Microwave-assisted silication of potato starch

Hanna Staroszczyk*

Department of Food Chemistry, Technology and Biotechnology, Chemical Faculty, Gdansk University of Technology, G. Narutowicza 11/12, 80-952 Gdansk, Poland

abstract

Silication of potato starch was performed by microwave irradiation and convectional heating of starch with sodium metasilicate. The study has shown that microwaves offered more selective silication than convectional heating. Depending on the dose of metasilicate products of either monoesterification or crosslinking esterification were formed. Increase in the amount of the silicating agent favoured crosslink-ing of starch. In the case of microwave irradiation, the $C-O-SiO_2Na$ moieties were formed, whereas the convectional heating generated the $C-O-SiO_2Na$ moieties were formed.

Keywords: Microwave-assisted reactions, Potato starch, Silication

1. Introduction

Silicon-containing materials and polymers are used all over the world in a variety of industries, domestic products and high technology applications (Ganachaud, Boileau, & Boury, 2008). Among them there are also silicon-based starch derivatives. Generally, they are prepared from starch and either silanes, siloxanes or polysiloxanes (Tomasik & Schilling, 2004, and references therein). Resulting silylated starch derivatives have been checked as adhesives, binders, coatings, water-repellent sizes, among others for paper, glass-fiber sizes and flocculating agents (Billmers, 1990; Lidén, Karlsson, & Tokarz, 2001; Smith, 1985). At the same time, water-soluble silicates, often called inorganic polymers, have been found suitable for synthesis of silicon-based starch derivatives (Blount, 1978; Falcone & Sams, 1978). They are inexpensive, fire and vermin resistant, recyclable materials which, due to the inclusion of condensed macroanions with strong silicon-oxygen bonds, provide excellent strength for the products in which they are used.

Since the last decade of the 20th century, special attention has been paid to layered silicates. Incorporation of the latter, eventually after chemical modification, into the biodegradable starch matrix, i.e., the preparation of nanocomposites, attracted increasing attention in material science research (Sinha Ray & Bousmina, 2005). The biodegradable nanocomposites possess several advantages which make them competitive to other materials for specific uses such as packaging (Avella et al., 2005) or in biomedical applications (Oliviera, Malafaya, & Reis, 2003).

Recently, a number of authors have reported a facile methods of chemical modifications of starch that use microwave irradiation (Biswas et al., 2008; Jyothi, Rajasekharan, Moorthy, & Sreekumar, 2005; Lewandowicz et al., 2000; Mao, Wang, Meng, Zhang, & Zheng, 2006; Muzimbaranda & Tomasik, 1994; Xing, Zhang, Ju, & Yang, 2006). Starch esters of inorganic acids are available from the esterification of starch with corresponding acids and their derivatives in microwave-assisted reactions. In such manner starch could be sulphated (Staroszczyk, Fiedorowicz, Zhong, Janas, & Tomasik, 2007; Staroszczyk & Tomasik, 2005), selenated (Staroszczyk, Tomasik, Janas, & Poreda, 2007), and borated (Staroszczyk, 2009). In this study solid state silication of starch with sodium metasilicate performed by microwave irradiation is presented. The study involved recognition of the effects of varying reaction time, microwave power and silicating agent amount on the products composition.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

Native potato starch (13% moisture) was isolated in Potato Enterprise in Łomża (Poland). Over 97% sodium metasilicate pentahydrate, was purchased from Fluka.

2.2. Preparation method

Potato starch and metasilicate were blended at 1:0.05, 1:0.1, 1:0.25, 1:0.5 and 1:1 (mole p-glucose unit/mole metasilicate) ratios and thoroughly homogenized in an agate mortar. Final blends (2 g) were irradiated in the Samsung M1711N microwave oven either for 30 min at 450 W or for 5 min at 800 W. For comparison, all

samples were convectionally heated in the LG MC-8084 NLC oven at 100 °C for 120 min. All reaction products without any purification were stored in tightly closed vessels.

2.3. Thermal analysis (TG, DTG, DTA)

Samples (100 mg) were heated in corundum crucibles in an oven from room temperature up to 1000 °C, at a rate of 10 °C/ min. Corundum particles of φ = 8 µm served as the standard. Paulik–Paulik Erdey D-1500-Q (Budapest, Hungary) instrument was used. Analyses were run in duplicates.

2.4. Fourier transformation infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR)

The FT-IR spectra of samples (3 mg) in KBr (300 mg) discs were recorded in the range of $4000-500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ at a resolution of 4 cm^{-1} , using the Matson 3000 FT-IR (Madison, Wisconsin, USA) spectrophotometer.

2.5. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Granule morphology of starch samples was run with E-SEM XL30 (FEI Company, Eidhoven, The Netherlands) instrument equipped with a SE detector of secondary electrons. The instrument set for 15 kV accelerating voltage operated at low vacuum. The magnification range changed from 500 to 3000 times.

2.6. Powder X-ray diffractometry

Crystalline structure of the samples was estimated according to Gerard, Colonna, Buleon, and Planchot (2001). The measurements were carried out by applying CuK_{α} radiation of wavelength 0.154 nm in a Philips type X'pert diffractometer (Eindhoven, The Netherlands). The operation setting for the diffractometer was 30 mA and 40 kV. The spectra over the range of 5.0–60.0° 2 θ were recorded at a scan rate of 0.02° 2θ /s.

2.7. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)

The samples (\sim 8 mg) were sealed in stainless steel pans with water at the 1:3 weight ratio and left for 1 h for equilibration. They were then scanned at the rate of 6 °C/min in the temperature range of 20–90 °C. An instrument, self-assembled in the Department of Physics of the University of Agriculture in Cracow, Poland, was used with a water filled pan as a reference. Analyses were run in triplicates.

2.8. Aqueous solubility and water binding capacity

Aqueous solubility (AS) and water binding capacity (WBC) at room temperature were estimated according to Richter, Augustat, and Schierbaum (1968). Estimations were run in quadruplicates.

3. Results and discussion

Silication of potato starch was performed either by microwave irradiation or convectional heating of starch with sodium metaslilicate blended at various proportions. In none of the microscopic examinations any objects which could be identified as non-reacted metasilicate were observed. Therefore, the products were analyzed under the assumption that sodium metasilicate completely reacted with starch. This was confirmed by microscopic observations.

3.1. Microscopic observations

Although starch granules deteriorated, regardless of the mode of their heating (Fig. 1) starch silicated with lower doses of metasilicate had distinct, clearly identified, oval-shaped granules. Long exposure of the granules to microwaves of lower power was more destructive to them than short exposure of the granules to high power microwaves. The samples silicated with higher doses of metasilicate did not reveal any granular structure.

3.2. Progress of the reaction

Figs. 2 and 3 present thermograms of native potato starch and sodium metasilicate, respectively. A fast, one-step decomposition of starch took place at 277 °C with the loss of 26.73% of its weight within the range of 161–352 °C (Table 1). On heating up to 174 °C, sodium metasilicate lost gradually two water molecules and was then practically stable up to 970 °C. The 17% weight loss corresponded to that calculated.

Fig. 4 demonstrates TG and DTG curves of the starch silication products prepared by the microwave irradiation for 30 min at 450 W, applying different doses of sodium metasilicate. The TG curves (Fig. 4A) displayed two distinct regions of the weight loss, which were reflected by two peaks on the DTG curves (Fig. 4B), suggesting that the starch silication products revealed at least two-step decomposition. There was a characteristic peak of starch in the range of 161-352 °C - the first step of decomposition, and another peak related to a deep thermolysis of starch, in the region of 352-528 °C - the second step of decomposition. Temperature in their minimum depended on the dose of silicating agent applied. The products prepared by convectional heating also decomposed in two steps, but their TG (Fig. 5A) and DTG (Fig. 5B) curves differed, to a certain extent, from those recorded for the products prepared with the microwave irradiation. When the higher doses of silicating agent were used, a stage of dehydration of the silicate portion of the product could be observed. That stage was fairly rapid in products designed with the assistance of microwaves whereas they were more diffused in the products from convectional heating, showing that microwaves offered more selective silication of starch.

