1   **Preliminary Study of Linear Viscoelasticity Limits of Cold Recycled**

2   **Mixtures determined in Simple Performance Tester (SPT)**

3   Mariusz Jaczewski, Cezary Szydlowski, Bohdan Dolzycki

4   *Highway and Transportation Engineering Department, Faculty of Civil and Environmental*

5   *Engineering and EkoTech Center, Gdansk University of Technology, Gdansk, Poland*

6   Gdansk University of Technology, Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering and EkoTech Center

7   Narutowicza Street 11/12

8   Gdansk, PL 80-233

9   tel.:+48 58 347 27 82

10   e-mail: mariusz.jaczewski@pg.edu.pl

11   **Abstract**

12   The publication presents methodology developed for determination of linear

13   viscoelasticity limits for cold recycled mixtures with cement and bituminous emulsion

14   using Simple Performance Tester (SPT). Methodology was verified on reference

15   materials (PCV and steel dummy specimens, cement concrete and asphalt concrete) to

16   comply with elasticity and viscoelasticity theory. The developed methodology enabled

17   determination of linear viscoelasticity limits for the tested cold recycled mixtures for base

18   course. Tests were conducted for controlled strain ranging from 10 up to 110 µstrain.

19   Linear viscoelasticity limits were determined based on stiffness moduli and phase angles.

20   The combination of binding agents and test temperature had the greatest influence on the

21   obtained values.

22   **Keywords:** linear viscoelasticity; cold recycled mixtures; cement; bituminous

23   emulsion

24

1. Introduction

1.1. Background

Constant increase in road traffic and aging of road sections result in deterioration of the existing bituminous road pavements, which should be reconstructed using the most environmentally friendly and low-emission technologies available. Common processes that allow one to obtain a pavement with high bearing capacity include cold recycling, in which material is produced and compacted at ambient temperature. Cold recycled mixture consists of: RAP (reclaimed asphalt pavement) material, virgin aggregate, cement and bituminous emulsion or foamed bitumen. This technology, enabling reuse of any reclaimed pavement material, is used in many countries, including Poland [1,2,3,4], Germany [5], Czechia [6], Italy [7,8,9], Serbia [10], USA [11], Canada [12] and China [13]. Depending on the local climatic conditions and materials used, the mixtures differ in binding agents – cement and bituminous emulsion, cement and foamed bitumen or just one of the listed binders. The amount of the used binders is determined based on local climatic conditions and requirements stated for the prepared mixtures. In colder countries [1], where resistance to water and frost action is a serious issue, the amount of cement increases, while in warmer ones [7, 10] cement is used only to accelerate the breakage of bituminous emulsion and provide minimum mechanical properties of the mixture during further construction works. This results in a very wide range of the used quantities of binding agents: cement content ranges from 0.5% to 6%, with typical values around 2%, while the content of bituminous emulsion or foamed bitumen ranges from 2% to 7%, with typical values around 3-4%. Consequently, different mixture recipes vary greatly in the obtained mechanical properties, which also change with time. This also causes considerable

3

47 problems in terms of proper determination of mechanical and rheological properties of cold

48 recycled mixtures.

49   As cold recycled mixtures have only been extensively researched for the last ten years,

50 there are still no common standards for the tests. Since cold recycled mixtures are partly similar

51 to typical asphalt concretes, appropriately adjusted asphalt concrete test procedures are used for

52 their mechanical characterization [14, 15]. Adjustments are usually introduced based on the

53 experience of the specific researcher [14,15]. Typical tests used for determination of

54 mechanical properties include indirect tensile tests (modulus, strength and fatigue) [7, 16, 26,

55 28] and cyclic compression or tension/compression tests (modulus and phase angle) [12, 14,

56 15], which were originally designed for asphalt mixtures. Compression tests (strength) that

57 were designed for cement-bound materials are also used.

58   Researchers usually assume that cold recycled mixtures fit the model of thermo-

59 rheologically simple linear viscoelastic material [14, 15], due to the strong influence of bitumen

60 present in the bituminous emulsion and RAP material. Previous international practice generally

61 confirms this assumption [15]. The modulus of the mixture changes with test temperature and

62 time of loading. It is possible to develop stiffness modulus and phase angle master curves for

63 the whole range of loading times and test temperatures [14]. Nevertheless, due to the influence

64 of cement, the differences between the highest and lowest values of both properties are not as

65 high as in the case of typical asphalt concretes [14]. This leads to question whether the criteria

66 typically assumed for linear viscoelasticity limits of asphalt concretes can be directly applied

67 to cold recycled mixtures containing Portland cement. Generally, researchers either use the

68 same criteria as for asphalt concretes or lower the values of strain/deformation/stress applied

69 during tests [14, 15]. However, the question of actual linear viscoelasticity limits of cold

70 recycled mixtures and the factors that affect them (such as: age of the specimen, composition

4

71   of the mixture, test temperature, frequency/time of loading, preparation and compaction of the

72   specimen) still remains open. Establishing correct viscoelasticity limits is required for

73   preparation of unified standard specifications for material testing and, subsequently, for correct

74   modelling of cold recycled mixtures in FEM or other methods. It is also required for proper

75   comparison of the results obtained by different researchers.

