PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Daylight Design for Urban Residential Planning in Poland in Regulations and in A Practice. A Comparison Study of Daylight Conditions Observed in the Four Neighbouring Residential Areas

To cite this article: Natalia Sokol and Justyna Martyniuk-Peczek 2017 IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng. 245 082010

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

Related content

- Road Traffic Noise Forecast (RTNF) in the Process of Creating Urban Space - Case Study of Poland K Szopiska
- Reshaping of Coastlines as the Beginning of Urban Structures Changes in North Poland Inshels M. Burda, Lugare Nyles and Adam

Izabela M. Burda, Lucyna Nyka and Adam Borodziuk

- <u>On The Cusp of the New Spatial</u> <u>Challenges – The Thermal Waste</u> <u>Processing Plant as an Element of Urban</u> <u>Space</u> Agnieszka Wójtowicz-Wróbel

IOP C Bringing you

IOP ebooks[™]

Bringing you innovative digital publishing with leading voices to create your essential collection of books in STEM research.

Start exploring the collection - download the first chapter of every title for free.

IOP Publishing

Daylight Design for Urban Residential Planning in Poland in Regulations and in A Practice. A Comparison Study of Daylight Conditions Observed in the Four Neighbouring Residential Areas

Natalia Sokol¹, Justyna Martyniuk-Peczek¹

¹ Gdansk University of Technology, Faculty of Architecture, Department of Urban Design and Regional Planning, Narutowicza 11/12, 80-233 Gdansk, Poland

natalia.sokol@pg.edu.pl

Abstract. This paper reports on the partial results of the research aiming to illustrate how an integration of daylight design into an architectural planning process can help designers to create the residential buildings in respect to the environmental issues, solar and illuminance gains, as well as, the residents' needs and comfort. It describes how changing daylight recommendations affected the design of the block of flats regarding their orientation, the spacing, the forms, and the size of the windows in the four urban residential areas. The results of this study help to determine more precise characterization of daylight indicators useful in architectural planning.

1. Introduction

Daylight is named as an essential aspect of home [1] and an integrated part of the architectural planning. The design of daylight is crucial in the residential architecture and it influences the residents' visual performance, comfort and health. The perception of daylight variability and contrast contributes to an aesthetic appreciation of the spaces [2]. The quality and quantity of daylight within residential interiors depend on the several internal and external factors (figure 1). A control of daylight within the residential environment can be done in many ways but the major ones are through a control of the build form and through a control of the daylight performance inside the buildings [3]. The questions often asked during the building design process are: how much light is sufficient for humans for the optimal visual, biophysical and psychological performance and how to provide the optimal quantity of a superior quality daylight within the residential environment [4].

The sets of rules that specify the recommended design solutions and the target values of daylight performance through the different daylight indicators are partly explained in the national building and lighting standards. These documents should provide guidelines for the designers to the questions mentioned above. However, the latest Polish building daylight standard PN-71/B-02380 was withdrawn in 2005 [5]. The current Polish daylight recommendations, referring to the sunlight provision, spacing, overshadowing and the window-to-floor area ratio (WFR), included in the national building standards, seems to be very limited (Table 1). To illustrate an impact of the changing daylight recommendations on the design of the residential dwellings, an excerpt from the comparison study of the daylight conditions in the four neighbouring residential areas built in different time periods is presented in this paper.

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1



Figure 1. A set of aspects linked to daylight control in the residential design.

2. Background of the study

2.1. Daylight in the Polish recommendations

The national documents regulating daylight design in the buildings can be divided into four major categories: daylight and building standards, laws, guidance documents and a various kind of national or regional approved documents [3]. Due to a progress in photometry and the computer aided design tools, the ways and the parameters describing daylight performance keep changing, which is reflected in European daylight recommendations [6]–[9]. The Comité Européen de Normalisation (CEN) Technical Committee: CEN/TC 169/WG 11 is currently working on a revised version of the new European daylight standard EN 17037 *Daylight of buildings* [7], which contains recommendations for daylight and sunlight provision, target daylight factor values, recommendations for the view, glare protection and procedures of the suggested calculations of the chosen daylight indicators.

