



Communication Borrelia burgdorferi BmpA-BBK32 and BmpA-BBA64: New Recombinant Chimeric Proteins with Potential Diagnostic Value

Weronika Grąźlewska ¹, Bartłomiej Ferra ¹, Monika Rudzińska ² and Lucyna Holec-Gąsior ¹,*

- ¹ Department of Molecular Biotechnology and Microbiology, Faculty of Chemistry, Gdańsk University of Technology, 80-233 Gdańsk, Poland; wergrazl@student.pg.edu.pl (W.G.); barferra@pg.edu.pl (B.F.)
- ² Department of Tropical Medicine and Epidemiology, Institute of Maritime and Tropical Medicine, Medical University of Gdańsk, 81-519 Gdynia, Poland; monika.rudzinska@gumed.edu.pl
- * Correspondence: lucholec@pg.edu.pl; Tel.: +48-(58)-3472406

Abstract: Currently, the diagnosis of Lyme disease is based mostly on two-tiered serologic testing. In the new generation of immunoenzymatic assays, antigens comprise whole-cell lysates of members of the *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato (s.l.) species complex, with the addition of selected recombinant proteins. Due to the high diversity of members of the *B. burgdorferi* s.l. genospecies and the low degree of conservation among the amino acid sequences of their proteins, serodiagnostic methods currently in use are not sufficient for the correct diagnosis of borreliosis. Two divalent chimeric proteins (BmpA-BBK32 and BmpA-BBA64) were expressed in *Escherichia coli*. Following purification by one-step metal-affinity chromatography, preparations were obtained containing milligram levels of chimeric protein exhibiting electrophoretic purity in excess of 98%. Reactivity of the new chimeric proteins with specific human IgG antibodies was preliminarily determined by Western blot. For this purpose, 20 negative sera and 20 positive sera was used. The new chimeric proteins were highly reactive with IgG antibodies contained in the serum of patients suffering from borreliosis. Moreover, no immunoreactivity of chimeric proteins was observed with antibodies in the sera of healthy people. These promising results suggest that new chimeric proteins have the potential to discriminate between positive and negative sera.

Keywords: Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato; chimeric proteins; Lyme disease; serodiagnosis

1. Introduction

Lyme disease (LD) is the most common tick-borne human disease in the Northern Hemisphere. The infection is caused by spirochetes belonging to the *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato (s.l.) complex. Currently, there are about 20 genospecies in this group, yet new members are still being discovered. At least five genospecies making up *B. burgdorferi* s.l. are the causative agents of LD in Europe: *Borrelia afzelii, Borrelia garinii, Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu stricto (s.s.), *Borrelia bavariensis, Borrelia spielmanii* [1,2]. Until recently, it was considered that the only causative agent of LD in North America was *B. burgdorferi* s.s.; however, recent reports suggest that *Borrelia bisettii* and *Borrelia mayonii* may also cause LD in the USA and Canada [3,4].

The genomes of members of the *B. burgdorferi* s.l. complex are very unique relative to most other bacteria. They consist of a linear chromosome and at least 21 plasmids, both linear and circular. Among such a large number of plasmids, it seems that only two (lp54 and cp26) are present in all isolates of *B. burgdorferi* s.l. This means that differences in the genomes of individual *B. burgdorferi* s.l. isolates can be significant [5–7]. The chromosome consists mostly of housekeeping genes, and its nucleotide sequence is fairly well conserved. On the plasmids are the majority of genes encoding lipoproteins responsible for the virulence of *B. burgdorferi* s.l. Many of the plasmids are easily lost during in vitro cultivation,



Citation: Grąźlewska, W.; Ferra, B.; Rudzińska, M.; Holec-Gąsior, L. *Borrelia burgdorferi* BmpA-BBK32 and BmpA-BBA64: New Recombinant Chimeric Proteins with Potential Diagnostic Value. *Pathogens* 2021, 10, 767. https://doi.org/10.3390/ pathogens10060767

Academic Editors: Vett Lloyd and Natasha Rudenko

Received: 27 May 2021 Accepted: 15 June 2021 Published: 18 June 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). and therefore, laboratory culture of fully virulent strains of *B. burgdorferi* s.l. is extremely difficult [5,8,9].

The enzootic life cycle of *B. burgdorferi* s.l. is very complex, and therefore, different genes are expressed at different stages of the life cycle. Especially great changes in the antigenic profile take place during the migration of *B. burgdorferi* s.l. from the tick midgut and salivary glands into mammalian tissue [10]. Changes in the expression of individual genes occur in response to variations in the temperature and pH value of the pathogen's environment. This means that the antigenic composition of *B. burgdorferi* s.l. differs significantly between individual isolates based on the prevailing conditions. Many of the proteins whose production depends on environmental conditions are expressed on the surface and are responsible for the interaction of bacteria with the host tissues [10,11].

B. burgdorferi s.l. surface proteins are divided into two main groups: lipoproteins, which are anchored to the outer membrane via lipid moieties at the N-terminal end, and integral outer membrane proteins (OMPs), which contain transmembrane-spanning domains. OMPs provide critical physiological functions for the bacterial cell, including nutrient acquisition, protein transport and assembly, antibiotic resistance, and cellular adhesion. OMPs are mainly encoded by genes located on the chromosome, and therefore, their amino acid sequences are fairly well conserved. OMPs are not particularly immunogenic, so despite their highly conserved sequences, they are not widely used in the serodiagnosis of Lyme disease [12]. On the other hand, lipoproteins are highly immunogenic and are often used in serodiagnosis tests. Unfortunately, they are mainly encoded by genes located on plasmids, and therefore, they are characterized by a low level of amino acid sequence conservation between individual B. burgdorferi s.l. isolates [10,13–15]. Surface lipoproteins mediate transition through the enzootic cycle, so their expression pattern undergoes clear changes during the life of the spirochete. They also play an important role in virulence and in host-pathogen interactions. It had been proven that isolates unable to express some of the major lipoproteins (e.g. OspC, DbpA, and BBA64) either decreased, or completely lost, their virulence. Additionally, the strong immunogenicity and high amino acid sequence variability of these lipoproteins means that they play a role in avoiding the host's immune response [9,11,16,17].