76   1.2. Linear viscoelasticity limits in bituminous materials

77   Under typical traffic conditions (typical axle loads, typical traffic speed), all materials

78   with bituminous compounds usually display linear behaviour [17, 18, 19]. In pavement analyses

79   it is usually assumed that their rheological properties (especially moduli and phase angles) at

80   the specific analysed temperature and for typical load conditions are constant regardless of the

81   applied stresses, strains or deformations. However, this assumption is correct only for a specific

82   small range of applied loads, which is referred to as linear viscoelasticity limit (LVE limit) and

83   strongly depends on the tested material, test temperature and test setup. In the case of asphalt

84   mixtures the range of LVE limit is relatively wide; usually it covers the typical values of

85   vehicle-induced strains or stresses that may occur in a pavement. In the case of cyclic

86   compression test, the LVE limit for temperatures ranging from 4°C to 45°C usually falls within

87   the range of 20 µstrain to 115 µstrain [23]. Some discrepancies may be noted at higher

88   temperatures for certain bitumen types (especially softer, with lower ring and ball temperature).

89   Such behaviour of the material enables researchers to assume constant values of mechanical

90   properties in pavement design and analysis. Additionally, when material fulfils the

91   requirements for linear viscoelasticity, it is possible to compare its properties determined in

92   various research efforts conducted at different laboratories. Therefore, it is of great importance

93   to evaluate whether the limits are similar in the case of cold recycled mixtures.

5

94   The range of linear viscoelasticity limits for bituminous materials has been extensively

95   tested since the 1960s [18, 19, 20]. Usually the ranges of permissible strains (in controlled strain

96   mode) or permissible stresses (in controlled stress mode) are presented in various research

97   works as the linear viscoelasticity limit. Currently, linear viscoelasticity limits or means of their

98   determination for asphalt mixtures are established and included in the standard specifications

99   for laboratory tests (for example, in the amplitude sweep test in the DSR device). It is generally

100   assumed that a material is treated as linear viscoelastic until its modulus deviates more than 5%

101   from the initial modulus value (as presented in Fig. 1, after Airey et al. [18]). The deviation of

102   5% of the modulus value is currently assumed as the LVE limit. While it was observed during

103   complex modulus testing that the phase angle increased when specimens started to show non-

104   linear behaviour, no established limits have been presented yet. In this study criteria similar to

105   those applied to the moduli were assumed to determine viscoelasticity limits in the case of phase

106   angles. Usually the LVE limit is determined solely on the basis of stiffness moduli. Phase angles

107   are taken into consideration in the case of material modelling [31, 32], but are not used as means

108   to determine the LVE limits.

109
110   **Fig. 1.** Determination of linear viscoelasticity limits for asphalt mixture in strain-controlled mode, after
111   Airey et al. [18]

112   1.3. Objectives

113   The main objective of the study is to determine whether the Simple Performance Tester

114   (SPT) apparatus (IPC Global) can be used for evaluation of the viscoelastic behaviour of cold

115   recycled mixtures. Second objective of the study is to determine linear viscoelasticity limits for

116   cold recycled mixtures and analyse the impact of mixture composition (the amounts of binding

117   agents used) on those limits. For this purpose, 9 different cold recycled mixtures (of two types)

118   were tested; their dynamic moduli and phase angles were determined. The study consisted of

119   two main parts: a) adoption of the test methodology and its verification on various materials,

120   and b) analysis of the influence of mixture composition on the determined values of linear

121   viscoelasticity limits. Linear viscoelasticity limits were determined using the criteria

122   established for other asphalt materials, after Airey et al. [18].

123   **2. Materials and methods**

124   2.1. Materials

125   Preliminary evaluation was also performed on different types of materials (steel and

126   PCV dummy specimens, asphalt concrete, cement concrete). At the preliminary stage, typical

127   road pavement mixtures, representative of each group, were tested. In the case of steel and

128   PCV, dummy calibration specimens were used. In the case of asphalt concrete, a mixture of

129   gradation of 0/32 mm containing 35/50 penetration bitumen was used. In the case of cement

130   concrete, a typical road pavement C35/40 class mixture was used. The mixtures were designed

131   according to the Polish requirements [29, 30].

132   The main part of the laboratory experiments was conducted for two types of cold

133   recycled mixtures. Stage 1 (A and B) was conducted on mixture designed for binder courses

134   and Stage 2 was conducted on mixtures designed for base courses. All mixtures were designed

135   according to the Polish recommendations and local experience [1, 21], with appropriate

136   adjustment for the first mixture (lower maximum gradation). Portland cement CEM I 32.5R

7

137 and slow-setting cationic asphaltic emulsion C 60 B10 ZM/R (with neat 70/100 residual

138 bitumen) were used as binding agents. Mixtures were prepared in a laboratory mixer according

139 to the EN 12697-35 standard. Specimens were compacted in a gyratory compactor according

140 to the EN 12697-31 standard. The limiting compaction ratio was set as 99% of the maximum

141 dry density determined in the modified Proctor test. The specimens were compacted to the

142 height of 170 mm and the diameter of 150 mm and later cut to the target specimen height of

143 150 mm and diameter of 100 mm. The base course mixture specimens were previously used in

144 long-term tests [14, 22]. In each case the age of the specimen was over a year, so the impact of

145 the processes associated with curing of the materials was minimised. Basic information

146 regarding composition of cold recycled mixtures used in the study is presented in Table 1.