The new European standard is awaited in Poland, where it could replace the latest withdrawn building daylight standard PN-71/B-02380 *Natural Interior Daylighting Code of Practice* [10]. The old regulation detailed the general conditions of daylight distribution, daylight factor (D or DF) calculations and values, daylight coefficients, the glass transmittance and the reflectance values. Apart from the daylight standards, daylight design guidelines were and are mentioned in Polish building regulations. They usually focus on the distances between buildings, an orientation, the WFR and WWR values, and the insolation times. To illustrate the changes in daylight considerations, the review of daylight guidelines derived from historic and current Polish building regulations are presented below (Table 1).

The analysis of the data presented above show that while the recommendations regarding the orientation and the WFR values have not been changed, the regulations referring to the building spaces and overshadowing have been altered. The recommended distances between buildings are getting smaller which may influence the provision of daylight within the residential spaces.

Table 1. Polish building regulations concerning daylight from 1928 until now
The summary of the historic approaches towards daylight in the Polish building recommendations and other
influential regulatory documents in a context of the residential architecture

Date	Type of document	Contents and practice			
1928	Polish Building Regulation 1928	§15: need for sufficient supply of daylight for residential dwellings			
		§177: 25% of the plot should be left free of buildings			
	Decree-law of President of the Republic	§181-189: Relation of building's height (H) to a distance to neighbouring			
	of Poland from 16 January 1928 on	building (D) H < 22 m; D (streat side) > H; D (countriand) > 1.5 H			
	(first one since Poland's independence	$11 \le 22$ III, D (street side) ≥ 11 , D (courty and) ≥ 1.5 II. (8)241: a need for windows with a view in rooms of residential buildings			
	in 1918)	windows area to floor area ratio (WFR)1/10			
	,	§277: smaller residential buildings with widows- distance from the			
	(Dz.U. Nr 23, poz. 202., 1928)	boundaries of the plot 4 m, 3 meters without windows.			
		Absence of proper definition of window area. Skylights for staircases are			
1027		recommended in residential buildings.			
193/	Fromulgation of Mister of Interior 28th	[\$15 & \$1//: sufficient supply of daylight for residential dwellings [\$176: 25% of the plot should be left free of buildings. The rest similar to			
1939	announcement of uniform text of	regulations from 1928			
	Decree-law of President of the Republic	In 1937 Tolwinski proposed to introduce a maximum sunlight penetration to			
	of Poland on building law and housing	the dwelling, to orient residential buildings in NS direction and to position			
	estates [11]	them at 11 or 13 o'clock.			
		To keep a reasonable minimum distance between the buildings $D \ge 2/3H$ even			
		with NS orientation. The NS orientation of the building would give the east			
		and west facades sunlight over 1.5 nour a day even of 21st of December,			
		buildings located closer than 3.5 H.			
1954	1954 - R-NTP-54 MBMO/5-00001,	No changes			
	Technical designing standard, General				
	buildings, daylight, general directives of				
	designing (project), Town Planning and				
	Architecture Committee, Institute of				
	Normative [12]				
1961	Act Building Law, published on 31 st of	885: Wall or roof of opposite building cannot decrease the light incidence			
	January 1961 in DZ. U. Nr 7 pos. 46.	angle more than 27°, that means $D \ge 2H$. If the light incidence angle was			
	Decree-law of Chairman of Committee	decreased more than 27°, it is necessary to proportionally increase the			
	of Construction, Town Planning and	window area. WFR $\geq 1/8$ (permanently occupied rooms) WFR $\geq 1/12$			
	Architecture, concerning technical	(temporary occupied rooms)			
	common buildings published on 21 st of	Daylight shall in residential only is special cases, buildings, apartments daylite only by windows orientated N apartment for >4 people with one side			
	July 1961 in <i>Dz. U. Nr 38 pos. 196</i> .	daylighting necessary to use double-glazed windows on certain			
	5	circumstances.			
1980	Decree-law of Minister of	§ 7: $\mathbf{D} \ge \mathbf{H} \ \mathbf{D} \ge 3 \ \mathbf{m}$ (H-height of the building);			
	Administration, Land Management and	WFR \geq 1:8, \leq 1:5 (permanently occupied rooms) \geq 1:12 (temporary occupied			
	Environment, concerning technical	rooms) (window area = inside window frame).			
	common buildings published on 3 July	It is forbidden to construct apartments for ≥ 5 people with windows oriented			
	1980 in <i>Dz. U. Nr 17 pos.</i> 62.	14.			
1994	Act Building Law, published on 7th of	§ 13: $D \ge H$ – for obstructing objects not higher than 55 m, $D \ge 55$ m – for			
	July 1994 in Dz. U. Nr 89 poz. 414.	obstructing objects higher than 55 m, D - decreased for a half in the down-			
	Decree-law of Minister of Spatial	town infill buildings (H-obstructing height - is counted from, a lower edge of			
	Development and Construction,	the lowest windows in obstructed building till the level of the highest edge of			
	concerning tecnnical conditions that	obstructing object). WED>1.9 (normanently accunied rooms in residential buildings) >1.12			
	buildings and their location published	(temporary occupied rooms) (window area = inside window frame)			
	on 14 December 1994 in <i>Dz. U. 1995</i>	Insolation time \geq 3 h in equinox days (21/03, 21/09) during 8 – 16 o'clock			
	Nr 10 pos. 46.	(permanently occupied rooms), multi-room apartments – delimit of insolation			
		to at least 1 room, in town centre buildings - insolation $\ge 1,5$ h, one room			
		apartment – no insolation time required; the one-room apartments are allowed			
		only with N exposition.			