Because the clinical manifestations of Lyme disease are very diverse and non-specific, diagnosis of borreliosis usually requires confirmation by means of a laboratory diagnostic test. Direct pathogen detection methods are not routinely used. Molecular methods support serodiagnosis in the early stage of Lyme disease (before antibody response occurs) and in the diagnosis of patients suffering from dysfunction of the immune system [1,18]. Bacterial culture is the gold standard for microbiological diagnosis. However, *B. burgdorferi* s.l. requires complex media for growth, and the process is very time-consuming; therefore, this method is not widely used in recognition of borreliosis [1,19].

The more common current method for laboratory diagnosis of Lyme disease is the detection of anti-*Borrelia* antibodies in serum samples. A two-step testing strategy for the serodiagnosis of Lyme disease has been recommended both in the USA and in Europe. First, a sensitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) is used as a screening test; then, positive or borderline results are confirmed by a more specific Western blot (WB) [20–23]. Commercially available assays for the detection of specific immunoglobulins use either native whole-cell antigens, purified antigens (flagellar components), or whole-cell antigens combined with recombinant proteins. Unfortunately, the available serological tests are often unsuitable or insufficient for the diagnosis due to the large antigenic diversity of the *B. burgdorferi* s.l. group and a high level of cross-reactivity. In Europe, serological testing for borreliosis must consider the heterogeneity of causative agents.

An additional drawback may be a problem with the standardization of ELISA and WB assays based on whole-cell lysates. It can be difficult to obtain cell lysates that contain all of the most immunogenic antigens, since the genes encoding some of them are only expressed in vivo. In addition, the ability to produce some proteins is lost with the plasmids encoding them, as a result of repeated passaging [1,9,11,24]. Research is underway to construct novel

antigens using genetic engineering methods. These recombinant and chimeric proteins can be characterized by both high reactivity with specific antibodies and reduction of the risk of cross-reactions.

The aim of this study was the construction and production of two new chimeric proteins (BmpA-BBA64 and BmpA-BBK32) and preliminary determination of their reactivity with human immunoglobulin G (IgG). The antigenicity of chimeric proteins against human serum samples was evaluated by WB analysis. Our results suggest that these chimeric proteins might be useful for the detection of specific antibodies against *B. burgdorferi* s.l.

2. Results

2.1. Amino Acid Sequence Analysis

In order to select suitable antigen fragments for the construction of chimeric proteins, the amino acid sequences of known surface lipoproteins of five *B. burgdorferi* s.l. genospecies were analyzed. Based on results obtained in aligned amino acid sequences, it was decided to construct two chimeric proteins: the first (designated BmpA-BBA64) containing a fragment of the proteins BmpA (residues 58–339) and BBA64 (residues 171–302) and the second (designated BmpA-BBK32) containing a fragment of the proteins BmpA (residues 204–354). Amino acid sequence alignments for selected lipoproteins are available in the Supplementary Materials.

2.2. Expression and Purification of Chimeric Proteins BmpA-BBA64 and BmpA-BBK32

BmpA-BBA64 and BmpA-BBK32 were expressed in *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3)pLysS as recombinant chimeric proteins with calculated molecular masses of 51 and 53 kDa, respectively. Both contained His₆-tag domains at the N- and C-termini for purification of recombinant proteins by one-step metal-affinity chromatography. Preparations were obtained with electrophoretic purity greater than 98% (results not shown). This prokaryotic expression system produced approximately 32 and 29 mg of purified BmpA-BBA64 and BmpA-BBK32 proteins per liter of culture, respectively.

2.3. Western Blotting

Preliminary determination of reactivity of chimeric proteins with IgG specific antibodies was conducted with a of 40 known human serum samples (20 positive and 20 negative). IgG antibodies present in all 20 serum samples from patients with borreliosis efficiently recognized the BmpA-BBA64 and BmpA-BBK32 (Table S1 in Supplementary Materials). Figure 1 shows representative results for three positive and two negative sera. Signal intensity in the WB was related to the antibody titer in the human serum. BmpA-BBA64 appears to be more efficiently recognized by specific anti-*Borrelia* antibodies than BmpA-BBK32. Furthermore, no immunoreactivity of either chimeric protein was observed with serum samples from healthy patients (membranes D–E). 55 kDa 🗖

A)



Figure 1. Western blot analysis. Purified BmpA-BBK32 (lane 1) and BmpA-BBA64 (lane 2) tested with anti-*Borrelia* antibodies from human serum samples. IgG levels in the positive serum samples expressed in relative units/ml (RU/mL): (A) 203 RU/mL, (B) 98 RU/mL, (C) 59 RU/mL. Membranes (D,E) were incubated with serum samples from seronegative patients. M—molecular mass marker (#26619; Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

3. Discussion

The most common diagnostic method of Lyme disease is a 2-tiered testing strategy using ELISA and WB assays. The latest generation of commercial serological tests (both ELISA and WB) are based on whole-cell lysates with the addition of recombinant proteins (the most common being VIsE, DbpA, and OspC). There are several disadvantages to using whole-cell lysate as a source of antigens in serological tests, the main ones being high production cost, time requirements, inconsistent quality, batch-to-batch variation, and exposure of staff to harmful living pathogens, as well as the relatively high probability of cross-reactions [1,19,25,26]. B. burgdorferi s.l. proteins may demonstrate cross-reactivity with antigens of such pathogens as Borrelia hermsii, Treponema pallidum, Treponema phagedenis, Yersinia enterocolitica, Yersinia pseudotuberculosis, Leptospira interrogans, Neisseria meningitidis, Haemophilus influenzae, Campylobacter jejuni, Listeria monocytogenes, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, E. coli, Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium, Shigella flexneri, Legionella micdadei, and Epstein–Barr virus. In addition, patients suffering from inflammatory diseases such as periodontal disease and rheumatoid arthritis may also have antibodies that react nonspecifically with *B. burgdorferi* s.l. antigens [1,25–27]. However, the greatest problem in the serodiagnosis of Lyme disease seems to be the exceptionally high antigenic diversity of *B. burgdorferi* s.l. itself. Therefore, antibodies specific for one genospecies may not recognize epitopes from another member of the *B. burgdorferi* s.l. complex. This problem applies in particular to Europe, where five *B. burgdorferi* s.l. genospecies have been confirmed as causative agents of LD in humans. In addition, the complex life cycle of B. burgdorferi s.l. and the large number of plasmids (which are easily lost) mean that different antigens are produced at each stage of the life of the bacteria, which makes the selection of reference candidates for serodiagnostic assays extremely difficult [1,28–30].