147
148 **Table 1.** Properties of cold recycled mixtures.

Property	Stage of the study Mixture designation (b/c ratio)	
	First stage C3E7 (1.52)	**Second stage** C2E2 (0.65), C2E4 (1.30), C2E6 (1.95) C4E2 (0.33), C4E6 (0.98) C6E2 (0.22), C6E4 (0.43), C6E6 (0.65)
Mixture composition		
Virgin aggregate 0/16 or 0/31.5 [a] (% by mass)	23.3	18
Virgin aggregate 0/2 (% by mass)	9.3	10, 8, 6 [b] (depending on the cement content)
RAP aggregate (% by mass)	57.5	70
Bitumen emulsion content (% by mass)	7	2, 4, 6
Cement content (% by mass)	3	2, 4, 6

Remarks:

1) Mixture designations are as follows: C – quantity of cement in the mixture [%], E – quantity of emulsion in the mixture [%], b/c

ratio – residual bitumen to cement ratio

2) Detailed information regarding the tested mixtures can be found in previous studies [14, 22]

a) 0/16 in the case of C3E7 mixture and 0/31.5 in the case of C2E2, C2E4, C2E6, C4E2, C4E6, C6E2, C6E4 and C6E6 mixtures

b) depending on the cement content; total quantity of cement and virgin aggregate 0/2: 12 % (by mass)

149

150 2.2. Methods

151   2.2.1. Cyclic compression test

152   Stiffness moduli and phase angles for all mixtures were determined in the cyclic

153   compression test according to the AASHTO TP79 standard [23]. Tests were performed using

154   Simple Performance Tester (IPC Global, Australia). The tests were conducted at two

155   temperatures depending on the stage of the experiment: first stage was conducted at 10°C to

156   avoid excessive deformation of the specimen; the second stage was conducted at 20°C. Prior to

157   testing, each specimen was conditioned overnight at the temperature of the test. Dynamic

158   moduli and phase angles were determined for 9 frequencies: 25, 20, 10, 5, 2, 1, 0.5, 0.2 and 0.1

159   Hz.

160   The performed study encompassed three major stages. Preliminary stage consisted in

161   performance of the SPT test on known elastic and viscoelastic materials to verify the used test

162   equipment. Stage 1 aimed to confirm that the observed behaviour of cold recycled mixtures is

163   caused by nonlinearity of the tested mixtures (and not by other phenomena, such as material

164   fatigue) and to determine whether the applied methodology can be utilised for determination of

165   linear viscoelasticity limits for cold recycled mixtures. Stage 2 was the main research, which

166   aimed to determine mixture properties that have influence on linear viscoelasticity limits of

167   cold recycled mixtures. To achieve these goals, the following conditions were applied at

168   respective stages of research:

169   •   Preliminary Stage – testing on known materials (cement concrete, steel and PCV

170   – elastic materials; asphalt concrete AC 22P – viscoelastic material) to verify

171   whether the Simple Performance Tester can be used to determine the

172   viscoelasticity and its limits and whether it can correctly differentiate between

173   elastic and viscoelastic behaviour of materials. 15 consecutive test series were

174   performed with varied controlled strain: ranging from the level of 10-20 μstrain

9

175   up to 150-160 µstrain, with the constant increment of 10 µstrain in consecutive

176   tests.

177   •   Stage 1A – determination of the impact of consecutive tests on specimen (the

178   impact of specimen fatigue on obtained results). 16 consecutive tests – first 6

179   tests were conducted for controlled strain value in the range of 50-60 µstrain,

180   the following 10 tests were conducted for controlled strain value in the range of

181   90-100 µstrain.

182   •   Stage 1B – adopting the methodology for determination of linear viscoelasticity

183   limits and verification vs. other elastic and viscoelastic materials. 15 consecutive

184   tests were performed with varied controlled strain values: ranging from the level

185   of 10-20 µstrain, up to 150-160 µstrain, with the constant increment of 10

186   µstrain in consecutive tests.

187   •   Stage 2 – determination of the influence of mixture composition on linear

188   viscoelasticity limits. 10 consecutive tests were performed with varied

189   controlled strain value: ranging from the level of 10-20 µstrain up to 100-110

190   µstrain, with the constant increment of 10 µstrain in consecutive tests.

191   For the main part of the conducted research (Stages 1 and 2), the typical test setup and

192 procedure was as follows: mounting a preconditioned specimen in the test chamber, reaching

193 the designated test temperature, first test (9 frequencies), 10 minutes rest, second test (9

194 frequencies), 10 minutes rest, next test (9 frequencies) etc., until the final test conditions were

195 reached (either the maximum controlled strain value or the specified number of test repetitions).

