Postprint of: Anjum S., Aslam S., Hussain N., Bilal M., Boczkaj G., Smułek W., Jesionowski T., Iqbal H. M., Bioreactors and biophoton-driven biohydrogen production strategies, INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HYDROGEN ENERGY (2023), DOI: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2023.01.363

© 2023. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/



- Pathways for biohydrogen production are discussed with key examples.
- Role of various bioreactors and impact of nanoparticles on biohydrogen production.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 3 July 2022 Received in revised form 29 January 2023 Accepted 30 January 2023 Available online xxx

Keywords:

Microbial electrolysis Circular economy Biophotolysis Bioreactors Nanoparticles Waste management

ABSTRACT

Given the current issues with global warming and rising greenhouse gas emissions, biohydrogen is a viable alternative fuel option. Technologies to produce biohydrogen include photo fermentation, dark fermentation, direct and indirect bio-photolysis, and two-stage fermentation. Biological hydrogen generation is a green and promising technique with mild reaction conditions and low energy consumption compared to thermochemical and electrochemical hydrogen generation. To optimize hydrogen gas output using this method, the activity of hydrogen-consuming bacteria should be restricted during the production stages of hydrogen and acetate to prevent or limit hydrogen consumption. Raw material costs, poor hydrogen evolution rates, and large-scale output are the main limitations in biological hydrogen generation systems. Organic wastes would be the most preferred target feedstock for hydrogen fermentation, aside from biodegradable wastes, due to their high amount and simultaneous waste treatment advantage. This study examined the three primary methods for converting waste into bio-hydrogen: microbial electrolysis cell, thermochemical gasification, and biological fermentation, from both a technological and environmental standpoint. The effectiveness and applicability of these bioprocesses in terms of aspects influencing processes and their constraints are discussed. Alternative options for improving process efficiency, like microbial electrolysis, bio-augmentation, and

* Corresponding author.

** Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: teofil.jesionowski@put.poznan.pl (T. Jesionowski), hafiz.iqbal@tec.mx (H.M.N. Iqbal). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2023.01.363

0360-3199/© 2023 Hydrogen Energy Publications LLC. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article as: Anjum S et al., Bioreactors and biophoton-driven biohydrogen production strategies, International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2023.01.363

Q4

Q1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

multiple process integration, are also considered for industrial-level applications. Biohydrogen generation might be further enhanced by optimization of operating conditions and adding vital nutrients and nanoparticles. Cost reduction and durability enhancement are the most significant hindrances to fuel-cell commercialization. This review summarizes the biohydrogen production pathways, the impact of used organic waste sources, and bacteria. The work also addresses the essential factors, benefits, and challenges.

© 2023 Hydrogen Energy Publications LLC. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

One of the most significant future issues will be the depletion of energy resources and increased pollution due to overusing fossil fuels. In the near future, renewable energy sources such as wind, sun, and biomass energy (biomethane, bioethanol, biohydrogen, etc.) are predicted to replace traditional energy sources such as fossil fuels. Furthermore, hydrogen has a larger energy mass-based content than other fuels and may be generated from renewable sources [1]. Biohydrogen is based on the green chemistry idea, in which food, vegetable, and manure wastes are processed and utilized to create hydrogen gas rather than being discharged into the environment. Chemical absorption, such as amine scrubbing and water washing, as well as membrane separation and physical adsorption, such as pressure swing adsorption (PSA) and temperature swing adsorption (TSA), allows for enrichment and separate CO_2 generated by fermentation [2]. Agricultural, food processing, forestry waste, sludges, effluents, an organic household, and yard trash are the most common organic waste feedstocks. Proteins, carbohydrates, fats, vitamins, fibers, and bioactive agents (antioxidants, enzymes, and antibacterial agents) are all significant components of such diverse materials. Pigments, flavors, medicines, biofuels, organic acids, biopolymers, and soil improvers could be obtained or created using a mixture of treatments followed by adequate purification and separation techniques [3].

Researchers have been particularly interested in dark fermentation since it can be performed in the absence of light, with little energy consumption, at surrounding temperature and pressure. It may yield valuable products such as H₂, CH₄, and other compounds from waste substrates. However, dark fermentation has a significant disadvantage in hydrogen generation since only about 33% of electrons in the substrate can be converted to H₂. The remaining 66% produces soluble liquid metabolites like alcohol, volatile fatty acids (VFAs), etc. To increase total energy recovery and minimize organic content, hydrogen-fermented discharge should be employed in photofermentation, methane synthesis, and microbial fuel cells (MFCs) [4]. Dark fermentative hydrogen generation via anaerobic hydrogen-producing bacteria is an environmentally friendly, long-term, and emission-free method of manufacturing hydrogen [5]. Nitrogen is a necessary ingredient for hydrogen generation via dark anaerobic fermentation. In the presence of 0.1% polypeptone, starch produced the greatest quantity of hydrogen (2.4 mol/mol glucose). Lin discovered that the C/N ratio had a more significant impact on hydrogen productivity than the particular hydrogen production rate [6].

Hydrogen is a frequent reactant in the petrochemical sector and has been identified as a possible fuel within the next 20 years. During the next five years, HIS (information handling service) Chemical predicts a nearly 5% yearly rise in global demand for hydrogen. Due to the continual increase of its economies, Asia continues to lead the way in growing demand. The use of hydrogen in transportation fuel desulfurization and the expansion of the transportation industry has both affected the increased demand for hydrogen. At the same time, the quality of crudes is deteriorating, resulting in a reduction in hydrogen production from crude processing. This has prompted refineries to reconsider their supply of hydrogen. Many studies have been done on the best way to produce hydrogen [7]. In metabolic processes involving molecular hydrogen creation, carbohydrate content as a carbon source has a beneficial influence on hydrogen production. As a result, carbohydrate-rich food and beverage industry effluent might be darkly fermented to convert carbohydrate content to organic acids and, ultimately, hydrogen gas. Furthermore, cumulative hydrogen generation from wastes surged in early studies before gradually decreasing until the batch reactor ran out of biogas. The development of granules or biofilms significantly improved biomass preservation. However, rapid hydrogen-producing culture growth and higher outgoing long-wave radiation conditions may limit the use of biofilm anaerobic biohydrogen routes [8]. Table 1 illustrates waste sources to produce hydrogen and its reported yield. A viable option for the large-scale, environmentally responsible production of hydrogen required to power a future hydrogen economy is biological hydrogen production. High potential exists for creating useful H₂ generation bioprocesses using currently available technologies. It is imperative to do additional research and development geared at raising H₂ synthesis rates and final yields. The future holds many promising possibilities for biohydrogen systems, including bioprocess integration, bioreactor design optimization, quick hydrogen removal and purification, directed hydrogenase evolution, metabolic engineering of the hydrogen-evolving microbe, and some unique approaches. The quick development of biological and engineering sciences will make it much easier to overcome current barriers and upcoming difficulties and open fresh possibilities for cost-effective hydrogen generation soon.