For these reasons, intensive research is currently underway to develop new diagnostic tools for more efficient and more specific serodiagnosis of borreliosis. The use of molecular biology techniques makes it possible to produce a larger quantity of recombinant proteins for the serodiagnosis of LD with lower production costs. Moreover, the use of recombinant proteins in diagnostic kits instead of whole-cell lysates may reduce kit-to-kit variations in quality, enabling the development of a more specific and standardized serological as-

say. It has been demonstrated that recombinant proteins such VlsE, DbpA, OspC, BBK32, BmpA, and BBA64 are suitable tools in making a specific diagnosis of Lyme disease [31–35]. Unfortunately, one single protein may not be sufficient to create a high-sensitivity serodiagnostic test, as it may have too few epitopes recognized by specific antibodies to generate an intense signal in an immunoenzymatic assay. One solution to the problem of insufficient sensitivity may be to use mixtures of several recombinant proteins instead of a single one. This approach has been shown to increase sensitivity in immunoenzymatic tests for diseases caused by other pathogens [36–40]. However, the biotechnological production and purification of a large number of different proteins can be expensive and time-consuming. Furthermore, standardization of tests based on several types of proteins. These recombinant proteins combine regions of high immunogenicity derived from two (or more) different antigens into one, single polypeptide. Chimeric products are better at detecting the presence of specific antibodies than either single proteins or mixtures, as demonstrated in, for example, the diagnosis of toxoplasmosis or Chagas disease [41–47].

As chimeric antigens have been proven effective in the serodiagnosis of other diseases, they may also help to resolve problems in the recognition of Lyme disease caused by the presence of multiple different genospecies. Bioinformatic analysis facilitates the identification of immunogenic amino acid sequences conserved across all *B. burgdorferi* s.l. genospecies [48–51]. Furthermore, the use of protein fragments (rather than entire polypeptides) can lead to significant reduction in cross-reactions.

Until now, there have been only a few studies demonstrating the usefulness of chimeric proteins in detecting specific antibodies against *B. burgdorferi* s.l. in human sera [52–54]. In 2000, Gomes-Solecki et al. constructed 17 chimeric proteins (both divalent and trivalent) containing different fragments of five antigens: OspA, OspB, OspC, flagellin (Fla or p41), and p93 [52]. However, only two demonstrated high reactivity with specific antibodies (OspA-p93 (97 kDa) and OspB-OspC-Fla (64 kDa)). Gomes-Solecki et al. showed that ELISA assays based on these chimeric proteins can detect antibodies significantly better in early Lyme disease than commercial whole-cell *B. burgdorferi* assays, and they demonstrated equivalent sensitivity when tested with late LD sera [52]. The abovementioned chimeric proteins were also mixed with the two OspC proteins (from *B. garinii* and *B. afzelii*) and used in a rapid immunochromatography test. The sensitivity and specificity of this assay were also found to be equivalent to the commercial ELISA assay. The main advantages of this test were its speed and the use of whole blood (from a finger). This means that a screening assay could be performed during a short visit to the doctor. [53]. Another chimeric protein described in the literature, DbpA/C6, is composed of full-length DbpA linked with the short peptide derived from invariable region 6 of the VIsE (peptide C6). Results of this test suggest that this antigen, combined with OspC, could be used in a simple 1-tier ELISA that is better at detecting anti-Borrelia antibodies in sera from patients with acute LD than the standard 2-tier test method [54].

In this current work, a prokaryotic expression system has been constructed for the first time to produce two new divalent chimeric proteins (BmpA-BBA64 and BmpA-BBK32), and estimated their reactivity with specific anti-*Borrelia* antibodies. For the construction of chimeric proteins, fragments of the BmpA, BBA64, and BBK32 antigens were used. All three antigens are lipoproteins anchored in the outer membrane and play a prominent role in the spread and maintenance of *B. burgdorferi* s.l. in the mammalian host [12,55,56]. BBA64 and BBK32 are encoded by genes located on plasmids lp54 and lp36, respectively, whereas the *bmpA* gene is located on the chromosome; therefore, the BmpA amino acid sequence is exceptionally well conserved [12,57]. Furthermore, these antigens are also characterized by high immunogenicity, as confirmed by numerous reports [31,32,35,58,59].

Both novel chimeric proteins (BmpA-BBA64 and BmpA-BBK32) were comprised of the same fragment of the BmpA antigen at their N-terminal end. The C-terminus contained amino acid sequences derived from either the BBA64 or BBK32 antigen. The prokaryotic expression system allowed for easy and efficient chimeric protein production. Following purification, preparations were obtained with milligram levels of chimeric protein content and electrophoretic purity greater than 98%.

The preliminary reactivity of the purified chimeric proteins was evaluated by WB analysis with sera from healthy individuals and patients suffering from Lyme disease. For the test, 40 sera with known antibody titers were used. Both chimeric proteins were recognized by antibodies contained in positive serum samples. It appears that BmpA-BBK32 is less reactive with specific anti-*Borrelia* antibodies. This is particularly visible for sera with antibody level below 100 RU/mL (Figure 1B,C). There may be two reasons for this: firstly, the *bbk32* gene is located on plasmid lp36, which is not present in all *B. burgdorferi* s.l. isolates; secondly, the fragment of BBK32 antigen was the most diverse of the three selected for construction of chimeric proteins [5,8]. Additionally, the signal intensity for both chimeric proteins is proportionally dependent on IgG titer. This may indicate that the epitope/paratope interactions occurring here are highly specific. This assumption is supported by the fact that no protein was recognized by antibodies present in sera defined as negative. However, too few sera have been tested to draw any further conclusions from this observation.