196 The test setup is presented in Fig. 2. In the first stage two different specimens were used, (one

197 in Stage 1A and one in Stage 1B). In the second stage a single specimen for each mixture

198 composition was used.

10

199
200 **Fig. 2**. Simple Performance Tester – test setup: Specimen ready for testing (left) scheme of the test in
201 Simple Performance Tester (centre) and plot that visualises the shift between stress and strain (right).
202
203

204 **3. Results and discussion**

205   All the obtained results were determined using cyclic compression test mode, as

206 described in the preceding section. Using a different test load mode, such as tension-

207 compression, bending or shear, can give different results. Cyclic compression test mode was

208 chosen due to its widespread usage and the simplest preparation of specimen and performance

209 of the test.

210   3.1. Verification of the results determined in the Simple Performance Tester for known

211   elastic and viscoelastic materials – Preliminary stage

212   Procedure planned for testing of cold recycled mixtures was first validated on two

213 groups of known materials – elastic and viscoelastic – to determine whether the obtained results

214 are correct and correspond to the basic theoretical models. Tested specimens are presented in

215 Fig. 3.

11

Fig. 3. Specimens used for verification of the test procedure (from left): steel dummy specimen, cement concrete, PCV and asphalt concrete.

Each specimen was subjected to 15 consecutive tests. The applied strain ranged from 10-20 µstrain to 150-160 µstrain. The tested materials were elastic – steel dummy specimen used for calibration of the SPT device, cement concrete of C35/40 class and PCV (results presented in Fig. 4) – and viscoelastic – asphalt concrete of class AC 22P with 35/50 neat bitumen for asphalt base course (results presented in Fig. 5). In the case of cement concrete, the test was ended at strain range of 40-50 µstrain due to very high strength of the material; the SPT equipment could not perform test at higher strains.

227

228

229   **Fig. 4.** Verification of linear behaviour of elastic materials: steel dummy specimen, cement concrete and
230   PCV, $T = 20°C$, $f = 10$ Hz.

231

232   **Fig. 5.** Verification of linear behaviour of viscoelastic materials: asphalt concrete for base course, $T =$
233                                   $20°C$, $f = 10$ Hz.

234   For elastic specimens the obtained results correspond to the general theory – the

235   stiffness modulus does not change with a change in load and the reaction of the material is

236   immediate (phase angle equal to 0°). Such results were obtained for all the tested elastic

237   materials. Some discrepancies are visible in the case of phase angles. For the steel dummy

238   specimen and cement concrete the results are <1°, which is in agreement with previous studies.

239   In the case of the PCV specimen, the phase angle of ~1° suggests that the material is not ideally

13

240   elastic, but still fits the general theory of immediate response to the subjected load. In the case

241   of viscoelastic material (asphalt concrete), the obtained verification test results are also in

242   agreement with the general theory. Stiffness modulus maintains constant value for small strains,

243   while further, for higher strains, the modulus starts to decrease, as visible for strains of 120

244   µstrain and greater. In the case of phase angle, a steady increase in its value is visible almost

245   across the entire range of tested strains (for 30 µstrain and greater). Therefore, the conducted

246   tests confirmed compliance with the theory.

247   3.2. Verification of the methodology for determination of linear viscoelasticity limits of

248   cold recycled mixtures – Stage 1

249   Previous research [16, 25, 26, 27, 28] proved that cold recycled mixtures are similar to

250   asphalt mixtures in terms of fatigue behaviour. Therefore, it was important to determine first

251   whether the observed behaviour is fatigue of the tested mixture or rather a change due to

252   nonlinear viscoelastic behaviour. For this purpose, one specimen of the C3E7 mixture was

253   subjected to two series of loading: first to six low-strain (50-60 µstrain) tests and next to ten

254   medium-strain (90-100 µstrains) tests. Each full test in the SPT equipment consists of 180 load

255   cycles of variable loading time (from 0.1 Hz up to 25 Hz). Taking the performed sixteen tests,

256   specimen was subjected to a total of 2880 load cycles. Behaviour of the specimen was similar

257   across all load frequencies, : after a steady increase in the modulus, the constant value was

258   obtained – higher for low strains and slightly lower for medium strains. The difference between

259   the highest and the lowest modulus plateau value was within 0.5%. Behaviour of phase angle

260   was different. In the first cycles with low strains, phase angles slightly decreased before

261   reaching a constant value. When higher strains were applied in the following cycles, the

262   measured phase angles were already at their constant value, which was greater than that

263   measured for low strains. The difference between the highest and the lowest plateau value

14

264   ranged from 0.3% to 1.4%. The values of moduli and phase angles obtained for selected

265   frequencies are presented in Figs 6 and 7. No influence of mixture fatigue on the rheological

266   parameters was observed during the consecutive tests. The vertical dashed line in the figures

267   represents the change between the different levels of controlled strain applied to the specimen

268   (6 tests at low strain and 10 tests at medium strain).

