Postprint of: Elahi F., Arain M. B., Ali Khan W., Haq H., Khan A., Jan F., Castro-Muñoz R., Boczkaj G., Ultrasound-assisted deep eutectic solventbased liquid–liquid microextraction for simultaneous determination of Ni (II) and Zn (II) in food samples, FOOD CHEMISTRY Vol. 393, (2022), 133384, DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2022.133384

© 2022. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Ultrasound-assisted deep eutectic solvent-based liquid-liquid microextraction for simultaneous determination of Ni (II) and Zn (II) in food samples

Fazal Elahi¹, Muhammad Balal Arain², Wajid Ali¹, Hameed Ul Haq³, Asif Khan¹, Faheem Jan⁴, Roberto Castro-Muñoz^{3,5}, Grzegorz Boczkaj^{3,6,*}

¹Department of Chemistry, Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan, 23200, KP, Pakistan. Email: <u>wajidalikhan890@gmail.com</u>

²Department of Chemistry, University of Karachi, Karachi 75270, Pakistan. Email: bilal_ku2004@yahoo.com

³Gdansk University of Technology, Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Department of Sanitary Engineering, 80 – 233 Gdansk, G. Narutowicza St. 11/12, Poland. E-mail: <u>grzegorz.boczkaj@pg.edu.pl</u> / <u>hameed.haq@pg.edu.pl</u>

⁴School of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Science and Technology of China, Shenyang 110016, Liaoning, People's Republic of China

⁵Tecnologico de Monterrey, Campus Toluca, Avenida Eduardo Monroy, Cárdenas 2000 San Antonio Buenavista, 50110 Toluca de Lerdo, Mexico

⁶EkoTech Center, Gdansk University of Technology, G. Narutowicza St. 11/12, 80-233 Gdansk, Poland

**Corresponding author: Dr Grzegorz Boczkaj, Assoc. Prof., PhD. Sc. Eng. Gdansk University of Technology, Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Department of Sanitary Engineering, 80 – 233 Gdansk, G. Narutowicza St. 11/12, Poland. Fax: (+48 58) 347-26-94; Tel: (+48) 697970303; e-mail: grzegorz.boczkaj@gmail.com or grzegorz.boczkaj@pg.edu.pl*

1 Abstract

2 A new approach was developed for the simultaneous pre-concentration and determination of Ni 3 (II) and Zn (II) in food samples. This method is based on ultrasound-assisted liquid-liquid micro 4 extraction using hydrophobic deep eutectic solvent (DES) and 1,10-phenanthroline as chelating agent. The effect of several parameters, such as pH, selection and volume of DES, amount of 5 6 chelating agent, time of sonication and centrifugation, was studied. Under optimized conditions, the developed procedure offered exceptional sensitivity and linearity. The limit of detection was 7 approximately 0.029 µg/Kg and 1.5 µg/Kg for Ni (II) and Zn (II), respectively. The proposed 8 9 method was applied for the pre-concentration and determination of Ni (II) and Zn (II) in 10 hydrogenated edible oils, fishes, and milk samples. The results of this study were compared with reported methods in the literature revealing its advantages. 11

Keywords: Metals extraction; Food Samples; sample preparation; Deep Eutectic Solvent; FAAS; mineralization

Heavy metals are well-known chemical pollutants present in various types of food. These metals 15 16 usually exist at very low concentration levels making their continuous monitoring more 17 challenging (Khan, Arain, & Soylak, 2020). For instance, Nickel (Ni) is toxic for the human body and can cause allergy, heart and kidney problems, lung and nasal cancer (Genchi, Carocci, 18 19 Lauria, Sinicropi, & Catalano, 2020). However, recent researches show that Ni (II) may have some beneficial effects (Shraim, Ahmad, Rahman, & Ng, 2022). Zinc is another essential 20 21 element present in food. Its deficiency causes slow wound healing, vision problems, decrease 22 growth rate, diarrhea, and Wilson disease. Moreover, zinc plays a key role in homeostasis, apoptosis, the immune system, and bone formation (Chasapis, Ntoupa, Spiliopoulou, & 23 24 Stefanidou, 2020). On the contrary, the excess of Zn may also cause a serious threat to human life and a high risk of prostate cancer, brain lethargy, and gastrointestinal problems, such as 25 vomiting, nausea, diarrhea (Plum, Rink, & Haase, 2010). 26

27 To determine Zn (II) and Ni (II) in foods samples at a very low concentration, various preconcentration techniques have been developed for the simultaneous pre-concentration and 28 determination of nickel and zinc, such as co-precipitation (Komjarova & Blust, 2006), solid-29 phase extraction (Roldan et al., 2003), liquid-liquid extraction (Mansur, Rocha, Magalhães, & 30 dos Santos Benedetto, 2008), and sequential extraction procedure (Alomary & Belhadj, 2007). 31 32 Unfortunately, these methods present drawbacks in terms of inefficiency, prolonged time, use of 33 toxic chemicals, high costs, and provide insufficient sample cleanup (Khan, Arain, Yamini, et al., 34 2020; Khan, Yamini, Baharfar, & Arain, 2019).

Deep eutectic solvents (DES) are a new class of green solvents introduced by Smith et al.(Smith,
Abbott, & Ryder, 2014). DESs seem to be the best alternative to conventional solvents. Their

37 usefulness was proved for several applications in separation techniques (Haq et al., 2021b; Momotko, Łuczak, Przyjazny, & Boczkaj, 2021). Generally, the preparation of DES is easy, 38 cheap, ecologically safe, less toxic and biologically acceptable (Harifi-Mood, Mohammadpour, 39 & Boczkaj, 2020; Kumar et al., 2020; Makoś & Boczkaj, 2019; Makoś, Fernandes, Przyjazny, & 40 Boczkaj, 2018). Due to the aforementioned advantages, DES-based pre-concentration methods 41 are getting great attention and a vast number of articles have been published in recent years 42 (Altunay & Tuzen, 2021; Elik, Demirbas, & Altunay, 2022; Hag et al., 2022). This latter method 43 is based on hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents (hDES) which were, for the first time, used for 44 45 liquid-liquid micro-extraction in 2015 (van Osch, Zubeir, van den Bruinhorst, Rocha, & Kroon, 2015). In 2018, hDES were initially implemented for metal extraction from an aqueous medium 46 (Schaeffer, Martins, Neves, Pinho, & Coutinho, 2018). hDESs are very effective for metal pre-47 concentration, displaying outstanding distribution coefficients specially for divalent metal ions 48 (Van Osch, Dietz, Warrag, & Kroon, 2020). However, this new potential aspect of hDESs still 49 needs more research to expand their applicability, especially in the field of analytical chemistry. 50

The present work timely proposes a new hydrophobic deep eutectic solvent liquid-liquid microextraction procedure for the pre-concentration of Ni (II) and Zn (II), followed by analysis using Flame atomic absorption spectroscopy (FAAS). This method was successfully applied for the analysis of Ni (II) and Zn (II) in hydrogenated edible oils, milk, and fishes.

MOST WIEDZY Downloaded from mostwiedzy.pl

56 2. Material and Methods

57 2.1 Instrumentation

A centrifuge (Model 2206A, China) was used for phase separation. A pH electrode (Professional Meter PP-15 with a glass-electrode, Germany) was used for pH adjustment. Power-Sonic 405 ultrasonic bath (Hwashin Technology, Seoul, Korea) with microwave power 1000 W and frequency 750 GHz was used for sonication. The quantitative analysis was carried out with a flame atomic absorption spectrometer Perkin Elmer AAnalyst 700 Model (Norwalk, CT, USA).