Nevertheless, these results are promising and show that these novel chimeric proteins are recognized by specific antibodies, suggesting that they have the potential for discrimination between positive and negative sera. We only had basic information about the sera used in this study. Probably all of them were obtained from individuals living in a very close area. Therefore, we cannot exclude that the antibodies contained in them recognized antigens only of one or two B. burgdorferi s.l. genospecies. In order to verify whether the chimeric proteins BmpA-BBK32 and BmpA-BBA64 are reactive with antibodies directed against a wide range of genospecies, it is necessary to conduct further studies using a group of well-defined sera. For example, using sera from animals experimentally infected with different *B. burgdorferi* s.l. genospecies would allow us to determine how the immunoreactivity of our chimeric proteins differs depending on the causative agent of Lyme disease. There is also the possibility that not all *B. burgdorferi* s.l. genospecies have been found. It is still under debate as to whether Borrelia bissettii, Borrelia lusitaniae, and Borrelia valaisiana are pathogenic to humans. It may turn out that the antigen fragments used by us are not conserved in these genospecies, which would reduce the diagnostic usefulness of the constructed chimeric proteins. For better estimations of their reactivity with anti-Borrelia antibodies, it will be necessary to carry out further studies on a larger pool of sera using WB as well as ELISA assays. A key finding will be to determine the reactivity of constructed chimeric proteins with sera containing specific antibodies against the epitopes of phylogenetically related microorganisms that may be the source(s) of cross-reactivity.

The development of the diagnosis of infectious disease is a complex task involving the search for new sources of suitable antigens. Chimeric proteins may be a new generation of tools for the diagnosis of borreliosis, characterized by both high sensitivity and specificity. Additionally, serodiagnosis assays based on chimeric proteins are easier to standardize and, thus, more reproducible. The above-mentioned advantages, together with the positive early indications presented here, suggest that further research on the use of chimeric proteins in the diagnosis of Lyme disease is worthwhile. Therefore, we propose these divalent chimeric proteins to be only the first phase of this research. Following these promising first results, we are already working on the construction of other divalent and trivalent chimeric proteins. Expanding our focus beyond just lipoproteins, this research will include a wider diversity *B. burgdorferi* s.l. antigens characterized by conserved amino acid sequence and immunogenicity.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Serum Samples

Lyme disease serum samples were obtained from Department of Tropical Medicine and Epidemiology, Medical University of Gdańsk (Gdynia, Poland). IgG antibody levels were established using a commercial ELISA assay (*Borrelia* plus VIsE, Euroimmun, Lübeck, Germany). The presence of specific anti-*Borrelia* IgG antibodies was further confirmed using a commercial WB (EUROLINE WB *Borrelia*, Euroimmun, Lübeck, Germany). Twenty negative and 20 positive serum samples were used. All were obtained during routine borreliosis screening from the area of the Pomeranian Voivodeship (Poland). Anonymized information about each sample included only the date of collection and the titer of anti-*Borrelia* antibodies.

4.2. Amino Acid Sequence Analysis

Amino acid sequences of surface proteins from the five genospecies of *B. burgdorferi* s.l. (*B. afzelii*, *B. garinii*, *B. bavariensis*, *B. burgdorferi* s.s., and *B. spielmanii*) (Table 1) were aligned using Clustal X-2.1 software to identify the antigens with the most conserved sequences. The degree of conservation of the amino acid sequences was 88–99%, 79–97% and 77–100% for BmpA, BBK32 and BBA64, respectively. Next, conserved immunodominant regions were predicted using B Cell Epitope Prediction Tools (http://tools.immuneepitope.org/main/bcell/ accessed on 7 January 2021).

Protein	Genospecies	Accession
		no.
BmpA	B. burgdorferi B31	AAC66757.1
	B. burgdorferi JD1	ADQ30753.1
	B. burgdorferi ZS7	ACK74429.1
	B. burgdorferi N40	ADQ29420.1
	B. burgdorferi 156a	EEC22066.1
	B. afzelii Pko	ABH01648.1
	B. afzelii K78	AJY72370.1
	B. afzelii HLJ01	AFU74676.1
	B. afzelii Tom3107	WP_044052195.1
	B. garinii 20047	AZA27810.1
	B. garinii NMJW1	AFT83711.1
	B. garinii BgVir	AEW68720.1
	B. garinii PBr	WP_004791868.1
	B. bavariensis PBi	AAU07235.1
	B. spielmanii A14S	WP_006433486.1
	B. burgdorferi B31	AAC66134.1
	B. burgdorferi N40	WP_014540529.1
	B. burgdorferi IA	AAL84596.1
	B. burgdorferi 156a	ACL33888.1
	B. burgdorferi PKa2	ACR57084.1
	B. burgdorferi ZS7	WP_012614929.1
BBK32	B. afzelii PKo	ACR57085.1
	B. afzelii K78	AJY72931.1
	B. afzelii ACA-1	ACJ73236.1
	B. afzelii 570	AAL84590.1
	B. afzelii A91	AAL84589.1
	B. garinii 40	AAL84593.1
	B. garinii PHei	ACO05729.1
	B. garinii TN	ACR57087.1
	B. garinii 50	AAL84595.1
	B. garinii 46	AAL84594.1
	B. bavariensis PBi	ACR57086.1
	B. spielmanii A14S	WP_012666206.1

Table 1. Accession numbers of BmpA, BBK32, and BBA64 protein amino acid sequences used in this study.

Protein	Genospecies	Accession no.
BBA64	B. burgdorferi B31	AAC66255.2
	B. burgdorferi N40	ACS94806.1
	B. burgdorferi JD1	ACS94870.1
	B. burgdorferi 156a	ACL33793.1
	B. burgdorferi ZS7	ACK74216.1
	B. afzelii PKo	AEL70678.1
	B. afzelii K78	AJY72875.1
	B. afzelii ACA-1	ACJ73562.1
	B. garinii BgVir	AEW69221.1
	B. garinii Far04	ACL35142.1
	B. garinii PBr	ACL34799.1
	B. bavariensis PBi	AAT93822.1
	B. spielmanii A14S	ACN53346.1

Table 1. Cont.

