DES phase diluted to 1 mL with 0.5 mol L⁻¹ HNO₃ in ethanol 	5.93 μg L ⁻¹	[46]
Lead	Water (lake, wastewater, river and seawater) and food (black tea, green tea, cumin, cow meat, linseed, canned fish, chicken meat, potato) samples	AA-DES-LPME	GFAAS	DES : choline chloride–phenol, 1:4; 30 mL sample solution; 500 μL TAR solution; left for 5 min; 600 μL DES; 800 μL THF; aspiration/dispersion cycles, 9 times; centrifuged, 3500 rpm, 4 min	0.60 ng L^{-1}	[47]
Lead	Whole blood samples	CM-HFLPME	ETAAS	DES : choline chloride–urea 1:2; lead extracted from 17 mL acidic sample solution into 1-octanol containing CTAB and back-extracted into 25 μL DES containing KClO ₄ as the receiving phase	0.1 ng mL ⁻¹	[48]
Palladium	Environmental water (<i>tap</i> <i>water, wastewater and</i> <i>seawater</i>), road dust and catalytic converter samples	TC-DES-LLME	ETAAS	DES : phenyl salicylate–DL-menthol, 1:1; 10 mL sample solution; PAN solution; 60 μL DES; incubated in water bath, 65 °C, 1 min; ice bath, 3 min	0.03 μg L ⁻¹	[49]
Palladium	Marble mine and catalytic converter samples	DES-ME	FAAS	DES : disodium 4,5-dihydroxy-1,3- benzenedisulfonate, hydroxyl ammonium chloride, FeCl ₃ and phenol, 1:1:2:1; sample solution; 100 μL DES; vortexed, 1 min, centrifuged, 5 min, 4000 rpm; DES layer dissolved in 300 μL concentrated nitric acid	1.18 μg·L ⁻¹	[50]
Palladium	Water (<i>tap, mineral, river, and seawater</i>) and environmental (<i>road dust,</i>	DES-AA-ELLME	FAAS	DES : choline chloride–phenol, 1:4; sample solution; 500 μL DES; 400 μL 0.1% HMBATSC; 0.8 mL THF;	1.2 μg L ⁻¹	[51]

	<i>tunnel dust, and a catalytic converter</i>) samples			aspiration/dispersion cycles, 10 times; centrifuged, 5 min, 4000 rpm		
Silver	Water, wastewater, ore and hair samples	DES-GO/HF	FAAS	DES : choline chloride–thiourea; 1:2; 50 mL sample solution; segment of modified GO/HF added; stirred, 15 min, 800 rpm; modified GO/HF containing the analyte taken out, transferred to a test tube, retained analyte desorbed into 250 μ L of nitric acid (1 mol L ⁻¹) solution under sonically agitation, 5 min	0.2 μg L ⁻¹	[52]

¹ We left the abbreviations used by the authors (apart from some exceptions), even if this is not in accordance with our previous recommendations [89]. ² For a detailed description of the procedure, please see the original articles.

able 2 Selected examples of DES-based microextraction for multi-elemental analysis by AAS

Analyte	Sample	Microextraction ¹	Detection	Comments ²	LOD	Ref.
Arsenic and antimony	Water (wastewater, tap water, well water, river water, and bottled water), honey and rice samples	DES-VAME	HG-AAS	DES : choline chloride–oxalic acid, 1:1; 2 mL sample solution; 600 μL dithizone (3×10 ⁻³ mol L ⁻¹); 700 μL DES; vortexed, 1 min; 300 μL THF; vortexed, 3 min; centrifuged, 5 min, 4000 rpm; DES phase diluted to 2.5 mL with acidic ethanol	7.5 (As) and 15.6 (Sb) ng L ⁻¹	[53]
Arsenic and selenium	Rice samples	NADES-UAME	HG-AAS	DES : proline–malic acid, 1:1; 1.5 mL sample solution; 800 mL 1×10^{-4} mol L ⁻¹ celestine blue solution; 500 µL NADES; 600 µL THF; filled to 15 mL with water; sonicated, 7 min, 35 °C; centrifuged, 3 min, 3500 rpm; DES phase made up to 3 mL with acidic ethanol	Se(IV): 3.0 ng L ⁻¹ As(III): 1.7 ng L ⁻¹	[54]
Cadmium and arsenic	Wine samples	UA-DLLME	FAAS	HDES: trioctylmethylammonium chloride– DL-lactic acid, 1:3;	0.080 (Cd) and 0.30	[55]

				5 mL sample solution; mixture of 400 μL DES and 300 μL methanol; vortexed, 1 min; sonicated, 4 min; centrifuged, 5 min, 4000	(As) μg∙L ⁻¹	
				rpm		
Cadmium and zinc	Oil samples (fish oil capsules, butter and margarine)	RP-DLLME	FAAS	DES : glycolic acid–mandelic acid, 2:1; 7 mL oil sample solution (2 g diluted with ethyl acetate till 7 mL); mixture of 750 μL DES (as disperser solvent) and 400 μL 3%, v/v nitric acid solution (as extraction solvent) injected into the tube at 45 °C with a 2-mL glass syringe; centrifuged, 5 min, 6000 rpm; aqueous phase containing the extracted cations were settled at the bottom of the tube	0.12 and 0.18 μg L ⁻¹ for Cd(II) and Zn(II), respectively	[56]
Cadmium and zinc	Water (surface water and tap water) and fruit juice (cherry and peach juice) samples	AALLME-SFO	FAAS	 DES: menthol, sorbitol, and mandelic acid, (1:2:1) as chelating agent and extraction solvent; 5 mL sample solution; 125 μL DES; aspiration/dispersion cycles, 9 times; ice bath, 2 min 	0.15 and 0.12 μ g L ⁻¹ for Cd(II) and Zn(II), respectively	[57]
Cobalt and nickel	Water (<i>well water, urban water, river water</i>), and juices (<i>grape and peach</i>) samples	DLLME-DES	FAAS	 DES: choline chloride and 4-aminophenol, 1:1 as complexing agent and extraction solvent; 5 mL sample; 125 mg DES and 1 mL methanol; centrifuged, 8 min, 8000 rpm 	0.30 and 0.22 μg L ⁻¹ for Ni(II) and Co(II), respectively	[58]
Copper and nickel	Water (spring water, tap water, and seawater) and biological (human serum and urine) samples	SPE (DES modified cotton)	FAAS	DES : choline chloride–urea, 1:2; 50 mL sample solution; passed through the microcolumn (7 mL min ⁻¹); adsorbed analytes were eluted by 250 μ L nitric acid (2 mol L ⁻¹) at a flow rate 3.5 mL min ⁻¹	0.05 and 0.60 μ g L ⁻¹ for Cu and Ni, respectively.	[59]
Nickel and cobalt	Food (broccoli and spinach) and water (tap, mineral, sea, and river) samples	UA-DES-DLLME- SFO	FAAS	DES : DL-menthol–decanoic acid, 1:1; 50 mL sample solution, 5-Br-PADAP solution; 150 μL DES; sonicated, 2 min; ice	0.3 μg L ⁻¹	[60]