63 2.2 Reagents and Solutions

Analytical grade choline chloride, ethylene glycol, phenol, malonic acid, tetrabutylammonium 64 chloride, decanoic acid, and tetraoctylammonium chloride were used for the synthesis of DESs 65 without prior purification or solution formation. Analytical grade zinc chloride and nickel 66 chloride were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) and used for the preparation 67 of the standard metal solution. A 1, 10-phenanthroline stock solution (0.1 M) was prepared in 68 deionized pure water. Acetic acid, ammonia, sodium acetate, ammonium acetate, ammonium 69 chloride phosphoric acid, tetra butyl ammonium chloride, and disodium hydrogen phosphate 70 were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) and used for buffers preparation. 71 Analytical grade pure methanol was purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) was 72 used as received for dilution. Nitric acid, hydrochloric acid, potassium permanganate, sulphuric, 73 74 and perchloric acid were also purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) and used for the digestion of food samples. Ultrapure water was used as the working medium. 75

78 Five different types of DESs were evaluated for the pre-concentration of the target analytes from 79 the food matrix. DESs with different functionalities were selected for tailoring their properties 80 for the pre-concentration process. Hydrophobic DESs were tested to achieve higher recovery of the targeted analyte. DESs can be prepared in different molar ratios, however, in this study, 81 82 DESs were prepared with a molar ratio corresponding to the eutectic point providing their lower 83 viscosity and higher mass transfer at these conditions. DES1 was prepared from choline chloride 84 and ethylene glycol with a molar ratio of 1:1. DES2 was prepared from choline chloride and 85 phenol with a molar ratio of 1:2, while DES3 was prepared from choline chloride and malonic acid with a molar ratio of 1:3. DES4 was prepared from tetrabutylammonium chloride and 86 87 decanoic acid with a molar ratio of 1:2. Finally, DES5 was prepared from tetraoctylammonium chloride and decanoic acid with a molar ratio of 1:2. 88

89 2.4 Sampling and digestion

In spectroscopic analysis of metals, acid digestion is one of the most significant steps of the 90 entire analytical procedure. It has a substantial effect on the recovery of various analytes in 91 92 highly complex matrices. Digestion is also helpful to achieve the optimal sample preparation method with clearer background (low noise level) (Bader, 2011; Uddin et al., 2016). 93 Hydrogenated edible oil, milk, and fish samples were studied for pre-concentration and analysis 94 95 of Ni (II) and Zn (II). All the samples were digested according to the recommended methods in 96 the literature (Alomary & Belhadj, 2007; Begum, Bari, Jamaludin, & Hussin, 2012; Haq et al., 97 2021b). Triplicate samples were used for the analysis of target analytes. Hydrogenated edible 98 oils (Shama vanaspati ghee) were purchased from the local market of District Mardan, Pakistan. 99 Experimentally, composite samples (5 g) of hydrogenated edible oil were heated at 800 °C for 10

100 min in a furnace to decompose the organic matter. The obtained residues were dissolved in 5 mL 101 hydrochloric acid (2M). Then, the solutions were filtered, and the resulting filtrate was diluted with deionized water up to 25 mL (Purohit & Devi, 1995). Milk samples were oven-dried at 70 102 103 °C. Each composite sample (2 g) of dried milk was digested. The digestion was performed by adding 3.5 mL of nitric acid (70%) and 1.0 mL of H₂O₂ (30% v/v) to the sample and heating it at 104 90 °C and 750-watt microwave power for 10 min. The conditions were gradually varied to 180 105 °C temperature and 1000-watt microwave power for 10 min. After cooling, the digested 106 samples were transferred into 10 mL volumetric flasks and diluted to volume with nitric acid 107 108 (1% v/v) (Abdulkhaliq, Swaileh, Hussein, & Matani, 2012). The fish sample was digested 109 according to the procedure previously described and considered effective enough for this purpose (Fashi, Yaftian, & Zamani, 2017). Composite samples with edible parts (meat) of different fishes 110 were prepared. Briefly, Siluriformes fish (catfish) samples (5 g) were transferred to a digestion 111 flask containing 1 mL of deionized water, 1 mL of nitric acid, 1 mL of chloric acid, and 5 mL of 112 sulfuric acid with 2 drops of potassium permanganate (1% w/v). The resulting mixture was 113 heated at 150 °C until a clear solution was obtained – preliminary series of experiments revealed 114 that 25 minutes endure effective digestion. The solution was kept for a while to cool and then 115 116 diluted with ultrapure water to 50 mL.

117 2.5 *Optimization of the microextraction procedure*

Ni (II) and Zn (II) standard solutions were added to 10 mL sample in a falcon tube with a final concentration of 19.4 μ g/Kg for each metal. Buffer solutions (pH 6) (2 mL) and 1, 10phenanthroline (1 % w/v) (0.6 mL) were added to the matrix. The tube was tightly closed and shaken properly by hand. Subsequently DES (8 mL) was added, followed by sonication for 3 min at 25 °C. Finally, the mixture was centrifuged for 2 min with 4000 rpm to separate the aqueous and rich DES phase. The lower layer was discarded by a micropipette while the DES phase was collected. The collected DES phase was makeup with CH₃OH up to 3 mL mark and analyzed with FAAS.

126 2.6 Calculation of percent recovery and validation assays

Percent recovery (% R) was evaluated as a reference to determine the appropriate values of preconcentration parameters in the optimization studies. % R was calculated according to the
formula below.

130
$$\% R = \frac{Cd}{Ca} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

where Cd is the concentration determined in the spiked real sample while Ce is the expectedconcentration in the spiked real sample.

133 The % R was calculated as $C_d/C_e \times 100$, where C_d is the determined concentration and C_e is the 134 expected concentration.

135 LOD and LOQ were calculated using the following formulas.

136
$$LOD = \frac{3 \times \text{SD}}{m}$$
(2)

$$LOQ = \frac{10 \times \text{SD}}{\text{m}} \tag{3}$$

where LOD is limit of detection, SD is the residual standard deviation of regression lines, m is
the slope of the calibration curve, and LOQ is the limit of quantification (Chan, Lee, Lam, &
Zhang, 2004).

The pre-concentration factor (PF) was calculated as the concentration ratio of the analyte in the final extract (DES phase) ready for its determination and in the initial solution according to previously described method (Kazi et al., 2012). The pre-concentration factor was evaluated by using the following equation (Asgharinezhad et al., 2015; Asl, Yamini, Rezazadeh, & Seidi, 2015).

146
$$PF = \frac{Cf}{Ci} \qquad (4)$$

where *Cf* and *Ci* are the final and initial concentration of analytes in the DES phase (receiving
phase), and donor phase, respectively. The analyte concentration was determined in sample
solution before extraction (Ci) and after extraction in the DES phase (Cf).

150 Relative standard deviation was calculated using the following formula

151
$$RSD(\%) = \frac{SD}{Ca} \times 100$$
 (5)

152 where SD is the standard deviation and Ca is the average concentration of analyte.

153 2.7 Determination by FAAS

The DES extract was diluted with methanol up to 5 mL. The resulting samples were finally analyzed using FAAS. For this, standard solutions for Ni (39.88-997.0 μ g/Kg) and Zn (9.97-797.6 μ g/Kg) were prepared. High-capacity auto samplers along with WinLab32TM software were used. Air-acetylene flame was used for excitation. Hollow cathode lamp with single wavelength (Ni 232.0 nm, Zn 213.9 nm) was used as a light source. Gas flow rate was 2 L min⁻¹ for air and 2 L min⁻¹ for acetylene for each metal. Absorbance was determined for standard solutions of Ni (II) and Zn (II) ions and plotted as a calibration curve.