4.3. Construction of Recombinant Plasmids

Fragments of the *bmpA*, *bba64*, and *bbk32* genes were PCR-amplified from DNA of *B. burgdorferi* s.s. strain B31 (#35210DQ, ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). PCR products contained complementary fragments incorporated into a sequence of primers (Table 2). In order to link two partially complementary DNA fragments into one gene encoding the chimeric protein (BmpA-BBA64 or BmpA-BBK32), a one-step PCR reaction was performed. The new DNA constructs were then used as a template in a standard PCR reaction. The final PCR products were digested with both *Bgl*II and *Xho*I and inserted into a pUET1 plasmid [60], so the chimeric proteins contain His-tags at both ends allowing for purification of the recombinant proteins by means of metal affinity chromatography. Figures S4 and S5 showing pUET1/BmpA-BBA64 and pUET1/BmpA-BBK32 maps are included in Supplementary Materials. The nucleotide sequences of the recombinant plasmids were confirmed by DNA sequencing (Genomed, Poland).

Table 2. Oligonucleotide primers used for construction of chimeric proteins BmpA-BBA64 and BmpA-BBK32.

Primer Name	Primer Sequence	Comments
bmpABglII (Forward)	5'-GTGAC <u>AGATCT</u> CGAATTTAAAATTGAGCTTC-3'	<u>BglII site</u> and fragment of bmpA
bmpA-bba64 (Reverse)	5′- <u>GATAAAATTTGCCCAAGATT</u> AATAAATTCTTTAAGAAAC-3′	Fragments of <i>bba64</i> and <i>bmpA</i>
bmpA-bbba64 (Forward)	5'-GTTTCTTAAAGAATTTATT <u>AATCTTGGGCAAATTTTATC</u> -3'	Fragments of <i>bmpA</i> and <i>bba64</i>
bba64XhoI (Reverse)	5'-CATAA <u>CTCGAG</u> CTGAATTGGAGCAAG-3'	<u>XhoI</u> site and fragment of bba64
bmpA-bbk32 (Reverse)	5'- <u>GATATCGATTGCTTAATCT</u> AATAAATTCTTTAAGAAACTTC-3'	Fragments of <u>bbk32</u> and bmpA
bmpA-bbk32 (Forward)	5'-GAAGTTTCTTAAAGAATTTATT <u>AGATTAAGCAATCGATATC</u> -3'	Fragments of <i>bmpA</i> and <i>bbk32</i>
bbk32XhoI (Reverse)	5'-CATAACTCGAGGTACCAAACGCCATTC-3'	<u>XhoI</u> site and fragment of bbk32

4.4. Expression and Purification of Chimeric Proteins

E. coli BL21(DE3)pLysS transformed with either of the recombinant plasmids pUET1/BmpA-BBK32 or pUET1/BmpA-BBA64 was grown in TB broth supplemented with 100 µg/mL ampicillin and 34 µg/mL chloramphenicol to an optical density at $\lambda = 600$ nm of 0.4. Protein production was then induced with isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at a final concentration of 1 mM, and cells were incubated with vigorous shaking at 30°C for 18 h. Cells were then harvested by centrifugation. Proteins were purified in a one-step chromatography procedure by metal affinity chromatography with Ni²⁺ bound to an iminodiacetic acid-Sepharose column, in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions (Novagen, Madison, WI, USA). Purity of the chimeric proteins was verified by 12%

SDS–PAGE, quantified using Image Lab software (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). After purification, the His-tags were not cleaved prior to immunoblot analysis. Protein concentration was measured with a Bradford Assay Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) using bovine serum albumin (BSA) as a standard.