2002 The Regulation of the Minister of Infrastructure dated 12 April 2002 on the technical conditions to be met by buildings and their location Journal of Laws 2002 No. 75, item. 690; <i>BPIE</i> [13] ^a <i>Dz. U. 2002 Nr 75 poz. 690</i>	 § 12.1: If the provisions of § 13, 60 and 271-273 or separate provisions defining the allowed distance of some structures of buildings otherwise requires, the buildings on the plot construction must be placed at a distance from the border to a neighbouring building plot of not less than: 1) 4 m - in the case of a building facing a wall with window openings and door systems towards the border, 2), 3 m - in case of a building facing the wall without openings window or door in the direction of the border. § 13: The condition of the daylight provision for rooms designed to accommodate people is fulfilled if between the arms of angle of 60 ° (set in the horizontal plane, with the apex located in the inner face of the wall on the axis of the window of the room obscured) there is no other object veiled in less than the amount of obscuration (for objects obscuration of up to 35 m). § 57. 1: The connection of premises used to accommodate people should be provided with daylight, tailored to its purpose, shape and size, subject to the conditions specified in § 13 and the general provisions of health and safety. § 57. 2: In a room designed to accommodate people of window area ratio, calculated in the light of the frames, the floor surface should be at least (WFR) 1:8, while in another room where daylight is required - at least 1:12. § 58. 1: It is allowed to design rooms to accommodate people with artificial light only if: 1) The daylight is not necessary or it is not advisable for technological reasons, 2) it is justified by functional expediency locate the room in the underground facility or part of a building devoid of daylight. § 60: Daylight should be provided at least one room in the apartment for three hours in the days of the equinoxes (March 21 and 21 September), from 7.00 to 17.00. § 60. 1: Premises for the collective presence of children in the nursery, kindergarten and school, apart from chemical laboratory, PE rooms and art rooms,
	buildings located in centre of cites there is allowed to limit the time of needed
	sunshine to 1.5 hours. For a one-bedroom apartment sun time is not specified.
2006 Changes in Legal Documents	Text as above, contemporary interpretations:
2008 concerning the daylight	<i>§ Art.13 of specifies the conditions for distances between buildings to</i>
2014 recommendations	guarantee access to daylight: $D \ge H$ for obstructing objects no higher than
Dz. U. Nr 156, poz. 1118 2006	$35m; D \ge 35 m$ for obstructing objects higher than $35m$. For downtown infill
Dz. U. Nr 201, poz. 1238–2008	buildings, the distance (D) can be decreased by half. Where: H is the
Dz U Nr 228 poz 1514 2008	in the obstructed building to the level of the highest edge of the obstructing
Dz. U. Nr 56. poz. 461 2009	object.
Dz. U. poz. 926 2013	§ 57: In permanently occupied rooms, the ratio window area to the floor area
	(WFR) should be at least 1:8, and in any other room, where daylight is
	required, the ratio should be at least 1:12. The legislation foresees the
	exemptions when: I. The daylight is not necessary or is not desirable due to
	upplied lecinology 2. There is a need for functional spaces in the underground facility or part of a building with no access to daylight
	\$ 60: specifies the conditions for exposure to sunlight regarding room's
	function: In permanently occupied rooms, the provision of daylight should be
	at least for3 hours during equinox days (21/03 and 21/09) between 7am and
	5pm. For multi-family apartments, the limit of daylight time in at least one
	room is set at 1.5 hours, while in single room apartments, no insolation time
	is required.