Table 2 shows the course of the thermal decomposition of all products. One could see that convectional heating of starch and its exposure to the microwave irradiation increased temperature of the decomposition in the range of 161–352 °C, while temperature of the first step decomposition of starch silication products decreased gradually with increasing amount of sodium metasilicate applied. Additionally, decomposition temperature of the microwave-synthesized products were lower than these of the convectionally heated products. An increasing amount of sodium metasilicate taken to the reaction implied an increasing water content. As the water content increased, temperature of the first step of decomposition of the products decreased, particularly for those obtained in the microwave field. However, the highest doses of silicating agent, equivalent to over 20% water content in the blend, caused an increase in decomposition temperature. Temperature of the second step of decomposition of starch silication products also decreased with an increase in the dose of sodium metasilicate. Thus, the amount of sodium metasilicate, and its water, affected temperature of the reaction system and that trend followed former findings (Lewandowicz, Fornal, & Walkowski, 1997).

On increase in the dose of the silicate reagent, the slope (tg α) of the TG line in the range of 161–352 °C decreased regardless of the reaction conditions applied, showing that the silication of starch was not very selective. Metasilicate concentration dependent changes of the decomposition rate (tg α) suggested that the starch:metasilicate = 1:1 provided the most homogenous product.



Fig. 1. The scanning electron micrographs of silicated starch samples from silication on microwave irradiation and convectional heating of 1:0.05 (A) and 1:1 (B) blend.





Fig. 2. Thermogram of native potato starch.

Fig. 3. Thermogram of sodium metasilicate pentahydrate.

The weight loss associated with the second step of decomposition initially declined with an increasing dose of the silicating agent, but it increased again when 1:0.25 starch:sodium metasilicate proportion was applied. The data in Table 3 show that when 1:0.05 and 1:0.1 proportions of the reagents were used, the experimental weight loss in the range of 352–528 °C was lower than that calculated from the thermograms of particular components of the products, and the weight loss found from the thermograms in the range of 25–161 °C was comparable to that calculated. In the cases of 1:0.25, 1:0.5 and 1:1 proportions, the experimental weight loss in the range of 352–528 °C exceeded that calculated, and simultaneously the experimental weight loss in the range of 25–161 °C was significantly lower than that calculated. It could suggest again that the amount of water introduced with hydrated sodium metasilicate affected the reaction system.

FT-IR spectroscopy provided analysis of the behaviour of sodium metasilicate pentahydrate treated by convectional heating and microwave irradiation. Corresponding assignment of the spectral bands of metasilicate prior to and after its microwave irradiation presented in Fig. 6 is given in Tables 4 and 5.

Hydrated alkali silicates consist of a mixture of polymeric and oligomeric silicates and are largely protonated due to the weakness of silicic acid (Garcia, Ingram, & Bazan, 2002). There were marked differences between the relevant spectra. Absorption intensity at 1636 cm⁻¹, attributed to the bending vibrations in HOH, decreased with dehydration taking place on microwave irradiation. Simultaneously, the fundamental vibrations for silica structure strongly changed. The intense band at 985 cm⁻¹ which was observed in the spectrum of hydrated metasilicate, in the spectrum of metasilicate irradiated for 30 min at 450 W decreased and split into two bands, at 969 and 1028 cm⁻¹, reflecting the stretching vibrations of the Si-OH (Neves, Lenza, & Vasconcelos, 2002) and asymmetric stretching vibrations of the Si-O-Si bonds (Uchino, Sakka, & Iwasaki, 1991), respectively. The band at 866 cm⁻¹ in the spectrum of microwave irradiated metasilicate[,] attributed to an overlap of bending and stretching vibrations of the Si-OH bond (Uchino, Sakka, Hotta, & Iwasaki, 1989) shifted towards higher wave number (894 cm⁻¹), suggesting an involvement of the silanol groups in the hydrogen-bonding. The bands at 1120, 777 and 636 cm⁻¹ disappeared, whereas the band at 459 cm⁻¹ increased in the intensity and shifted towards lower wave numbers (417 cm⁻¹) as a result of the microwave irradiation of hydrated metasilicate.

Hence, on microwave irradiation of sodium metasilicate pentahydrate, H–Na exchange reaction progressed as follows:

$$\equiv Si - ONa + H_2O \rightarrow \equiv Si - OH + OH^- + Na^+$$
(1)

Sample	Temperature range (°C)	Weight loss ^a (%)	Slope ^b (tg α)	DTG (°C)
Potato starch	25–161 161–352 352–528 528–970 Total	7.00 26.73 15.69 1.64 51.06	1.11	96 277
Sodium metasilicate pentahydrate	25–117 117–145 145–161 161–174 174–352 352–528 528–970 Total	2.70 6.99 5.00 2.31 1.09 0.60 0.50		129 160
	IUtai	13.43		

^a Percentage of weight loss during the specified temperature ranges.

^b The slope of TG line.

and formed hydroxyl ions reacted with Si–O–Si, causing the breaking of the latter, which can be described by:

$$\equiv Si - O - Si \equiv +OH^{-} \rightarrow \equiv Si - OH + \equiv Si - O^{-}$$
⁽²⁾

and SiO⁻ ions formed from reaction (2) could then react with another water molecule:



Fig. 4. TG (A) and DTG (B) curves of starch (1) and starch blended with sodium metasilicate at proportions 1:0.05 (2), 1:0.1 (3), 1:0.25 (4), 1:0.5 (5), 1:1 (6) for the microwave irradiation time of 30 min and the power of 450 W.





Fig. 5. TG (A) and DTG (B) curves of starch (1) and starch blended with sodium metasilicate at proportions 1:0.05 (2), 1:0.1 (3), 1:0.25 (4), 1:0.5 (5), 1:1 (6) for the convectional heating time of 120 min at 100 $^{\circ}$ C.

$$\equiv \mathrm{Si} - \mathrm{O}^- + \mathrm{H}_2 \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \equiv \mathrm{Si} - \mathrm{OH} + \mathrm{OH}^- \tag{3}$$

The FT-IR studies confirmed also the formation of new Si–O–Si bonds, according to reactions (4) and (5).

$$\equiv Si - OH + OH - Si \equiv \rightarrow \equiv Si - O - Si \equiv +H_2O$$
(4)

$$\equiv Si - O^{-} + HO - Si \equiv \rightarrow \equiv Si - O - Si \equiv + OH^{-}$$
(5)

The spectra of native potato starch and starch blended with sodium metasilicate at 1:0.5 and 1:1 proportions, and modified in various conditions, are presented in Figs. 7 and 8, respectively. The band assignments for potato starch are given in Table 4, and the spectral characteristics of all starch silication products are presented in Table 5.

The broad O–H stretching vibrations observed between 3700 and 3000 cm⁻¹ in the spectrum of starch turned more narrow as the silication progressed, and ultimately, in the spectra of the products prepared at the 1:0.5 proportion, a quite narrow band could be observed, independently of the conditions of the silication (Fig. 7). Simultaneously, there were essential changes in the shape and relative intensity of the bands in the range of 2000–400 cm⁻¹. The strong absorption band at around 980 cm⁻¹, observed in the spectrum of either heated or irradiated starch, shifted towards higher wave numbers and split into two maxima, at around 995 and 1019 cm⁻¹ in the spectra of silicated starch samples. On one hand it has already been reported that the peak at 995 cm⁻¹ was sensitive to the moisture content

Thermogravimetric characteristics of starch silication products.