4.5. Western Blot

For WB analysis, 7 µg of each recombinant protein was separated by 12% SDS-PAGE and then transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane was blocked with 5% non-fat skim milk in Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween 20 (TBS-T) for 1 h at room temperature with constant shaking. The membrane was then washed three times with TBS-T and incubated with human serum samples diluted at 1:200 for 1 h. The membrane was then washed again and treated for 1 h at room temperature with horseradish peroxidaseconjugated goat anti-human IgG antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch, Ely, UK), diluted at 1:100,000. After further washes, the reaction was developed by the addition of chemiluminescence peroxidase substrate (Immobilon Crescendo Western HRP substrate, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), and results were visualized using Image Lab software (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/ 10.3390/pathogens10060767/s1. Figure S1: Multiple amino acid sequence alignment of the BmpA protein of *B. burgdorferi* s.l. deposited in NCBI; Figure S2: Multiple amino acid sequence alignment of the BBK32 protein of *B. burgdorferi* s.l. deposited in NCBI; Figure S3: Multiple amino acid sequence alignment of the BBA64 protein of *B. burgdorferi* s.l. deposited in NCBI; Table S1: The level of IgG antibodies and reactivity of chimeric proteins with individual sera; Figure S4: pUET1/BmpA-BBA64 map; Figure S5: pUET1/BmpA-BBK32 map.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, W.G. and L.H.-G.; methodology, W.G., L.H.-G. and B.F.; software, W.G.; validation, W.G., L.H.-G. and B.F.; formal analysis, W.G. and L.H.-G.; investigation, W.G. and L.H.-G.; resources, B.F., L.H.-G. and M.R.; data curation, W.G.; writing—original draft preparation, W.G.; writing—review and editing, W.G., B.F., L.H.-G. and M.R.; visualization, W.G.; supervision, L.H.-G. and B.F.; project administration, W.G., L.H.-G. and B.F.; funding acquisition, B.F. and L.H.-G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Ethical review and approval were waived for this study, due to all sera were obtained during routine borreliosis screening. The used sera were anonymized, we did not have any data about the volunteers.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein accessed on 25 April 2021. The accession numbers are presented in Table 1.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Lohr, B.; Fingerle, V.; Norris, D.E.; Hunfeld, K.-P. Laboratory diagnosis of Lyme borreliosis: Current state of the art and future perspectives. Crit. Rev. Clin. Lab. Sci. 2018, 55, 219–245. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Stanek, G.; Reiter, M. The expanding Lyme *Borrelia* complex-clinical significance of genomic species? *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 2011, 17, 487–493. [CrossRef]
- 3. Pritt, B.S.; Respicio-Kingry, L.B.; Sloan, L.M.; Schriefer, M.E.; Replogle, A.J.; Bjork, J.; Liu, G.; Kingry, L.C.; Mead, P.S.; Neitzel, D.F.; et al. *Borrelia mayonii* sp. nov., a member of the *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato complex, detected in patients and ticks in the upper midwestern United States. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **2016**, *123*, 4757–4763. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Golovchenko, M.; Vancová, M.; Clark, K.; Oliver, J.H.; Grubhoffer, L.; Rudenko, N. A divergent spirochete strain isolated from a resident of the southeastern United States was identified by multilocus sequence typing as *Borrelia bissettii*. *Parasites Vectors* 2016, 9, 4–8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Casjens, S.; Palmer, N.; Van Vugt, R.; Huang, W.M.; Stevenson, B.; Rosa, P.; Lathigra, R.; Sutton, G.; Peterson, J.; Dodson, R.J.; et al. A bacterial genome in flux: The twelve linear and nine circular extrachromosomal DNAs in an infectious isolate of the Lyme disease spirochete *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *Mol. Microbiol.* 2000, *35*, 490–516. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Casjens, S.R.; Mongodin, E.F.; Qiu, W.G.; Luft, B.J.; Schutzer, S.E.; Gilcrease, E.B.; Huang, W.M.; Vujadinovic, M.; Aron, J.K.; Vargas, L.C.; et al. Genome stability of lyme disease spirochetes: Comparative genomics of *Borrelia burgdorferi* plasmids. *PLoS* ONE 2012, 7, e33280. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Glöckner, G.; Lehmann, R.; Romualdi, A.; Pradella, S.; Schulte-Spechtel, U.; Schilhabel, M.; Wilske, B.; Sühnel, J.; Platzer, M. Comparative analysis of the *Borrelia garinii* genome. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2004**, *32*, 6038–6046. [CrossRef]
- 8. Casjens, S.R.; Gilcrease, E.B.; Vujadinovic, M.; Mongodin, E.F.; Luft, B.J.; Schutzer, S.E.; Fraser, C.M.; Qiu, W.G. Plasmid diversity and phylogenetic consistency in the Lyme disease agent *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *BMC Genom*. **2017**, *18*, 1–18. [CrossRef]
- 9. Purser, J.E.; Norris, S.J. Correlation between plasmid content and infectivity in *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2000**, 97, 13865–13870. [CrossRef]