162 **3. Results and Discussion**

163 **3.1 Selection of parameters for optimal sample preparation**

164 *3.1.1 Effect of pH*

pH represents a key parameter in the transfer of the target analyte from the aqueous phase into 165 the DES phase (Haq et al., 2021a). pH significantly affects the formation of the metal-ligand 166 167 complex. As for 1,10 phenanthroline, it favors the formation of metal complexes with divalent metal ions in a slightly acidic medium (Lee, Kolthoff, & Leussing, 1948). Herein, the effect of 168 pH on the transfer of analytes from the aqueous phase to the DES phase was studied. In this 169 170 experiment, the % R of analyte was determined at different pH values in the range of 2-10. The pH was adjusted by using a buffer of respective pH. A citrate buffer was used for making a 171 buffer with pH 2, while a phosphate buffer was used for pH 4. Acetate buffer was used for pH 6. 172 Buffer with pH 8-10 was prepared from NH₄OH and NH₄Cl. A comparison of obtained results is 173 presented in Figure 1. The maximum % R was observed at pH 6 and thus selected as optimum 174 175 pH.

176 *3.1.2 Solvent selection and optimization*

The selection of a suitable solvent represents important aspect for developing an analytical 177 178 method. DESs, as a new class of green solvents, were used for pre-concentration due to their least toxicity, easy formation, high selectivity, low cost, biodegradable nature, and easy 179 availability (Makoś & Boczkaj, 2019; Makoś, Fernandes, et al., 2018; Makoś, Przyjazny, & 180 181 Boczkaj, 2018). In this research, various types of hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents (hDES) were tested for the recovery of the target analytes. Differently from many other approaches, this 182 183 method is based on the extraction of analytes after the digestion stage. Preliminary experiments 184 for this study revealed that selected DESs are much more effective to extract metals from

185 mineralized samples compared with direct extraction of metal-containing moieties from primary 186 samples. This becomes an important feature of DESs as some of them are able to extract, or simply dissolve, metals as well as metal oxides. This property is a big advantage comparing to 187 classic organic solvents (Richter & Ruck, 2019; Söldner, Zach, & König, 2019). It is worth 188 mentioning that selected approach provides a one very important feature; for example, the 189 mineralization step ensures the elimination of most matrix effects that could affect extraction 190 reproducibility. In this case, the primary sample matrix is reduced to simple inorganic species. 191 The extraction by DES allows obtaining additional selectivity while eliminating the matrix 192 193 effects. This should allow using one universal calibration for each analyte – independently from 194 the type of sample. All the DESs were tested at different pH (2-8). The results for different DESs are illustrated in Figure 2. It can be seen that the maximum recovery was obtained with choline 195 chloride-phenol (1:2)and tetrabutylammonium chloride-decanoic acid 196 (1:2).As tetrabutylammonium chloride-decanoic acid is comparatively more environmentally friendly, it 197 was selected as extracting solvent for this method. The optimum volume of DES was also 198 199 determined by changing its volume from 0.4 to 1.2 mL. The maximum % R was obtained using 0.8 mL of DES. Therefore, this latter quantity of DES extractant was selected as the optimum 200 201 volume for this experiment. Figure S1 provides the results for the optimization of DES volume. 1,10-phenanthroline is a common chelating agent and is readily used for complex formation with 202 Ni (II) and Zn (II) (Kruse & Brandt, 1952; Norman & Xie, 2004). Hence, the 1,10-203 204 phenanthroline was used as a chelating agent for the effective pre-concentration of metal cations

concentration was varied from 20.2 to 100.2 mg/L for 15 mL sample (concentration of analyte

through DES. To determine the optimum concentration of chelating agent, 1,10-phenanthroline

19.4 µg/Kg). Figure S2 shows the results for the optimization of chelating agent concentration,
revealing that 60 mg/L was found as optimum concentration of 1,10-phenanthroline.

Since ultra-sonication plays a key role in the mass transfer of the target analyte from the aqueous 209 210 to DES phase, the influence of sonication time was also evaluated by varying the sonication time from 0.5 to 4 min. Importantly, a maximum recovery for both analytes was achieved at 2 min 211 212 sonication, thus it was selected as the optimum time of sonication. Similarly, the effect of centrifugation time on the pre-concentration recovery of target analytes was performed by 213 changing the parameter in the range of 0.5-4 min. For 2 min centrifugation, maximum recovery 214 215 was obtained for both analytes, being selected as the optimum time for centrifugation. Figure 3 shows results for sonication and centrifugation time. 216

3.2 Method validation and application for analysis of real samples

218 *3.2.1 Analytical performance of the developed method*

The analytical characteristics were evaluated under optimum conditions. The calibration curves 219 were linear in the range of 39.88-997 µg/Kg and 9.97-797.6 µg/Kg for Ni (II) and Zn (II), 220 respectively. Calibration curves for both analytes were determined in two concentration ranges, 221 as follows: Range 1 for lower concentrations ranging from 39.88 to 99.4 µg/Kg and 9.97-79.76 222 μ g/Kg with a coefficient of determination (R²) of 0.9823 and 0.9865 for Ni (II) and Zn (II), 223 respectively. Range 2 for higher concentrations ranging from 99.4 to 997 µg/Kg and 79.76-797.6 224 μ g/Kg with a coefficient of determination (R²) of ca. 0.9942 and 0.9934 for Ni (II) and Zn (II), 225 226 respectively. Data presented in figures S3 and S4 indicate satisfactory linearity of the method.

Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) were determined according to the standard protocol by the Europe Union reference laboratory for calculation of LOD and LOQ in feed and food (Wenzl et al., 2016). The LOD values for Ni (II) and Zn (II) were calculated as 230 0.029 μ g/Kg and 1.542 μ g/Kg, respectively, while LOQ was estimated as 0.097 μ g/Kg and 1.17 231 μ g/Kg for Ni (II) and Zn (II), respectively, in real samples applying pre-concentration factor. The 232 relative standard deviation was 3.09 % for Ni (II) and 5.1 % for Zn (II).

The pre-concentration improves the efficiency and selectivity of an analytical method (Lum, 233 234 Tsoi, & Leung, 2014). This becomes relevant since food matrices are usually complex causing a 235 wide variety of potential interferences. In general, pre-concentration has two major implications: (1) the analyte is enriched and (2) matrix effects are minimized or even suppressed (Alampanos 236 & Samanidou, 2021; Simpson Jr, Quirino, & Terabe, 2008). The pre-concentration (also known 237 238 as enrichment) is a procedure in which the target species are quantitatively moved from large sample into a small volume of solvent. Occasionally, it is done using first pre-concentration on a 239 240 solid sorbent, followed by desorption of analytes. As a result, the analyte concentration is raised to detectable or determinable levels. The pre-concentration factor was calculated as ratio of the 241 analyte in the final extract (i.e., DES phase) and in the initial solution. The pre-concentration 242 243 factor was determined as 20 for both analytes.

244 *3.2.2 Interference study*

As it is well known food is a complex matrix that contains a large number of cations, anions as 245 246 well as biomolecules. To evaluate the interference effect and selectivity of the DES medium for Ni (II) and Zn (II), different concentrations of cations and anions were added to the sample 247 solution containing a known concentration of Ni (II) and Zn (II) and performed the pre-248 249 concentration procedure. Eight different cations and anions were added at higher concentrations. According to the results compiled in Table S1, the developed method is highly selective for the 250 251 pre-concentration and determination of Ni (II) and Zn (II). % R was found between 92.49-99.02 252 % and 95.43-98.93% for Ni (II) and Zn (II), respectively. Based on high % R in the presence of interfering ions, it was concluded that this method is highly selective for the pre-concentration Ni(II) and Zn (II).

