1 Which casein in sodium caseinate is most resistant to in vitro digestion? Effect of

- 2 emulsification and enzymatic structuring
- 3

4	Franziska Böttger ¹ , Didier	Dupont ¹ , Dorota	Marcinkowska ² ,	Balazs	Bajka³,	Alan	Mackie ⁴ ,	Adam
5	Macierzanka ^{1,2,5} *							

- 6
- ¹ STLO, INRA, Agrocampus Ouest, 65 Rue de St. Brieuc, 35000 Rennes, France
- 8 ² Department of Colloid and Lipid Science, Faculty of Chemistry, Gdansk University of Technology,
- 9 Narutowicza 11/12, 80-233 Gdansk, Poland
- 10 ³ Department of Nutritional Sciences, King's College London, London SE1 9NH, UK
- 11 ⁴ School of Food Science & Nutrition, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK
- ⁵ Institute of Food Research, Norwich Research Park, Colney Lane, Norwich NR4 7UA, UK
- 13
- 14 *Corresponding author. E-mail address: adam.macierzanka@pg.edu.pl (A. Macierzanka). Present address:
- 15 Gdansk University of Technology.
- 16 Declarations of interest: none
- 17

18 Abstract

19 We investigated the resistance of individual constituent case peitopes (αS_1 -, αS_2 -, β - and κ -CN) 20 in food-grade milk protein sodium caseinate (NaCN) to simulated human gastro-duodenal digestion. 21 The influence of NaCN adsorption to the surface of oil-in-water emulsion droplets and the effect of 22 crosslinking of the protein with enzyme transglutaminase (TG) on the proteolysis were studied by 23 indirect ELISA. TG crosslinking rendered fragments of casein molecules significantly resistant to 24 digestion. However, it depended on the type of casein and whether NaCN was presented in solution 25 or emulsion. The crosslinking was found to considerably hinder the digestion of several amino acid 26 regions in one of the major caseins of NaCN, β -CN. For α S₁- and α S₂-CN, only limited resistance to 27 digestive enzymes was observed after NaCN had been crosslinked in solution but not (or to a limited 28 extent) in emulsion. κ-CN proved to be the least resistant to the enzymatic hydrolysis regardless of 29 the TG treatment. Our work shows for the first time how the digestibility of individual components of 30 important food-grade protein ingredients can differ in a complex, colloidal food system. It also shows 31 an example of how the digestibility can be modulated by chemical and physical structuring.

32

33 Keywords: Digestion; Sodium caseinate; ELISA; Emulsion; Transglutaminase; Casein

34

35 **1. Introduction**

Micro- and macro-structural organisations of proteins in foods are often generated by various food processing methods (e.g., emulsification, heating, gelation, enzymatic treatment, etc.). Although required to create desirable, functional structures in food, the processing can render proteins either significantly less or significantly more accessible for the digestive enzymes of the human 40 gastrointestinal tract and hence modify amino acid bioaccessibility during digestion (Singh & Ye, 2013;

41 Gan, Bornhorst, Henrick, & German, 2018).

42 The digestion of a single protein leads to the release of hundreds of peptides in the gut lumen that 43 can be identified by mass spectrometry (Boutrou et al., 2013) but the information is only semi-44 quantitative. It is therefore difficult to get a clear picture of the extent of hydrolysis of a specific protein 45 domain (Dupont, 2017). An alternative to the mass spectrometry has been proposed based on the use 46 of monoclonal antibodies with known specificity (Dupont, Rolet-Repecaud, & Senocq, 2003). The 47 underlying idea is that when an antibody binds the epitope of a protein that contains a protease 48 cleavage site, it means that the epitope has not been cleaved by the enzyme. In contrast, hydrolysis 49 of the epitope causes a loss of interaction between the antibody and the target protein that can be 50 easily monitored by immunoassays such as ELISA. This strategy was successfully applied to follow 51 proteolysis events occurring during cheese ripening (Senocq, Dupont, Rolet-Repecaud, & Levieux, 52 2002). As a result of their loose structure, caseins (CNs) are particularly adapted to this approach as 53 most of their epitopes are sequential, allowing the production of a wide collection of monoclonal and 54 polyclonal antibodies targeting several epitopes of αS_1 -, αS_2 -, β - and κ -CN (Johansson et al., 2009).