Techniques for biohydrogen production

Techniques, such as physicochemical, thermal, and biological ones, can be used to synthesize hydrogen. Chemical methods

130

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HYDROGEN ENERGY XXX (XXXX) XXX

Waste Source	Substrates	Pre-treatment	рН	Inhibitors	Hydrogen Yield	References
Industrial	Paper solid waste	Crushed to <0.5 mm	2.5% H ₂ SO ₄	_	61.1 mmol/h/g	[74]
	Waste Peach Pulp	Boiled for 45 min		-	123.27 mL/g TOC	[75]
	Wastewater from citrus processing	Suspended	_	-	85.4 mmol/L	[76]
	Waste activated sludge	Gamma irradiation	12.0	Low pH	1.07 mL/L sludge	[77]
	Sewage sludge	Heat treatment (150 °c for 30 min) with alkaline conditions	-	Ammonia	39.0–220.3 mL/L sludge	[78]
Agricultural	Dairy cow solid waste	Dried and crushed; hydrolyzed the dilute acid	-	VFAs and alcohols	0.3 mol H ₂ /mol	[79]
	Sugarcane bagasse	Raw baggase heated at 120 °c for 30 min	Sulfuric acid H ₂ SO ₄ (1%, g/v)	Phenolics	62.1 mL/g non- detxified sugarcane bagasse	[80]
	Wheat straw	Enzyme treatment	-	-	19.4 mL/g-VS	[81]
	Corn starch	Heated at 100°c for 30 min	-	Low pH	1.94 mol/mol glucose 1.19 mol/mol glucose	[82]
Municipal	Waste pastry	Crushed <0.5 mm	_	-	241.4 mL/g glucose	[83]
	Fruit and vegetable wastes	Crushed 2 mm	-	Acetate and Lactate	3.46 mol/mol	[84]
	Wastepaper	Crushed <0.15 mm and heat (100 °c for 90 min)	2.2	Furan	140 mL/g sugar	[85]
	Food waste	Crushed <0.5 mm	_	VFAs	57mL/g-VS (150°c)	[85]
Forestry	Poplar leaves	2% vicrozyme	_	Furfural	44.92 mL/g dry poplar leaves	[86]
	Waste sorghum leaves	Heat at 150 ^o c for 176 min	5.95% HCl	-	47.3 mL/g sugar	[87]

involve fuel reforming, partial oxidation, coal gasification, and steam reforming. Because biological technologies, such as photosynthetic and dark fermentation processes, utilize minimal energy and work at low temperatures and pressures, they are efficient and cost-effective. In the biological method, many bacteria can digest diverse chemical compounds and produce hydrogen as a metabolite. The primary selection factors for substrates are availability, affordability, carbohydrate content, and biodegradability. Glucose, sucrose, starch, and cellulose have been intensively studied to be used as carbon sources for biohydrogen generation. Because of their easy biodegradability and presence in many carbohydraterich wastewaters and agricultural wastes, they have been employed as model substrates for research reasons. Protein and fat-rich wastes are also suited for biohydrogen generation. Despite being less readily accessible than carbohydraterich wastes, they signify potential feedstock for biologically conversing organic wastes into hydrogen. Fig. 1 portrays various routes for biohydrogen production [9]. As demonstrated in Table 2, there are differences in environmental friendliness, substrate type, substrate efficiency, and hydrogen generation efficiency. Pure bacteria and cell immobilization techniques, like the choice of hydrogenproducing strains and embedding agents, have received most of the studies attention. However, using innovative biotechnology and mixed-culture technologies will increase the possibility of developing technology for biohydrogen production [10].

Pathways for biohydrogen production

Microbial electrolysis

Microbial electrolysis cell is a promising method for converting organic matter into an increased hydrogen yield. Most organic wastes are used as feedstock in microbial electrolysis, a light-independent biological process for hydrogen synthesis. For the conversion of organic molecules into biohydrogen, it combines bio-electrochemical and microbiological processes. In principle, the MEC uses minimal extra voltage (<1.23 V) and electrogenic microorganisms to transform organic molecules into useful hydrogen energy [11]. Electrochemically active microorganisms are utilized in this process, which can generate electricity from organic waste oxidation and biohydrogen generation at the cathode. Microorganisms that are thermophiles or extremophiles, as well as the proper electrode material, play a key role in hydrogen production in MEC. Carbonaceous materials, including carbon brushes, paper, cloth, and graphite, are frequently used as probable electrodes in MEC. Endothermic nature substrates (such as wastewater from acid, pharmaceutical, textile industries, and so on) may also be employed for biohydrogen generation via the microbial electrolysis process.

Electro-hydrogenises is a process in which anaerobic bacteria consume organic matter and produce hydrogen gas, known as MEC [5]. Anode-respiring bacteria (ARB) are

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HYDROGEN ENERGY XXX (XXXX) XXX



Fig. 1 – Various routes for biohydrogen process. Reprinted from Ref. [9] with permission under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

anaerobic bacteria capable of transferring electrons from a biodegradable substrate to a solid electrode [12]. To surpass the endothermic barrier and generate H₂ at the cathode, the applied voltage must be \geq 0.11 V [13]. However, the kind of microbe, electrode materials, type of membrane employed, substrate concentration and composition, applied voltage range, and design of MEC all influence MEC performance [14]. Furthermore, this method has many substrates, requires low amounts of energy, and is more environmentally friendly than other biohydrogen generation methods.

Dark fermentation

Fermentation is the process of converting natural resources into energy by consuming microbes with the help of nitrogenases, hydrogenases, and enzymes without oxygen [15]. Dark fermentation is a less energy-intensive and straightforward method of producing biohydrogen. Although it's a conventional method, it's still promising for reducing sludge, ensuring wholesomeness, and recovering nutrients such as fatty acids (short chain) and hydrogen or energy on time [16]. With 1.9 as the net energy ratio (the ratio of hydrogen yield to non-renewable energy intake), this method was determined the most advantageous method of producing biohydrogen via biomass conversion, generating low-yield hydrogen [17]. Dark fermentation produces more hydrogen than photosynthetic fermentation [18], which happens through biological events, including glycolysis, pyruvate breakdown, and hydrogen creation [19]. Under anaerobic conditions, strongly anaerobic or facultative anaerobic bacteria produce biohydrogen through dark fermentation [20]. Anaerobic absorption of substances like glucose has been shown to convert quickly into hydrogen

by forming hydrogen lyase. As a result, the pathway (formate) is important for hydrogen production in facultative anaerobes [21]. Although various organic materials, like carbohydrates, lipids, sugar, and protein, could be utilized as substrates, the glucose bioconversion process to acetate is frequently suggested for speculative hydrogen generation calculation. According to Zhang et al. [22], adding NADH increased hydrogen synthesis through the formate pathway while decreasing it through the NADH pathway, resulting in a net drop in manufacture. The NADH oxidation on the cell membrane causes a flow of electrons through the membrane, causing alteration in the cell's metabolic pattern and oxidation state. Because many countries prohibit the direct disposal of organic wastes containing energy, this strategy positively influences waste removal [23]. Fig. 2 represents biohydrogen production via indirect and direct biophotolytic routes [9].

Photo-fermentation

Photosynthetic bacteria that use sunlight and biomass can be used to generate biohydrogen. Gest and Kaman reported biohydrogen production through photo-fermentation utilizing photosynthetic bacteria in 1949. Since then, this method has demonstrated a productive synthesis of premium hydrogen without oxygen formation [24]. Biohydrogen is created via photo-fermentation by anaerobic or photosynthetic bacterial strains, such as *Rhodobacter*, *Rhodopseudomonas*, *Rhodospirillum*, *and Rhodobium*, via a nitrogenase-catalyzed process during the degradation of organic compounds in the presence of light energy [25]. Photo-fermentation production of hydrogen has become a worldwide key study topic in recent years caused of its main advantages of extensive raw material resources and

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HYDROGEN ENERGY XXX (XXXX) XXX



Fig. 2 – Schematic illustration of H_2 evolution through (A) direct/indirect biophotolysis and (B) dark fermentation: (A) PS II, photosystem II; PQ, plastoquinone; PQH₂, plastoquinol; cyt $b_6 f$, cytochrome $b_6 f$ complex; PC, plastocyanin; PS I, photosystem I; Fd, ferredoxin; and FNR, ferredoxin-NADP ⁺ reductase. Approximately half of the evolved H_2 is from water splitting, and the rest of the H_2 is produced with e^- made from the fixed carbon by the activity of the PS I; (B) Q, quinone; QH₂, quinol; cyt b_{c_1} , cytochrome b_{c_1} complex; and cyt aa_3 , the cytochrome aa_3 oxidase. Reprinted from Ref. [9] with permission under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

thorough substrate usage [26]. Furthermore, it is efficient, environmentally benign, and capable of producing enormous amounts of hydrogen at room temperature and pressure. According to a previous study, the temperature was usually kept at 30 (°C) [24]. The hydrogen production was carried out for several days with constant stirring and a sampling interim of 12 h [27]. A unique bag was employed to capture hydrogen, and hydrogen concentration was then measured using the gas chromatography technique [27].