Sample	Temperature range (°C)	Microwave irradiation						Convectional heating			
		30 min at 4	450 W		5 min at 8	5 min at 800 W			120 min at 100 °C		
		WL ^a (%)	Slope ^b (tg α)	DTG	WL (%)	Slope (tg α)	DTG	WL (%)	Slope (tg α)	DTG	
Potato star	ch										
	25-161	1.35			2.99			2.55			
	161-352	30.7	1.40	291	28.81	1.36	298	30.13	1.71	290	
	352-528	11.98			13.84			12.21			
	528-970	2.98			1.11			1.19			
	Total	47.01			46.75			46.08			
Potato star	ch:sodium metasilicate										
1:0.05	25-161	2.98		113	3.34		112	2.58		112	
	161-352	27.02	0.43	291	25.92	0.42	290	26.71	0.43	294	
	352-528	10.25			10.33			10.75			
	528-970	4.84			3.68			4.4			
	Total	45.09			43.27			44.44			
1:0.1	25-161	2.69		109	3.47		108	2.52		113	
	161-352	25.34	0.42	279	24.82	0.49	260	25.23	0.41	287	
	352-528	7.53		499	8.45		500	7.77		530	
	528-970	7.08			5.15			7.1			
	Total	42.64			41.89			42.62			
1:0.25	25–161	1.95			2.72		112	2.04			
	161–352	23.12	0.83	249	22.12	0.59	242	22.63	0.65	250	
	352–528	9.65		481	9.15		476	9.18		460, 473sh	
	528-970	4.18		585	4.94		577	4.62			
	Total	38.90			38.93			38.47			
1:0.5	25–161	2.75		199	3.24		198	1.07		196	
	161-352	18.15	0.36	239	17.46	0.39	235	16.47	0.25	243	
	352-528	8.87		452	8.6		450	9.42		458, 478sh	
	528-970	3.45			2.83			2.48			
	Total	33.22			32.13			29.44			
1:1	25-161	1.97		197	2.24		195	7.49		131, 198	
	161-352	13.59	0.21	248	12.74	0.18	254	5.59			
	352–528	7.45		461	7.7		466	5.18			
	528-970	3.68			4.21			5.33			
	Total	26.69			26.89			23.59			

^a Percentage of weight loss during the specified temperature ranges.

^b The slope of TG line.

Table 3

Found and calculated weight losses associated with the second step of decomposition (in the range of 352–528 °C) of starch silication products.

Sample ^a	Temperature range (°C)	Weight loss	Weight loss (%)							
		Microwave in	rradiation	Convectiona	Convectional heating					
		30 min at 45	30 min at 450 W) W	120 min at 100 °C				
		Found ^b	Calculated ^c	Found	Calculated	Found	Calculated			
1:0.05	25-161	3.0	2.2	3.3	3.7	2.6	3.3			
	352-528	10.3	11.3	10.3	13.0	10.2	11.5			
1:0.1	25-161	2.7	2.8	3.5	4.3	2.5	3.9			
	352-528	7.5	10.7	8.5	12.3	7.8	10.9			
1:0.25	25–161	2.0	4.6	2.7	5.9	2.0	5.5			
	352–528	9.7	9.2	9.2	10.6	9.2	9.4			
1:0.5	25–161	2.8	6.6	3.2	7.6	1.1	7.4			
	352–528	8.9	7.5	8.6	8.6	9.4	7.6			
1:1	25–161	2.0	8.9	2.2	9.6	7.5	9.4			
	352–528	7.5	5.5	7.7	6.3	5.2	5.6			

^a Potato starch:sodium metasilicate.

^b Found from the thermogram.

^c Calculated from experiments with individual components.

[Van Soest, de Wit, Tournois, & Vliegenthart, 1994), and the peak at 1022 cm^{-1} has been assigned to the amorphous region of starch (Liu, Charlet, Yelle, & Arul, 2002; Sevenou, Hill, Farhat, & Mitchell, 2002). On the other hand, this split band belonging to starch overlapped with the band belonging to hydrated so-

dium metasilicate observed at 985 cm^{-1} , also shifted to 1028 and 989 cm^{-1} after irradiation at 450 and 800 W, respectively. Thus, the shift towards higher wave numbers of both these bands, corresponding to the bending vibration of the COH moiety in starch and the stretching vibration of Si–O in sodium



Fig. 6. FT-IR spectra of sodium metasilicate pentahydrate: unmodified (1), microwave irradiated at 800 W for 5 min (2), and microwave irradiated at 450 W for 30 min (3).

metasilicate, could suggest their interaction and the formation of the C–O–Si bonds in silicated starch samples.

After treatment of starch with the highest dose of sodium metasilicate by both convectional heating and microwave irradiation, the products showed a much broadened band in the region between 3700 and 3000 cm⁻¹, and a broad absorption band at about 880 cm⁻¹ adjacent to the band at around 1000 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 8). This clearly confirmed that a large proportion of water was incorpo-

Table 4

Band assignment in the FT-IR spectra of potato starch and sodium metasilicate.

Sample	Position in cm ⁻¹ and intensity ^a	Band assignment
Native potato starch	3440 s	v _{он} intramolecular hydrogen bond
	2928 m 1648 w	ν _{CH} ν _{C=0} , δ _{OH} polymer bound water
	1450 m 1432 m	δ _{0H,} δ _{CH}
	1377 m 1162 s 1082 s	$ δ_{OH}, δ_{CH} $ $ δ_{OH}, $ $ δ_{C-O-C}, $ glycosidic
	995 vs 929 m	δ_{C-OH} δ_{C-O-C} glycosidic linkage
	859 w	v _{C-O-C} glycosidic linkage
	765 w	
Sodium metasilicate pentahydrate	3410 s 2975 m 2317 vw	$v_{X-OH} (X = Si, H)$
	1636 w 1448 s	$\delta_{\rm HOH}$
	1120m	V _{Si-O-Si}
	985 s	v _{sio} of Si-O ⁻ Na ⁺
	838 m	V _{Si-0} 0I SI-0H
	777 w	V _{Si-O-Si}
	714w 636 w 459 s	$\delta_{Si-O-Si}$ $\delta_{Si-O-Si}$, δ_{O-Si-O}

^a Abbreviations are related to the band intensity: vs, very strong; s, strong; m, medium; w, weak; vw, very weak.

rated into silicated starch, as determined by the thermal analyses, and new hydrogen bonds were formed with hydroxyls, silanols and water molecules. Furthermore, while the microwave-synthesized silicated starch revealed at least three characteristic bands in the region between 1000 and 1200 cm⁻¹, the convectionally synthesized samples were characterized by a major absorption band at around 1001 cm⁻¹ (Table 5). The lack of adjacent bands at around 1160 and 1082 $\rm cm^{-1}$ in the latter case suggested that the silication by convectional heating was more significant for the damaging of starch macrostructure than the microwave irradiation. Additionally, the shift of the predominant band at around 1000 cm^{-1} towards a higher wave number, regardless the mode of silication, could indicate that there were more C-O-Si and Si-O-Si bonds in microwave and convectionally designed products, respectively. In all the spectra, there was an additional peak at around 450 cm⁻¹ assigned to the bending vibrations of Si-O-Si bridging group. This band was absent in the spectrum of native starch.