2.2. The provision of daylight within the residential space

The basic daylight consideration in the residential environment include design decisions about a geographical location and orientation, a form of a building, an avoidance of external obstructions, the spacing between buildings, a position and a size of daylight apertures.

There are many ways to introduce daylight into a residential building including:

- high-performance glazing
- daylight-optimized fenestration design,
- climate-responsive design of the glass openings
- window-to-wall area ratio WWR
- skylights
- tubular daylight devices
- solar shading devices
- daylight-responsive electric lighting controls
- daylight-optimized interior design
- design of shading systems
- surface reflectance of the used materials
- others.

The design of daylight within the residential environment is not only focused on a provision of a right amount of an excellent quality sunlight and skylight to ensure good visual conditions and to reduce the electricity demand, but also on ways how to do it without undesirable effects like glare, veiling reflections and thermal discomfort. It is important to mention, that the daylight availability varies in both: the amount and the spectrum, depending on many factors including a season of the year, a nature of the cloud cover and a predictability of the weather conditions.

The control and the appraisal of daylight within interiors is challenging due to the altering daylight conditions and its availability. It may also affect the moods of the occupant of a building. The costs of the daylighting solutions, usage, maintenance can be predicted. However, the non-visual impact of daylight on the residents' wellbeing and health are much more difficult to estimate.



	PARAMETERS COMPARISON					
BUILIDNG LOCATION AND SPACING	WINDOW TO WALL AREA RATIO	OBSTRUCTIO N, OVERSHADO WING	INTERIORS: INSOLATION TIME	WFR & DAYLIGHT FACTOR	DAYLIGHT ILLUMINANC E LEVELS LUMINANCE LEVELS	VIEW OUT QUALITY



3. Method

The study of daylight conditions in the four neighbouring residential areas are done in two stages. During the first stage the urban, architectural and physical properties of the building forms significant for the each of the four residential areas are analysed and compared (Table 3). The comprehensive study of the external, physical parameters like a building orientation, spacing, and a size and position of the windows and rooftops is performed in relation to the daylight recommendations found in building standards (figure 2) along with a photographic documentation, and the sites measurements.