55 Enzymatic crosslinking of proteins is an attractive and feasible food technology due to the specificity 56 of enzymes and the mild reaction conditions (Buchert et al., 2010). Modification with crosslinking 57 enzymes such as transglutaminase (TG) has been extensively used to change the functionality of 58 proteins and thereby to improve the textural quality, stability and function of protein-based food 59 products (Dickinson, 1997). The enzyme permanently crosslinks proteins through an acyl transfer 60 mechanism between glutamine and lysine residues (Griffin, Casadio, & Bergamini, 2002). Monogioudi 61 et al. (Monogioudi et al., 2011) showed that enzymatically crosslinked purified β -CN was more resistant to pepsin than a non-crosslinked protein. The crosslinking was also shown to delay the 62 63 simulated human gastro-duodenal proteolysis of food-grade protein sodium caseinate (NaCN) in 64 emulsion, which prevented the emulsion from destabilising under the gastric conditions (Macierzanka 65 et al., 2012). Our recent in vivo human study (Juvonen et al., 2015) showed that even subtle structural 66 modification of NaCN interfacial layer in emulsion by TG was able to alter the early postprandial profiles 67 of glucose, insulin, CCK, appetite and satiety through a decreased protein digestion, without 68 significantly affecting the gastric empting or an overall lipid digestion. Although we showed significant 69 differences in the extent of digestion between NaCN crosslinked in emulsion and in solution 70 (Macierzanka et al., 2012), the detailed roles of constituent casein epitopes of NaCN (i.e., αS_1 -, αS_2 -, 71 β - and κ -CN) in exerting the resistance to digestion could not be evaluated. This fundamental 72 knowledge is required for developing novel foods as the nutritional interventions aiming to modulate 3 dietary protein bioaccessibility and amino acid bioavailability provides the best strategy for preventing 4 diet-related health problems such as food allergies or sarcopenia.

- 2. Materials and methods
- 2.1. Materials

78 Food-grade sodium caseinate (NaCN; 90% protein) was obtained from DMV International (The 79 Netherlands). Microbial transglutaminase (TG) and triglyceride oil were treated as described before 80 (Macierzanka et al., 2012). Details have also been given in the Supplementary Material (SM; S1.1.). 81 Eighteen monoclonal antibodies and one polyclonal antibody (SM: Table S1, Fig. S1) were taken from

- 82 the INRA's collection in order to cover as much of the sequences of αS_1 -, αS_2 -, β - and κ -CN as possible
- 83 (Johansson et al., 2009; Fig. S1). More details have been given in the SM (S1.1.).

84 2.2. NaCN in emulsion and solution; sample preparation and characterisation

85 The preparation of NaCN-stabilised emulsions and NaCN solutions, TG crosslinking, in vitro gastro-86 duodenal digestion experiments, and SDS-PAGE characterisation of the digestion samples were done 87 as described previously (Macierzanka et al., 2012). For convenience, detailed experimental 88 procedures have also been given in the SM.

89 2.3. Indirect ELISA

90 The indirect ELISA was performed for selected time-point samples from digestion of NaCN in order 91 to detect protein regions (in αS_1 -, αS_2 -, β - and κ -CN) resistant to digestion, using the antibodies listed 92 in Table S1. Detailed experimental procedure has been described in the SM (S1.7.)