255 *3.2.3 Applicability of the method*

The developed method was applied to real digested fish and hydrogenated edible oil samples for 256 257 the determination of Ni (II) and Zn (II). To evaluate the validity of the obtained results, spike 258 tests were carried out on the samples. Representative samples were prepared for each sample matrix from different sources, which were analyzed in triplicate. First samples were analyzed 259 without a spike using newly developed method. In hydrogenated oil samples, the Ni (II) 260 261 concentration was below the LOQ. The samples were spiked with Ni (II) standard solutions to 262 obtain expected concentration of 49.85 μ g/Kg and 99.70 μ g/Kg. Interestingly, the % R was found to be as high as 103.1-103.5 % with RSD 3.4-3.5 % for n=3. The same approach was done 263 for zinc, however, in the case of Zn (II), the concentration found in non-spiked sample was 25 264 μ g/Kg. The % R for Zn (II) was between 97.9-100% with RSD 2.8-3.8% for n=3. 265

In fish samples, the Ni (II) concentration was below the LOD, thus same level of concentrations for spiked samples was implemented. In this case, % R was found to be as high as 101.7-102.9% with RSD 2.6% for n=3. As for Zn (II), the concentration for non-spiked samples was found 21 μ g/Kg and % R for spiked samples was determined to be 95.27-96.2 % with RSD 4.2-4.8 % for n=3.

For composite milk samples, the Ni (II) concentration was 15 μ g/Kg. % R was found to be between 102.6-103.3 % with RSD 1.6-1.8 % for n=3. In same samples, the Zn (II) concentration was determined as 35 μ g/Kg while % R was between 101.4-103.9 % with RSD 2.4-2.7 % for n=3.

Ni (II) and Zn (II) concentration in edible oil depend on their source, soil texture, plant breed,
along with the refining and processing stages (Bevis & Hestrin, 2020; Sadeghzadeh, 2013).
Compiled data are presented in Table 2. This part of the study confirmed that developed method
provides an exceptional recovery of Ni (II) and Zn (II) among the different studied matrices.
Wide compatibility with hydrophilic and hydrophobic matrix makes this approach a promising
tool for precise determination of zinc and nickel for food control purposes.

281 *3.2.4 Comparison with existing methods*

The results of the developed method were compared with other reported protocols (see Table 1). 282 283 More interestingly, several methods for the pre-concentration of Nickel and Zinc in food samples were compared with our new assay. These reported methods include micro-emulsification as 284 sample preparation with FAAS analysis (Nunes et al., 2011), ultrasound-assisted liquid-liquid 285 extraction with high-resolution continuum source atomic absorption spectrometry (Trindade, 286 Dantas, Lima, Ferreira, & Teixeira, 2015), solid-phase extraction with inductively coupled 287 plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) (Feist & Mikula, 2014), cloud point extraction 288 with FAAS analysis (Galbeiro, Garcia, & Gaubeur, 2014), deep eutectic solvent-based liquid-289 290 liquid microextraction (Haq et al., 2021b), and magnetic solid-phase extraction (Sodan, Höl, 291 Caylak, & Elçi, 2020). These methods are relatively associated with one or more issues, such as toxicity of chemicals, use of excessive amounts of solvents, time-consuming, low sensitivity, 292 costly and multi-step pre-concentration procedures. 293

This newly developed method is simple and highly selective. As mentioned earlier, preconcentration takes place after the digestion stage, thus it is possible to highlight the advantages of the developed DES-based method at this step only. For instance, no heat is required at the preconcentration stage, but the most worthwhile advantage of this method is the successful usage of 298 green solvents instead of classic organic solvents (Fabjanowicz, Kalinowska, Namieśnik, & 299 Płotka-Wasylka, 2018). Few examples of typical organic solvents are 1-hexyl-3methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate [HMIM][PF₆, acetone, N,N'-bis(2-salicylaldiminato)-300 1,8-diamino-3,6-dioxaoctane (Rajabi, Asemipour, Barfi, Jamali, & Behzad, 301 2014). cyclohexylamine (Sorouraddin, Farajzadeh, & Okhravi, 2017), naphthalene modified with 302 303 organic-solution-processable functionalized nano graphene (Moghimi, 2014), neodecanoic acid, Versatic 10 (Ichlas & Purwadaria, 2017), acetone and 1-undecanol, diethyldithiocarbamate 304 (Amirkavei, Dadfarnia, & Shabani, 2013), and 1-(2-Pyridylazo)-2-naphthol (PAN) (Bidabadi, 305 306 Dadfarnia, & Shabani, 2009), etc. The impressive features of DESs are related to their high availability of components and easy preparation, biodegradability, minimal toxicity, low 307 volatility and costs (Arain, Yilmaz, & Soylak, 2016; Galbeiro et al., 2014; Haq et al., 2021a; 308 Kohli & Mittal, 2018; Makoś, Przyjazny, et al., 2018). The ICP-OES based methods display 309 comparatively better LOD; however, such methods need more complicated instrumentation. A 310 comparison of Ni and Zn concentration levels found in the tested samples of the present work 311 have been compared with concentration levels in similar food matrices determined by other 312 analytical methods, as reported in Table S2. 313

This method is an important "step forward" with respect to already published approaches. Compared to a previous study in which DES was also used as an extractant for Zn (II) determination (Haq et al., 2021b), in this case, phenol, as a hydrogen bond donor, was replaced by decanoic acid improving the greenness of DES. It follows from the much less toxic character of decanoic acid compared to phenol. Furthermore, this method is based on simultaneous preconcentration of two analytes. This newly developed approach also provides a wide range of applicability, high sensitivity and linearity range.

321 **4. Conclusions**

322 This work is a green solvent-based micro-extraction method for the simultaneous preconcentration of Ni (II) and Zn (II) for FAAS analysis. A green hydrophobic deep eutectic 323 324 solvent (based on tetrabutylammonium chloride and decanoic acid 1:2) was used for the preconcentration of the target analytes. Particularly, ultrasonication was used to form nanodroplets 325 326 of extractant and thus obtaining high pre-concentration efficiency. The developed method exhibits specific advantages in terms of broad linear range, simultaneous and short pre-327 concentration time, cost-effectiveness, low LOD, and easiness of operation. The method was 328 329 found to be compatible with different matrices under the same analytical parameters. It is obtained by both - simplification of matrix by mineralization and selectivity of extraction based 330 on selected DES. Thanks to its high selectivity, this method showed no interference from the 331 commonly existing cations and anions in the matrix. When compared with already reported 332 methods, it reveals appropriate results with many advantages over the conventional methods. It 333 334 was applied to different food samples including hydrogenated edible oil, milk, and fish samples, demonstrating comparable results with highly sensitive methods based on ICP-MS. 335

336 Acknowledgements

Prof. Grzegorz Boczkaj and Hameed Ul Haq gratefully acknowledge the financial support from
the National Science Centre, Warsaw, Poland – decision no. UMO-2018/30/E/ST8/00642.

Prof. Muhammad Balal Arain gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the Higher
Education Commission of Pakistan (NRPU No.20-3925/R&D/NRPU/HEC/2014), PAK-US
Science and Technology Cooperation (Pak-US No 6-4/PAK-US/HEC/2015/04), and Pakistan
Science Foundation joint research projects with MSRT, Iran No. PSF-MSRT/ENV/KPAWKUM. R. Castro-Muñoz acknowledges the financial support from Polish National Agency

344 for Academic Exchange (NAWA) under Ulam Programme (Agreement No.