DATE	BUILDING	OBSTRUCTION	WINDOWS	INNSOLATION	DAYLIGHT	ENERGY	
& NAME	ORIENTATION		WFR	TIME	FACTOR		GE
OF THE DOC.	& SPACING						2
1961	Forbidden to	§85: Wall or roof of	WFR≥ 1/8		Calculation		
Act building	construct	opposite building cannot	(permanently		method and		
Law	apartments	decrease the light incidence	occupied		target values		
	davlight only by	angle more than 27°, that	rooms)		2% for rooms		
1971	windows	means $\mathbf{D} > 2\mathbf{H}$. If the light	WFR> 1/12		with		
PN-EN	orientated N	incidence angle was	(temporary		skylights and		
15193.2010	Apartments for	decreased more than 27° it	occupied		windows		A
Energy	>4 people	is necessary to	rooms)		0.5-0.8% for		IN
raquiraments	should be at	proportionally increase the	rooms)		side widows		CE
for	least 2wo	window area	Davlight		$\pm P$ eflectonce		IE
Joh	acmonto	window area.	choft in		values		SW
ugnung	aspects Introduction of		Shantin		values		Õ
	the deal 1						
	the double		only is				
	glazing in the		exceptional				
	rooms to protect		cases.				
	them against						
	excessive heat						
	losses.						
1994	N exposition is	$ 13: D \ge H - for $	WFR≥1:8	Insolation time ≥ 3 h in	Calculation		
Act Building	allowed for the	obstructing objects not	(permanently	equinox days (21/03,	method and		
Law	one aspect one-	higher than 55 m, D \geq 55 m	occupied	21/09) during 8 – 16	target values		
	room apartments	 for obstructing objects 	rooms in	o'clock (permanently	2% for rooms		
		higher than 55 m, D -	residential	occupied rooms), multi-	with		
		decreased for a half in the	buildings)	room apartments -	skylights and		A
		down-town infill buildings	≥1:12	delimit of insolation to at	windows,		NK N
		(H-obstructing height - is	(temporary	least 1 room, in town	0.5-0.8% for		LA
		counted from, a lower edge	occupied	centre buildings -	side widows		0 0
		of the lowest windows in	rooms)	insolation > 1.5 h. one	+Reflectance		~
		obstructed building till the	(window	room apartment – no	values		
		level of the highest edge of	area = inside	insolation time required			
		obstructing object).	window	insolution time required			
		obstructing object).	frame)				
			frame).				
2002		Art 13 of specifies the	8 57 · In	60: specifies the		Davlight	1
The Regulation		conditions for distances	g J7. In	conditions for exposure		Daylight	
of the Minister		botween buildings in order	permunently	to suplicate in record to		mothods and	
of Infrastructure		between buildings in order		to suntight in regard to		and and and	
of infrastructure		lo guarantee access to	rooms, ine	room's junction: In		calculation	
2010		adylight: $D \ge H$ for		permanently occupied		methodology	≧
2010 DNI ENI		obstructing objects no	area to the	rooms, the provision of		to be used	LA I
PN-EN		higher than $35m$; $D \ge 35m$	floor area	daylight should be at		for the	XX
15193:2010		for obstructing objects	(WFR)	least for3 hours during		evaluation of	or N
Energy		higher than 35m. For	should be at	equinox days (21/03 and		the amount	F
requirements for		downtown infill buildings,	least 1:8,	21/09) between 7am and		of energy	[C]
lighting		the distance (D) can be	and in any	5pm. For multi-family		used for	\overline{M}
		decreased by half. Where: H	other room,	apartments, the limit of		lighting in	N
		is the obstructing height and	where	daylight time in at least		buildings	ھ
		is counted from: the lower	daylight is	one room is set at 1.5		(lighting	
		edge of the lowest windows	required, the	hours, while in single		energy	
		in the obstructed building to	ratio should	room apartments, no		numerical	
		the level of the highest edge	be at least	insolation time is		indicator)	
		of the obstructing object.	<i>1:12</i> .	required.		LENI	

Table 2. The overview of the historic and contemporary a	pproaches towards daylight in the Polish
building standards applicable for the invest	stigated areas in Poznan.

During the second stage of the study, the daylight conditions in 0 carefully chosen flats are apprised by a sequence of in-situ measurements, the computer simulations and the direct questionnaires with the residents who occupy them. The daylight performance indicators, which are also included in the daylight recommendation for the Polish building standards, like: insolation time, daylight factor, the WFR, are defined.