				bath; DES phase increased to 1 mL with		
Lead and	Cosmetic samples (linsticks	LIAUE-DES	FAAS	ethanol DES: ZnCl, and acetamide 1:3:	Cd and Ph	[61]
cadmium	and eye shadows)	0/10/010	170.0	10 mL sample solution; APDC solution; DES;	0.86 and	[01]
				THF; sonicated; centrifuged, 5 min, 3500	0.66 μ g L ⁻¹ ,	
				rpm	respectively	
Lead and	Hair dyes and henna samples	UA-DES-LPME	MS-FAAS	DES : choline chloride–phenol;	2.5 μ g L ⁻¹	[62]
cadmium				dithizone as complexing agent;	(Pb) and	
				and THF (an aprotic solvent)	0.75 μg L ⁻¹	
					(Cd)	
Lead and	Vegetable samples (<i>leek,</i>	HI-DES-ME	FAAS	DES : citric acid–sucrose, 1:3;	0.17–0.35 ng	[63]
cadmium	spinach, dill, parsley, mint,			2 mL vegetable solution; 600 μ L 100 μ mol	mL ⁻¹	
	arugula, eggplant, dry tea)			L ⁺ TAR; 100/150 μ L DES and 200 μ L		
				acetonitrile (aprotic solvent); volume		
				completed to 15 mL with water; sonicated,		
				5 min, 55 °C/38 °C; centrifuged, 2 min, 4000		
Lood and	Water (tap water well			rpm PES: shaling shlarida, uran 1:2 F:	0.1 (Db) and	[64]
	water (lup water, well	DES-IVINP	FAAS	DES : choine chionae–urea, 1.2.5;	0.4 (PD) and 0.1 (Cd) ug	[04]
Caullium	(aspian Sea), human hair			mg MND: ctirred 10 min; bulk aquoous	1^{-1}	
	and soil samples			nig Mine, stiffed, 10 min, buik aqueous	L	
				addition 600 μ L nitric acid (1 mol L ⁻¹)		
Lead and	Edible oils (sesame oil	DES-LPME	FTΔΔS	DFS : choline chloride–urea 1:2:	8 (Ph) and	[65]
cadmium	sovhean oil olive oil		217013	4.1 mixture of DES and 2% nitric acid (200	0.2 (Cd) ng	[03]
caannann	sunflower oil, and corn oil)			uL) added to oil sample: vortexed: water	kg ⁻¹	
				bath. 50 °C and stirred. 5 min		
Selenium and	Edible mushroom samples	DES-based	GFAAS	DES : choline chloride–oxalic acid, 1:2;	Se: 0.32 µg	[66]
arsenic		digestion		DES maintained at 100°C; 100 mg	L^{-1} , As: 0.50	
		C		mushroom sample; stirred, 150 rpm, 40	$\mu g L^{-1}$	
				min; sample completely dissolved and		
				homogenous solution formed; 5 mL HNO ₃		
				1.5 M and stirred, 5 min; centrifuged, 5		
				min, 3000 rpm; supernatant separated,		

				filtered; diluted with water to 10 mL		
Selenium and arsenic	Fish samples	DES-based digestion	ETAAS	 DES: choline chloride–oxalic acid, 1:2; 80 mg sample dissolved in DES at 105°C, 40 min; subsequent addition of 4 mL HNO₃ (1 M) and heating, 5 min; centrifuged; supernatant solution filtered, diluted 	0.75 μg kg ⁻¹ for Se and 0.46 μg kg ⁻¹ for As	[67]
Cadmium, copper, lead	Milk samples	DLLME-DES	FAAS	 DES: menthol, sorbitol, mandelic acid, 1:2:1 as chelating agent and extraction solvent; 5 mL sample solution; mixture of 1.5 mL methanol (as dispersive solvent) and 100 μL DES (as extraction solvent and a complexing agent); ice bath, 2 min 	0.38-0.42 μg L ⁻¹	[68]
Cadmium, lead and arsenic	Vegetables (<i>spinach,</i> <i>coriander, basil and radish</i>) and soil samples irrigated with treated sewage	VALPME-DES	GFAAS	DES : choline chloride–citric acid, 1:1; 10 mL sample solution; 50 μL DES containing 10 μL DDTP; vortexed, 5 min; centrifuged, 5 min, 5000 rpm; freezer for a few minutes	0.03–0.1 μg kg ⁻¹	[69]
Copper, cadmium and lead	Honey samples	UA-DLLME- NADES	FAAS	DES : citric acid–sucrose, 3:2; 15 mL sample solution; 80 μmol L ⁻¹ Methyl green; 400 μL NADES and 350 μL THF; sonicated, 10 min, 35 °C; centrifuged, 5 min, 1431×g; DES phase diluted to 2 mL with 1 mol L ⁻¹ HNO ₃ in methanol	0.077, 0.16, 0.29 μg L ⁻¹ for Cu(II),Cd(II), Pb(II)	[70]
Copper, iron, and zinc	Fish samples (<i>muscle, liver, and gills</i>)	DES-based digestion	FAAS	DES : choline chloride–oxalic acid, 1:2; 100 mg sample; dissolved in DES at 100°C for 45 min; 5 mL HNO ₃ (1 M) added; centrifuged; supernatant solution filtered, diluted	Fe, Zn, and Cu: 0.053, 0.012, and 0.006 μg mL ⁻¹ , respectively	[71]
Mercury, lead and cadmium	Soil and vegetables (radish, spinach, coriander and carrot) irrigated with treated municipal wastewater	DLLME-SDES	GFAAS	 DES: 1-decyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride and 1-undecanol, 1:2; 10 mL sample solution; 50 μL DES containing DDTP; water bath, 55 °C; 	0.01–0.03 μg kg ⁻¹	[72]