Due to electron transfer to the adjacent bridging oxygen atoms, the silicon atom of hydrated metasilicate had large positive charge which made it an active side for the nucleophilic attack from the hydroxyl groups of the D-glucose units of starch, resulting in silication of starch. When starch and sodium metasilicate were taken in the 1:0.05 and 1:0.1 proportions, they could react with an involvement of the silicate moiety and one of the hydroxyl group of the p-glucose units. In that manner a product of monosilication would be formed. Relatively high temperature of decomposition in this case, higher for convectionally rather than microwave-synthesized samples, was attributed to the lower amount of the hydroxyl groups remaining in the starch molecules after silication. When the larger doses of silicating agent were used, they could react intermolecularly with an involvement of two hydroxyl groups yielding a product of crosslinking esterification. Sodium hydroxide formed in such reaction subsequently reacted with one of the hydroxyl groups of the D-glucose units to give sodium starchate (Tomasik & Schilling, 2004, and references therein) and the water molecule. The more sodium metasilicate was used, more hydroxyl groups of the D-glucose units turned into the sodium alkoxide groups, and more water was evolved. A decrease in temperature of the starch decomposition as the silication progressed was connected just with the rising water content. Because of the susceptibility of the Si-O-Si bonds to the water attack, the liberated water could subsequently be incorporated into the product (see Table 3). Wu (1979) found that water was tightly bound in hydrated silicate if the silanols were highly hydrogen-bonded. FT-IR studies confirmed the presence of so bound water in the spectra of starch silicated with the highest doses of metasilicate. An increase in temperature of decomposition when the highest amount of metasilcate was applied, was attributed to the hydrogen bond interactions between silanols and the hydroxyl groups of the D-glucose units, as well as to the crosslinking silication. Based on the shape of bands in the FT-IR spectra, silylation involved formation of the C-O-SiO₂Na moieties in the products from the microwave irradiaton, and the Si-O-Si bridges in the products from convectional heating.

3.3. Characterization of silicated starch

3.3.1. X-ray diffraction patterns

Fig. 9 presents diffractograms of potato starch prior and after the microwave irradiation for 30 min at 450 W, of sodium metasilicate pentahydrate, as well as of representative silicated starch samples obtained in the same microwave irradiation conditions. The native B-type crystalline structure of potato starch, characterized by a sharp intense peak at 17.0° 2θ angle and further peaks at 5.8°, 14.9°, 19.6°, 22.2°, 24.0°

FT-IR spectra characteristics of starch silication products obtained by convectional heating or microwave irradiation.