93

94 3. Results and discussion

95 3.1. SDS-PAGE characterisation

96 We have investigated the impact of NaCN adsorption to the oil-water interface in an emulsion and 97 its subsequent crosslinking with TG on the susceptibility of constituent casein polypeptides to 98 simulated human gastro-duodenal proteolysis. SDS-PAGE was used initially to provide a rapid 99 screening of the overall behaviour of NaCN during the digestion experiments carried out for the protein presented in different physical-chemical states (i.e., in solution vs. adsorbed, and non-crosslinked vs. 100 101 covalently crosslinked by TG) and under different conditions (i.e., +/- vesicular PC in the gastric 102 digestion compartment). This initial part of the study was carried out using a similar approach to the work presented previously (Macierzanka et al., 2012). Therefore, it was important to demonstrate that the SDS-PAGE characterisations of the digestion products in the present study were consistent with 105 the results shown in that report. This offers a coherent experimental introduction to the original ELISA results reported in this paper. The SDS-PAGE results are shown in the Supplementary Material (SM; Fig. S2). Because of their consistency with the previously published work (Macierzanka et al., 2012), detailed description and discussion of the results have only been given in the SM (S2.1.).

3.2. ELISA study

An important consideration before analysing ELISA results is an effect that crosslinking might have on the binding properties of antibodies, i.e., whether the crosslinking could block antibodies even though the peptides they are specific to remain intact during the digestion. Crosslinking could theoretically affect antibody binding the target protein, causing a decrease in immunoreactivity due to steric hindrance. Nevertheless, in the present study, ELISA results were expressed as residual immunoreactivity (RI) normalised against the immunoreactivity detected for undigested protein (native

1

2

3

4

117 i.e. non-crosslinked, or crosslinked), thereby accounting for potential changes in antibody binding 118 efficiency resulting from crosslinking. A loss of signal, therefore, means a hydrolysis of the epitope and 119 not stearic hindrance.

120 After crosslinking NaCN with TG, significant RIs of several B-CN fragments were observed in 121 digestion samples (Fig. 1). This suggests that the crosslinking restricted hydrolysis by digestive 122 enzymes. The RI was significantly lower for the non-crosslinked protein. The fragment f4-28 was the 123 only one, for which the RI of over 80% persisted until the end of the gastric phase and was still up to 124 ca. 60% during the first 5 min of the duodenal proteolysis (Fig. 1B,D). In emulsion, approximately 70% 125 of the adsorbed β-CN is closely associated with the oil-water interface (Mackie, Mingins, & North, 126 1991), with one exception being the sequence of 40–50 residues at the N-terminus. The sequence is 127 predominantly hydrophilic and thus oriented into the aqueous phase (Dickinson, 2006). It contains four 128 phosphoserine residues (Table S1). The electrostatic repulsion produced by this part of the protein is 129 crucial for preventing coalescence of emulsion droplets (Caessens, Gruppen, Slangen, Visser, & 130 Voragen, 1999). All the above suggests that the fragment f4-28 might remain exposed to the TG, not 131 only in solution but also after the protein had been adsorbed to oil droplets in emulsion. This fragment 132 contains one lysine (Table S1) that is the likely residue crosslinked and responsible for the high RI 133 observed during the gastric phase of digestion (Fig. 1B,D). In the absence of crosslinking, the fragment 134 was much more susceptible to pepsinolysis, and the RI fell to ca. 10% after 60 min of gastric digestion 135 (Fig. 1A,C).