345 PPN/ULM/2020/1/00005/U/00001).

346 **References**

- Abdulkhaliq, A., Swaileh, K., Hussein, R. M., & Matani, M. (2012). Levels of metals (Cd, Pb, Cu and Fe) in
 cow's milk, dairy products and hen's eggs from the West Bank, Palestine.
- Alampanos, V., & Samanidou, V. (2021). Current trends in green sample preparation before liquid
 chromatographic bioanalysis. *Current Opinion in Green and Sustainable Chemistry, 31*, 100499.
- Alomary, A. A., & Belhadj, S. (2007). Determination of heavy metals (Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Ni, Pb, Zn) by ICP-OES
 and their speciation in Algerian Mediterranean Sea sediments after a five-stage sequential
 extraction procedure. *Environmental monitoring and assessment*, 135(1), 265-280.
- Altunay, N., & Tuzen, M. (2021). A simple and green ultrasound liquid–liquid microextraction method
 based on low viscous hydrophobic deep eutectic solvent for the preconcentration and
 separation of selenium in water and food samples prior to HG-AAS detection. *Food Chemistry*,
 364, 130371.
- Amirkavei, M., Dadfarnia, S., & Shabani, A. M. H. (2013). Dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction based
 on solidification of floating organic drop for simultaneous separation/preconcentration of nickel,
 cobalt and copper prior to determination by electrothermal atomic absorption spectrometry.
 Química Nova, 36(1), 63-68.
- Arain, M. B., Yilmaz, E., & Soylak, M. (2016). Deep eutectic solvent based ultrasonic assisted liquid phase
 microextraction for the FAAS determination of cobalt. *Journal of Molecular Liquids, 224*, 538 543.
- Asgharinezhad, A. A., Rezvani, M., Ebrahimzadeh, H., Shekari, N., Ahmadinasab, N., & Loni, M. (2015).
 Solid phase extraction of Pb (II) and Cd (II) ions based on murexide functionalized magnetic
 nanoparticles with the aid of experimental design methodology. *Analytical Methods, 7*(24),
 10350-10358.
 - Asl, Y. A., Yamini, Y., Rezazadeh, M., & Seidi, S. (2015). Electromembrane extraction using a cylindrical electrode: a new view for the augmentation of extraction efficiency. *Analytical Methods*, 7(1), 197-204.
 - Bader, N. R. (2011). Sample preparation for flame atomic absorption spectroscopy: an overview. *Rasayan Journal of Chemistry, 4*(1), 49-55.
 - Begum, N., Bari, F., Jamaludin, S. B., & Hussin, K. (2012). Solvent extraction of copper, nickel and zinc by Cyanex 272. *International journal of physical sciences, 7*(22), 2905-2910.
 - Bevis, L. E., & Hestrin, R. (2020). Variation in crop zinc concentration influences estimates of dietary Zn inadequacy. *PloS one, 15*(7), e0234770.
 - Bidabadi, M. S., Dadfarnia, S., & Shabani, A. M. H. (2009). Solidified floating organic drop microextraction (SFODME) for simultaneous separation/preconcentration and determination of cobalt and nickel by graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry (GFAAS). *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 166(1), 291-296.
 - Chan, C. C., Lee, Y., Lam, H., & Zhang, X.-M. (2004). *Analytical method validation and instrument performance verification*: John Wiley & Sons.
 - Chasapis, C. T., Ntoupa, P.-S. A., Spiliopoulou, C. A., & Stefanidou, M. E. (2020). Recent aspects of the effects of zinc on human health. *Archives of Toxicology*, 1-18.

369 370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

386 Elik, A., Demirbas, A., & Altunay, N. (2022). Experimental design of ligandless sonication-assisted liquid-387 phases microextraction based on hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents for accurate 388 determination of Pb (II) and Cd (II) from waters and food samples at trace levels. Food 389 Chemistry, 371, 131138. 390 Fabjanowicz, M., Kalinowska, K., Namieśnik, J., & Płotka-Wasylka, J. (2018). Evaluation of green sample 391 preparation techniques for organic compounds. Current Green Chemistry, 5(3), 168-176. 392 Fashi, A., Yaftian, M. R., & Zamani, A. (2017). Electromembrane extraction-preconcentration followed by 393 microvolume UV–Vis spectrophotometric determination of mercury in water and fish samples. 394 Food Chemistry, 221, 714-720. 395 Feist, B., & Mikula, B. (2014). Preconcentration of heavy metals on activated carbon and their 396 determination in fruits by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry. Food 397 Chemistry, 147, 302-306. 398 Galbeiro, R., Garcia, S., & Gaubeur, I. (2014). A green and efficient procedure for the preconcentration 399 and determination of cadmium, nickel and zinc from freshwater, hemodialysis solutions and 400 tuna fish samples by cloud point extraction and flame atomic absorption spectrometry. Journal 401 of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology, 28(2), 160-165. 402 Genchi, G., Carocci, A., Lauria, G., Sinicropi, M. S., & Catalano, A. (2020). Nickel: human health and 403 environmental toxicology. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17(3), 679. 404 405 Haq, H. U., Balal, M., Castro-Muñoz, R., Hussain, Z., Safi, F., Ullah, S., & Boczkaj, G. (2021a). Deep 406 eutectic solvents based assay for extraction and determination of zinc in fish and eel samples 407 using FAAS. Journal of Molecular Liquids, 115930. 408 Haq, H. U., Balal, M., Castro-Muñoz, R., Hussain, Z., Safi, F., Ullah, S., & Boczkaj, G. (2021b). Deep 409 eutectic solvents based assay for extraction and determination of zinc in fish and eel samples 410 using FAAS. Journal of Molecular Liquids, 333, 115930. 411 Hag, H. U., Bibi, R., Arain, M. B., Safi, F., Ullah, S., Castro-Muñoz, R., & Boczkaj, G. (2022). Deep eutectic 412 solvent (DES) with silver nanoparticles (Ag-NPs) based assay for analysis of lead (II) in edible oils. 413 Food Chemistry, 132085. 414 Harifi-Mood, A. R., Mohammadpour, F., & Boczkaj, G. (2020). Solvent dependency of carbon dioxide 415 Henry's constant in aqueous solutions of choline chloride-ethylene glycol based deep eutectic solvent. Journal of Molecular Liquids, 319, 114173. 416 417 Ichlas, Z. T., & Purwadaria, S. (2017). Solvent extraction separation of nickel and cobalt from a sulfate 418 solution containing iron (II) and magnesium using versatic 10. Paper presented at the AIP 419 Conference Proceedings. 420 Kazi, T. G., Shah, F., Afridi, H. I., Khan, S., Arian, S. S., & Brahman, K. D. (2012). A green preconcentration 421 method for determination of cobalt and lead in fresh surface and waste water samples prior to 422 flame atomic absorption spectrometry. Journal of analytical methods in chemistry, 2012. 423 Khan, W. A., Arain, M. B., & Soylak, M. (2020). Nanomaterials-based solid phase extraction and solid 424 phase microextraction for heavy metals food toxicity. Food and Chemical Toxicology, 111704. 425 Khan, W. A., Arain, M. B., Yamini, Y., Shah, N., Kazi, T. G., Pedersen-Bjergaard, S., & Tajik, M. (2020). 426 Hollow fiber-based liquid phase microextraction followed by analytical instrumental techniques 427 for quantitative analysis of heavy metal ions and pharmaceuticals. Journal of Pharmaceutical 428 Analysis, 10(2), 109-122. 429 Khan, W. A., Yamini, Y., Baharfar, M., & Arain, M. B. (2019). A new microfluidic-chip device for selective 430 and simultaneous extraction of drugs with various properties. New Journal of Chemistry, 43(24), 431 9689-9695. 432 Kohli, R., & Mittal, K. (2018). Developments in Surface Contamination and Cleaning: Applications of 433 Cleaning Techniques: Volume 11 (Vol. 11): Elsevier. 19