Several challenges have been recognized in photofermentation biohydrogen generation. Photosynthetic bacteria, for example, have limits in collecting sunlight's energy, which could result in a low light transformation efficiency for biohydrogen generation [28]. Bacteria require sterile environmental conditions for growth and hydrogen production [29]. Furthermore, nitrogenase enzymes need considerable energy to accomplish the photo-fermentation process due to increased activation energy. Furthermore, the cell shadowing effect reduces light penetration inside the photo-reactor, resulting in lower light intensity and worse biohydrogen generation performance. In order to create an efficient anaerobic photobioreactor on a big scale, a significant land covering area is required [27]. Organic substrates for hydrogen synthesis are currently an appealing concept for upcoming

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

sustainable and renewable technologies. Even though lignocellulosic biomass was once thought to be a worthless raw material that should be discarded, various research groups are now attempting to turn it into new value-added products [18]. Agricultural residues, energy crops, industrial, forestry, home waste, algae, and animal manure can all be classified as lignocellulosic biomass [27].

Biophotolysis

Bio-photolysis is a photonic-driven biohydrogen generation method widely used in cyanobacteria and blue-green algae. It works in a similar way to plant photosynthesis [27]. Some microbes may use light energy to break water molecules and generate H₂. Biophotolysis is the name for the light-driven process, which may be classed as direct or indirect biophotolysis. Microalgae such as green algae (Chlamydomonas reinhardtii) and cyanobacteria (Synechocystis) use direct biophotolysis to convert water (substratum) into hydrogen and oxygen in the light presence and carbon dioxide in photosynthesis [30]. Photosystem I and photosystem II might absorb photons to form potent oxidants for water oxidation into O_2 , protons, and electrons at photosynthetic reaction sites in microalgae chloroplasts. When an electron reduces a proton on condition that reduced ferredoxin of hydrogenase enzyme present in cells, hydrogen is produced [31]. It's worth noting that the hydrogenase enzyme, which is very O₂-sensitive and has been identified as the main bottleneck of algae photolysis H₂ synthesis [32], is responsible for most H₂ generation in blue-green algae. As a result, upon illustration, H₂ evolution occurs for a brief duration before the hydrogenase is inhibited by the accumulated O_2 [33].

The first step of indirect biophotolysis involves cyanobacteria photosynthesis, in which CO_2 and H_2O are transformed to organic compounds and O_2 . In a light-independent mechanism, the cyanobacteria further break down the organic molecules from the first step into H_2 , CO_2 , and other soluble metabolites [34]. A single-celled, non-heterocystous cyanobacterium Cyanothece generated sustained H_2 synthesis when grown in media augmented with glycerol for respiratory conservation or when photosynthetically produced O_2 was replaced with Argon (Ar) gas [9]. By impermanently dividing H_2 and O_2 evolution into two different phases via CO_2 evolution or fixation, cyanobacteria and microalgae may manufacture H_2 from stored glycogen, and this strategy has solved the O_2 sensitivity problem [33].

Enzymatic in vitro hydrogen biosynthesis

Biohydrogen production using microorganisms has several significant limitations, among which are losses due to competing metabolic pathways, problems with maintaining sterile conditions and anaerobic conditions or relatively low volumetric productivity. Intensively developed enzymatic processes using pure biocatalysts may become the answer to these problems [35]. Cell-free synthetic enzymatic pathway biotransformations (SyPaB) allow to produce biohydrogen from carbohydrate substrates using enzymatic cascades driven by recombinant enzymes. In the process described by Ye et al. [36] H₂ is produced from cellulosic materials in a onepot process, which was catalyzed by up to 14 enzymes and one coenzyme under modest reaction conditions (32 °C and atmospheric pressure). Another example is the work of Zhang et al. [37] who developed a synthetic enzymatic pathway involving 13 enzymes for producing biohydrogen from starch and water. As simple as it may be in theory, this solution requires overcoming several challenges and optimizing process conditions to make the most efficient use of all participating enzymes. Moreover, the costs of pure enzymes are definitely higher than costs of pure microbial cultures. Hence, this approach is still a long way from being implemented on an industrial or even pilot scale.

Bioreactors for biohydrogen production

Operational circumstances, process parameters, and reactor topologies all influence substrate alteration effectiveness and biohydrogen generation capability of microbial biocatalysts during dark fermentation. Bioreactor performance is governed not just by reactor design but also by custom reformation for individual conditions.

Continuous stirred tank reactor (CSTR)

The bacteria-producing hydrogen is mixed thoroughly in the CSTR, and the liquor biomass is retained. Since it has an identical constitution to the effluents due to mixing, it cannot preserve a massive portion of fermentative flora. These bioreactors are widely utilized to generate biohydrogen continually. Hydraulic retention time, temperature, pH are all extremely sensitive operating factors in these reactors. However, due to washout and a short HRP, its performance has been hampered in recent years. This constraint is primarily due to the biomass's poor settling characteristics. This constraint can be solved by physically retaining the microbial biocatalyst. It has recently been suggested that appropriate self-granulation [38], hydrogen producers flocculation, or bacterial immobilization on supporting materials [39] could aid in microbial retention, increasing biohydrogen output. Pong-chol et al. explored the effect of HRT on hydrogen production in both vertical and horizontal CSTRs. Shorter HRT limits hydrogen-consuming bacteria while also increasing treatment capacity [40].

Anaerobic fluidized bed reactor (AFBR)

The biocatalysts in these reactors generate biofilms and get adhere to the surface. The biomass suspension is held in place by an upward flow of wastewater. As a result, biomass serves as a catalyst, boosting biohydrogen synthesis. In contrast to CSTR, these reactors have excellent mixing and minimal shearing. As a result, mass transfer proficiency is high in these reactors. Despite the efficient mass transfer, these reactors are susceptible to biocatalyst washout, like CSTR. As a result, the output of biohydrogen falls [41]. These reactors can handle a higher substrate load and a shorter HRT [41]. The total soluble metabolites produced in the AFBR directly connect to the hydrogen yields. The only restriction of AFBR is the high energy required for fluidization [22].

The UASBR system has obtained favor for biohydrogen production via anaerobic digestion [42]. The decrease in HRT has been connected to an elevation in HPR and H₂ content in the biogas generated; this may be due to the higher substrate feeding rate providing additional carbon sources for the microorganisms, which boosted microbial activity to make H2 more abundantly [43]. Because of their active substrate consumption, the bacterial mixed culture could adapt to increased substrate availability, resulting in early and high H₂ generation [43]. Over the 70 days of operation, the biogas generated by the UASB bioreactor contained only H₂ and CO_2 , with no CH₄, showing that inceptive heat treatment of sludge and slightly acidic fermentation medium (pH 5.5–5.8) had successfully restricted the activity of CH₄-producing bacteria [44].