136 Another segment of β -CN, which expressed increased resistance to pepsin after crosslinking was 137 the fragment f94-113 (Fig. 1F,H). At the end of the gastric digestion, its RI was up to ca. 40% depending on the crosslinking and digestion conditions (i.e., solution vs. emulsion, +/- PC). This short 138 139 region of β -CN contains five lysine residues (Table S1) that could be crosslinked, and hence restrict 140 access of pepsin during the digestion. However, in the absence of PC, relatively high RI (up to ca. 141 30% under the gastric conditions) of this fragment was also seen for the non-crosslinked protein 142 digested in emulsion (Fig. 1G). This suggests that adsorption to the interface alone might have 143 contributed to restricting access of pepsin. Much higher resistance to pepsinolysis (RI of ca. 95% in รี144 the absence of PC) was recorded for the adjacent fragment f133-150, regardless of the TG pretreatment in emulsion (Fig. 1K,L), but not in solution (Fig. 1 I,J), indicating protection must have been 145 146 limited to the protein segment adsorbed at the oil-water interface. Both, f133-150 and f94-113 are 147 parts of the M_r 6 kDa peptide, which can persist during the pepsinolysis of purified β -CN in emulsion (Macierzanka et al., 2009). The f133-150 contains several aliphatic residues and a tryptophan (Table S1), which may be closely associated with the oil phase (Dickinson, Horne, Pinfield, & Leermakers, 0 1997). Such a close interaction of the $M_{\rm f}$ 6 kDa peptide with the oil phase was suggested to be the 1 reason for its protection from pepsinolysis (Macierzanka et al., 2009). Here, such behaviour has been 2 confirmed by ELISA for β -CN adsorbed to the interface in the presence of several other constituent 3 caseins of a food-grade NaCN. In the presence of PC, the protective effect of the interface was completely abolished for the f133-150 (Fig. 1K,L) and significantly reduced for the f94-114 (Fig. 1G),

155 so their resistance to digestion was similar to that observed in solution (Fig. 1I, J and 1 E, respectively).

156 Vesicular PC introduced to the gastric digestion mix is very efficient in displacing protein (including

157 NaCN) from the oil-water interface into the surrounding aqueous phase of emulsion as the lipid is 158 more surface active (Macierzanka et al., 2009; Macierzanka et al., 2012) After rapid desorption, the 159 protein is then digested with the kinetics similar to those observed in solution. Here, it has been clearly 160 seen for both f133-150 and f94-113.

161 The crosslinking also improved the RI of f167-178 (Fig. 1N), although to a lesser extent in emulsion (Fig. 1P). This short protein fragment contains two lysine and two glutamine residues (Table S1), which 162 could have been crosslinked and therefore contributed to restricting the hydrolysis. Other fragments 163 of β-CN (i.e., f33-49 and f184-202) showed very little RI (SM; Fig. S3). 164

We have observed a rapid degradation of aS1-CN in non-crosslinked NaCN (Figs. 2, S4). The TG 165 crosslinking improved resistance of two protein fragments (i.e., f56-74 and f75-92) to hydrolysis by 166 167 pepsin, however the protection was predominantly observed for the protein crosslinked in solution 168 (Fig. 2B,F) than in emulsion (Fig. 2D,H). The adsorbed α S₁-CN molecule is depicted as a tri-block 169 polymer, with a hydrophobic region at each end and a hydrophilic central loop containing several 170 phosphoserines (Dickinson, 2006). Thus, one can expect that in both emulsion and solution the TG 171 should have accessed and crosslinked the central region of the protein more easily than the terminal 172 regions. Interfacial rheology studies (Faergemand, Murray, Dickinson, & Qvist, 1999) demonstrated 173 that the structural build-up for adsorbed αS1-CN was slower than for either β-CN or NaCN. This was 174 assumed to be caused by slower adsorption of aS1-CN and/or possibly faster crosslinking of the other 175 proteins. A significant decrease in crosslinking kinetics (calculated from the loss of monomeric caseins 176 during the incubation with TG) upon protein adsorption to lipid droplet was found to be a general 177 phenomenon for all constituent caseins of NaCN (Macierzanka et al., 2011). However, crosslinking of 178 aS1-CN was reduced much more significantly than other caseins. Hence, the limited crosslinking of 179 adsorbed α S₁-CN might have accounted for the low RI of f56-74 and f75-92 observed here (Fig. 2D,H).