269

270

271   **Fig. 6.** Stage 1A test results – stiffness modulus, *T* = 10°C, test frequencies: 20 Hz, 1 Hz, 0.1 Hz, C3E7
272   specimen

273

15

Fig. 7. Stage 1A test results – phase angles, $T = 10°C$, test frequencies: 20 Hz, 1 Hz, 0.1 Hz, C3E7 specimen

The aim of the next step was to determine whether the different (gradually increasing) strains applied to the specimen influence the values of rheological properties of cold recycled mixtures similarly to the case of asphalt mixtures (presented in Fig. 1). For this purpose, 15 consecutive tests were performed on a single specimen. The applied strain ranged from 10-20 µstrain to 150-160 µstrain. The tests were divided into two days, with one long rest period. Strains from 10 up to 80 µstrain were tested on the first day, while strains greater than 80 µstrain were tested on the second day. While this fact did not significantly affect the obtained values of stiffness modulus (only a small deviation from the line), it resulted in a considerable difference in the case of the phase angles (visible in Fig. 9). Nevertheless, for the purpose of this stage of the research, the obtained results of rheological parameters were sufficient. Cold recycled mixtures displayed behaviour similar to asphalt mixtures – the obtained values of stiffness modulus began to decrease after reaching a specific strain limit; in the case of phase angle the obtained values began to increase. Example results are presented in Figs 8 and 9. One difference was observed in comparison to asphalt mixtures – the "length" of the plateau was different for phase angles and for stiffness values. It is also visible that linear viscoelasticity limits (5% of difference) are different for stiffness moduli and phase angles, even despite the fact that some phase angle values have shifted. The visible change in phase angles could have also resulted from a change in the structure of the recycled mixture and beginning of non-linear creep or strain hardening due to creep. During this stage of the research, it was confirmed that

16

296   it is possible to apply the methodology used in the case of asphalt mixtures to cold recycled

297   mixtures.

298

299

300   **Fig. 8.** Stage 1B test results – stiffness modulus, $T = 10°C$, test frequencies: 20 Hz, 1 Hz, 0.1 Hz, C3E7
301   specimen


302

17

Fig. 9. Stage 1B test results – phase angles, $T = 10°C$, test frequencies: 20 Hz, 1 Hz, 0.1 Hz, C3E7 specimen

The final methodology assumed in further research for determination of linear viscoelasticity limits is presented in Fig. 10. It was determined that in the following stage the specimen should be tested in the range of strains from 10-20 µstrain up to 100-110 µstrain. The plateau value for each specimen was assumed based on the results obtained for the range of controlled strain between 20 and 40 µstrain. If the values of the tested property were within the range of ±5% of the plateau value for all test conditions, the limit value was determined to be >110 µstrain. Specimens that displayed such values will be tested again in further studies.


Fig. 10. Determination of linear viscoelasticity limits: on the basis of dynamic modulus (left); on the basis of phase angle (right).

3.3.Determination of linear viscoelasticity limits for different combinations of binding

    agents and temperatures – Stage 2

Stiffness moduli and phase angles determined during the main (second) stage of the study are presented in Figs 11, 12 and 13 (normalised stiffness moduli) and Figs 14, 15 and 16

320   (normalised phase angles). Results for three frequencies (20 Hz, 10 Hz, 1 Hz) were presented

321   for all the tested mixtures. Frequencies were selected to present mixture behaviour across a

322   wide range of loading conditions. However, certain mixtures did not display clear linear

323   viscoelasticity limits for the selected loading conditions (range of applied strains). Some of

324   them presented constant plateau value, without any signs of decrease, up to strain value of 100

325   μstrain. It is especially apparent in the case of mixtures with higher content of bituminous

326   emulsion and lower content of cement. A possible cause of such behaviour is strain hardening

327   due to creep of specimens with high quantity of bitumen (in emulsion and/or RAP). This aspect

328   calls for further investigation with more bitumen-dominated specimens. Different behaviour is

329   visible in mixtures which contained 6% of cement. In every mixture from this group, a decrease

330   in stiffness modulus with an increase in strain is visible from the beginning. This behaviour

331   changes slightly when the quantity of bituminous emulsion increases. Two stages of the

332   phenomenon are visible – after the initial relatively rapid decrease in value (up to around 50

333   μstrain), the rate of decrease is reduced. In contrast, only one type of behaviour is visible in the

334   case of phase angles – after a short plateau (up to 50 μstrains), the value of phase angle starts

335   to increase at a constant rate.