- 10. Schwan, T.G.; Piesman, J.; Golde, W.T.; Dolan, M.C.; Rosa, P.A. Induction of an outer surface protein on *Borrelia burgdorferi* during tick feeding. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1995**, *92*, 2909–2913. [CrossRef]
- Ojaimi, C.; Brooks, C.; Casjens, S.; Rosa, P.; Elias, A.; Barbour, A.; Jasinskas, A.; Benach, J.; Katona, L.; Radolf, J.; et al. Profiling of temperature-induced changes in *Borrelia burgdorferi* gene expression by using whole genome arrays. *Infect. Immun.* 2003, 71, 1689–1705. [CrossRef]
- 12. Kenedy, M.R.; Lenhart, T.R.; Akins, D.R. The role of *Borrelia burgdorferi* outer surface proteins. *FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol.* **2012**, *66*, 1–19. [CrossRef]
- 13. Schulte-Spechtel, U.; Fingerle, V.; Goettner, G.; Rogge, S.; Wilske, B. Molecular analysis of decorin-binding protein A (DbpA) reveals five major groups among European *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato strains with impact for the development of serological assays and indicates lateral gene transfer of the *dbpA* gene. *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.* **2006**, 296, 250–266. [CrossRef]
- 14. Ivanova, L.; Christova, I.; Neves, V.; Aroso, M.; Meirelles, L.; Brisson, D.; Gomes-Solecki, M. Comprehensive seroprofiling of sixteen *B. burgdorferi* OspC: Implications for Lyme disease diagnostics design. *Clin. Immunol.* **2011**, *35*, 909–915. [CrossRef]
- Fraser, C.M.; Casjens, S.; Huang, W.M.; Sutton, G.G.; Clayton, R.; Lathigra, R.; White, O.; Ketchum, K.A.; Dodson, R.; Hickey, E.K.; et al. Genomic sequence of a Lyme disease spirochaete, *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *Nature* 1997, 390, 580–586. [Cross-Ref] [PubMed]
- Gilmore, R.D.; Howison, R.R.; Dietrich, G.; Patton, T.G.; Clifton, D.R.; Carroll, J.A. The *bba64* gene of *Borrelia burgdorferi*, the Lyme disease agent, is critical for mammalian infection via tick bite transmission. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2010, 107, 7515–7520. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tilly, K.; Krum, J.G.; Bestor, A.; Jewett, M.W.; Grimm, D.; Bueschel, D.; Byram, R.; Dorward, D.; VanRaden, M.J.; Stewart, P.; et al. Borrelia burgdorferi OspC protein required exclusively in a crucial early stage of mammalian infection. Infect. Immun. 2006, 74, 3554–3564. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 18. Ružić-Sabljić, E.; Cerar, T. Progress in the molecular diagnosis of Lyme disease. Expert Rev. Mol. Diagn. 2017, 17, 19–30. [CrossRef]
- 19. Aguero-Rosenfeld, M.; Wang, G.; Scwartz, I.; Wormser, G. Diagnosis of Lyme Borreliosis. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **2005**, *18*, 484–509. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Aguero-Rosenfeld, M.E.; Nowakowski, J.; McKenna, D.F.; Carbonaro, C.A.; Wormser, G.P. Serodiagnosis in early Lyme disease. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **1993**, *31*, 3090–3095. [CrossRef]
- 21. Engstrom, S.M.; Shoop, E.; Johnson, R.C. Immunoblot interpretation criteria for serodiagnosis of early Lyme disease. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **1995**, *33*, 419–427. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Hofmann, H.; Fingerle, V.; Hunfeld, K.P.; Huppertz, H.I.; Krause, A.; Rauer, S.; Ruf, B. Cutaneous Lyme borreliosis: Guideline of the German Dermatology Society. *Ger. Med. Sci.* 2017, *15*, 1–31. [CrossRef]
- 23. Theel, E.S. The Past, Present, and (Possible) Future of Serologic Testing for Lyme Disease. J. Clin. Microbiol. 2016, 54, 1191–1196. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Goettner, G.; Schulte-Spechtel, U.; Hillermann, R.; Liegl, G.; Wilske, B.; Fingerle, V. Improvement of Lyme Borreliosis serodiagnosis by a newly developed recombinant immunoglobulin G (IgG) and IgM line immunoblot assay and addition of VIsE and DbpA homologues. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 2005, *43*, 3602–3609. [CrossRef]
- Rawlins, M.L.; Gerstner, C.; Hill, H.R.; Litwin, C.M. Evaluation of a Western blot method for the detection of *Yersinia* antibodies: Evidence of serological cross-reactivity between *Yersinia* outer membrane proteins and *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *Clin. Vaccine Immunol.* 2005, 12, 1269–1274. [CrossRef]
- Magnarelli, L.A.; Fikrig, E.; Padula, S.J.; Anderson, J.F.; Flavell, R.A. Use of recombinant antigens of *Borrelia burgdorferi* in serologic tests for diagnosis of Lyme borreliosis. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 1996, 34, 237–240. [CrossRef]
- 27. Bruckbauer, H.R.; Preac-Mursic, V.; Fuchs, R.; Wilske, B. Cross-reactive proteins of *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **1992**, *11*, 224–232. [CrossRef]
- 28. Roberts, W.C.; Mullikin, B.A.; Lathigra, R.; Hanson, M.S. Molecular analysis of sequence heterogeneity among genes encoding decorin binding proteins A and B of *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato. *Infect. Immun.* **1998**, *66*, 5275–5285. [CrossRef]
- 29. Theisen, M.; Frederiksen, B.; Lebech, A.M.; Vuust, J.; Hansen, K. Polymorphism in ospC gene of *Borrelia burgdorferi* and immunoreactivity of OspC protein: Implications for taxonomy and for use of OspC protein as a diagnostic antigen. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **1993**, *31*, 2570–2576. [CrossRef]