- Komjarova, I., & Blust, R. (2006). Comparison of liquid–liquid extraction, solid-phase extraction and co precipitation preconcentration methods for the determination of cadmium, copper, nickel, lead
 and zinc in seawater. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, *576*(2), 221-228.
- Kruse, J., & Brandt, W. (1952). Investigation and Application of Zinc-1, 10-Phenanthroline Complexes.
 Analytical Chemistry, 24(8), 1306-1308.
- Kumar, A. K., Sharma, S., Dixit, G., Shah, E., Patel, A., & Boczkaj, G. (2020). Techno-economic evaluation
 of a natural deep eutectic solvent-based biorefinery: Exploring different design scenarios. *Biofuels, Bioproducts and Biorefining, 14*(4), 746-763.
- Lee, T., Kolthoff, I., & Leussing, D. (1948). Reaction of ferrous and ferric ions with 1, 10-phenanthroline.
 II. Kinetics of formation and dissociation of ferrous phenanthroline. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, *70*(11), 3596-3600.
- Lum, T.-S., Tsoi, Y.-K., & Leung, K. S.-Y. (2014). Current developments in clinical sample preconcentration
 prior to elemental analysis by atomic spectrometry: a comprehensive literature review. *Journal* of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry, 29(2), 234-241.
- Makoś, P., & Boczkaj, G. (2019). Deep eutectic solvents based highly efficient extractive desulfurization
 of fuels–Eco-friendly approach. *Journal of Molecular Liquids, 296*, 111916.
- Makoś, P., Fernandes, A., Przyjazny, A., & Boczkaj, G. (2018). Sample preparation procedure using
 extraction and derivatization of carboxylic acids from aqueous samples by means of deep
 eutectic solvents for gas chromatographic-mass spectrometric analysis. *Journal of Chromatography A, 1555*, 10-19.
- Makoś, P., Przyjazny, A., & Boczkaj, G. (2018). Hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents as "green" extraction
 media for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in aqueous samples. *Journal of Chromatography A*,
 1570, 28-37.
- Mansur, M. B., Rocha, S. D. F., Magalhães, F. S., & dos Santos Benedetto, J. (2008). Selective extraction
 of zinc (II) over iron (II) from spent hydrochloric acid pickling effluents by liquid–liquid
 extraction. Journal of hazardous materials, 150(3), 669-678.
- Moghimi, A. (2014). Extraction of Ni (II) on micro crystalline naphthalene modified with organic-solution processable functionalized nano graphene. *Russian Journal of Physical Chemistry A, 88*(7), 1177 1183.
- Momotko, M., Łuczak, J., Przyjazny, A., & Boczkaj, G. (2021). First deep eutectic solvent-based (DES)
 stationary phase for gas chromatography and future perspectives for DES application in
 separation techniques. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1635, 461701.
- 466 Norman, R. E., & Xie, M. (2004). Nickel (II) 1, 10-Phenanthroline complexes: cis-[Aqua (Bromo) bis (1, 10-467 Phenanthroline) Nickel (II)] Bromide Trihydrate and (tris (1, 10-Phenanthroline) Nickel (II)]
 468 Bromide Octahydrate. *Journal of Coordination Chemistry*, *57*(5), 425-434.
 - Nunes, L. S., Barbosa, J. T., Fernandes, A. P., Lemos, V. A., Dos Santos, W. N., Korn, M. G. A., & Teixeira, L. S. (2011). Multi-element determination of Cu, Fe, Ni and Zn content in vegetable oils samples by high-resolution continuum source atomic absorption spectrometry and microemulsion sample preparation. *Food Chemistry*, 127(2), 780-783.
 - Özdemir,S., Kilinc, E. & Oner, E. T. 2019. Preconcentrations and determinations of copper, nickel and lead in baby food samples employing Coprinus silvaticus immobilized multi-walled carbon nanotube as solid phase sorbent. Food chemistry, 276, 174-179.
 - Özdemir, S., Yalçin, M. S. & Kilinç, E. 2021. Preconcentrations of Ni (II) and Pb (II) from water and food samples by solid-phase extraction using Pleurotus ostreatus immobilized iron oxide nanoparticles. Food Chemistry, 336, 127675
 - Plum, L. M., Rink, L., & Haase, H. (2010). The essential toxin: impact of zinc on human health. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 7(4), 1342-1365.

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

- Purohit, R., & Devi, S. (1995). Determination of trace amounts of nickel by chelating ion exchange and
 on-line enrichment in flow injection spectrophotometry. *Analyst, 120*(2), 555-559.
- Rajabi, M., Asemipour, S., Barfi, B., Jamali, M. R., & Behzad, M. (2014). Ultrasound-assisted ionic liquid
 based dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction and flame atomic absorption spectrometry of
 cobalt, copper, and zinc in environmental water samples. *Journal of Molecular Liquids, 194*, 166171.
- 487 Richter, J., & Ruck, M. (2019). Synthesis and dissolution of metal oxides in ionic liquids and deep eutectic
 488 solvents. *Molecules*, 25(1), 78.
- Roldan, P., Alcântara, I., Castro, G., Rocha, J. C., Padilha, C., & Padilha, P. (2003). Determination of Cu, Ni,
 and Zn in fuel ethanol by FAAS after enrichment in column packed with 2-aminothiazolemodified silica gel. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, *375*(4), 574-577.
- 492 Sadeghzadeh, B. (2013). A review of zinc nutrition and plant breeding. *Journal of soil science and plant* 493 *nutrition*, 13(4), 905-927.
- 494 Schaeffer, N., Martins, M. A., Neves, C. M., Pinho, S. P., & Coutinho, J. A. (2018). Sustainable
 495 hydrophobic terpene-based eutectic solvents for the extraction and separation of metals.
 496 *Chemical Communications, 54*(58), 8104-8107.
- Shraim, A. M., Ahmad, M. I., Rahman, M. S. F., & Ng, J. C. (2022). Concentrations of essential and toxic
 elements and health risk assessment in brown rice from Qatari market. *Food Chemistry*, *376*,
 131938.
- Simpson Jr, S. L., Quirino, J. P., & Terabe, S. (2008). On-line sample preconcentration in capillary
 electrophoresis: Fundamentals and applications. *Journal of Chromatography A*, *1184*(1-2), 504 541.
- Smith, E. L., Abbott, A. P., & Ryder, K. S. (2014). Deep eutectic solvents (DESs) and their applications.
 Chemical reviews, 114(21), 11060-11082.
- Sodan, N. E., Höl, A., Çaylak, O., & Elçi, L. (2020). Use of Fe3O4 magnetic nanoparticles coated with
 polythiophene for simultaneous preconcentration of Cu (II), Co (II), Cd (II), Ni (II) and Zn (II) ions
 prior to their determination by MIS-FAAS.
- Söldner, A., Zach, J., & König, B. (2019). Deep eutectic solvents as extraction media for metal salts and
 oxides exemplarily shown for phosphates from incinerated sewage sludge ash. *Green Chemistry*,
 21(2), 321-328.
- Sorouraddin, S. M., Farajzadeh, M. A., & Okhravi, T. (2017). Cyclohexylamine as extraction solvent and
 chelating agent in extraction and preconcentration of some heavy metals in aqueous samples
 based on heat-induced homogeneous liquid-liquid extraction. *Talanta*, 175, 359-365.
 - Trindade, A. S., Dantas, A. F., Lima, D. C., Ferreira, S. L., & Teixeira, L. S. (2015). Multivariate optimization of ultrasound-assisted extraction for determination of Cu, Fe, Ni and Zn in vegetable oils by high-resolution continuum source atomic absorption spectrometry. *Food Chemistry*, *185*, 145-150.
 - Uddin, A. H., Khalid, R. S., Alaama, M., Abdualkader, A. M., Kasmuri, A., & Abbas, S. (2016). Comparative study of three digestion methods for elemental analysis in traditional medicine products using atomic absorption spectrometry. *Journal of analytical science and technology, 7*(1), 1-7.
 - Van Osch, D. J., Dietz, C. H., Warrag, S. E., & Kroon, M. C. (2020). The Curious Case of Hydrophobic Deep Eutectic Solvents: A Story on the Discovery, Design, and Applications. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 8(29), 10591-10612.
 - van Osch, D. J., Zubeir, L. F., van den Bruinhorst, A., Rocha, M. A., & Kroon, M. C. (2015). Hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents as water-immiscible extractants. *Green Chemistry*, *17*(9), 4518-4521.
 - Wenzl, T., Haedrich, J., Schaechtele, A., Piotr, R., Stroka, J., Eppe, G., & Scholl, G. (2016). Guidance Document on the Estimation of LOD and LOQ for Measurements in the Field of Contaminants in Food and Feed: Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements (IRMM).