Increased RI has been recorded for another fragment of αS_1 -CN, f133-151, although similar results were observed for both non-crosslinked and crosslinked samples, and only after the protein had been adsorbed at the oil-water interface (Fig. 2K,L). This segment of αS_1 -CN contains 7 hydrophobic residues (i.e., Val, Ile, Met, 2× Phe, 2× Met), and was previously shown to reside very close to the interface after protein adsorption (Dickinson et al., 1997). This close interaction with the oil might have offered protection from proteolysis in a similar way as for fragments f133-150 and f94-113 of β -CN (Fig. 1G,H,K,L), although, to a more limited extent. As with the β -CN fragments, the protection was reduced when the digestion was carried out in the presence of PC (Fig. 2K,L), suggesting that also in this case PC might have displaced the protein from the oil-water interface, so the protein was digested mainly in the aqueous phase of emulsion. Other fragments of αS_1 -CN (i.e., f1-19, f19-37, Nat f125-132 and f149-166) showed very little RI (SM; Fig. S4).

We have also investigated the digestibility of the two minor constituents of NaCN: α S₂-CN and κ -CN. For the digested emulsion samples, all of the αS_2 -CN-specific antibodies returned very low RI,

8

9

0

193 regardless of the pre-treatment with TG (data not shown). αS₂-CN is the most hydrophilic of all caseins, 194 which is the result of three clusters of anionic groups in the amino acid sequence, composed of 195 phosphoseryl and glutamyl residues (Farrell et al., 2004). The overall hydrophilic nature of α S₂-CN 196 could make it more exposed to the aqueous phase of emulsion than β -CN and α S₁-CN after NaCN 197 had been adsorbed to the oil droplets, therefore making aS2-CN more vulnerable to the digestive 198 enzymes. This, coupled with its lower crosslinking rate in emulsion than in solution (Macierzanka et 199 al., 2011), would possibly explain that the limited resistance of the protein to digestion was only seen 200 after the crosslinking in solution (Fig. S5). The most pronounced effect was observed for f96-114 (Fig. 201 S5 F). This region of α S₂-CN contains one lysine and three glutamine residues (Table S1) that offer 202 potential sites for TG. However, it remains unclear why the other two epitopes (f16-35 and f76-95) 203 showed more modest resistance to digestion after the incubation with TG (Fig. S5 B,D) despite the 204 fact that they contain 5-6 TG amino acid substrates each (Table S1).

205 The antibodies specific to κ-CN only showed insignificant RI of this protein in NaCN samples 206 digested in solution or in emulsion (data not shown). The K-CN contains lowest proportion of lysine 207 and glutamine residues, and less phosphoserine than other caseins (Farrell et al., 2004). It also 208 comprises a considerable amount of β -structure (Huppertz, Fox, & Kelly, 2018). Both of these factors 209 have been used to explain much poorer crosslinking of K-CN compared to the other caseins in NaCN 210 (Macierzanka et al., 2011). In general, caseins in NaCN solutions exist as a dynamic system of casein 211 monomers, complexes, and aggregates (Lucey, Srinivasan, Singh, & Munro, 2000), depending on 212 conditions such as protein concentration, pH, ionic strength, temperature, etc. For example, at low 213 ionic strength (3 mM) NaCN was found to be present as individual molecules (HadjSadok, Pitkowski, 214 Nicolai, Benyahia, & Moulai-Mostefa, 2008) but formed small aggregates (hydrodynamic radius = 11 215 nm) at high ionic strength (>100 mM). In dilute aqueous solutions at neutral pH, NaCN consists 216 predominantly of protein nanoparticles (up to 20 nm) in equilibrium with free casein molecules, and 217 some supramolecular species composed largely of κ-CN (Dickinson, 2010). Recent discussion on 218 NaCN suspensions and casein micelles (Huppertz et al., 2017) proposed a model where NaCN 219 particle suspension consist of assembled non-spherical primary casein particles (PCPs, which are 220 naturally present in casein micelles). The κ -CN rich domains are likely to be located on the surface of 221 the assembled structures. The above characteristics may reflect conditions of the NaCN solutions 222 used in our present study. The possible easy access of digestive enzymes to K-CN together with its 223 poor ability to crosslinking may therefore account for the rapid hydrolysis of the protein under the in 224 vitro digestion conditions.