				vortexed, 4 min; ice bath, 5 min		
Cadmium, lead,	Food samples (walnut, rice,	CL-DES-MNF-	ETAAS	DES : choline chloride–thiacetamide, 1:2;	4.2, 3, 3.5	[73]
copper, and	tomato paste, spinach) and	AALLME		sample solution; 40 μL DES-MNF;	and 3.6 ng	
arsenic	non-alcoholic beverages			aspiration/dispersion cycles, 9 times; DES-	L^{-1} for	
	(orange juice, black tea, and			MNF separated using an external magnet;	cadmium,	
	river water)			back extraction step, 75 μL nitric acid (1	lead, copper,	
				mol L^{-1}); sonicated, 10 s; 10 μ L supernatant	and arsenic	
				solution injected	respectively	
Lead, cobalt,	Edible oil samples (sunflower	DES-ME	MS-FAAS	DES : choline chloride–urea, 1:2;	Pb: 2.4, Co:	[74]
nickel and	oil, baby oil, trout, waste			20 mL oil sample, 200 μL DES; vortexed, 1	4.6, Ni: 7.5,	
manganese	frying oil and syrup-soaked			min; 75 μ L HNO ₃ ; water bath, 30 min, 100	Mn: 1.0 μg	
	pastry oil)			°C; vortexed 2 min; centrifuged, 5 min,	L^{-1}	
				4000 rpm (oil and DES rich phase obtained);		
				DES decanted and methanol added		

¹ We left the abbreviations used by the authors (apart from some exceptions), even if this is not in accordance with our previous recommendations [89]. ² For a detailed description of the procedure, please see the original articles.

Table 3 Selected examples of DES-based microextraction for speciation analysis by AAS

Analyte	Sample	Microextraction ¹	Detection	Comments ²	LOD	Ref.
Speciation of	Soil and vegetables (radish,	VAME-DES	GFAAS	DES: choline chloride-citric acid	0.10 µg kg ⁻¹	[76]
arsenic	spinach, coriander and			monohydrate, 1:1;		
	carrot) irrigated with treated			10 mL sample solution; 50 μL DES		
	municipal wastewater			containing 10 μL DDTP; vortexed, 5 min;		
				centrifuged, 4 min, 5000 rpm; freezer, 5		
				min; melted; 30 μL injected		
Speciation of	Water (lake water, mineral	DES-UALPME	ETAAS	DES : choline chloride–phenol, 1:3;	10 ng L ⁻¹	[77]
arsenic	water, tap water and river			25 mL sample solution; 500 μL DDTC (0.1%		
	water), food (edible			w/v); 1000 μL DES; 500 μL THF; sonicated, 5		
	mushrooms, fish, green tea,			min; centrifuged5 min, 3500 rpm; DES		

	black tea, rice), cigarette and			phase, acidic ethanol added up to 1 mL;		
	soil samples			injected 20 μL		
Speciation of	Water (river, tap, well,	DES based-CSDF-	ETAAS	DES : choline chloride–phenol, 2:3;	0.096 μg L ⁻¹	[81]
chromium	industrial wastewater) and	ME		10 mL sample solution; 0.15 mL DCP		
	urine samples			solution; 59 μL DES; 0.5 mL THF;		
				centrifuged, 10 min, 5000 rpm		
Speciation of	Tea and water samples	UA-DES-LLME	FAAS	DES : choline chloride–phenol, 1:2;	0.8 μg L ⁻¹	[82]
chromium				sample solution; APDC solution; 0.4 mL		
				DES; vortexed, 3 min; 0.4 mL THF;		
				sonicated, 4 min; centrifuged, 6 min, 4000		
				rpm		
Speciation of	food (mushrooms and	UA-LPME	FAAS	DES : choline chloride–phenol; 1:2;	0.4 ng mL ⁻¹	[83]
chromium	soybean) and water (tap and			10 mL sample solution; PAN solution; 350		
	<i>river</i>) samples			μL DES; sonicated, 90 s; centrifuged, 4 min,		
				5000 rpm; 400 μL THF		
Speciation of	Food (rice and sausage) and	AA-EME-DES	FAAS	DES : choline chloride–phenylethanol, 1:2;	0.4 ng mL^{-1}	[84]
chromium	water (tap water, river			10 mL sample solution; PAN solution; 250		
	water, and mineral water)			μL DES; aspiration/dispersion cycles; 9		
	samples			times; centrifuged, 4 min, 4000 rpm		
Speciation of	Water samples (tap water,	UA-DES-ELPME	MS-FAAS	DES : choline chloride–phenol, 1:3;	5.5 μg L ^{−1}	[85]
chromium	chromium plating factory			10 mL sample; DDTC solution; 450 μL DES;		
	wastewater and lake water)			450 μL THF; sonicated 2 min; centrifuged,		
				10 min, 4000 rpm; DES phase completed to		
				750 μL with ethanol		
Speciation of	Water (bottled mineral	UA-DES-LPME	ETAAS	DES : choline chloride–phenol, 1:3;	Hg ²⁺ and	[86]
mercury	water, river water, tap			10 mL sample solution; dithizone solution;	CH₃Hg⁺	
	water) and biological			500 μL DES; 500 μL THF; sonicated, 2 min;	0.073 and	
	(freshwater fish) samples			centrifuged, 10 min, 4032g	0.091 ng	
					mL ⁻¹ ,	
					respectively	
Speciation of	Blood samples	VADLLME-FDES	GFAAS	DES: [DMIM]Cl and 1-undecanol, 1:2;	0.10 µg L ⁻¹	[87]
mercury				10 mL sample solution; 55 μL DES		
				containing 15 μL DDTP; maintained at 50°C		