336

337   **Fig. 11.** Stage 2 test results – stiffness modulus, f = 20 Hz, T = 20°C
338

19

339

340
341

Fig. 12. Stage 2 test results – stiffness modulus, $f = 10$ Hz, $T = 20$°C


342
343
344
345

Fig. 13. Stage 2 test results – stiffness modulus, $f = 1$ Hz, $T = 20$°C

346

20

Fig. 14. Stage 2 test results – phase angle, f = 20 Hz, T = 20°C

349
350
351

Fig. 15. Stage 2 test results – phase angle, f = 10 Hz, T = 20°C

352

353
354
355

Fig. 16. Stage 2 test results – phase angle, f = 1 Hz, T = 20°C

356

357   Linear viscoelasticity limits were determined for each frequency and mixture

358 composition on the basis of stiffness moduli and phase angles, using methodology presented in

359 section 2.2.2. Limits were determined for 8 different cold recycled mixtures, which differed

360 only in the quantities of binding agents used. The remaining properties and the age of the

361 specimens were the same. In contrast to Stage 1 of the research, linear viscoelasticity limits

362 were reached only for several specimens, as visible in Figs 11 to 16. The influence of strain

363 hardening due to creep of the mixture is visible in some of the tested specimens. It is observable

21

364   as a slowing increase in stiffness modulus with consecutive strain increases (cf. Figs 11-13,

365   specimens C4E2 and C4E6). Different behaviour of specimens tested in Stage 2 in comparison

366   to Stage 1B proves that the test temperature also has impact on the values of linear

367   viscoelasticity limits. The determined linear viscoelasticity limits are presented in Table 2. The

368   lowest values of the determined linear viscoelasticity limits were highlighted in grey. This value

369   was assumed as the recommended maximum strain in the SPT test of cold recycled mixtures,

370   as it was the maximum value for which all the tested specimens (regardless of their composition

371   and test temperature) remained in the LVE limit assumed as deviation of +5% of the initial

372   stiffness/phase angle value.

373   **Table 2.** Stage 2 – linear viscoelasticity limits (stiffness modulus, phase angle), C3E7 ($T = 10°C$), other
374   specimens ($T = 20°C$)

Mixture designation	Linear viscoelasticity limits (µstrain)								
	Test frequency [Hz]								
	25	20	10	5	2	1	0.5	0.2	0.1
Stage 1B									
C3E7 (E*)	>110	>110	>110	>110	105	105	95	75	75
C3E7 (φ)	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	65
Stage 2 (stiffness modulus E*)									
C2E2	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110
C2E4	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110
C2E6	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110
C4E2	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110
C4E6	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110
C6E2	>110	>110	95	85	85	75	75	65	65
C6E4	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	95	85	85	85
C6E6	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	95	95	85	85
Stage 2 (phase angle φ)									
C2E2	85	75	75	75	85	85	95	>110	>110
C2E4	95	>110	95	95	95	>110	>110	>110	>110
C2E6	95	95	95	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110
C4E2	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110
C4E6	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110
C6E2	95	85	75	75	65	65	65	65	65
C6E4	>110	>110	>110	>110	>110	95	95	95	>110
C6E6	>110	>110	>110	95	95	95	95	95	95

375   For mixtures with small and medium quantity of cement, linear viscoelasticity limits

376   determined based on the stiffness moduli were not reached, regardless of the used quantity of

377   bituminous emulsion. Across all the tested strains, those mixtures remained within their linear

378   viscoelasticity limits. Different behaviour was visible in the case of mixtures with higher

22

379   quantity of cement (which displayed the highest values of stiffness modulus). The obtained

380   linear viscoelasticity limits decreased with a decrease in value of the applied load frequency

381   (and with an increase in the time of loading). Nonlinear behaviour was observed earlier for the

382   mixture with lower quantity of emulsion. It was also the mixture with the lowest

383   bitumen/cement ratio. It could not be confirmed whether similar behaviour might be observable

384   for other compositions – it will be the subject of further studies.

385   In the case of the limits determined based on phase angles, the behaviour is more

386   complex. Factor which could have strong influence in this case are relatively low values of the

387   analysed property (in comparison to the stiffness moduli). In this case, linear viscoelasticity

388   limits were not reached only for mixtures with 4% of cement. Limits of mixtures with 6%

389   cement content displayed behaviour similar to that of limits determined based on stiffness

390   moduli – the limit decreased with a decrease in test load frequency. Similarly, lower values of

391   limits were obtained for the mixture with the lowest quantity of bituminous emulsion.

392   Interestingly, opposite behaviour was observed in the case of mixtures with 2% cement content

393   – their linear viscoelasticity limits increase with a decrease in test load frequency. However,

394   similarly to the mixtures with 6% of cement, the lowest limits were obtained for the mixture

395   with the lowest quantity of bituminous emulsion.

396   **4. Summary and conclusions**

397   Based on the performed tests and analyses, the following conclusions can be drawn:

398   1.   Tests performed in Simple Performance Tester in cyclic compression test mode

399   enable determination of viscoelastic behaviour of the tested specimens and

400   limits of linear viscoelastic behaviour of cold recycled mixtures. Elastic and

401   viscoelastic properties comply with general theory. The obtained results,

23

402   especially the viscoelasticity limits, could be different under different test load

403   mode (for example, tension-compression or bending).

404 2. Cold recycled mixtures present viscoelastic behaviour similar to other materials

405   with bituminous components. This fact is visible even in the case of specimens

406   that were stored for more than 1 year in laboratory conditions and presented

407   behaviour similar to cement-bound materials in terms of the increase in modulus

408   with time.

409 3. Methodology used for determination of linear viscoelasticity limits for asphalt

410   materials can be applied successfully also in the case of cold recycled mixtures.