Sample Sample Sample Sample Violationality Convertionality heads Note Stath							8						
Nor-1: Log in the second seco	Sample	Band pos	ition in cm ⁻¹	and intensity	/ ^a								
Lander La	Potato starch												
UN CL 2001111 UN M INS	Convectionally heated	1649	1450	1275	1161	1090		094	027	050	604	E74	
Internetwork Internetwork<	100 °C 120 IIIII	1046 W	1459 m	1575 m	5	1062 S	-	964 VS	927 m	000 W	004 W	574 W	
Interformediate (Service 2004 30 min (Service 200	Mianauna imadiatad				5	5		•5					
North Column W mo mo mo mo sol sol sol mo mo w w mo w mo w </td <td>Microwave irraaiatea</td> <td>1637</td> <td>1465</td> <td>1370</td> <td>1162</td> <td>1081</td> <td>_</td> <td>976</td> <td>023</td> <td>855</td> <td>50/</td> <td>572</td> <td></td>	Microwave irraaiatea	1637	1465	1370	1162	1081	_	976	023	855	50/	572	
800 % 5 min 160 1274 160 162 91 925 826 900 927 173 Softum metailler Moreover irradiced 500 % 3 nm - 150 - - 1028 900 914 77 - 58 415 Softum metailler Moreover irradiced 500 % 3 nm - 150 145 - 173 124 - 800 - 817 77 58 58 61 58 58 58 61 58	450 W 50 mm	W	m	m	S 1102	S		VS	525 m	033 W	W	372 W	
wnnssvvnvwwwwwSadum mailura forware induced software induced	800 W 5 min	1648	1465	1374	1160	1082		981	925	858	600	572	
Sedium metaliking Morrow remained 500 y 3 nm - 150 - - N<		w	m	m	S	S		VS	m	W	w	w	
Schummerizistricker Schumerizistricker Schumerizistricker Schumerizistricker Schumerizistricker Schumerizistristricker Schumerizistricker	C 11 C 11 C												
Indicate and	Sodium metasilicate												
Norm Norm <th< td=""><td>450 W 30 min</td><td>_</td><td>1450</td><td></td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>1028</td><td>969</td><td>894</td><td>879</td><td>_</td><td>589</td><td>417</td></th<>	450 W 30 min	_	1450		_	_	1028	969	894	879	_	589	417
900 W 5 min - 1445 - 172 172 173 174 174 w w w <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>w</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>vw</td><td>vw</td><td>w</td><td>w</td><td></td><td>W</td><td>vs</td></th<>			w				vw	vw	w	w		W	vs
w w w w w m m m w s Detato stach:sodium	800 W 5 min	-	1445		1173	1124	-	989	-	837	777	633	458
Data starb: solution: Understand backing (weiching) backing (weichin			w		w	w		W		m	m	w	S
Note that is a serie of the series of the ser	Datata atanahuan dium												
Conversional products Participant Partiteant Participant Participant </td <td>Potato starch:sodium</td> <td>metasilicat</td> <td>e</td> <td></td>	Potato starch:sodium	metasilicat	e										
109 C 120 min 1633 1465 1374 1162 1083 - 978 924 858 601 573 - Mcrowaw irradiation 450 W 30 min 1647 1465 1375 1162 1081 - 979 926 839 598 573 - 960 W 5 min 165 1465 1370 1161 1081 - 982 926 859 601 573 - 100 - C 120 min 1654 1473 1370 1159 1085 - 982 926 856 599 575 - - 100 - C 120 min 1653 1472 1370 1161 1082 - 987 930 860 605 577 - 450 W 30 min 1653 1472 1370 1161 1082 - 987 930 857 606 573 - 100 - C 120 min 1654 1476 1378 1163 1085 108 987 930 857 603 573 - 100 - C 120 min 1654<	Convectional heating												
with mathematication Microware irradiation 300 w 5 min if aff is a base w 5 base	100 °C 120 min	1653	1465	1374	1162	1083	-	978	924	858	601	573	-
Micrower irradiation View View<		w	m	w	S	S		VS	m	w	w	w	
450 W 30 min 167 166 1375 1162 1081 - 978 926 859 988 573 - 800 W 5 min 1633 1465 1380 1161 1081 - 982 926 859 601 573 - 1:0.1 100 (120 min) 1653 1473 1370 1159 1085 - 982 926 856 599 575 - 100 (120 min) 1653 1472 1370 1159 1085 - 982 930 860 605 577 - 800 W 5 min 1663 1472 1370 1161 1082 - 991 930 860 605 577 - 800 W 5 min 1466 1383 160 1081 - 985 928 877 600 573 - 800 W 5 min 1646 1383 165 1084 1019 984 927 858 657 57 - 800 W 5 min 1654 1458 1379 159	Microwave irradiation												
Norman Norman<	450 W 30 min	1647	1465	1375	1162	1081	-	979	926	859	598	573	-
800 W 5 min 1633 w 1645 m 1380 w 161 w 1081 s - vs 92 m 92 m 85 w 601 w 73 w - vs 1.0.1 Convectional heating 100 °C 120 min 1654 w 1473 m 1370 w 1159 s 1085 s - vs 92 m 926 w 856 w 599 w 77 w - w 450 W 30 min 1653 w 1472 m 1370 w 1161 w 1082 s - vs 930 w 860 w 605 w 577 w - w 800 W 5 min 1669 1649 w 1383 m 1160 w 1081 s - vs 930 w 857 w 603 w 573 w - w 100 °C 120 min w 1654 w 1466 w 1378 w 1163 w 1085 s 1084 vs 1019 vs 985 w 928 w 857 w 603 w 573 w - w 100 °C 120 min w 1654 w 1465 w 1379 w 1165 w 1084 vs 1019 w 984 w 927 w 858 m 605 w 575 w - w 100 °C 120 min w 1654 w 1458 w </td <td></td> <td>w</td> <td>m</td> <td>w</td> <td>S</td> <td>S</td> <td></td> <td>VS</td> <td>m</td> <td>w</td> <td>w</td> <td>w</td> <td></td>		w	m	w	S	S		VS	m	w	w	w	
wmwssswwwwww11.0.1 Convectional heating 100 °C 120 min16541473137011591085-982926856599575-Microwave irradiation 450 W 30 min16531472137011611082-991930860605577-Microwave irradiation wwmwss-987930857606573-wmwmws1601081-985928857603573-10.02 C120 min wm14651378116310851008985928854605575-10.02 C120 min w165414661379116510841019984927858608574-100 °C 120 min w16411466137915910821016991924854606574-100 °C 120 min w164114651375115810811018933927860607536-100 °C 120 min w16541466137915910821016991924854608574-100 °C 120 min w165414751375115810811018936934858608578-100 °C 120 min w<	800 W 5 min	1653	1465	1380	1161	1081	-	982	926	859	601	573	-
1654 1473 1370 159 085 - 982 926 856 599 575 - Microwave irradiation 450 W 30 min 1653 1472 1370 1161 1082 - 991 930 860 605 577 - 800 W 5 min 1649 1466 1383 1160 1081 - 987 987 926 857 603 573 - 10.02 Cloomin 1649 1466 1383 1163 1081 - 987 928 857 603 573 - 10.02 Cloomin 1654 1458 1379 1165 1084 1019 984 927 858 605 575 - Microwave irradiation 1654 1458 1379 1159 1082 1016 991 924 854 605 575 - Microwave irradiation 1654 1458 1379 1159 1082 1016 991 924 854 606 574 - 100 °C 120 min		w	m	w	S	S		VS	m	W	W	W	
	1.0.1												
100 C 120 min 1654 1473 1370 1159 1085 - 982 926 856 599 575 - Microwave irradiation 1533 1472 1370 1161 1082 - 991 930 860 605 577 - 800 W 5 min 1649 1466 1383 1160 1081 - 987 930 857 606 573 - 100 C 120 min 1649 1466 1383 1163 1085 1008 985 928 857 603 573 - 100 C 120 min 1654 1468 1378 1163 1085 1008 985 928 857 603 573 - 450 W 30 min 1654 1458 1379 1165 1084 1019 984 927 858 605 575 - 100 W 5 min 1654 1459 1375 1159 1082 1016 924 854	Convectional heating												
w m w s s vs m w w w w Marowa irradiation 450 W 30 min 1533 1472 1370 1161 1082 - sp1 sp1 <td< td=""><td>100 °C 120 min</td><td>1654</td><td>1473</td><td>1370</td><td>1159</td><td>1085</td><td>-</td><td>982</td><td>926</td><td>856</td><td>599</td><td>575</td><td>-</td></td<>	100 °C 120 min	1654	1473	1370	1159	1085	-	982	926	856	599	575	-
		w	m	w	S	S		VS	m	w	w	w	
450 W 30 min 1633 1472 1370 1161 1082 - 991 930 800 605 577 - 800 W 5 min 1649 1466 1383 1160 1081 - 987 930 857 600 573 - 110.25 m m w <	Microwave irradiation												
800 W 5 min w m w m w <th< td=""><td>450 W 30 min</td><td>1653</td><td>1472</td><td>1370</td><td>1161</td><td>1082</td><td>-</td><td>991</td><td>930</td><td>860</td><td>605</td><td>577</td><td>-</td></th<>	450 W 30 min	1653	1472	1370	1161	1082	-	991	930	860	605	577	-
800 W 5 min 1649 1466 1383 1160 1081 - 987 930 857 606 573 - 10.0 C 10.0 C 10.0 C 10.0 C 10.0 C 10.0 C 100 C 985 928 857 603 573 - 450 W 30 min 1654 1458 1379 1165 1084 1019 984 927 858 605 574 - 800 W 5 min 1654 1466 1379 1159 1082 1016 991 924 854 606 574 - 100 C 120 min 1641 1465 1375 1158 1081 1018 993 927 860 607 580 - 100 C 120 min 1647 1445 1370 1157 1083 1019 995 934 858		w	m	w	s	S		VS	m	W	w	w	
wmwssvsmwwww1:0.25 convectional heating 100 °C 120 nin165414661378116310851008985928857603573-450 v30 min wwwwssvsvsmwww-450 v30 min w165414581379116510841019984927858605575-800 W5 min16541466137915910821019984927858605575-10.0mwwwsssvsvsmwwww800 W5 min165414661379115810811018993927860607580-10.0C 120 min w164714651375115710831019955934858608578-800 W5 min163714321370115710831019959934858608578-110100 °C 120 min w163714521375115810811019910929934858608578-100 00 °C 120 min b00 W5 min163714521375115810811019910929934858608578-110100 °C 120 min b00 W5 min <t< td=""><td>800 W 5 min</td><td>1649</td><td>1466</td><td>1383</td><td>1160</td><td>1081</td><td>-</td><td>987</td><td>930</td><td>857</td><td>606</td><td>573</td><td>-</td></t<>	800 W 5 min	1649	1466	1383	1160	1081	-	987	930	857	606	573	-
11.0.25 Convectional heating 1654 1466 1378 1163 1085 1008 985 928 857 603 573 - Microwave irradiation 450 W 30 min 1654 1458 1379 1165 1084 1019 984 927 858 605 575 - - 800 W 5 min 1654 1466 1379 1159 1082 1016 991 924 854 606 574 - 10.5 more value more value more value more value more value value <td< td=""><td></td><td>w</td><td>m</td><td>W</td><td>S</td><td>S</td><td></td><td>VS</td><td>m</td><td>W</td><td>W</td><td>w</td><td></td></td<>		w	m	W	S	S		VS	m	W	W	w	