Speciation of	Blood samples	SDES-ME	GEAAS	in a water bath; 350 mg NaCl to break the emulsion; vortexed, 3 min; centrifuged, 4 min, 5000 rpm; ice bath, 5 min	0.015 µg l ⁻¹	[80]
selenium	blood samples	JULJ-IVIL	UTAA3	5 mL pretreated/diluted blood sample; 60 μl DES containing DDTP; DDTP; vortexed, 4 min; ice bath	0.013 μg τ	[80]
Speciation of selenium	Water (tap water and mineral water) and food (sheep milk, cow milk, yogurt, mixed fruit juice, egg, orange juice, grapefruit, honey, canned fish and edible mushrooms) samples	UALPME-DES	ETAAS	DES : choline chloride–phenol, 1:3; 25 mL sample solution; 0.4 mL 2×10^{-3} to 5×10^{-5} mol L ⁻¹ DAB; 0.5 mL DES, 0.5 mL THF; sonicated, 3 min, 45°C; centrifuged, 5 min, 4000 rpm; DES phase, acidic ethanol up to 0.5 mL	4.61 ng L ⁻¹	[79]
Speciation of arsenic, selenium and mercury	Blood samples	LPME-SDES	ETAAS	HDES : choline chloride–decanoic acid, 1:2; 10 mL pretreated/diluted blood sample; 60 μL DES containing DDTP; vortexed, 5 min; centrifuged, 4 min, 5000 rpm; ice bath; DES phase, 20 μL acidic ethanol added	As, Se and Hg 0.05, 0.015 and 0.10 μg L ⁻¹	[78]

¹ We left the abbreviations used by the authors (apart from some exceptions), even if this is not in accordance with our previous recommendations [89]. ² For a detailed description of the procedure, please see the original articles.

Abbreviations

[DMIM]Cl, 1-Octyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride and 1-undecanol;

[P₆₆₆₍₁₄₎][Cl], Trihexyltetradecylphosphonium chloride;

5-Br-PADAP, 2-(5-Bromo-2-pyridylazo)-5-(diethylamino) phenol;

AA-, Air-assisted;

APDC, Ammonium pyrrolidine dithiocarbamate;

BTPPB, Benzyltriphenylphosphonium bromide;

CL-, Centrifuge-less;

CM-HFLPME, Carrier-mediated hollow fiber liquid-phase microextraction;

CSDF-ME, Continuous sample drop flow-microextraction;

CTAB, N,N,N-cetyltrimethylammonium bromide;

CVAAS, Cold vapor atomic absorption spectrometry;

DAB, 3,3'-Diaminobenzidine;

DDTC, Diethyl dithiocarbamate;

DDTP, Diethyl dithiophosphoric acid;

DES, Deep eutectic solvent;

DMDTC, Dimethyl dithiocarbamate;

DPC, Diphenylcarbazone;

EA, effervescence-assisted;

ETAAS, Electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry;

FAAS, Flame atomic absorption spectrometry;

GAC, Green Analytical Chemistry;

GF-AAS, Graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry;

GO, Graphene oxide;

HF-, Hollow fiber;

HI-, Heat-induced;

HLLME, Homogeneous liquid-liquid microextraction;

HMBATSC, 2-Hydroxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde thiosemicarbazone;

ICP-MS, Inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry;

ICP-OES, Inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry;

LPME, Liquid-phase microextraction;

MNF, Magnetic nanofluid-linked;

MS-, Microsampling;

MSPE, Magnetic solid-phase extraction;

PAN, 1-(2-pyridylazo)-2-naphthol;

SDES, Solidification of deep eutectic solvent;

SDES-ME, Solidified deep eutectic solvent microextraction;

SDFD, Solidification of dispersed fine droplets;

SPE, Solid-phase extraction;

SQT, Slotted quartz tube;

TAR, 4-(2-Thiazolylazo) resorcinol;

TC-DES-LLME, Temperature-controlled liquid–liquid microextraction;

THF, Tetrahydrofuran;

UDDLLME, Modified ultrasonic-assisted dual dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction;

VADLLME–FDES, Vortex assisted dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction based on the freezing of deep eutectic solvent;

References

[1] S.J. Hill, A.S. Fisher, Encyclopedia of Spectroscopy and Spectrometry, 2017.

[2] O. K., S. N., P.-W. J., N. J., New Achievements in the Field of Extraction of Trace Analytes from Samples Characterized by Complex Composition of the Matrix, in: P.-W. J., N. J. (Eds.) Green Analytical Chemistry: Past, Present and Perspectives, Springer, Singapore, 2019, pp. 103-150.
[3] M. Sargazi, S.H. Hashemi, M. Kaykhaii, Modern Sample Preparation Techniques: A Brief Introduction, IntechOpen, Mona Sargazi, Sayyed Hossein Hashemi and Massoud Kaykhaii (October 18th 2021). Modern Sample Preparation Techniques: A Brief Introduction [Online First], IntechOpen, DOI: 10.5772/intechopen.100715. Available from: <u>https://www.intechopen.com/online-first/79034</u>, 2021.

[4] É.M.M. Flores, J.S. Barin, M.F. Mesko, G. Knapp, Sample preparation techniques based on combustion reactions in closed vessels - A brief overview and recent applications, Spectrochim. Acta Part B, 62 (2007) 1051-1064. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sab.2007.04.018</u>

[5] D.J. Swaine, Trace elements in coal and their dispersal during combustion, Fuel Process. Technol., 39 (1994) 121-137. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-3820(94)90176-7</u>

[6] N. Mketo, P.N. Nomngongo, J.C. Ngila, An overview on analytical methods for quantitative determination of multi-element in coal samples, TrAC - Trends Anal. Chem., 85 (2016) 107-116. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2016.09.002</u>

[7] A. Tankeviciute, R. Kazlauskas, V. Vickackaite, Headspace extraction of alcohols into a single drop, Analyst, 126 (2001) 1674-1677. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/b103493f</u>

[8] M. Rezaee, Y. Assadi, M.R. Milani Hosseini, E. Aghaee, F. Ahmadi, S. Berijani, Determination of organic compounds in water using dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction, J. Chromatogr. A, 1116 (2006) 1-9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2006.03.007</u>

[9] M.R. Khalili Zanjani, Y. Yamini, S. Shariati, J.A. Jönsson, A new liquid-phase microextraction method based on solidification of floating organic drop, Anal. Chim. Acta, 585 (2007) 286-293. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2006.12.049