514 515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

Credit authorship contribution statement

Fazal Elahi: Formal analysis, methodology. **Muhammad Balal Arain:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Investigation, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition. **Wajid Ali:** Writing - original draft. **Hameed Ul Haq:** Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing - original draft, Investigation, Writing - review & editing. **Asif Khan:** Formal analysis. **Roberto Castro-Muñoz:** Writing - review & editing. **Grzegorz Boczkaj*:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Investigation, Writing - original draft, Writing review & editing, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition.



Tables

Table 1. Comparative study

Analytical method	Detection tool	LOD		Linearity range		RSD (%)		Matrix with Ni Zn	References
		Ni	Zn	Ni	Zn	Ni	Zn		
Micro-	aFAAS	580	120	100-	100-	5-11	5-9	Edible	(Nunes et
emulsion		$(\mu g/L)$	$(\mu g/L)$	4500	4500			oils	al., 2011)
				$(\mu g/L)$	(µg/L)				
^b UAE-	FAAS	210	40	300-	300-	2.0	3.6	Edible	(Trindade et
LLE		$(\mu g/L)$	(µg/L)	2000	2000			oils	al., 2015)
				$(\mu g/L)$	(µg/L)				
^c SPE	^d ICP OES	2.60	1.50	0.1–2	0.1–2	1.3	1.3	Food	(Feist and
				(µg/L)	(µg/L)				Mikula, 2014)
SPE	ICP OES	0.016		0.33-		1.3		Baby	(Ozdemir et
		$(\mu g/L)$		16.66				food	al., 2019)
°CDE		26	2.2	$(\mu g/L)$	50.00	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		(Callbaire at
CPE	ГААЗ	2.0	2.3	2.5-100	5.0-80	2- 2.6	2- 2.6	Food	(Galdelro et al 2014)
SPE	ICP OES	0.019		0.2-10		3		Food	(Özdemir et
		$(\mu g/L)$		$(\mu g/L)$		-			al., 2021)
^f DES-	FAAS		0.041		0.25-15		1.7	Fishes	(Haq et al.,
LLME			(µg/Kg)		(µg/Kg)				2021)
^h MSPE	FAAS	9.6	1.2	13-80	3-27	1.1-	1.1-	Soil,	(Sodan et
		(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	(µg/L)	9.2	9.2	Leaves	al., 2020)
DES-	FAAS	0.029	1.54	39.8-997	9.97-798	3.09	5.1	Milk,	This work
LLME		(µg/Kg)	(µg/Kg)	(µg/Kg)	(µg/Kg)			Oil, Fish	

^aFAAS: Flame atomic absorption spectrometer, ^bUAE-LLE: Ultrasound- assisted emulsification liquid-liquid extraction, ^cSPE: Solid phase extraction, ^dICP-OES: Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy, ^eCPE: Cloud point extraction ^fDES-LLME: Deep eutectic solvent, liquid-liquid micro extraction, ^hMSPE: Magnetic solid phase extraction.

Sample	Metal	Analyte added (µg/Kg)	Analyte found (µg/Kg)	% Recovery	% RSD (n=3)
Hydrogenated	Ni	0.00	< LOD		
oil		49.85	51.4	103.1	± 3.4
		99.70	103.2	103.5	± 3.5
	Zn	0.00	25.0		
		49.85	73.3	97.9	± 2.8
		99.70	124.8	100.0	± 3.8
Fishes	Ni	0.00	< LOD		
		49.85	51.3	102.9	± 2.6
		99.70	101.4	101.7	± 2.6
	Zn	0.00	21.0		
		49.85	68.2	96.2	± 4.8
		99.70	115.5	95.27	± 4.2
Milk	Ni	0.00	15.0		
		49.85	66.6	102.6	±1.6
		99.70	118.5	103.3	± 1.8
_	Zn	0.00	35.0		
		49.85	88.2	103.9	±2.7
		99.70	136.6	101.4	± 2.4

Table 2. Determination of Ni (II) and Zn (II) in hydrogenated oil, fishes, and milk samples.





Fig 1. Optimization of pH. Sample volume: 15 mL, DES: 0.8 mL, Ni (II): 19.4 µg/Kg, Zn (II): 19.4 µg/Kg, Buffer volume: 1 mL, Ligand: 5.3 mg/L, Sonication: 2 min, Centrifugation: 2 min.



Fig 2. Selection of DES. Sample volume: 15 mL, DES: 0.8 mL, Ni (II): 19.4µg/Kg, Zn (II): 19.4µg/Kg, Buffer volume: 1 mL, Ligand: 5.3 mg/L, Sonication: 2 min, Centrifugation: 2 min.



Fig 3. Time optimization for sonication and centrifugation. Sample volume: 15 mL, DES: 0.8 mL, Ni (II): 19.4 μ g/Kg, Zn (II): 19.4 μ g/Kg, Buffer volume: 1 mL, Ligand: 5.3 mg/L.