For the α S₁-CN and the α S₂-CN, the crosslinking seemed to offer more protection to digestion after the incubation of NaCN with TG in solution than in emulsion (Figs 2 and S5). Apart from the aforementioned higher rate/degree of crosslinking of the caseins in solution (Macierzanka et al., 2011), the reason might also lie in the type of the crosslinking observed in the two systems. In the same studies, it was shown that incubation of NaCN with TG in solution might have led to some intramolecular crosslinking as the oligomers formed were found to be more mobile on SDS-PAGE than their counterparts formed from NaCN crosslinked at the oil–water interface, indicating that

225

MOST WIEDZY

б

7

8

9

intermolecular crosslinking might have prevailed at the interface. Therefore, the higher extent of crosslinking and more compact structuring of the proteins offered by the intramolecular crosslinks might account for some fragments of αS_1 -CN and αS_2 -CN incubated with TG in solution being more resistant to digestion than those crosslinked in emulsion. This leads to the conclusion that the group of oligomers of M_r ca. 50-100 kDa formed during the gastric digestion of crosslinked NaCN in emulsion (Fig. S2 F), might have been mainly composed of the β -CN fragments that showed significant resistance to pepsinolysis (Fig. 1).

Our results suggest that the TG crosslinking can improve resistance of casein molecules to gastrointestinal digestion, if, for example, this is required for modulating phase behaviour of proteinstabilised emulsions in the stomach and the rate of nutrients release (van Aken et al., 2011). The findings might then be useful for optimising protein structuring in personalised nutrition in order to modulate specific physiological responses to food, such as the ileal brake, which could in turn determine satiety and calorie intake.

245

246 Acknowledgements

The work at INRA-Agrocampus Ouest (UMR STLO 1253) was funded by INRA internal funding. The work at the IFR was supported by the BBSRC through an Institute Strategic Programme Grant (BB/J004545/1), and the work at GUT was funded through an internal grant (DS/032403T016). The authors are participants of the EU funded COST action INFOGEST (COST FA 1005).

251

252 Supplementary Material

253 Detailed description of the materials and methods used as well as additional data and discussion 254 of the results obtained.

255

66 **References**

- Boutrou, R., Gaudichon, C., Dupont, D., Jardin, J., Airinei, G., Marsset-Baglieri, A., Benamouzig, R., Tome, D., & Leonil, J. (2013). Sequential release of milk protein-derived bioactive peptides in the jejunum in healthy humans. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, *97*, 1314-1323.
- Buchert, J., Ercili Cura, D., Ma. H., Gasparetti, C., Monogioudi, E., Faccio, G., Mattinen, M., Boer, H., Partanen, R., Selinheimo, E., Lantto, R., & Kruus, K. (2010) Crosslinking food proteins for improved functionality. *Annual Review of Food Science and Technology*, 1, 113–138.
- Caessens, P. W. J. R., Gruppen, H., Slangen, C. J., Visser, S., & Voragen, A. G. J. (1999). Functionality of βcasein peptides: Importance of amphipathicity for emulsion-stabilizing properties. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *47*, 1856–1862.

Dickinson, E. (1997). Enzymatic crosslinking as a tool for food colloid rheology control and interfacial stabilization. *Trends in Food Science and Technology, 8,* 334-339.

Dickinson, E. (2006). Colloid science of mixed ingredients. Soft Matter, 2, 642-652.

Dickinson, E. (2010). Flocculation of protein-stabilized oil-in-water emulsions. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 81, 130–140.

Dickinson, E., Horne, D. S., Pinfield, V. J., & Leermakers, F. A. M. (1997). Self-consistent-field modelling of casein adsorption. Comparison of results for α_{s1}-casein and β-casein. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions, 93,* 425-432.