411 4. Cold recycled mixtures display different linear viscoelastic behaviour than

412   asphalt mixtures based purely on bituminous binders – constant value plateau is

413   shorter than in the case of pure asphalt mixtures.

414 5. For cold recycled mixtures, limits determined based on stiffness modulus and

415   based on phase angle have different values for the same specimen.

416 6. Linear viscoelasticity limits depend on mixture composition (especially

417   quantities of binding agents) and the test temperature:

418   o Linear viscoelasticity limits (determined both on the basis of phase angle

419   and stiffness modulus) for mixtures containing high quantity of cement

420   decrease with a decrease in the test load frequency

421   o Linear viscoelasticity limits (determined based on phase angle) for mixtures

422   containing low quantity of cement increase with a decrease in the test load

423   frequency

424 7. Linear viscoelasticity limits increase with a decrease in test temperature.

425 8. The recommended strain value used in the SPT equipment should be lower than

426   65 µstrains.

24

427   Limitations of the conducted study, in conjunction with the planned future works, are

428   discussed below:

429   •   Research was performed on limited combinations of specimens and test

430   conditions – only two similar temperatures, two mixture combinations, narrow

431   range of the applied strains and only one age of test specimens. Moreover, only

432   laboratory-prepared and conditioned specimens were tested.

433   •   Conclusions presented in the paper are valid only for the strain limits considered

434   in the study (up to 110 µstrain). Increasing the test strain limit could result in

435   different behaviour of the material.

436   •   Further research should be focused on the influence of test temperature on linear

437   viscoelasticity limit values, especially for temperatures greater than 30°C. Next

438   stages should encompass analyses of the influence of mixture composition and

439   base materials used, the age of the specimen and the applied curing and

440   compacting procedures.

441   **Acknowledgments**

25

Authorship contribution statement

Mariusz Jaczewski (M.J.) conceived and designed the experiments, supervised the research presented in the paper, developed the research methodology, performed the experiments (Stage 1), supervised the experiments (Stage 2), analysed the data, wrote the paper; Cezary Szydłowski (C.S.) developed the research methodology, performed the experiments (Stage 0), analysed the data, wrote the paper; Bohdan Dołżycki (B.D.) analysed the data, wrote the paper.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

1. Dolzycki B., Jaskuła P., Review and evaluation of cold recycling with bitumen emulsion and cement for rehabilitation of old pavements, Journal of Traffic and Transportation Engineering (English Edition) 6(4) (2019) 311-418, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtte.2019.02.002.

2. Kukiełka J., Bańkowski W., The experimental study of mineral-cement-emulsion mixtures with rubber powder addition, Construction and Building Materials 226 (2019) 759-766, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.07.276

3. Chomicz-Kowalska, A., Stepien, J., Cost and Eco-Effective Cold In-Place Recycled Mixtures with Foamed Bitumen during the Reconstruction of a Road Section under Variable Load Bearing Capacity of the Subgrade, Procedia Engineering 161 (2016) 980-989, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2016.08.837

4. Iwański, M., Mazurek G., Buczyński, P., Bitumen foaming optimisation process on the basis of rheological properties, Materials 11(10) (2018) 1854, https://doi.org/10.3390/ma11101854

5. Merkblatt für Kaltrecycling in situ im Straßenoberbau. Forschungsgesellschaft für Straßen- und Verkehrswesen Arbeitsgruppe Mineralstoffe im Straßenbau, (2005) Köln

6. Valentin J., Cízková Z., Suda J., Batista F., Mollenhauer K., Simnofske D., Stiffness characterization of cold recycled mixtures, Transportation Research Procedia 14 (2016) 758–767, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2016.05.065

7. Bocci, M., Grilli, A., Cardone, F., Graziani, A., A study on the mechanical behaviour of cement-bitumen treated materials, Construction and Building Materials 25(2) (2011) 773-778, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2010.07.007

8. Grilli, A., Cardone, F., Bocci, E., Mechanical behaviour of cement-bitumen treated materials containing different amounts of reclaimed asphalt, European Journal of Environmental and Civil Engineering 22(7) (2018) 836-851, https://doi.org/10.1080/19648189.2016.1219972

9. Sangiorgi, C., Tataranni, P., Simone, A., Vignali, V., Lantieri, C., Dondi, G., A laboratory and filed evaluation of Cold Recycled Mixture for base layer entirely made with Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement, Construction and Building Materials 138 (2017) 232-239, 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.02.004

10. Miljković, M., Poulikakos, L., Piemontese, F., Shakoorioskooie, M., Lura, P., Mechanical behaviour of bitumen emulsion-cement composites across the structural transition of the co-binder system, Construction and Building Materials 215 (2019) 217-232, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.04.169

11. Michael M., Marti P.E., Mielke A., Synthesis of Asphalt Recycling in Minnesota, Minnesota Local Road Research Board, St. Paul (2002)

497   12. Raschia, S., Graziani, A., Carter, A., Perraton, D., Laboratory mechanical characterisation

498   of cold recycled mixtures produced with different RAP sources, Road Materials and