[10] K.E. Rasmussen, S. Pedersen-Bjergaard, M. Krogh, H. Grefslie Ugland, T. Grønhaug, Development of a simple in-vial liquid-phase microextraction device for drug analysis compatible with capillary gas chromatography, capillary electrophoresis and high-performance liquid chromatography, J. Chromatogr. A, 873 (2000) 3-11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9673(99)01163-2</u>

[11] G. Lasarte-Aragonés, R. Lucena, S. Cárdenas, M. Valcárcel, Use of switchable solvents in the microextraction context, Talanta, 131 (2014) 645-649. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2014.08.031</u> [12] A. Shishov, A. Bulatov, M. Locatelli, S. Carradori, V. Andruch, Application of deep eutectic solvents in analytical chemistry. A review, Microchem. J., 135 (2017) 33-38.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2017.07.015

[13] J. Lee, D. Jung, K. Park, Hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents for the extraction of organic and inorganic analytes from aqueous environments, TrAC - Trends Anal. Chem., 118 (2019) 853-868. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2019.07.008</u>

[14] G. Li, K.H. Row, Utilization of deep eutectic solvents in dispersive liquid-liquid micro-extraction, TrAC - Trends Anal. Chem., 120 (2019). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2019.115651</u>

[15] T.R. Sekharan, R.M. Chandira, S. Tamilvanan, S.C. Rajesh, B.S. Venkateswarlu, Deep Eutectic Solvents as an Alternate to Other Harmful Solvents, Biointerface Research in Applied Chemistry, 12 (2022) 847-860. <u>https://doi.org/DOI10.33263/BRIAC121.847860</u>

[16] W. Tang, Y. An, K.H. Row, Emerging applications of (micro) extraction phase from hydrophilic to hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents: opportunities and trends, TrAC - Trends Anal. Chem., 136 (2021) 116187. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2021.116187</u>

[17] P. Makoś, E. Słupek, J. Gębicki, Hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents in microextraction techniques–A review, Microchem. J., 152 (2020) 104384.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2019.104384

[18] A.H. Panhwar, M. Tuzen, T.G. Kazi, Deep eutectic solvent based advance microextraction method for determination of aluminum in water and food samples: Multivariate study, Talanta, 178 (2018) 588-593. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2017.09.079</u>

[19] D. Çıtak, D. Sabancı, Response surface methodology and hydrophobic deep eutectic solvent based liquid phase microextraction combination for determination of cadmium in food and water samples, J. Food Meas. Charact., 15 (2021) 1843-1850. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11694-020-00761-1</u>
[20] T. Unutkan, B. Tışlı, Z. Tekin, G. Çetin, S. Bakırdere, Ultrasound assisted deep eutectic solvent based microextraction-slotted quartz tube-flame atomic absorption spectrometry for the determination of cadmium, Spectrochim. Acta Part B, 155 (2019) 1-3.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sab.2019.03.001

[21] A.A. Lashari, T.G. Kazi, J.A. Baig, H.I. Afridi, Developed a modified liquid–liquid micro-extraction method for the preconcentration of cadmium in groundwater samples of aquifers at different depth in a coal mining area, Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem., (2019).

https://doi.org/10.1080/03067319.2019.1691185

[22] R.A. Zounr, M. Tuzen, N. Deligonul, M.Y. Khuhawar, A highly selective and sensitive ultrasonic assisted dispersive liquid phase microextraction based on deep eutectic solvent for determination of cadmium in food and water samples prior to electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry, Food Chem., 253 (2018) 277-283. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.01.167</u>

[23] N.A. Kasa, B.T. Zaman, S. Bakirdere, Ultra-trace cadmium determination in eucalyptus and rosemary tea samples using a novel method: Deep eutectic solvent based magnetic nanofluid liquid phase microextraction-slotted quartz tube-flame atomic absorption spectrometry, J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 35 (2020) 2565-2572. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/d0ja00276c</u>

[24] J. Ali, M. Tuzen, D. Citak, O.D. Uluozlu, D. Mendil, T.G. Kazi, H.I. Afridi, Separation and preconcentration of trivalent chromium in environmental waters by using deep eutectic solvent with ultrasound-assisted based dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction method, J. Mol. Liq., 291 (2019). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2019.111299</u>

[25] S. Seidi, L. Alavi, A. Jabbari, Dispersed Solidified Fine Droplets Based on Sonication of a Low Melting Point Deep Eutectic Solvent: a Novel Concept for Fast and Efficient Determination of Cr(VI) in Urine Samples, Biol. Trace Elem. Res., 188 (2019) 353-362. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-018-1438-3</u>

[26] A. Elik, D. Bingöl, N. Altunay, Ionic hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents in developing air-assisted liquid-phase microextraction based on experimental design: Application to flame atomic absorption spectrometry determination of cobalt in liquid and solid samples, Food Chemistry, 350 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.129237

[27] T. Borahan, B.T. Zaman, G. Özzeybek, S. Bakırdere, Accurate and sensitive determination of cobalt in urine samples using deep eutectic solvent-assisted magnetic colloidal gel-based dispersive solid phase extraction prior to slotted quartz tube equipped flame atomic absorption spectrometry, Chem. Pap., 75 (2021) 2937-2944. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11696-021-01542-w</u>

[28] Z. Tekin, T. Unutkan, F. Erulaş, E.G. Bakırdere, S. Bakırdere, A green, accurate and sensitive analytical method based on vortex assisted deep eutectic solvent-liquid phase microextraction for the determination of cobalt by slotted quartz tube flame atomic absorption spectrometry, Food Chem., 310 (2020). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.125825</u>

[29] M.B. Arain, E. Yilmaz, M. Soylak, Deep eutectic solvent based ultrasonic assisted liquid phase microextraction for the FAAS determination of cobalt, J Mol Liq, 224 (2016) 538-543. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2016.10.005</u>