4. Results and discussion

The investigated, neighbouring residential areas were created from 1973 till 2013 in Poznan, Poland (Table 3). The daylight recommendations considered while the areas had been designed could be obtained from different Polish building standards and the approved documents. For the first stage of this study, only the daylight references found in the building regulations and the PN-71/B-02380 *Natural Interior Daylighting Code of Practice* [10] were taken into account (Table 2).

The study of the physical characteristic of the buildings and their arrangements in the context of daylight, shows that they were designed accordingly to the daylight recommendations mandatory at the time of their creation. The preferred way for the provision of daylight to all of the investigated buildings were the side and roof windows. In Oswiecenia (C1) and Polanka (C2), cases 1 and 2, there are no apartments with all the widows facing north. The dominant orientation of the windows is NE and SW in C1 and EW in C2. According to the changes in the regulations, the distances between buildings are diminishing. The distances between C1 buildings are approximately 25 m, which is twice the amount of the distance between C2 buildings. The dominant form of the buildings for C1 and C2 are simple perpendicular forms. The Milczanska (C3) and Malta Fountain (C4) block of flats have the compound forms with the inner courtyards. Some of the buildings in C3 and C4 are connected on the ground level. Due to the diminishing distances between buildings, the provision of daylight is the best in C1 and the worst in C4, especially for the one-aspect apartments facing the courtyards. The first observations withdrawn from the physical characteristic comparison were evaluated in the second stage of this study during the insitu measurements inside the 10 selected apartments and during the directed questionnaires. The number of received data is still analysed. However, in relation to the daylight recommendations described in this paper, the values of the widow-floor-ratio parameter are lower in the C2 and C3, although they have not been changed in standards since 1961.

The reviewed standards do not consider key issues for a residential daylit space like: quality of view, protection against glare, brightness uniformity or the non-visual effects of daylight on the residents, which were investigated in the second stage of this study.

The key building parameters affecting daylighting designs and reflected in daylight recommendations in the Polish building standards, do not guarantee good provision of daylight (Table 2). They do not inform about daylight performance within the rooms. The levels of daylight in residential flats can be severely reduced by neighbouring buildings especially in C4 situation.

5. Conclusions

The responses to the following questions: how much light is sufficient for the residential rooms and how to provide the optimal quantity of a superior quality daylight within the residential environment, are not found in the daylight recommendations in Polish building standards. The quality and the quantity of daylight and its performance are not addressed in the current daylight regulations.

The preliminary results of the comprehensive analysis of the daylight recommendations and their applications in a context of the investigated buildings and interiors, from the four chosen areas indicate that daylight regulations are fragmentary and focused more on a control of the built form than on an actual performance of daylight. The tendency expressed in the regulation to diminish distances between neighbouring buildings may aggravate a daylight provision to the buildings, an insolation time and a quality of the view, therefore the quality of daylight overall.

Table 5.	Table 3. The basic comparison of the 4 investigated residential areas in Poznan.						
RESID.	CASE 1: OSWIECENIA	CASE 2:	CASE 3: MILCZANSKA	CASE 4: MALTA			
NAME		POLANKA		FOUNTAIN			
Date Map	1973 os. Oświecenia dowych	1996	2004	2013 2x 6 floors multifamily			
	10 fl. long buildings, 3x 16 fl. tall buildings	13 x 4 floors multifamily Buildings along Polanka St (various developers)		buildings between Katowicka & Milczanska St.			
Aspects	1 or 2	1-3	1-3	1-2			
Number of floors 0-4				8005 9005 9005 9005			
Localization	Rataje, Poznan	Nowe Miasto- Polanka, Poznan	Nowe Miasto- Milczanska, Poznan	Nowe Miasto- Malta Fountain,			
Type of urban settlement Price for m ² in	Nucleated, linear 3500-4000	Linear along Polanka street with inner courtyards 5000-7000	Nucleated with inner courtyards 5000- 7000	Poznan, Milczańska i Nucleated, with inner courtyard 7000-10000			
Types of windows	Windows, skylights for staircases	Windows, skylights, balcony doors	Side widnows, skylights, balony windows	Windows, balcony doors			