Membrane bioreactor (MBR)

In contrast to CSTR membranes, MBR membranes can separate solids from liquids and maintain biomass in the system, allowing HRT and SRT to be decoupled [45]. Modifications in SRT might boost microbial diversity in the system, allowing both hydrogen-producing bacteria and competing for hydrogen-consuming microorganisms to flourish more quickly, resulting in a shift in biohydrogen production efficiency [46]. Membrane fouling and high utility costs are the main drawbacks of membrane bioreactors. Buitron et al. looked into the biohydrogen generation potential of a granulated bio-solids membrane bioreactor fed brewery effluent as a substrate [40]. Membrane fouling, produced by depositing foulant materials on the membrane surface and within the pore matrix, has long been a problem with an MBRs because it reduces permeability and necessitates frequent chemical cleaning, shortening membrane life [47]. Fouling is an unavoidable occurrence that can be managed if the method and substances responsible are identified [48]. Fig. 3 represents different types of bioreactors for bio-hydrogen production.

Nanoparticles for enhancing biohydrogen production

Rapid advancements in nanotechnology have broadened its applications in various industries, including food, agriculture, pharmaceuticals, and energy. Nanomaterials have also been shown to improve a variety of biological functions. As a result of their influence on microorganism expansion, intracellular electron transport, and activity of metalloenzymes implicated in hydrogen creation, their use in improving biohydrogen production is favorable. The use of nanoparticles (NPs) as additives to boost biohydrogen generation has recently gained high interest, and a few studies confirmed its potential in this field. The influence of nanosized TiO₂ particles on hydrogen generation was investigated utilizing the photosynthetic bacterium R. sphaeroides. The adding up of titanium NPs augmented biohydrogen generation from organic wastewater as a feedstock considerably, demonstrating the capacity to create biohydrogen on a profitable scale from renewable organic wastewater. Iron NPs have been shown to enhance biohydrogen generation by enhancing the action of major biohydrogen-producing



Fig. 3 – Types of bioreactors used for biohydrogen production. Created with BioRender.com.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

enzymes such as hydrogenases. This large improvement may be linked to the presence of Fe $^{2+}$ ions [49].

Raw material for biohydrogen production

Hydrogen may be produced from a variety of carbohydrate sources. However, most studies in reviewed literature have focused on hydrogen production by dark fermentation using pure sugars as substrates. Biohydrogen should be made from renewable, unrefined resources to benefit the environment and humanity. Renewable raw resources for biohydrogen generation include livestock wastes, agriculture, aquatic plants, leftovers from nutrition processing, lignocellulosic commodities, and biomass. If recycled properly, these feedstocks contain the potential to be converted into the chief future energy source. The main raw materials are raw materials: Sucroses, starches, arabinose, xyloses, and glucose. Dry matter biomass resources originating from agricultural waste, like potato peels, sugarcane bagasse, and sweet sorghums, might be another source of raw materials. Algae and microalgae are other raw materials that might be used as biohydrogen feedstocks [50]. Two enzymes are involved in the hydrogen generation pathway: ferredoxin-NAD reductase (FNR) and [Fe]-hydrogenase (FR). Upregulation of the hydA gene, which encodes the FR, has been utilized to boost hydrogen generation. In recombinant Clostridium paraputrificum overexpressing the hydA gene, hydrogen output rose 1.7-fold compared to a wild-type bacterium. Nonetheless, findings on hydrogen production are not yet accessible. FNR upregulation may boost hydrogen generation [9].

Pure carbohydrates

Carbohydrates are regarded as the most important biohydrogen sources. As a result, residues and biomass that have been enhanced with sugars and complex carbohydrates appear to be suitable for biohydrogen generation. Monosaccharides, disaccharides, and polysaccharides are the three forms of carbohydrates. Biohydrogen can be produced from a variety of carbohydrates, according to an earlier study. However, most studies have focused on polysaccharide hemicelluloses [51], cellulose [50], starches [29], disaccharides, and pure monosaccharides. Other simple carbohydrate macromolecules that have been used as hydrogen-generating source materials include cellobioses [52], maltoses [53], and lactoses [54].

Pure polysaccharides

Polysaccharides, also known as polycarbohydrates, are the diet's most common type of carbohydrate. They are called high molecular weight polymers because they include at least 10 monosaccharides evenly joined by glycosidic linkages. Natural polysaccharides, particularly seaweed-derived polysaccharides, such as agars alginate, laminarin, carrageenans, and fucoidans, have been discovered to have a wide range of therapeutic healing capabilities and health-promoting effects. Polysaccharides used for energy storage will provide easy access to the monosaccharide while maintaining a solid structure. Polysaccharides, such as chitin, are glucose monosaccharides that have been enhanced by adding a cluster of oxygen, nitrogen, and carbon [50].

Bacterial cellulose degradation is one of the most appealing methods for cellulose degradation. The biggest disadvantage of producing bacterial cellulolytic is that cell development consumes a lot of hydrolysis products. Nonetheless, this approach is thought to be cost-effective and simple to use. Clostridium cellulolyticums and cellulose are in close contact during the hydrogen generation stage. The bacteria's cells are shifted to the end of their growth cycle, indicating that the available celluloses have been depleted. These bacteria grow in soils, creating endospores and cellulose abridgment via cellulosomes, which are exocellular enzyme complexes. Chitins are present in the environment in the exoskeletons of flies and crustaceans, as well as the cell walls of most fungi and certain algae. Chitins are Nacetyl-D-glucosamine (GlcNAc) lined β -1,4 linked homopolymers with high biocompatibility and biodegradability that are nontoxic to the environment. After cellulose, chitin is the next most abundant polysaccharide, found mostly in the exoskeleton of arthropods and the fungal cell wall [50]. Zhang et al. [55] used chitin nanofibrous support to construct ultra-small nanosized particles catalyst for hydrogen generation. Gorrasi et al. [56] investigated the viability of employing unprocessed chitin as a substrate for bio-hydrogen production. Their breakthrough paves the path for exploiting this large biomass as a source of electricity. To speed and improve biohydrogen vield, it is advised that future process improvements and optimized culture medium be developed. Starch manufacturing firms release organic wastes and leftovers containing starch.

Pure disaccharides and monosaccharides

During disaccharide synthesis, the hydrogen atom of a monosaccharide reacts with the hydroxyl group of a separate monosaccharide, forming a covalent bond known as glycosidic linkage. Beta and alpha glycosidic connections are the two types of glycosidic connections. The most common disaccharides are sucrose, maltose, and lactose. Lactoses are disaccharides naturally occurring in milk and contain galactose and glucose monomers. Monosaccharides are generated from the hydrolysis of macromolecules abundant in many industrial wastewaters. The most common fermentation substrates are monosaccharides like pentose and hexose. Monosaccharides are the hydrolysate of a wide range of macromolecules that are used in batch culture experiments to maintain a diverse fermentation community. As a result, it's important to look into the utilization of a variety of monosaccharides in anaerobic activated sludge microbial communities, which could be a wonderful way to generate industrial biological hydrogen. Following the lead of past investigations, the new studies focused on agricultural, food, and manufacturing-related elements as potential biohydrogen source materials. Some wastewaters, particularly biodiesel leftovers containing glycerol and oil manufacturing wastewater, have spurred the development of biological hydrogen generation techniques. Microphyte biomass, created by carbon dioxide fixation during photosynthesis, has also been discovered to be a well-founded raw material [57].