[30] T. Borahan, T. Unutkan, B.T. Zaman, E.G. Bakırdere, S. Bakırdere, Determination of Copper in Quince Samples with a Matrix Matching Strategy Using Vortex Assisted Deep Eutectic Solvent-Based Emulsification Liquid Phase Microextraction–Slotted Quartz Tube–Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrometry, Anal. Lett., 53 (2020) 2748-2760. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00032719.2020.1757689</u> [31] S. Seidi, L. Alavi, Novel and Rapid Deep Eutectic Solvent (DES) Homogeneous Liquid–Liquid Microextraction (HLLME) with Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (FAAS) Detection for the Determination of Copper in Vegetables, Anal. Lett., 52 (2019) 2092-2106.

https://doi.org/10.1080/00032719.2019.1598425

[32] G.S. Kanberoglu, E. Yilmaz, M. Soylak, Usage of deep eutectic solvents for the digestion and ultrasound-assisted liquid phase microextraction of copper in liver samples, J. Iranian Chem. Soc., 15 (2018) 2307-2314. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13738-018-1419-7</u>

[33] Ç. Arpa, S. Albayati, M. Yahya, Effervescence-assisted dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction based on deep eutectic solvent for preconcentration and FAAS determination of copper in aqueous samples, Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem., 98 (2018) 938-953.

https://doi.org/10.1080/03067319.2018.1517872

[34] Ö. Yılmaz, B.Y. Durak, Z. Tekin, E.S. Koçoğlu, S. Bakırdere, Accurate and Precise Determination of Gold in Plating Bath Solution: Deep Eutectic Solvent Based Liquid Phase Microextraction–Slotted Quartz Tube–Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrometry, Anal. Lett., 53 (2020) 165-173.

https://doi.org/10.1080/00032719.2019.1641718

[35] E. Yilmaz, M. Soylak, Ultrasound assisted-deep eutectic solvent extraction of iron from sheep, bovine and chicken liver samples, Talanta, 136 (2015) 170-173.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2014.12.034

[36] B. Tışlı, T.U. Gösterişli, B.T. Zaman, E.G. Bakırdere, S. Bakırdere, Determination of Manganese in Coffee and Wastewater Using Deep Eutectic Solvent Based Extraction and Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrometry, Anal. Lett., (2020) 1-11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00032719.2020.1789871</u>

[37] E. Ragheb, M. Shamsipur, F. Jalali, M. Sadeghi, N. Babajani, N. Mafakheri, Magnetic solid-phase extraction using metal–organic framework-based biosorbent followed by ligandless deep-eutectic solvent-ultrasounds-assisted dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction (DES-USA-DLLME) for preconcentration of mercury (II), Microchem. J., 166 (2021).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2021.106209

[38] F. Rastegarifard, K. Ghanemi, M. Fallah-Mehrjardi, A deep eutectic solvent-based extraction method for fast determination of Hg in marine fish samples by cold vapor atomic absorption spectrometry, Anal. Methods, 9 (2017) 5741-5748. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/c7ay01372h</u>
[39] B. Alacakoç, Z. Tekin, T. Unutkan, G. Çetin, S. Bakirdere, Determination of trace nickel in spinach samples using the combination of vortex-assisted deep eutectic solvent-based liquid phase microextraction and slotted quartz tube-flame atomic absorption spectrometry, Atomic Spectroscopy, 40 (2019) 233-237.

[40] Z. Erbas, M. Soylak, E. Yilmaz, M. Dogan, Deep eutectic solvent based liquid phase microextraction of nickel at trace level as its diethyldithiocarbamate chelate from environmental samples, Microchem. J., 145 (2019) 745-750. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2018.11.039</u>
[41] M. Abdolhosseini, F. Shemirani, S.M. Yousefi, Poly (deep eutectic solvents) as a new class of sustainable sorbents for solid phase extraction: application for preconcentration of Pb (II) from food and water samples, Microchimica Acta, 187 (2020). <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00604-020-04564-5</u>
[42] M.A. Habila, N. AlMasoud, T.S. Alomar, Z.A. AlOthman, E. Yilmaz, M. Soylak, Deep eutectic solvent-based microextraction of lead(II) traces from water and aqueous extracts before FAAS measurements, Molecules, 25 (2020). <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25204794</u>
[43] T. Borahan, T. Unutkan, N.B. Turan, F. Turak, S. Bakırdere, Determination of lead in milk samples using vortex assisted deep eutectic solvent based liquid phase microextraction-slotted quartz tube-flame atomic absorption spectrometry system, Food Chemistry, 299 (2019).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.125065

[44] Naeemullah, M. Tuzen, A new robust, deep eutectic-based floating organic droplets microextraction method for determination of lead in a portable syringe system directly couple with FAAS, Talanta, 196 (2019) 71-77. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2018.12.027</u>

[45] Z.M. Memon, E. Yilmaz, A.M. Shah, T.G. Kazi, B.R. Devrajani, M. Soylak, A green ultrasonicassisted liquid–liquid microextraction technique based on deep eutectic solvents for flame atomic absorption spectrometer determination of trace level of lead in tobacco and food samples, J. Iranian Chem. Soc., 16 (2019) 687-694. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13738-018-1547-0</u>

[46] C. Karadaş, D. Kara, Emulsification liquid–liquid microextraction method based on a deep eutectic solvent for separation and preconcentration of lead from environmental water samples, Water Sci. Technol.-Water Supply, 19 (2019) 864-870. <u>https://doi.org/10.2166/ws.2018.133</u>

[47] R.A. Zounr, M. Tuzen, M.Y. Khuhawar, A simple and green deep eutectic solvent based air assisted liquid phase microextraction for separation, preconcentration and determination of lead in water and food samples by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry, J Mol Liq, 259 (2018) 220-226. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2018.03.034</u>

[48] L. Alavi, S. Seidi, A. Jabbari, T. Baheri, Deep eutectic liquid organic salt as a new solvent for carrier-mediated hollow fiber liquid phase microextraction of lead from whole blood followed by electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry, New Journal of Chemistry, 41 (2017) 7038-7044. https://doi.org/10.1039/c7nj00922d

[49] K. Abdi, M. Ezoddin, N. Pirooznia, Temperature-controlled liquid–liquid microextraction using a biocompatible hydrophobic deep eutectic solvent for microextraction of palladium from catalytic converter and road dust samples prior to ETAAS determination, Microchem. J., 157 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2020.104999

[50] Z.A. Alothman, M.A. Habila, E. Yilmaz, E.A. Alabdullkarem, M. Soylak, A novel deep eutectic solvent microextraction procedure for enrichment, separation and atomic absorption spectrometric determination of palladium at ultra-trace levels in environmental samples, Measurement, 153 (2020). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2019.107394</u>

[51] A.H. Panhwar, M. Tuzen, T.G. Kazi, Use of deep eutectic solvent-based air-assisted emulsification liquid-liquid microextraction of palladium and determination by flame atomic absorption spectrometry in water and environmental samples, At. Spectrosc., 40 (2019) 227-232.