$\begin{array}{c} 1.025 \\ Convectional heating \\ 100 \ \ C \ 120 \ \ m \ & \ W \ & W \ & W \ & S \ & S \ & VS \ & VS \ & M \ & W \ & W \ & S \ & S \ & VS \ & VS \ & M \ & W \ & W \ & S \ & S \ & VS \ & VS \ & M \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & S \ & S \ & VS \ & VS \ & M \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & S \ & S \ & VS \ & VS \ & M \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & S \ & S \ & VS \ & VS \ & M \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & S \ & S \ & S \ & VS \ & VS \ & M \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & S \ & S \ & S \ & VS \ & VS \ & M \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & S \ & S \ & S \ & VS \ & VS \ & M \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & S \ & S \ & S \ & VS \ & VS \ & M \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & S \ & S \ & S \ & VS \ & VS \ & M \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & S \ & S \ & S \ & VS \ & VS \ & M \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & S \ & S \ & S \ & VS \ & VS \ & M \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & S \ & S \ & S \ & VS \ & VS \ & M \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & S \ & S \ & S \ & VS \ & VS \ & M \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & S \ & S \ & S \ & VS \ & VS \ & M \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & W \ & S \ & S \ & S \ & VS \ & W \$	1.0.25												
100 °C 120 min 1654 1466 1378 1163 1085 1008 985 928 857 603 573 - Microwave irradiation 1554 1458 1379 1165 1084 1019 984 927 858 605 575 - 800 W 5 min 1654 1458 1379 159 1082 1016 991 924 606 574 - 800 W 5 min 1654 1465 1379 159 1082 1016 991 924 606 574 - 100 °C 120 min 1641 1465 1375 1158 1081 1018 993 927 860 607 580 - 100 °C 120 min 1632 1437 1370 1157 1083 1019 995 934 858 608 578 - 450 W 30 min 1632 1437 1370 1157 1083 1019 995 934 858 608 578 - 1600 W 5 min 1637 1452 1375 1	Convectional heating												
w w w w s s vs vs m w w w Microwe irradicion 450 W 30 min 1654 1458 1379 1165 1084 109 984 97 858 605 575 - 800 W 5 min 1654 1466 1379 1159 1082 1016 991 924 854 606 574 - 10.5 convectional heating 100 °C 120 min 1641 1465 1379 1159 1081 1018 993 927 860 607 580 - 450 W 30 min 1632 1437 1370 1157 1083 1019 995 934 858 608 578 - 450 W 30 min 1632 1437 1375 1158 1019 905 934 858 608 578 - 160 W 5 min 1632 1432 1375 1158 1081 1019 920 859 609 <td>100 °C 120 min</td> <td>1654</td> <td>1466</td> <td>1378</td> <td>1163</td> <td>1085</td> <td>1008</td> <td>985</td> <td>928</td> <td>857</td> <td>603</td> <td>573</td> <td>-</td>	100 °C 120 min	1654	1466	1378	1163	1085	1008	985	928	857	603	573	-
Microwave irradiation 1654 1458 1379 165 185 185 1019 984 927 858 605 575 - 800 W 5 min 1654 1466 1379 1159 1082 1016 991 924 854 606 574 - 11:05 m m w w w s s vs vs m w <t< td=""><td></td><td>w</td><td>w</td><td>w</td><td>s</td><td>s</td><td>VS</td><td>VS</td><td>m</td><td>W</td><td>W</td><td>W</td><td></td></t<>		w	w	w	s	s	VS	VS	m	W	W	W	
450 W 30 min W 1654 W 1458 W 1379 W 1165 S 1084 S 1019 S 984 VS 927 VS 858 M 605 VS 575 W - W 800 W 5 min W W M46 W 1379 W 1159 VS 1082 S 1016 VS 924 VS 854 M 605 VS 575 W - 10.5 m W W W VS VS M W	Microwave irradiation												
800 W 5 min W 1654 W 1466 W 1466 W W S S VS VS M 991 924 854 605 574 - 1:0.5 W N V S S V V N N V N N V N<	450 W 30 min	1654	1458	1379	1165	1084	1019	984	927	858	605	575	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		w	w	w	S	S	VS	VS	m	W	W	W	
w m w s s vs vs m w w w w 1:0.5 Convectional heating 100 °C 120 min 1641 1465 1375 1158 1081 1018 993 927 860 607 580 - Microwave irradiation w w m no vs m w	800 W 5 min	1654	1466	1379	1159	1082	1016	991	924	854	606	574	-
10.5 Convectional heating 100 °C 120 min1641 w1465 w1375 w1158 m1081 m1018 vs993 vs927 m860 w607 w580 w-Microwave irradiation 450 W 30 min1632 1637 14521370 13751157 1158 11581019 1019995 vs934 m858 8608 w608 w578 w-800 W 5 min1637 w1452 m1375 w1158 w1081 s1019 vs1001 vs929 ws859 m609 w579 w-11 Convectional heating 100 °C 120 min1657 w1452 w1001 vs929 w859 w609 w454 wVicrowave irradiation 150 °C 120 min1637 w1433 w1387 w1157 w1082 w1011 w938 w882 w615 w578 w446 w00 °C 120 min 100 °C 120 min1637 w1433 w1387 w1157 w1082 w1011 w938 w882 w615 w578 w446 w00 °C 120 min 100 °C 120 min1637 w1433 w1387 w1157 w1082 w1011 w938 w882 w615 w578 w446 w00 °C 120 min 100 °C1637 w1433 w1387 w1157 w1082 w1011 w938 w882 w <td< td=""><td></td><td>W</td><td>m</td><td>w</td><td>S</td><td>S</td><td>VS</td><td>VS</td><td>m</td><td>W</td><td>W</td><td>W</td><td></td></td<>		W	m	w	S	S	VS	VS	m	W	W	W	
$\begin{array}{c} 100 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	1:0.5												
100 °C 120 min 1641 1465 1375 1158 1081 1018 993 927 860 607 580 - Microwave irradiation w w w m m vs vs m w	Convectional heating												
w w w w m m v s m w w w w Microwave irradiation 450 W 30 min 1632 1437 1370 1157 1083 1019 995 934 858 608 578 - 800 W 5 min 1637 1452 1375 1158 1081 1019 1001 929 859 609 579 - 111 m v<	100 °C 120 min	1641	1465	1375	1158	1081	1018	993	927	860	607	580	-
Microwave irradiation $450 W 30 \min$ 1632 1437 1370 1157 1083 1019 995 934 858 608 578 $ 800 W 5 \min$ 1637 1452 1375 1158 1081 1019 1001 929 859 609 579 $ 800 W 5 \min$ 1637 1452 1375 1158 1081 1019 1001 929 859 609 579 $ 100 C 120 \min$ 1657 1452 $ 1001$ $ 883$ 609 $ 454$ $100 C 120 \min$ 1657 1433 1387 1157 1082 1018 1001 938 882 615 578 446 $450 W 30 \min$ 1637 1433 1387 1157 1082 1018 1001 938 882 615 578 446 $300 W 5 \min$ 1646 1457 1383 1158 1083 1018 1001 $ 880$ 619 582 454 $400 W$ m m m s vs vs vs vs w w w w w		w	w	w	m	m	vs	VS	m	W	W	w	
450 W 30 min 1632 1437 1370 1157 1083 1019 995 934 858 608 578 - 800 W 5 min 1637 1452 1375 1158 1081 1019 1001 929 859 609 579 - 800 W 5 min 1637 1452 1375 1158 1081 1019 1001 929 859 609 579 - 111 m W W W S S VS N N W M<	Microwave irradiation												
w w w w m m vs vs m w	450 W 30 min	1632	1437	1370	1157	1083	1019	995	934	858	608	578	-
800 W 5 min 1637 1452 1375 1158 1081 1019 1001 929 859 609 579 - 1:1 Convectional heating 100°C 120 min 1657 1452 - - - 1001 929 859 609 579 - 100 °C 120 min 1657 1452 - - - 1001 - 883 609 - 454 Vicrowave irradiation - - - - - 1001 938 882 615 578 446 300 W 3 min 1637 1433 1387 1157 1082 1018 1001 938 882 615 578 446 300 W 5 min 1646 1457 1383 1158 1083 1018 1001 - 880 619 582 454 w m w m s vs vs w w w m		w	w	w	m	m	VS	VS	m	W	W	w	
w iii w s s vs vs iii w w w w 1:1 Convectional heating 100 °C 120 min 1657 1452 - - - 1001 - 883 609 - 454 100 °C 120 min 1657 1452 - - - 1001 - 883 609 - 454 Vicrowave irradiation - - - - vs - w w m 450 W 30 min 1637 1433 1387 1157 1082 1018 1001 938 882 615 578 446 300 W 5 min 1646 1457 1383 1158 1083 1018 1001 - 880 619 582 454 w m w m s vs vs w w w m	800 W 5 min	1637	1452	1375	1158	1081	1019	1001	929	859	609	579	-
1:1 Convectional heating 100 °C 120 min 1657 1452 1001 - 883 609 - 454 vs v v v m Microwave irradiation 150 W 30 min 1637 1433 1387 1157 1082 1018 1001 938 882 615 578 446 W W W M M S VS VS M W W M M 300 W 5 min 1646 1457 1383 1158 1083 1018 1001 - 880 619 582 454 W M W M M S VS VS VS W W M M		w	111	W	S	S	VS	VS	111	W	W	W	
Convectional heating 100 °C 120 min 1657 1452 - - - 1001 vs - 883 609 vs - 454 vs Vicrowave irradiation - 1637 1433 1387 1157 1082 1018 1001 938 882 615 578 446 Voltowave irradiation - w w w m s vs m w w w m 454 300 W 30 min 1646 1457 1383 1158 1083 1018 1001 - 880 619 582 454 w m w m s vs vs w w m m	1:1												
100 °C 120 min 1657 1452 1001 - 883 609 - 454 w w '' m Vicrowave irradiation 150 W 30 min 1637 1433 1387 1157 1082 1018 1001 938 882 615 578 446 w w w m s vs vs m w w w m 300 W 5 min 1646 1457 1383 1158 1083 1018 1001 - 880 619 582 454 w m w m s vs vs vs w w w m	Convectional heating												
w w w w w m Microwave irradiation 450 W 30 min 1637 1433 1387 1157 1082 1018 1001 938 882 615 578 446 W W W m s vs vs m w w m m 300 W 5 min 1646 1457 1383 1158 1083 1018 1001 - 880 619 582 454 w m w m s vs vs vs w w m m	100 °C 120 min	1657	1452	-	-	-	-	1001	-	883	609	-	454
Vicrowave irradiation 450 W 30 min 1637 1433 1387 1157 1082 1018 1001 938 882 615 578 446 w w w m s vs vs m w w w m 300 W 5 min 1646 1457 1383 1158 1083 1018 1001 – 880 619 582 454 w m w m s vs vs vs w w w m		w	w					VS		w	w		m
450 W 30 min 1637 1433 1387 1157 1082 1018 1001 938 882 615 578 446 w w w m s vs vs m w w w m 300 W 5 min 1646 1457 1383 1158 1083 1018 1001 - 880 619 582 454 w m w m s vs vs vs w w m	Microwave irradiation												
W W W M S VS VS M W W M	450 W 30 min	1637	1433	1387	1157	1082	1018	1001	938	882	615	578	446
300 W 5 min 1646 1457 1383 1158 1083 1011 - 880 619 582 454 w m w m s vs vs w w w m s vs vs w w m m s vs vs vs w m m m s vs vs vs w w m		w	w	w	m	S	VS	VS	m	w	w	w	m
w m w m s vs vs w w m	300 W 5 min	1646	1457	1383	1158	1083	1018	1001	-	880	619	582	454
		w	m	W	m	S	VS	VS		W	w	w	m