Supplementary Data

Ultrasound-assisted deep eutectic solvent-based liquid-liquid

microextraction for simultaneous determination of Ni (II) and Zn (II) in

food samples

Fazal Elahi¹, Muhammad Balal Arain², Wajid Ali¹, Hameed Ul Haq³, Asif Khan¹, Faheem Jan⁴, Roberto Castro-Muñoz^{3,5}, Grzegorz Boczkaj^{3,6,*}

¹Department of Chemistry, Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan, 23200, KP, Pakistan. Email: *wajidalikhan890@gmail.com*

²Department of Chemistry, University of Karachi, Karachi 75270, Pakistan. Email: <u>bilal_ku2004@yahoo.com</u>

³Gdansk University of Technology, Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Department of Sanitary Engineering, 80 – 233 Gdansk, G. Narutowicza St. 11/12, Poland. Email: <u>grzegorz.boczkaj@pg.edu.pl</u> / <u>hameed.haq@pg.edu.pl</u>

⁴School of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Science and Technology of China, Shenyang 110016, Liaoning, People's Republic of China

⁵Tecnologico de Monterrey, Campus Toluca, Avenida Eduardo Monroy, Cárdenas 2000 San Antonio Buenavista, 50110 Toluca de Lerdo, Mexico

⁶EkoTech Center, Gdansk University of Technology, G. Narutowicza St. 11/12, 80-233 Gdansk, Poland

*Corresponding author: Dr Grzegorz Boczkaj, Assoc. Prof., PhD. Sc. Eng. Gdansk University of Technology, Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Department of Sanitary Engineering, 80 – 233 Gdansk, G. Narutowicza St. 11/12, Poland. Fax: (+48 58) 347-26-94; Tel: (+48) 697970303; e-mail: grzegorz.boczkaj@gmail.com or grzegorz.boczkaj@pg.edu.pl



Fig S1. Effect of DES volume. Sample volume: 15 mL, Ni (II): 19.4 μ g/Kg, Zn (II): 19.4 μ g/Kg, Buffer volume: 1 mL, Ligand: 5.3 mg/L, Sonication: 2 min, Centrifugation: 2 min.



Fig S2. Optimization of chelating agent. Sample volume: 15 mL, DES: 0.8 mL, Ni (II): 19.4 μ g/Kg, Zn (II): 19.4 μ g/Kg, Buffer volume: 1 mL, Sonication: 2 min, Centrifugation: 2 min.



Fig S3. Calibration curve for Ni (II). Sample volume: 15 mL, DES: 0.8 mL, Zn (II): 19.4 µg/Kg, Buffer volume: 1 mL, Ligand: 5.3 mg/L, Sonication: 2 min, Centrifugation: 2 min.

Fig S4. Calibration curve for Zn (II). Sample volume: 15 mL, DES: 0.8 mL, Ni (II): 19.4 µg/Kg, Buffer volume: 1 mL, Ligand: 5.3 mg/L, Sonication: 2 min, Centrifugation: 2 min.

Ions	Added as	Tolerance limit	% Red	covery
		(mg/L)	Ni	Zn
Cl ⁻¹	NaCl	1000	95.43	98.72
SO_4^{-2}	Na_2SO_4	2000	94.05	97.93
Pb^{+2}	$Pb(NO_3)_2$	20	98.84	96.49
Fe ⁺²	FeSO ₄ .7H ₂ O	10	92.49	95.62
Cd^{+2}	Cd (NO ₃) ₂	15	93.85	95.43
Na ⁺¹	NaCl	1000	98.24	98.93
K^{+1}	KCl	1000	97.83	98.47
Mg ⁺²	$Mg(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$	2000	99.02	97.64

Table S1. Effect of co-existing ions on the extraction recovery of Ni (II) and Zn (II)

Matrix	Sample type	LOD		Conce	References	
		Ni (II)	Zn (II)	Ni (II)	Zn (II)	
Edible oil	Soybean oil Olive oil Sunflower oil	580 μg/Kg	120 μg/Kg	2.74 μg/Kg < LOD < LOD	< LOD 4.30 µg/Kg < LOD	(Nunes et al., 2011)
Edible oil	Aqueous			0.0436	0.2436	(Trindade, Dontos Limo
	vegetable oil	210 μg/L	40 μg/L	μg/Kg 0.0438 μg/Kg	μg/Kg 0.2497 μg/Kg	Ferreira, & Teixeira, 2015)
Food	Fruits	2.60 μg/Kg	1.50 μg/Kg	3.25 µg/Kg	3.79 µg/Kg	(Feist & Mikula, 2014)
Baby food	Dry baby milk			0.032		(Ozdemir,
	Dry baby milk (organic) Dry baby milk with fruit	0.016 μg/Kg		μg/Kg < LOD < LOD		Coner, 2019)
	Infant food with fruit			< LOD		
Food	reference material	2.6 μg/L	2.3 μg/L	9.90 µg/L	9.83 μg/L	(Galbeiro, Garcia, & Gaubeur, 2014)
	Hemodialysis solution			23.2 μg/L	23.7 µg/L	
Food	Dry baby milk Tuna fish	0.019 µg/Kg	0.2 µg/Kg	< LOD < LOD <lod< td=""><td></td><td>(Özdemír, Yalçın, & Kılınç, 2021)</td></lod<>		(Özdemír, Yalçın, & Kılınç, 2021)
Fishes	Fish		0.041 μg/Kg		< LOD	(Haq et al., 2021)
Soil, Leaves	Eei Tibet Soil Strawberry Leaves	9.6 μg/g	1.2 μg/g	 31.1 μg/g 2.6 μσ/σ	0.042 μg/Kg 58.0 μg/g	(Sodan, Höl, Çaylak, & Elçi, 2020)
Food	Hydrogenated oil Fishes Milk	0.029 μg/Kg	1.54 μg/Kg	 < LOD < LOD < LOD 15 μg/Kg 	25.0 μg/Kg 21.0 μg/Kg 35 μg/Kg	This work

Table S2. Comparison of Ni (II) and Zn (II) concentrations in real samples, LOD, Matrix and method of analysis.

References

- Feist, B., & Mikula, B. (2014). Preconcentration of heavy metals on activated carbon and their determination in fruits by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry. *Food Chemistry*, 147, 302-306.
- Galbeiro, R., Garcia, S., & Gaubeur, I. (2014). A green and efficient procedure for the preconcentration and determination of cadmium, nickel and zinc from freshwater, hemodialysis solutions and tuna fish samples by cloud point extraction and flame atomic absorption spectrometry. *Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology, 28*(2), 160-165.
- Haq, H. U., Balal, M., Castro-Muñoz, R., Hussain, Z., Safi, F., Ullah, S., & Boczkaj, G. (2021). Deep eutectic solvents based assay for extraction and determination of zinc in fish and eel samples using FAAS. *Journal of Molecular Liquids*, 115930.
- Nunes, L. S., Barbosa, J. T., Fernandes, A. P., Lemos, V. A., Dos Santos, W. N., Korn, M. G. A., & Teixeira, L. S. (2011). Multi-element determination of Cu, Fe, Ni and Zn content in vegetable oils samples by high-resolution continuum source atomic absorption spectrometry and microemulsion sample preparation. *Food Chemistry*, 127(2), 780-783.
- Ozdemir, S., Kilinc, E., & Oner, E. T. (2019). Preconcentrations and determinations of copper, nickel and lead in baby food samples employing Coprinus silvaticus immobilized multi-walled carbon nanotube as solid phase sorbent. *Food Chemistry, 276*, 174-179.
- Özdemir, S., Yalçın, M. S., & Kılınç, E. (2021). Preconcentrations of Ni (II) and Pb (II) from water and food samples by solid-phase extraction using Pleurotus ostreatus immobilized iron oxide nanoparticles. *Food Chemistry, 336*, 127675.
- Sodan, N. E., Höl, A., Çaylak, O., & Elçi, L. (2020). Use of Fe3O4 magnetic nanoparticles coated with polythiophene for simultaneous preconcentration of Cu (II), Co (II), Cd (II), Ni (II) and Zn (II) ions prior to their determination by MIS-FAAS. *Acta Chimica Slovenica*, *67*(2), 375-385.
- Trindade, A. S., Dantas, A. F., Lima, D. C., Ferreira, S. L., & Teixeira, L. S. (2015). Multivariate optimization of ultrasound-assisted extraction for determination of Cu, Fe, Ni and Zn in vegetable oils by high-resolution continuum source atomic absorption spectrometry. *Food Chemistry*, *185*, 145-150.