[52] M. Karimi, S. Dadfarnia, A.M. Haji Shabani, Hollow fibre-supported graphene oxide nanosheets modified with a deep eutectic solvent to be used for the solid-phase microextraction of silver ions, Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem., 98 (2018) 124-137. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/03067319.2018.1435781</u>
[53] N. Altunay, A. Elik, R. Gürkan, Innovative and practical deep eutectic solvent based vortex assisted microextraction procedure for separation and preconcentration of low levels of arsenic and antimony from sample matrix prior to analysis by hydride generation-atomic absorption spectrometry, Food Chem., 293 (2019) 378-386. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.05.019</u>
[54] A. Elik, A. Demirbas, N. Altunay, Developing a new and simple natural deep eutectic solvent based ultrasonic-assisted microextraction procedure for determination and preconcentration of As and Se from rice samples, Anal. Methods, 11 (2019) 3429-3438. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/c9ay00916g</u>
[55] Y. Ji, M. Zhao, A. Li, L. Zhao, Hydrophobic deep eutectic solvent-based ultrasonic-assisted dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction for preconcentration and determination of trace cadmium and arsenic in wine samples, Microchem. J., 164 (2021).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2021.105974

[56] S.M. Sorouraddin, M.A. Farajzadeh, T. Okhravi, Application of deep eutectic solvent as a disperser in reversed-phase dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction for the extraction of Cd(II) and Zn(II) ions from oil samples, Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 93 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2020.103590

[57] S.M. Sorouraddin, M.A. Farajzadeh, H. Dastoori, T. Okhravi, Development of an air-assisted liquid-liquid microextraction method based on a ternary solidified deep eutectic solvent in extraction and preconcentration of Cd(II) and Zn(II) ions, Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem., (2019) 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1080/03067319.2019.1686144

[58] S.M. Sorouraddin, M.A. Farajzadeh, T. Okhravi, Development of dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction based on deep eutectic solvent using as complexing agent and extraction solvent: application for extraction of heavy metals, Separation Science and Technology (Philadelphia), 55 (2020) 2955-2966. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/01496395.2019.1666874</u>

[59] M. Karimi, S. Dadfarnia, A.M. Shabani, Application of Deep Eutectic Solvent Modified Cotton as a Sorbent for Online Solid-Phase Extraction and Determination of Trace Amounts of Copper and Nickel

[60] M. Tavakoli, M.R. Jamali, A. Nezhadali, Ultrasound-Assisted Dispersive Liquid–Liquid Microextraction (DLLME) Based on Solidification of Floating Organic Drop Using a Deep Eutectic Solvent for Simultaneous Preconcentration and Determination of Nickel and Cobalt in Food and Water Samples, Anal. Lett., (2021). <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00032719.2021.1897990</u>

[61] T.G. Kazi, H.I. Afridi, M. Bhatti, A. Akhtar, A rapid ultrasonic energy assisted preconcentration method for simultaneous extraction of lead and cadmium in various cosmetic brands using deep eutectic solvent: A multivariate study, Ultrason. Sonochem., 51 (2019) 40-48.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultsonch.2018.10.016

[62] M. Yahya, S. Kesekler, İ. Durukan, Ç. Arpa, Determination of prohibited lead and cadmium traces in hair dyes and henna samples using ultrasound assisted-deep eutectic solvent-based liquid phase microextraction followed by microsampling-flame atomic absorption spectrometry, Analytical Methods, 13 (2021) 1058-1068. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/d0ay02235g</u>

[63] N. Altunay, A. Elik, D. Bingöl, Simple and Green Heat-Induced Deep Eutectic Solvent Microextraction for Determination of Lead and Cadmium in Vegetable Samples by Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrometry: a Multivariate Study, Biol. Trace Elem. Res., 198 (2020) 324-331. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-020-02064-4</u>

[64] M. Karimi, A.M.H. Shabani, S. Dadfarnia, Deep eutectic solvent-mediated extraction for ligand-less preconcentration of lead and cadmium from environmental samples using magnetic nanoparticles, Microchim. Acta, 183 (2016) 563-571. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00604-015-1671-9</u>)
[65] M. Karimi, S. Dadfarnia, A.M.H. Shabani, F. Tamaddon, D. Azadi, Deep eutectic liquid organic salt as a new solvent for liquid-phase microextraction and its application in ligandless extraction and preconcentraion of lead and cadmium in edible oils, Talanta, 144 (2015) 648-654. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2015.07.021</u>

[66] R.A. Zounr, M. Tuzen, M.Y. Khuhawar, Determination of selenium and arsenic ions in edible mushroom samples by novel chloride-oxalic acid deep eutectic solvent extraction using graphite furnace-atomic absorption spectrometry, J. AOAC Int., 101 (2018) 593-600.

https://doi.org/10.5740/jaoacint.17-0238

[67] A.H. Panhwar, M. Tuzen, T.G. Kazi, Choline chloride-oxalic acid as a deep eutectic solvent-based innovative digestion method for the determination of selenium and arsenic in fish samples, J AOAC Int, 101 (2018) 1183-1189. <u>https://doi.org/10.5740/jaoacint.17-0286</u>

[68] S.M. Sorouraddin, M.A. Farajzadeh, H. Dastoori, Development of a dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction method based on a ternary deep eutectic solvent as chelating agent and extraction solvent for preconcentration of heavy metals from milk samples, Talanta, 208 (2020) 120485. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2019.120485</u>

[69] T. Ahmadi-Jouibari, H. Ahmadi Jouybari, K. Sharafi, M. Heydari, N. Fattahi, Assessment of potentially toxic elements in vegetables and soil samples irrigated with treated sewage and human health risk assessment, Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem., (2021).