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

Biohydrogen production using organic waste

Hydrogen is used in a variety of industries, including the chemical industry, as a building block/feedstock for the production of heterogeneity of valuable chemicals and as an environmentally benign energy source in the transportation zone. Nonetheless, H₂ gas is currently mostly produced from non-renewable fossil fuels. Fermentation (including DF and PF processes), gasification, and the MEC system are currently widely developing and discussed technologies for producing biohydrogen from organic waste. Biohydrogen synthesis from biological sources mostly depends on various bacteria's metabolic action to break down complex waste products while simultaneously producing H₂ [58]. Cyanobacteria, anaerobic bacteria, and fermentative bacteria are three kinds of microorganisms that produce hydrogen. Photosynthesis allows cyanobacteria to directly break down water into hydrogen and oxygen in the presence of light energy. Organic substrates are used by photosynthetic bacteria. Anaerobic bacteria transform organic molecules into hydrogen as their only source of electrons and energy. Temperature, pH management, reactor hydraulic retention time (HRT), and other treatment system parameters may all be used to make biohydrogen utilizing bacteria like Clostridia [59].

Pre-treatment of wastes for biohydrogen production

Before biohydrogen production, various pre-treatment techniques of lignocellulosic biomass were used. These phases are critical for increasing sugar synthesis, avoiding carbohydrate loss, and developing inhibitory compounds for the subsequent conversion processes of fermentation and hydrolysis. It should be highlighted that the biohydrogen economy's long-term viability relies heavily on the cost-effective production of hydrogen and accessible availability to substrates. As a result, combining hydrogen generation with the treatment of profuse biomass waste and wastewater substrate is one of the most promising techniques to achieve this goal [60]. A favorable pretreatment step should have low operating costs, cheap capital, and efficiency on much lignocellulosic biomass while recovering most of the lignocellulosic components [61]. Chemical, physical, biological, and physical-chemical approaches are the most common pre-treatment methods for biohydrogen generation. However, this research will focus on many pretreatment approaches used in the photo-fermentation process of biohydrogen production. Physical treatment modalities can be classified into two categories: mechanical and irradiation. Milling, grinding, cutting, shearing, chipping, and other mechanical treatments are used.

Challenges in biohydrogen production

Significant technical hurdles include lower feed transformation effectiveness and acid metabolites in the reactor. When the reactor was used to process composite organic waste, the low substrate conversion efficiency was one of the most prevalent issues. Generally, this problem arises owing to the substrate's complexity or a lack of a specialized microbial community capable of hydrolyzing these complex substrates. Furthermore, one of the fundamental limits in practically all bio- H_2 processes is the formation of intermediate acid metabolites. The H_2 -generating microbial population uses a simple substrate and produces volatile fatty acids as a by-product during the first step of bio- H_2 development [58]. Pre-treatment is required to break down the complex polymers when using lignocellulose waste materials as a dark fermentation substrate. When processing lignocellulose with the MEC method, the same pre-treatment is used. Furthermore, the MEC system prefers to collect hydrogen from various wastewater rather than complicated wastes like food waste or municipal solid waste [62]. These obstacles can be solved by designing efficient H_2 -generating bioreactors, modifying processes, selecting acceptable feedstocks, and selecting suitable and efficient microbial strains.

Factors affecting biohydrogen production

One of the most significant factors influencing hydrogen output is temperature of the process. Many facultative anaerobes may generate hydrogen by breaking down glucose to pyruvate during glycolysis. The hydrogen output is influenced by metabolites produced during pyruvate breakdown. Carbon sources affect nitrogenase activity, which disrupts cyanobacteria's hydrogen production. The beginning load of glucose in the substrate was discovered to improve hydrogen yield during photosynthesis/fermentation. For harvesting biohydrogen, many temperature ranges have been reported: mesophilic (25–40 °C), thermophilic (40–65 °C), severe thermophilic (65–80 °C), or hyperthermophilic (>80 °C) [63]. Hydraulic Retention Time (HRT) allows germs to endure the mechanical dilution generated by uninterrupted volumetric circulation. When the fermentation duration is exceeded, the metabolic change from acidogenesis to methanogenesis occurs, which is not beneficial for hydrogen generation. HRT is influenced by several parameters, including the microorganisms utilized, and the kind and content of the substrate used [64]. High-rate bio- H₂ generation may be obtained by continually converting organic matter while keeping the hydraulic retention time (HRT) short. As a result, a different design technique than a generic microbial reactor is necessary [65]. A life cycle assessment is a well-established scientific approach for quantifying possible environmental consequences by taking into account all inputs (energy, materials, water, and so on) and outputs (products, emissions, energy, and so on) [62]. As a result, various factors must be considered when evaluating a waste biorefinery's environmental sustainability, including: variability, composition, availability, and properties of feedstock, transport and storage issues [3]. In the case of immobilization of free cells, an additional challenge is to provide a suitable carrier, that is, one that has adequate biochemical resistance, durability under process conditions, and at the same time will not adsorb hydrogen or retain it in the pores.

Discussion

Hydrogen is being considered a future energy market contender. Hydrogen is a viable fossil-fuel substitute. It

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

creates water instead of greenhouse gases when combusted, making it a clean and ecologically beneficial fuel. Synthesis of hydrogen from organic waste sources via thermochemical and biological processes is essential to bioenergy production. Cyanobacteria, anaerobic bacteria, and fermentative bacteria are the three kinds of microorganisms that produce hydrogen [59]. It is critical to utilize many microorganisms capable of high hydrogen output and improved fermentation techniques of co-culturing to enhance dark fermentation performance. Anabaena variabilis, Aphanocapsa montana, Nostoc linckia, and Synechococcus are a few algae reviewed by Levin et al. that can produce biohydrogen. Green algae can either release or utilize hydrogen as an electron donor in the CO₂-fixation process when anaerobic circumstances are present [66]. Theoretically, thermophiles can produce 60-80% more hydrogen via dark fermentation than mesophilic bacteria [67]. Acetic acid can generate roughly 4 mol of H₂ per mol of glucose, while butyric acid can theoretically yield 2 mol of H₂ per mol of glucose [68]. High biohydrogen producers include Clostridium pasteurianum, Clostridium butyricum, and Clostridium beijerinckii [69]. However, Clostridium propionicum is a strain that produces biohydrogen ineffectively. There have been reports of hydrogen production by Rhodopseudomonas capsulata, Rhodobacter sphaeroides, and Rhodospirillum rubrum at rates of up to 50, 100, and 180 mL of H₂/L of culture/h, respectively [66]. Due to its significantly higher substrate-to-hydrogen yields (80%) and capacity to scavenge light energy under various light spectrum, photofermentation is the most preferred method of biohydrogen generation [70]. Most of the research focuses on boosting production yield, volume, and pace by altering Dark Fermentation conditions, and most researched reactors were designed on a laboratory scale without considering the viability of scaled-up production. Furthermore, proposed strategies for improving energy recovery at the laboratory level, such as substrate preparation, pH modification, temperature control, and so on, appear costly and difficult to implement on a broad scale [71]. In many experiments, the combined fermentation procedures have also yielded encouraging outcomes. However, scaling these techniques to large-scale manufacturing is still challenging [72]. Conclusion