^a Abbreviations are related to the band intensity: vs, very strong; s, strong; m, medium; w, weak; vw, very weak.

 2θ of the medium intensity, was partly lost after both convectional heating and microwave irradiation. On silication, a progressive flattening of X-ray patterns was observed, regardless

the mode of silication, and finally, for the samples with the highest dose of metasilicate, only poor outline of two peaks, at around 17° and 30° 2θ , was noticeable. This significant loss



Fig. 7. FT-IR spectra in the range $4000-400 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ of potato starch (1), and starch blended with sodium metasilicate (1:0.5) and irradiated by microwaves: 800 W for 5 min (2), 450 W for 30 min (3), and heated convectionally at 100 °C for 120 min (4). Spectrum of sodium metasilicate (5) is shown for comparison. Spectra of plain starch both convectionally heated and microwave irradiated were practically identical.



Fig. 8. FT-IR spectra in the range $4000-400 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ of potato starch (1), and starch blended with sodium metasilicate (1:1) and irradiated by microwaves: 800 W for 5 min (2), 450 W for 30 min (3), and heated convectionally at 100 °C for 120 min (4). Spectrum of sodium metasilicate (5) is shown for comparison.

of crystallinity of the silicated starch samples was confirmed by the DSC studies.

3.3.2. Thermal properties (DSC analysis)

The melting (phase transition) enthalpy, melting interval and its onset, peak and concluding temperatures for the convectionally heated and microwave irradiated starch were comparable with the corresponding parameters of native starch (Table 6). However, the starch sample irradiated for longer time displayed reduced values of melting temperature and enthalpy. In the case of the silicated starch samples, the DSC parameters could be determined only for these modified with lower doses of metasilicate. For the samples with the lowest dose of silicating agent applied, the recorded values for the melting enthalpy and temperature were lower, and the melting intervals considerably broader, than these of unprocessed starch. Application of another, slightly larger dose, led to a further decrease in these values for starch samples silicated by convectional heating, while for microwave irradiated samples, a



Fig. 9. Powder X-ray diffractograms of native potato starch (1), sodium metasilicate pentahydrate (2), starch after microwave irradiation: 450 W, 30 min (3) and samples from the processing of the 1:0.05 (4) and 1:1 (5) starch:sodium metasilicate blends at the same microwave irradiation conditions.

Table 6

DSC calorimetric parameters for potato starch and its silicated derivatives: onset (T_o), peak (T_p) and conclusion (T_c) melting temperatures, melting temperature interval (ΔT) and melting enthalpy (ΔH). The standard deviation of all estimations did not exceed ±10% of determined value.

Sample	$T_{\rm o}$ (°C)	$T_{\rm p}(^{\circ}{\rm C})$	$T_{\rm c}$ (°C)	ΔT (°C)	$\Delta H (J/g)$
Native potato starch					
Original	64.0	66.6	70.2	6.2	15.2
Convectionally heated					
100 °C 120 min	63.8	66.4	70.2	6.4	14.6
Microwave irradiated					
450 W 30 min	60.6	64.9	69.5	8.9	10.1
800 W 5 min	63.1	66.0	69.8	6.7	14.9
Potato starch:sodium	metasilicate				
1:0.05					
Convectional heating					
100 °C 120 min	50.5	55.4	63.3	12.8	10.8
Microwave irradiation					
450 W 30 min	49.1	53.0	60.1	11.0	9.1
800 W 5 min	49.2	55.1	61.5	12.3	9.7
1:0.1					
Convectional heating					
100 °C 120 min	44.5	49.5	59.0	14.5	7.5
Microwave irradiation					
450 W 30 min	52.3	57.0	64.5	12.2	9.3
800 W 5 min	51.1	55.6	63.1	12.0	7.9

For the potato starch:sodium metasilicate ratios of 1:0.25, 1:0.5 and 1:1 no values could be determined.

decrease in the value of enthalpy accompanied by an increase in melting temperature, were observed. It could imply that in the latter case crosslinking accompanied a progressive expansion of amorphous regions. For the proportions of starch to metasilicate \ge 1:0.25 the lack of any clear peak of the phase transition for the silicated samples indicated that the process made the granules completely amorphous.

Long-time convectional heating, contrary to short-time microwave irradiation, caused a decrease in melting temperature, which could suggest that the degradation predominated over the substitution of the hydroxyl groups of starch (Tomasik, Wiejak, & Pałasiński, 1989). An increase in melting temperature of microwavesynthesized samples suggested formation of the intermolecular

Water binding capacity (WBC) and aqueous solubility (AS) of the starch silication products (mean of four measurements ± standard deviation).

Sample	Microwave heating	Convectional heating					
	30 min at 450 W	5 min at 800 W	120 min at 100 °C				
Water binding capacity (g/g)							
Potato starch	14.63 ± 1.46	17.19 ± 1.59	9.06 ± 4.59				
1:0.05	17.45 ± 1.74	17.21 ± 1.70	17.05 ± 1.65				
1:0.1	17.96 ± 0.31	15.15 ± 1.36	13.62 ± 1.27				
1:0.25	17.25 ± 1.68	15.56 ± 1.54	16.34 ± 0.36				
1:0.5	16.88 ± 1.30	8.16 ± 0.81	16.31 ± 1.61				
1:1	8.38 ± 0.76	3.91 ± 0.36	12.53 ± 1.20				
Aqueous solubility	(%)						
Potato starch	6.56 ± 0.30	0.21 ± 0.02	0.06 ± 0.01				
1:0.05	6.55 ± 0.10	7.18 ± 0.72	5.24 ± 0.13				
1:0.1	12.59 ± 0.27	11.91 ± 0.77	10.21 ± 0.23				
1:0.25	17.32 ± 0.86	17.35 ± 0.66	15.73 ± 0.94				
1:0.5	31.10 ± 2.51	29.29 ± 2.16	21.40 ± 1.18				
1:1	55.38 ± 0.35	67.42 ± 6.81	20.55 ± 2.11				

The WBC of the native potato starch was 11.72 $(g/g)\pm 0.23$ and AS $0.41(\%)\pm 0.14.$

bonds and, hence, limited mobility of the chains in the amorphous regions of the starch granules which melted at higher temperature. Further silication would be less selective in respect to the hydroxyl groups, and more damaging to the starch macrostructure, which resulted in a greater aqueous solubility (Table 7).

3.3.3. Solubility and water binding capacity

One could see in Table 7 that the samples with the lowest content of metasilicate were characterized by a low solubility in water (AS), whereas water binding capacity (WBC), for the same samples, was the highest. The samples with the highest content of metasilicate were highly water soluble, while their WBC reached the lowest values.