https://doi.org/10.1080/03067319.2021.1893704

[70] N. Altunay, A. Elik, R. Gürkan, Monitoring of some trace metals in honeys by flame atomic absorption spectrometry after ultrasound assisted-dispersive liquid liquid microextraction using natural deep eutectic solvent, Microchemical Journal, 147 (2019) 49-59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2019.03.003

[71] E. Habibi, K. Ghanemi, M. Fallah-Mehrjardi, A. Dadolahi-Sohrab, A novel digestion method based on a choline chloride-oxalic acid deep eutectic solvent for determining Cu, Fe, and Zn in fish samples, Anal. Chim. Acta, 762 (2013) 61-67. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2012.11.054</u>

[72] M.H. Habibollahi, K. Karimyan, H. Arfaeinia, N. Mirzaei, Y. Safari, R. Akramipour, H. Sharafi, N. Fattahi, Extraction and determination of heavy metals in soil and vegetables irrigated with treated municipal wastewater using new mode of dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction based on the solidified deep eutectic solvent followed by GFAAS, J. Sci. Food Agric., 99 (2019) 656-665. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.9230 [73] M. Shirani, S. Habibollahi, A. Akbari, Centrifuge-less deep eutectic solvent based magnetic nanofluid-linked air-agitated liquid–liquid microextraction coupled with electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry for simultaneous determination of cadmium, lead, copper, and arsenic in food samples and non-alcoholic beverages, Food Chem., 281 (2019) 304-311.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.12.110

[74] M. Soylak, M. Koksal, Deep eutectic solvent microextraction of lead(II), cobalt(II), nickel(II) and manganese(II) ions for the separation and preconcentration in some oil samples from Turkey prior to their microsampling flame atomic absorption spectrometric determination, Microchem. J., 147 (2019) 832-837. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2019.04.006</u>

[75] A.M. Ure, L.R.P. Butler, R.O. Scott, R. Jenkins, Nomenclature, symbols, units and their usage in spectrochemical analysis-X. Preparation of materials for analytical atomic spectroscopy and other related techniques (Recommendations 1988), Pure Appl. Chem., 60 (1988) 1461-1472. https://doi.org/doi:10.1351/pac198860091461

[76] M. Ataee, T. Ahmadi-Jouibari, N. Noori, N. Fattahi, The speciation of inorganic arsenic in soil and vegetables irrigated with treated municipal wastewater, RSC Adv., 10 (2020) 1514-1521. <u>https://doi.org/10.1039/c9ra08031g</u>

[77] R.A. Zounr, M. Tuzen, M.Y. Khuhawar, Ultrasound assisted deep eutectic solvent based on dispersive liquid liquid microextraction of arsenic speciation in water and environmental samples by electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry, J. Mol. Liq., 242 (2017) 441-446. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2017.07.053

[78] R. Akramipour, M.R. Golpayegani, M. Ghasemi, N. Noori, N. Fattahi, Optimization of a methodology for speciation of arsenic, selenium and mercury in blood samples based on the deep eutectic solvent, MethodsX, 6 (2019) 2141-2147. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2019.09.018</u>
[79] A.H. Panhwar, M. Tuzen, T.G. Kazi, Ultrasonic assisted dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction method based on deep eutectic solvent for speciation, preconcentration and determination of selenium species (IV) and (VI) in water and food samples, Talanta, 175 (2017) 352-358. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2017.07.063</u>

[80] R. Akramipour, M.R. Golpayegani, M. Ghasemi, N. Noori, N. Fattahi, Development of an efficient sample preparation method for the speciation of Se(iv)/Se(vi) and total inorganic selenium in blood of children with acute leukemia, New J. Chem., 43 (2019) 6951-6958.

https://doi.org/10.1039/c9nj00979e

[81] S. Fouladlou, H. Faraji, H. Shahbaazi, A. Moghimi, F. Azizinezhad, Deep eutectic solvent-based continuous sample drop flow microextraction combined with electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry for speciation and determination of chromium ions in aqueous samples, Microchem. J., 162 (2021). <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2020.105834</u>

[82] F. Elahi, M.B. Arain, W.A. Khan, N. Shah, T.G. Kazi, Speciation and determination of chromium by ultrasound-assisted deep eutectic solvent liquid–liquid microextraction followed by flame atomic absorption spectrometry, Chem. Pap., 75 (2021) 717-724. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11696-020-01337-5</u>

[83] M. Fasihi, M. Rajabi, B. Barfi, S.M. Sajjadi, Deep eutectic-based vortex-assisted/ultrasound-assisted liquid-phase microextractions of chromium species, J. Iranian Chem. Soc., 17 (2020) 1705-1713. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13738-020-01890-6</u>

[84] M. Fasihi, M. Rajabi, B. Barfi, S.M. Sajjadi, Efficacious and environmentally friendly deep eutectic solvent-based liquid-phase microextraction for speciation of Cr(III) and Cr(VI) ions in food and water samples, Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem., (2020). <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/03067319.2020.1784408</u>
[85] E. Yilmaz, M. Soylak, Ultrasound assisted-deep eutectic solvent based on emulsification liquid phase microextraction combined with microsample injection flame atomic absorption spectrometry for valence speciation of chromium(III/VI) in environmental samples, Talanta, 160 (2016) 680-685. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2016.08.001

[86] A. Thongsaw, Y. Udnan, G.M. Ross, W.C. Chaiyasith, Speciation of mercury in water and biological samples by eco-friendly ultrasound-assisted deep eutectic solvent based on liquid phase

microextraction with electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry, Talanta, 197 (2019) 310-318. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2019.01.018</u>

[87] R. Akramipour, M.R. Golpayegani, S. Gheini, N. Fattahi, Speciation of organic/inorganic mercury and total mercury in blood samples using vortex assisted dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction based on the freezing of deep eutectic solvent followed by GFAAS, Talanta, 186 (2018) 17-23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2018.04.042

[88] J.M. Kokosa, Advances in solvent-microextraction techniques, TrAC - Trends Anal. Chem., 43 (2013) 2-13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2012.09.020</u>

[89] J. Šandrejová, N. Campillo, P. Viñas, V. Andruch, Classification and terminology in dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction, Microchem. J., 127 (2016) 184-186.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2016.03.007