Biological H₂ generation offers several advantages over traditional and fossil-fuel-based methods, including using carbon-rich industrial wastewater without emitting greenhouse gases. The individual approaches have inherent limitations, such as a poor H_2 yield and the buildup of volatile fatty acids, which render the procedure economically unviable. One viable replacement for fossil fuel is biohydrogen, an environmentally pleasant energy carrier like high-energy produces. Organic-rich substrates, such as organic waste/ wastewater, are ideal for improving hydrogen production via dark fermentation. Hydrogen fuel is a viable cause of future energy in a quickly growing world, especially given the accelerated reduction of fossil fuel resources and exponential rise in energy consumption in different industries such as autos, electricity generation, etc. Biological techniques of hydrogen generation are more cost-effective

environmentally friendly than chemical procedures. Biological technologies can produce hydrogen gas from organic waste materials, algae species, non-food items, and other sources. Biohydrogen, which is produced through biological processes, is a clean fuel that may be used to reduce greenhouse gas emissions [73]. The fermentative biohydrogen generation was also influenced by wastewater properties, starting pH, and temperature conditions. It was discovered that sterilizing was the optimal pre-treatment method for restaurant food and raw starch wastes and could increase the maximum hydrogen output from restaurant food waste. The poor rates and yields of hydrogen creation are the key issues in bio-hydrogen synthesis from the garbage. Due to the poor hydrogen production rates, large reactor volumes are necessary for bio-hydrogen synthesis. As a result, more investigation into the impact of environmental factors on biohydrogen production is necessary. Extensive research and development studies are needed to improve the "state of the art" in biohydrogen generation.

Future perspective and recommendations

An essential step forward in the future of bio-hydrogen would be expanding the use and value of the residual waste streams produced by fermentation [7]. In the production of hydrogen, the design of the bioreactor is equally critical. A high-quality blueprint must boost manufacturing competence while keeping prices down. If CO2 is generated alongside H2 in dark fermentation and MEC, a suitable bioreactor design might include a gas separation technique that may confine CO2though, boosting the purity of H_2 formed [34]. The formation of dark fermentative H₂ denotes a fertile ground for renewable energy technology growth. On a research lab scale, several studies have been undertaken to explore strategies to boost the total yield of H₂. Hydrogen is regarded as "energy for the future" as its a sparkling energy resource with elevated energy content when compared to fossil fuels. Hydrogen, contrasting fossil fuels such as petroleum, natural gas, and biomass, is not commonly accessible in nature [6]. Due to its high hydrogen production rate, dark fermentation is undoubtedly the most suited to handle biomass waste. It is possible to make additional efforts to raise the hydrogen yield from lignocellulosic material so that it will eventually approach the Thauer limit. The top strains on the market continue to perform better, thanks largely to metabolic engineering. MEC is a viable second-stage treatment approach for effluent from dark fermentation, provided that the device scale-up and current density issue can be resolved. Renewable energy sources, such as solar systems, can be used to provide electricity for MEC. Before biophotolysis is regarded as feasible, numerous problems must be resolved. Low light conversion efficiency and hydrogenase's oxygen sensitivity are two problems. Although photofermentation has a lower light conversion efficiency than MEC, it can be an excellent alternative for treating dark fermentation effluent in the second stage. The ability of cell-free enzymatic systems to outperform in vivo production methods in terms of production rate and yield has been demonstrated. Many of the problems call for further basic research.

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

- Increasing the hydrogen production rates by enhancing the activity of the hydrogen-producing enzymes and the metabolic pathways required for the processes.
 - 2. Creating strains that can utilize sunlight and other inputs effectively to boost hydrogen production.
 - 3. Creating strains and reactor setups that can eventually be employed on a big scale to produce commercial hydrogen.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

The research leading to these results has received funding from the Norwegian Financial Mechanism 2014–2021 under the Project number 2020/37/K/ST8/03805. Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACyT) Mexico is thankfully acknowledged for partially supporting this work under Sistema Nacional de Investigadores program awarded to Hafiz M.N. Iqbal (CVU: 735340).

REFERENCES

- Salem AH, Brunstermann R, Mietzel T, Widmann R. Effect of pre-treatment and hydraulic retention time on biohydrogen production from organic wastes. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2018;43:4856–65.
- [2] Boodhun BSF, Mudhoo A, Kumar G, Kim S-H, Lin C-Y. Research perspectives on constraints, prospects and opportunities in biohydrogen production. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2017;42:27471–81.
- [3] Alibardi L, Astrup TF, Asunis F, Clarke WP, De Gioannis G, Dessi P, et al. Organic waste biorefineries: looking towards implementation. Waste Manag 2020;114:274–86.
- [4] Salem AH, Mietzel T, Brunstermann R, Widmann R. Twostage anaerobic fermentation process for bio-hydrogen and bio-methane production from pre-treated organic wastes. Bioresour Technol 2018;265:399–406.
- [5] Nagarajan D, Chang J-S, Lee D-J. Pre-treatment of microalgal biomass for efficient biohydrogen production–Recent insights and future perspectives. Bioresour Technol 2020;302:122871.
- [6] Kapdan IK, Kargi F. Bio-hydrogen production from waste materials. Enzym Microb Technol 2006;38:569–82.
- [7] Baeyens J, Zhang H, Nie J, Appels L, Dewil R, Ansart R, et al. Reviewing the potential of bio-hydrogen production by fermentation. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2020;131:110023.
- [8] Show K-Y, Zhang Z-P, Tay J-H, Liang DT, Lee D-J, Ren N, et al. Critical assessment of anaerobic processes for continuous biohydrogen production from organic wastewater. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2010;35:13350–5.
- [9] Chandrasekhar K, Lee Y-J, Lee D-W. Biohydrogen production: strategies to improve process efficiency through microbial routes. Int J Mol Sci 2015;16:8266–93.
- [10] Hu J. Chapter 4 comparisons of biohydrogen production technologies and processes. In: Zhang Q, He C, Ren J, Goodsite M, editors. Waste to renewable biohydrogen. Academic Press; 2021. p. 71–107.