The most popular WFR parameter, found in the Polish recommendations, does not indicate anything about a direct obstruction, an orientation or a type of glazing, which all affect daylight provision to the building. Apart from the daylight factor parameter, explained in the PN-71/B-02380 and in use until 2005, none of the others known daylight indicators addressing a quality or a quantity of daylight in the residential buildings, are recalled in Polish standards.

The limitations of the daylight recommendations in the building standards influence the design decisions and a creation process of living spaces. Constantly decreasing distances between the residential buildings and their new compound forms have an impact on daylight conditions which may have consequences for the residents' quality of life.

References

- R. Roberts-Hughes, "The Case For Space: The Size of England's New Homes," RIBA, 2011. [1]
- S. Rockcastle and M. Andersen, "Measuring the Dynamics of Contrast & Daylight Variability in [2] Architecture: A Proof-Of-Concept Methodology," Build. Environ., vol. 81, pp. 320-333,

2014.

- [3] P. Raynham, "Daylighting Standards : Do We Have the Correct Metrics ?," In Proceedings of the 21st International Lighting Conference (Light Světlo 2015), Czech Lighting Society, pp. 201– 203, 2015.
- [4] J. Mardaljevic, M. Andersen, N. Roy, and J. Christoffersen, "Daylighting Metrics for Residential Buildings," in Proceedings of the 27th Session, CIE, 2011.
- [5] Z. Turlej, "Narzędzia projektowania oświetlenia dziennego w budynkach", (Tools for the design of daylight in buildings), Pr. Inst. Elektrotechniki PW, vol. 256, pp. 301–310, 2012, (in Polish).
- [6] J. Mardaljevic, "Rethinking Daylighting and Compliance," SDAR* J. Sustain. Des. Appl., pp. 1– 9, 2013.
- [7] J. Mardaljevic, J. Chistoffersen, and P. Raynham, "A Proposal for a European Standard for Daylight in Buildings," in Lux Europa, Krakow, 2013.
- [8] R. Kittler and S. Darula, "Research Of Quantitative and Qualitative Daylighting Characteristics in Buildings Contribution to the New Daylight Standard Pren Xxxx : 2011 For EU Countries," Bratislava, 2011.
- [9] J. Mardaljevic and J. Christoffersen, "A Roadmap for Upgrading National/EU Standards for Daylight in Buildings," CIE Midterm Conf. - Towar. A New Century Light, Paris, pp. 1–10, 2013.
- [10] Polski Komitet Normalizacyjny, Polska Norma. Oświetlenie Wnętrz Światłem Dziennym, (Indoor Lighting Daylight), Warunki Ogólne. Natural Interior Daylighting. Code Of Practice. Polski Komitet Normalizacyjny, 1971, pp. 1–9, (in Polish).
- [11] T. Tołwiński, Urbanistyka T.2: Budowa Miasta Współczesnego, (Construction of the Contemporary City), 2nd ed. Warszawa: Wydawnictwo Zakładu Urbanistyki Politechniki Warszawskiej, 1939, (in Polish).
- [12] R-NTP-54 MBMO/5-00001 Normatywy Techniczne Projektowania:(Technical standards for design), Budownictwo Ogólne, Oświetlenie Dzienne, Ogólne Wytyczne Projektowania (Projekt), Warszawa: Komitet do Spraw Urbanistyki i Architektury Instytytu Urbanistyki i Architektury 1954, (in Polish).
- [13] S. Kunkel, E. Kontonasiou, A. Arcipowska, F. Mariottini, and B. Atanasiu, "Indoor Air Quality, Thermal Comfort and Daylight. Analysis of Residential Building Regulations in Eight EU Member States," Brussels, 2015.