- 30. Gilmore, R.D.; Mbow, M.L.; Stevenson, B. Analysis of *Borrelia burgdorferi* gene expression during life cycle phases of the tick vector *Ixodes scapularis*. *Microbes Infect*. 2001, *3*, 799–808. [CrossRef]
- 31. Oksi, J.; Uksila, J.; Marjamaki, M.; Nikoskelainen, J.; Viljanen, M.K. Antibodies against whole sonicated *Borrelia burgdorferi* spirochetes, 41- kilodalton flagellin, and P39 protein in patients with PCR- or culture- proven late Lyme borreliosis. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **1995**, 33, 2260–2264. [CrossRef]
- 32. Heikkilä, T.; Seppälä, I.; Saxén, H.; Panelius, J.; Peltomaa, M.; Julin, T.; Carlsson, S.A.; Lahdenne, P. Recombinant BBK32 protein in serodiagnosis of early and late Lyme borreliosis. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2002**, *40*, 1174–1180. [CrossRef]
- Mathiesen, M.J.; Christiansen, M.; Holm, A.; Åsbrink, E.; Theisen, M.; Hansen, K.; Åsbrink, E.V.A. Peptide-Based OspC Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay for serodiagnosis of Lyme Borreliosis. J. Clin. Microbiol. 1998, 36, 3474–3479. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Panelius, J.; Lahdenne, P.; Saxén, H.; Carlsson, S.A.; Heikkilä, T.; Peltomaa, M.; Lauhio, A.; Seppälä, I. Diagnosis of Lyme neuroborreliosis with antibodies to recombinant proteins DbpA, BBK32, and OspC, and VIsE IR6 peptide. *J. Neurol.* 2003, 250, 1318–1327. [CrossRef]
- 35. Gilmore, R.D.; Kappel, K.J.; Johnson, B.J.B. Molecular characterization of a 35-kilodalton protein of *Borrelia burgdorferi*, an antigen of diagnostic importance in early Lyme disease. J. Clin. Microbiol. **1997**, 35, 86–91. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Holec, L.; Gąsior, A.; Brillowska-Dąbrowska, A.; Kur, J. *Toxoplasma gondii*: Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay using different fragments of recombinant microneme protein 1 (MIC1) for detection of immunoglobulin G antibodies. *Exp. Parasitol.* 2008, 119, 1–6. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Holec-Gasior, L.; Kur, J. *Toxoplasma gondii*: Recombinant GRA5 antigen for detection of immunoglobulin G antibodies using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. *Exp. Parasitol.* **2010**, 124, 272–278. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Holec-Gasior, L. Toxoplasma gondii recombinant antigens as tools for serodiagnosis of human toxoplasmosis: Current status of studies. Clin. Vaccine Immunol. 2013, 20, 1343–1351. [CrossRef]
- Rauer, S.; Spohn, N.; Rasiah, C.; Neubert, U.; Vogt, A. Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay using recombinant OspC and the internal 14-kDa flagellin fragment for serodiagnosis of early Lyme disease. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 1998, 36, 857–861. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Magalhães, F.B.; Castro Neto, A.L.; Nascimento, M.B.; Santos, W.J.T.; Medeiros, Z.M.; Neto, A.S.L.; Costa, D.L.; Costa, C.H.N.; Dos Santos, W.L.C.; Pontes de Carvalho, L.C.; et al. Evaluation of a new set of recombinant antigens for the serological diagnosis of human and canine visceral leishmaniasis. *PLoS ONE* **2017**, *12*, e0184867. [CrossRef]
- 41. Ferra, B.T.; Holec-Gąsior, L.; Gatkowska, J.; Dziadek, B.; Dzitko, K.; Grąźlewska, W.; Lautenbach, D. The first study on the usefulness of recombinant tetravalent chimeric proteins containing fragments of SAG2, GRA1, ROP1 and AMA1 antigens in the detection of specific anti-*Toxoplasma gondii* antibodies in mouse and human sera. *PLoS ONE* **2019**, *14*, e0217866. [CrossRef]
- 42. Holec-Gasior, L.; Ferra, B.; Drapała, D. MIC1-MAG1-SAG1 chimeric protein, a most effective antigen for detection of human toxoplasmosis. *Clin. Vaccine Immunol.* **2012**, *19*, 1977–1979. [CrossRef]
- Holec-Gasior, L.; Ferra, B.; Drapała, D.; Lautenbach, D.; Kur, J. A new MIC1-MAG1 recombinant chimeric antigen can be used instead of the *Toxoplasma gondii* lysate antigen in serodiagnosis of human toxoplasmosis. *Clin. Vaccine Immunol.* 2012, 19, 57–63. [CrossRef]
- 44. Drapała, D.; Holec-Gasior, L.; Kur, J. New recombinant chimeric antigens, P35-MAG1, MIC1-ROP1, and MAG1-ROP1, for the serodiagnosis of human toxoplasmosis. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **2015**, *82*, 34–39. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Ferra, B.; Holec-Gasior, L.; Kur, J. A new *Toxoplasma gondii* chimeric antigen containing fragments of SAG2, GRA1, and ROP1 proteins—impact of immunodominant sequences size on its diagnostic usefulness. *Parasitol. Res.* **2015**, *114*, 3291–3299. [CrossRef]
- 46. Del-Rei, R.P.; Leony, L.M.; Celedon, P.A.F.; Zanchin, N.I.T.; dos Reis, M.G.; de Miranda Gomes, Y.; Schijman, A.G.; Longhi, S.A.; Santos, F.L.N. Detection of anti-*Trypanosoma cruzi* antibodies by chimeric antigens in chronic Chagas disease-individuals from endemic South American countries. *PLoS ONE* **2019**, *14*, e0215623. [CrossRef]
- Santos, W.J.T.; Tavares, D.H.C.; Castro Neto, A.L.; Nascimento, M.B.; Dhalia, R.; Albuquerque, A.L.; Costa, C.H.N.; Magalhães, F.B.; Rezende, A.M.; Neto, O.P.M. Gene design, optimization of protein expression and preliminary evaluation of a new chimeric protein for the serological diagnosis of both human and canine visceral leishmaniasis. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 2020, 14, e0008488. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Norek, A.; Janda, L. Epitope mapping of *Borrelia burgdorferi* OspC protein in homodimeric fold. *Protein Sci.* **2017**, *26*, 796–806. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Arnaboldi, P.M.; Seedarnee, R.; Sambir, M.; Callister, S.M.; Imparato, J.A.; Dattwylera, R.J. Outer surface protein C peptide derived from *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu stricto as a target for serodiagnosis of early Lyme disease. *Clin. Vaccine Immunol.* **2013**, *20*, 474–481. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Kotłowski, R.; Holec-Gąsior, L. Identification of evolutionary conserved DNA sequence and corresponding S21 ribosomal protein region for diagnostic purposes of all *Borrelia* spirochetes. *Acta Biochim. Pol.* **2019**, *66*, 119–122. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 51. Liang, F.T.; Philipp, M.T. Epitope mapping of the immunodominant invariable region of *Borrelia burgdorferi* VlsE in three host species. *Infect. Immun.* 2000, *68*, 2349–2352. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gomes-Solecki, M.J.C.; Dunn, J.J.; Luft, B.J.; Castillo, J.; Dykhuizen, D.E.; Yang, X.; Glass, D.; Dattwyler, R.J.; Glass, J.D. Recombinant Chimeric *Borrelia* proteins for diagnosis of Lyme disease. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 2000, *38*, 2530–2535. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 53. Gomes-Solecki, M.; Wormser, G.; Persing, D.; Berger, B.; Glass, J.; Yang, X.; Dattwyler, R. A first-tier rapid assay for the serodiagnosis of *Borrelia burgdorferi* infection. *Arch. Intern. Med.* **2001**, *161*, 2015–2021. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Bradshaw, G.L.; Thueson, R.K.; Uriona, T.J. Improved serodiagnostic performance for Lyme disease by use of two recombinant proteins in ELISA as compared to standardized two tier testing. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2017**, *55*, 3046–3056. [CrossRef]
- 55. Ebady, R.; Niddam, A.F.; Boczula, A.E.; Kim, Y.R.; Gupta, N.; Tang, T.T.; Odisho, T.; Zhi, H.; Simmons, C.A.; Skare, J.T.; et al. Biomechanics of *Borrelia burgdorferi* Vascular Interactions. *Cell Rep.* **2016**, *16*, 2593–2604. [CrossRef]
- 56. Fischer, J.R.; LeBlanc, K.T.; Leong, J.M. Fibronectin binding protein BBK32 of the Lyme disease spirochete promotes bacterial attachment to glycosaminoglycans. *Infect. Immun.* **2006**, *74*, 435–441. [CrossRef]
- 57. Verma, A.; Brissette, C.A.; Bowman, A.; Stevenson, B. *Borrelia burgdorferi* BmpA is a laminin-binding protein. *Infect. Immun.* 2009, 77, 4940–4946. [CrossRef]
- 58. Roessler, D.; Hauser, U.; Wilske, B. Heterogeneity of BmpA (P39) among European isolates of *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato and influence of interspecies variability on serodiagnosis. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **1997**, *35*, 2752–2758. [CrossRef]
- 59. Brandt, K.S.; Patton, T.G.; Allard, A.S.; Caimano, M.J.; Radolf, J.D.; Gilmore, R.D. Evaluation of the *Borrelia burgdorferi* BBA64 protein as a protective immunogen in mice. *Clin. Vaccine Immunol.* **2014**, *21*, 526–533. [CrossRef]
- 60. Dąbrowski, S.; Kur, J. Cloning, overexpression, and purification of the recombinant His-tagged SSB protein of *Escherichia coli* and use in polymerase chain reaction amplification. *Protein Expr. Purif.* **1999**, *16*, 96–102. [CrossRef]