- [11] Jayabalan T, Matheswaran M, Preethi V, Mohamed SN. Enhancing biohydrogen production from sugar industry wastewater using metal oxide/graphene nanocomposite catalysts in microbial electrolysis cell. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2020;45:7647–55.
- [12] Escapa A, Mateos R, Martínez E, Blanes J. Microbial electrolysis cells: an emerging technology for wastewater treatment and energy recovery. From laboratory to pilot plant and beyond. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2016;55:942–56.
- [13] Dinesh GK, Chauhan R, Chakma S. Influence and strategies for enhanced biohydrogen production from food waste. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2018;92:807–22.
- [14] Kadier A, Jain P, Lai B, Kalil MS, Kondaveeti S, Alabbosh KFS, et al. Biorefinery perspectives of microbial electrolysis cells (MECs) for hydrogen and valuable chemicals production through wastewater treatment. Biofuel Research Journal 2020;7:1128–42.
- [15] Kumar G, Mathimani T, Rene ER, Pugazhendhi A. Application of nanotechnology in dark fermentation for enhanced biohydrogen production using inorganic nanoparticles. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2019;44:13106–13.
- [16] Liu H, Zhang Z, Zhang H, Lee D-J, Zhang Q, Lu C, et al. Evaluation of hydrogen yield potential from Chlorella by photo-fermentation under diverse substrate concentration and enzyme loading. Bioresour Technol 2020;303:122956.
- [17] Manish S, Banerjee R. Comparison of biohydrogen production processes. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2008;33:279–86.
- [18] Cardoso V, Romão BB, Silva FT, Santos JG, Batista FR, Ferreira JS. Hydrogen production by dark fermentation. Chem Eng Trans 2014;38:481–6.
- [19] Bundhoo ZMA. Coupling dark fermentation with biochemical or bioelectrochemical systems for enhanced bio-energy production: a review. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2017;42:26667–86.
- [20] Nandi R, Sengupta S. Microbial production of hydrogen: an overview. Crit Rev Microbiol 1998;24:61–84.
- [21] Wang Y, He L, Zhang Z, Zhao X, Qi N, Han T. Efficiency enhancement of H2 production by a newly isolated maltosepreferring fermentative bio-hydrogen producer of Clostridium butyricum NH-02. J Energy Storage 2020;30:101426.
- [22] Zhang C, Ma K, Xing X-H. Regulation of hydrogen production by Enterobacter aerogenes by external NADH and NAD+. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2009;34:1226–32.
- [23] Turhal S, Turanbaev M, Argun H. Hydrogen production from melon and watermelon mixture by dark fermentation. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2019;44:18811–7.
- [24] Budiman PM, Wu TY, Ramanan RN. Reusing colored industrial wastewaters in a photofermentation for enhancing biohydrogen production by using ultrasound stimulated Rhodobacter sphaeroides. Environ Sci Pollut Control Ser 2017;24:15870–81.
- [25] Łukajtis R, Hołowacz I, Kucharska K, Glinka M, Rybarczyk P, Przyjazny A, et al. Hydrogen production from biomass using dark fermentation. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2018;91:665–94.
- [26] Guo S, Lu C, Wang K, Wang J, Zhang Z, Jing Y, et al. Enhancement of pH values stability and photo-fermentation biohydrogen production by phosphate buffer. Bioengineered 2020;11:291–300.
- [27] Hitam CNC, Jalil AA. A review on biohydrogen production through photo-fermentation of lignocellulosic biomass. Biomass Conversion and Biorefinery; 2020.
- [28] Tiang MF, Hanipa MAF, Abdul PM, Jahim JM, Mahmod SS, Takriff MS, et al. Recent advanced biotechnological strategies to enhance photo-fermentative biohydrogen production by purple non-sulphur bacteria: an overview. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2020;45:13211–30.

Please cite this article as: Anjum S et al., Bioreactors and biophoton-driven biohydrogen production strategies, International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2023.01.363

ARTICLE IN PRESS HE38359_proof = 14 February 2023 = 12/13

- [29] Das SR, Basak N. Molecular biohydrogen production by dark and photo fermentation from wastes containing starch: recent advancement and future perspective. Bioproc Biosyst Eng 2021;44:1–25.
- [30] Show K-Y, Yan Y-G, Lee D-J. Chapter 28 biohydrogen production: status and perspectives. In: Pandey A, Larroche C, Dussap C-G, Gnansounou E, Khanal SK, Ricke S, editors. Biofuels: alternative feedstocks and conversion processes for the production of liquid and gaseous biofuels. 2nd ed. Academic Press; 2019. p. 693–713.
- [31] Dalena F, Senatore A, Tursi A, Basile A. 17 bioenergy production from second- and third-generation feedstocks.
 In: Dalena F, Basile A, Rossi C, editors. Bioenergy systems for the future. Woodhead Publishing; 2017. p. 559–99.
- [32] Ban S, Lin W, Luo J. Ca2+ enhances algal photolysis hydrogen production by improving the direct and indirect pathways. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2019;44:1466–73.
- [33] Singh H, Das D. Biofuels from microalgae: biohydrogen. In: Jacob-Lopes E, Queiroz Zepka L, Queiroz MI, editors. Energy from microalgae. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2018. p. 201–28.
- [34] Ferraren-De Cagalitan D, Abundo M. A review of biohydrogen production technology for application towards hydrogen fuel cells. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2021;151:111413.
- [35] Brar KK, Cortez AA, Pellegrini VO, Amulya K, Polikarpov I, Magdouli S, Brar SK. An overview on progress, advances, and future outlook for biohydrogen production technology. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2022;47:37264–81.
- [36] Ye X, Wang Y, Hopkins RC, Adams MW, Evans BR, Mielenz JR, Zhang YHP. Spontaneous high-yield production of hydrogen from cellulosic materials and water catalyzed by enzyme cocktails. ChemSusChem: Chemistry & Sustainability Energy & Materials 2009;2(2):149–52.
- [37] Zhang YHP, Evans BR, Mielenz JR, Hopkins RC, Adams MW. High-yield hydrogen production from starch and water by a synthetic enzymatic pathway. PLoS One 2007;2(5):e456.
- [38] Banu JR, Yukesh Kannah R, Dinesh Kumar M, Gunasekaran M, Sivagurunathan P, Park J-H, et al. Recent advances on biogranules formation in dark hydrogen fermentation system: mechanism of formation and microbial characteristics. Bioresour Technol 2018;268:787–96.
- [39] Kumar G, Mudhoo A, Sivagurunathan P, Nagarajan D, Ghimire A, Lay C-H, et al. Recent insights into the cell immobilization technology applied for dark fermentative hydrogen production. Bioresour Technol 2016;219:725–37.
- [40] Buitrón G, Muñoz-Páez KM, Hernández-Mendoza CE.
 Biohydrogen production using a granular sludge membrane bioreactor. Fuel 2019;241:954–61.
- [41] Zhang Z-P, Show K-Y, Tay J-H, Liang DT, Lee D-J. Biohydrogen production with anaerobic fluidized bed reactors—a comparison of biofilm-based and granule-based systems. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2008;33:1559–64.
- [42] Zinatizadeh AA, Mirghorayshi M, Birgani PM, Mohammadi P, Ibrahim S. Influence of thermal and chemical pre-treatment on structural stability of granular sludge for high-rate hydrogen production in an UASB bioreactor. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2017;42:20512–9.
- [43] Krishnan S, Singh L, Sakinah M, Thakur S, Wahid ZA, Sohaili J. Effect of organic loading rate on hydrogen (H2) and methane (CH4) production in two-stage fermentation under thermophilic conditions using palm oil mill effluent (POME). Energy for sustainable development 2016;34:130–8.
- [44] Reungsang A, Sittijunda S, Sompong O. Bio-hydrogen production from glycerol by immobilized Enterobacter aerogenes ATCC 13048 on heat-treated UASB granules as affected by organic loading rate. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2013;38:6970–9.