After the treatment with lower doses of sodium metasilicate, starch became polar, which enhanced WBC and facilitated swelling of starch. WBC decreased as the amount of the silicating agent increased. In this case, keeping of Na⁺ ions in the structure of the cross-linked material caused shielding of the silicate anions and preventing from effective anion–anion repulsion. A charge screening effect of the counter ions (Na⁺) limited the swelling (Flory, 1953). The smallest WBC, equal to 3.91 g/g, and the largest AS, equal to 67%, were reached for the samples of starch silicated with the largest amount of the silicating agent during the 5 min microwave irradiation at 800 W. For comparison, the convectional heating of the same composition sample resulted in WBC three times higher, and AS three times lower, which would point to the formation of three-dimensional Si–O–Si linking in the product.

Additionally, alkaline degradation of the starch granule after silication could provide an increase in AS and decrease in WBC.

4. Conclusions

- (1) Granular potato starch was silicated with sodium metasilicate either by microwave irradiation or by convectional heating.
- (2) Products of silication are thermally more stable than the native starch, regardless of the mode of silication.
- (3) Water introduced with metasilicate strongly affects the reaction system.
- (4) Products of monoesterification and crosslinking esterification are formed.
- (5) Increase in the amount of the silicating agent favours crosslinking of starch.

- (6) The microwave irradiation and convectional heating produce the C–O–Si and Si–O–Si crosslinks, respectively.
- (7) The silication is accompanied by the base-catalyzed destruction of the starch matrix, the more significant for the convectionally heated samples rather than for microwave irradiated material.

Acknowledgement

The author thanks Prof. Dr. Piotr Tomasik from the University of Agriculture in Cracow, Poland, for helpful discussions during the preparation of the paper.

References

- Avella, M., De Vlieger, J. J., Errico, M. E., Fischer, S., Vacca, P., & Volpe, M. G. (2005). Biodegradable starch/clay nanocomposite films for food packaging applications. Food Chemistry. 93, 467–474.
- Billmers, R. L. (1990). Organosiloxane-containing polysaccharides. European Patent Office, Pat. No. 0385396.
- Biswas, A., Shogren, R. L., Selling, G., Salch, J., Willett, J. L., & Buchanan, C. M. (2008). Rapid and environmentally friendly preparation of starch esters. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 74, 137–141.
- Blount, D. H. (1978). Process for the production of organic hydroxy silicate compounds and their condensation products. US Patent Office, Pat. No. 4089883.
- Falcone, J. J. S., & Sams, R. H. (1978). Starch-silicate adhesives and preparation thereof. GB Patent Office, Pat. No. 1533170.
- Flory, P. J. (1953). Principles of polymer chemistry. NY, Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Ganachaud, F., Boileau, S., & Boury, B. (Eds.). (2008). Silicon based polymers: Advances in synthesis and supramolecular organization. The Netherlands: Springer.
- Garcia, N. J., Ingram, M. D., & Bazan, J. C. (2002). Ion transport in hydrated sodium silicates (water glasses) of varying water content. Solid State Ionics, 146, 113–122.
- Gerard, C., Colonna, P., Buleon, A., & Planchot, V. (2001). Amylolysis of maize mutant starches. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 81, 1281–1287.
- Jyothi, A. N., Rajasekharan, K. N., Moorthy, S. N., & Sreekumar, J. (2005). Microwave-assisted synthesis and characterization of succinate derivatives of cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz) starch. Starch/Stärke, 57, 556–563.
- Lewandowicz, G., Fornal, J., & Walkowski, A. (1997). Effect of microwave radiation on physico-chemical properties and structure of potato and tapioca starches. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 34, 213–220.
- Carbohydrate Polymers, 34, 213–220. Lewandowicz, G., Fornal, J., Walkowski, A., Maczynski, M., Urbaniak, G., & Szymańska, G. (2000). Starch esters obtained by microwave radiation – structure and functionality. *Industrial Crops and Products,* 11, 249–257.
- Lidén, E., Karlsson, S., & Tokarz, B. (2001). Silica sols as refractory fibre binders. Journal of the European Ceramic Society, 21, 795–808.
- Liu, Q., Charlet, G., Yelle, S., & Arul, J. (2002). Phase transition in potato starch-water system. I: Starch gelatinization at high moisture level. *Food Research International*, 35, 397–407.
- Mao, G.-J., Wang, P., Meng, X.-S., Zhang, X., & Zheng, T. (2006). Crosslinking of corn starch with sodium trimetaphosphate in solid state by microwave irradiation. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 102, 5854–5860.
- Muzimbaranda, C., & Tomasik, P. (1994). Microwaves in physical and chemical modification of starch. *Starch/Stärke*, 46, 469–474.
- Neves, G. M., Lenza, R. F. S., & Vasconcelos, W. L. (2002). Evaluation of the influence of microwaves in the structure of silica gels. *Materials Research*, 5, 447–451.
- Oliviera, A. L., Malafaya, P. B., & Reis, R. L. (2003). Sodium silicate gel as a precursor for the in vitro nucleation and growth of a bone-like apatite coating in compact and porous polymeric structures. *Biomaterials*, 24, 2575–2584.
- Richter, M., Augustat, S., & Schierbaum, F. (1968). Ausgewählte Methoden der Stärkechemie. Leipzig: VEB Fachbuch Verlag.
- Sevenou, O., Hill, S. E., Farhat, I. A., & Mitchell, J. R. (2002). Organization of the external region of the starch granule as determined by infrared microscopy. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 31, 79–85.
- Sinha Ray, S., & Bousmina, M. (2005). Biodegradable polymers and their layered silicate nanocomposites: In greening the 21st century materials world. *Progress* in Materials Science, 50, 962–1079.
- Smith, J. K. (1985). Method for preparing silicone-steated starch. US Patent Office, Pat. No. 4495226.
- Staroszczyk, H. (2009). Microwave-assisted boration of potato starch. Polimery, 54, 31–41.
- Staroszczyk, H., & Tomasik, P. (2005). Facile synthesis of potato starch sulfate magnesium salts. e-Polymers, 080.
- Staroszczyk, H., Fiedorowicz, M., Zhong, W., Janas, P., & Tomasik, P. (2007). Microwave-assisted solid-state sulphation of starch. *e-Polymers*, 140.

Staroszczyk, H., Tomasik, P., Janas, P., & Poreda, A. (2007). Esterification of starch with sodium selenite and selenate. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 69, 299–304. Tomasik, P., & Schilling, Ch. H. (2004). Chemical modification of starch. *Advances in*

- Carbohydrate Chemistry and Biochemistry, 59, 175-403.
- Tomasik, P., Wiejak, S., & Pałasiński, M. (1989). The thermal decomposition of
- Carbohydrates. Part II: Starch. Advances in Carbohydrate Chemistry and Biochemistry, 47, 279–344.
 Uchino, T., Sakka, T., Hotta, K., & Iwasaki, M. (1989). Attenuated total reflectance Fourier-Transform Infrared spectra of a hydrated sodium silicate glass. Journal of the American Ceramic Society, 72, 2173–2175.
- Uchino, T., Sakka, T., & Iwasaki, M. (1991). Interpretation of hydrated states of sodium silicate glasses by infrared and Raman analysis. *Journal of the American Ceramic Society*, 74, 306–313. Van Soest, J. J. G., de Wit, D., Tournois, H., & Vliegenthart, J. F. G. (1994).
- Retrogradation of potato starch as studied by Fourier transform infrared
- spectroscopy. Starch/Stärke, 46, 453–457.
 Wu, C. K. (1979). Nature of incorporated water in hydrated silicate glasses. Journal of the American Ceramic Society, 63, 453–457.
 Xing, G. X., Zhang, S. F., Ju, B. Z., & Yang, J. Z. (2006). Microwave-assisted synthesis of starch maleate by dry method. Starch/Stärke, 58, 464–467.