499   Pavement   Design,   20(sup1)   (2019)   S233-S246,

500   https://doi.org/10.1080/14680629.2019.1588775

501   13. Yan J., Leng Z., Li F., Zhu H., Bao S., Early-age strength and long-term performance of

502   asphalt emulsion cold recycled mixes with various cement contents, Construction and

503   Building   Materials   137   (2017)   153–159,

504   http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.01.114

505   14. Dolzycki B., Jaczewski M., Szydlowski C., The long-term properties of mineral-cement-

506   emulsion mixtures, Construction and Building Materials 156 (2017) 799-808,

507   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.09.032

508   15. Graziani, A., Mignini, C., Bocci, E., Bocci, M., Complex modulus testing and rheological

509   modeling of cold-recycled mixtures, Journal of Testing and Evaluation 48(1) (2019)

510   20180905, https://doi.org/10.1520/JTE20180905

511   16. Dolzycki, B., Szydlowski, C., Jaczewski, M., The influence of combination of binding

512   agents on fatigue properties of deep cold in-place recycled mixtures in Indirect Tensile

513   Fatigue Test (ITFT), Construction and Building Materials 239 (2020) 117825,

514   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2019.117825

515   17. Di Benedetto H., Sauzeat C., Bilodeau K., Buannic M., Mangiafico S., Nguyen Q.T.,

516   Pouget S., Tapsoba N., Van Rompu J., General overview of the time temperature

517   superposition principle validity for materials containing bituminous binder, International

518   Journal of Roads and Airports 1 (2011) 35–52, https://doi.org/10.5568/ijra.2011-01-

519   03.3552

520   18. Airey G.D., Rahimzadeh B., Collop A.C., Linear Viscoelastic Limits of Bituminous

521   Binders, Journal of the Association of Asphalt Paving Technologists 71 (2002) 160-196

522   19. Airey G.D., Rahimzadeh B., Collop A.C. Linear Viscoelastic Performance of Asphaltic

523   Materials, Road Materials and Pavement Design 4(3) (2003) 269-292,

524   https://doi.org/10.1080/14680629.2003.9689949

525   20. Judycki J., Non-linear viscoelastic behaviour of conventional and modified asphaltic

526   concrete under creep, Materials and Structures 25 (1992) 95-101

527   21. Dolzycki B., Instrukcja projektowania i wbudowywania mieszanek mineralno-

528   cementowo-emulsyjnych (MCE) (in Polish), (2014)

529   22. Dolzycki B., Jaczewski M., Szydlowski C., Evaluation of the stiffness modulus and phase

530   angle of cold in-place recycled mixtures for long curing periods, in: Proc. Int. Conf.

531   Sustain. Mater. Syst. Struct. (SMSS2019), New Gener. Constr. Mater. Rovinj, Croat. 18 –

532   22 March 2019, Rovinj, (2019) 84–91.

533   23. AASHTO TP 79, Standard Method of Test for Determining the Dynamic Modulus and

534   Flow Number for Asphalt Mixtures Using the Asphalt Mixture Performance Tester

535   (AMPT), American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials

536   (AASHTO) (2013)

537   24. Mannerie A., Cold recycling of asphalt pavements – properties and design, Master Thesis,

538   Gdansk University of Technology, CNAM France, Gdansk, (2020)

539   25. Yan J., Ni F., Yang M., Li J., An experimental study on fatigue properties of emulsion and

540   foam cold recycled mixes, Construction and Building Materials 24 (2010) 2151–2156,

541   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2010.04.044.

542   26. Stimilli A., Ferrotti G., Graziani A., Canestrari F., Performance evaluation of a cold-

543   recycled mixture containing high percentage of reclaimed asphalt, Road Materials and

544   Pavement Design 14 (2013) 149–161, https://doi.org/10.1080/14680629.2013.774752.

545 27. Leandri L., Losa M., Di Natale A., Field validation of recycled cold mixes viscoelastic

546 properties, , Construction and Building Materials 75 (2015) 275–282,

547 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.11.028.

548 28. Buczyński P., Fatigue life comparison of recycled cold mixes with different type of

549 bitumen binder, Structure and Environment 8 (2016) 217–223

550 29. Catalogue of Typical Flexible and Semi-Rigid Pavements, (in Polish). GDDKiA, (2014)

551 Ordinance of the General Director for National Roads and Motorways

552 30. Catalogue of Typical Rigid Pavements, (in Polish). GDDKiA (2014) *Ordinance of the*

553 *General Director for National Roads and Motorways*

554 31. Nguyen Q.T., Di Benedetto H., Sauzeat C., Linear and nonlinear viscoelastic behaviour of

555 bituminous mixtures, Materials and Structures 48 (2015) 2339–2351,

556 https://doi.org/10.1617/s11527-014-0316-5

557 32. Gauthier G., Bodin D., Chailleux E., Gallet T., Non Linearity in Bituminous Materials

558 during Cyclic Tests, Road Materials and Pavement Design 11(sup1) (2010) 379-410,

559 https://doi.org/10.1080/14680629.2010.9690339