- [45] Noblecourt A, Christophe G, Larroche C, Santa-Catalina G, Trably E, Fontanille P. High hydrogen production rate in a submerged membrane anaerobic bioreactor. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2017;42:24656–66.
- [46] Amorim N, Alves I, Martins J, Amorim E. Biohydrogen production from cassava wastewater in an anaerobic fluidized bed reactor. Braz J Chem Eng 2014;31:603–12.
- [47] Aslam M, Ahmad R, Kim J. Recent developments in biofouling control in membrane bioreactors for domestic wastewater treatment. Separ Purif Technol 2018;206:297–315.
- [48] Charfi A, Thongmak N, Benyahia B, Aslam M, Harmand J, Amar NB, et al. A modelling approach to study the fouling of an anaerobic membrane bioreactor for industrial wastewater treatment. Bioresour Technol 2017;245:207–15.
- [49] Shanmugam S, Hari A, Pandey A, Mathimani T, Felix L, Pugazhendhi A. Comprehensive review on the application of inorganic and organic nanoparticles for enhancing biohydrogen production. Fuel 2020;270:117453.
- [50] Nabgan W, Abdullah TAT, Nabgan B, Jalil AA, Nordin AH, Ul-Hamid A, et al. Catalytic biohydrogen production from organic waste materials: a literature review and bibliometric analysis. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2021;46:30903–25.
- [51] Tanskanen J, Katu PK, Grenman H. Biohydrogen from waste wood hemicellulose hydrolysate. In: International symposium on green chemistry. ISGC; 2019.
- [52] Gomez-Flores M, Nakhla G, Hafez H. Microbial kinetics of Clostridium termitidis on cellobiose and glucose for biohydrogen production. Biotechnol Lett 2015;37:1965–71.
- [53] Wang L, Chen Y, Long F, Singh L, Trujillo S, Xiao X, et al. Breaking the loop: tackling homoacetogenesis by chloroform to halt hydrogen production-consumption loop in single chamber microbial electrolysis cells. Chem Eng J 2020;389:124436.
- [54] Tanzi GTM, Grilli C, Malpei C. Dairy by-products valorization with biomethane and biohydrogen production through lactose fermentation in anmbr. Chem. Engin. Trans. 2017;57:1819–24.
- [55] Zhang J, Lin F, Yang L, He Z, Huang X, Zhang D, et al. Ultrasmall Ru nanoparticles supported on chitin nanofibers for hydrogen production from NaBH4 hydrolysis. Chin Chem Lett 2020;31:2019–22.
- [56] Gorrasi SIG, Massini G, Signorini A, Barghini P, Fenice M. From polluting seafood wastes to energy. Production of hydrogen and methane from raw chitin material by a twophase process. J. Environ. Protect. Ecol. 2014;15:526–36.
- [57] Liu C-H, Chang C-Y, Cheng C-L, Lee D-J, Chang J-S. Fermentative hydrogen production by Clostridium butyricum CGS5 using carbohydrate-rich microalgal biomass as feedstock. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2012;37:15458–64.
- [58] Chandrasekhar K, Kumar S, Lee B-D, Kim S-H. Waste based hydrogen production for circular bioeconomy: current status and future directions. Bioresour Technol 2020;302:122920.
- [59] Demirbas A. Biohydrogen generation from organic waste. Energy Sources, Part A Recovery, Util Environ Eff 2008;30:475–82.
- [60] Ghosh S, Dairkee UK, Chowdhury R, Bhattacharya P. Hydrogen from food processing wastes via photofermentation using purple non-sulfur bacteria (PNSB)– a review. Energy Convers Manag 2017;141:299–314.
- [61] Soares JF, Confortin TC, Todero I, Mayer FD, Mazutti MA. Dark fermentative biohydrogen production from lignocellulosic biomass: technological challenges and future prospects. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 2020;117:109484.
- [62] Tian H, Li J, Yan M, Tong YW, Wang C-H, Wang X. Organic waste to biohydrogen: a critical review from technological development and environmental impact analysis perspective. Appl Energy 2019;256:113961.

125

126

127

128

129

130

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

- [64] Mona S, Kumar SS, Kumar V, Parveen K, Saini N, Deepak B, et al. Green technology for sustainable biohydrogen production (waste to energy): a review. Sci Total Environ 2020;728:138481.
- [65] Park J-H, Chandrasekhar K, Jeon B-H, Jang M, Liu Y, Kim S-H. State-of-the-art technologies for continuous high-rate biohydrogen production. Bioresour Technol 2021;320:124304.
- [66] Levin DB, Pitt L, Love M. Biohydrogen production: prospects and limitations to practical application. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2004;29:173–85.
- [67] Kovács KL, Maróti G, Rákhely G. A novel approach for biohydrogen production. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2006;31:1460–8.
- [68] Ren N, Li J, Li B, Wang Y, Liu S. Biohydrogen production from molasses by anaerobic fermentation with a pilot-scale bioreactor system. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2006;31:2147–57.
- [69] Holladay JD, Hu J, King DL, Wang Y. An overview of hydrogen production technologies. Catal Today 2009;139:244–60.
- [70] Putatunda C, Behl M, Solanki P, Sharma S, Bhatia SK, Walia A, Bhatia RK. Current challenges and future technology in photofermentation-driven biohydrogen production by utilizing algae and bacteria. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2022.10.042.
- [71] Jarunglumlert T, Prommuak C, Putmai N, Pavasant P. Scaling-up bio-hydrogen production from food waste: feasibilities and challenges. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2018;43:634–48.
- [72] Osman AI, Deka TJ, Baruah DC, Rooney DW. Critical challenges in biohydrogen production processes from the organic feedstocks. Biomass Conversion and Biorefinery; 2020. p. 1–19.
- [73] Sampath P, Reddy KR, Reddy CV, Shetti NP, Kulkarni RV, Raghu AV. Biohydrogen production from organic waste—a review. Chem Eng Technol 2020;43:1240—8.
- [74] Moreno-Dávila IMM, Herrera-Ramírez EB, Rodríguez-Garza MM, Garza-García Y, Ríos-González LJ. Bio-hydrogen production by SSF of paper industry wastes using anaerobic biofilms: a comparison of the use of wastes with/without pre-treatment. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2017;42:30267–73.
- [75] Argun H, Dao S. Bio-hydrogen production from waste peach pulp by dark fermentation: effect of inoculum addition. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2017;42:2569–74.

- [76] Torquato LDM, Pachiega R, Crespi MS, Nespeca MG, de Oliveira JE, Maintinguer SI. Potential of biohydrogen production from effluents of citrus processing industry using anaerobic bacteria from sewage sludge. Waste Manag 2017;59:181–93.
- [77] Yin Y, Wang J. Gamma irradiation induced disintegration of waste activated sludge for biological hydrogen production. Radiat Phys Chem 2016;121:110–4.
- [78] Kang J-h, Kim D, Lee T-j. Hydrogen production and microbial diversity in sewage sludge fermentation preceded by heat and alkaline treatment. Bioresour Technol 2012;109:239–43.
- [79] Chu C-Y, Wang Z-F. Dairy cow solid waste hydrolysis and hydrogen/methane productions by anaerobic digestion technology. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2017;42:30591–8.
- [80] Hu B-B, Li M-Y, Wang Y-T, Zhu M-J. High-yield biohydrogen production from non-detoxified sugarcane bagasse: fermentation strategy and mechanism. Chem Eng J 2018;335:979–87.
- [81] Badiei M, Jahim JM, Anuar N, Sheikh Abdullah SR. Effect of hydraulic retention time on biohydrogen production from palm oil mill effluent in anaerobic sequencing batch reactor. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2011;36:5912–9.
- [82] Bao MD, Su HJ, Tan TW. Dark fermentative bio-hydrogen production: effects of substrate pre-treatment and addition of metal ions or L-cysteine. Fuel 2013;112:38–44.
- [83] Han W, Hu Y, Li S, Li F, Tang J. Biohydrogen production in the suspended and attached microbial growth systems from waste pastry hydrolysate. Bioresour Technol 2016;218:589–94.
- [84] Saidi R, Liebgott PP, Gannoun H, Ben Gaida L, Miladi B, Hamdi M, et al. Biohydrogen production from hyperthermophilic anaerobic digestion of fruit and vegetable wastes in seawater: simplification of the culture medium of Thermotoga maritima. Waste Manag 2018;71:474–84.
- [85] Eker S, Sarp M. Hydrogen gas production from waste paper by dark fermentation: effects of initial substrate and biomass concentrations. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2017;42:2562–8.
- [86] Cui M, Yuan Z, Zhi X, Wei L, Shen J. Biohydrogen production from poplar leaves pretreated by different methods using anaerobic mixed bacteria. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2010;35:4041–7.
- [87] Rorke D, Gueguim Kana EB. Biohydrogen process development on waste sorghum (Sorghum bicolor) leaves: optimization of saccharification, hydrogen production and preliminary scale up. Int J Hydrogen Energy 2016;41:12941–52.

94

43

44 45

46

47

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17