Dupont, D. (2017). Peptidomic as a tool for assessing protein digestion. *Current Opinion in Food Science, 16,* 53-58.

Dupont, D., Rolet-Repecaud, O., & Senocq, D. (2003). A new approach to monitoring proteolysis phenomena using antibodies specifically directed against the enzyme cleavage site on its substrate. *Analytical Biochemistry*, *317*, 240-246.

- Faergemand, M., Murray, B. S., Dickinson, E., & Qvist, K. B. (1999). Cross-linking of adsorbed casein films with
 transglutaminase. *International Dairy Journal*, *9*, 343-346.
 - Farrell, H. M., Jimenez-Flores, R., Bleck, G. T., Brown, E. M., Butler, J. E., Creamer, L. K., Hicks, C. L., Hollar,
 C. M., Ng-Kwai-Hang, K. F., & Swaisgood, H. E. (2004). Nomenclature of the proteins of cows' milk Sixth
 revision. *Journal of Dairy Science*, *87*, 1641-1674.
 - Gan, J. A., Bornhorst, G. M., Henrick, B.M., & German, J.B. (2018) Protein digestion of baby foods: Study approaches and implications for infant health. *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research, 62,* Article no: 1700231
 - Griffin, M. A., Casadio, R., & Bergamini, C. M. (2002). Transglutaminases: nature's biological glues. *Biochemical Journal, 368,* 377-396.
 - HadjSadok, A., Pitkowski, A., Nicolai, T., Benyahia, L., & Moulai-Mostefa, N. (2008). Characterisation of sodium
 caseinate as a function of ionic strength, pH and temperature using static and dynamic light scattering. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 22, 1460-1466
 - Huppertz, T., Gazi, I., Luyten, H., Nieuwenhuijse, H., Alting, A., & Schokker, E. (2017). Hydration of casein
 micelles and caseinates: Implications for casein micelle structure. *International Dairy Journal, 74,* 1-11.
 - Huppertz, T., Fox, P. F., & Kelly, A. L. (2018). The caseins: Structure, stability, and functionality. In R. Y. Yada (Ed.), *Proteins in Food Processing (Second Edition)* (pp. 49-92). Duxford: Woodhead Publishing.
 - Johansson, A., Lugand, D., Rolet-Repecaud, O., Molle, D., Delage, M. M., Peltre, G., Marchesseau, S., Leonil, J., & Dupont, D. (2009). Epitope characterization of a supramolecular protein assembly with a collection of monoclonal antibodies: The case of casein micelle. *Molecular Immunology*, 46, 1058-1066.
 - Juvonen, K. R., Macierzanka, A., Lille, M. E., Laaksonen, D. E., Mykkänen, H. M., Niskanen, L. K., Pihlajamäki, J., Mäkelä, K., Mills, C. E. N., Mackie, A. R., Malcolm, P., Herzig, K.-H., Poutanen, K. S., & Karhunen, L. J. (2015). Cross-linking of sodium caseinate structured emulsion with transglutaminase alters the postprandial metabolism and appetite responses in healthy young individuals. *British Journal of Nutrition, 114*, 418–429.
 - Lucey, J. A., Srinivasan, M., Singh, H., & Munro, P. A. (2000). Characterization of commercial and experimental sodium caseinates by multiangle laser light scattering and size-exclusion chromatography. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 48, 1610-1616.
 - Macierzanka, A., Sancho, A. I., Mills, E. N. C., Rigby, N. M., & Mackie, A. R. (2009). Emulsification alters simulated gastrointestinal proteolysis of β-casein and β-lactoglobulin. *Soft Matter, 5,* 538–550.
 - Macierzanka A., Bordron F., Rigby N. M., Mills E. N. C., Lille M., Poutanen K., & Mackie A. R. (2011). Transglutaminase cross-linking kinetics of sodium caseinate is changed after emulsification. *Food Hydrocolloids, 25,* 843-850.
 - Macierzanka, A., Böttger, F., Rigby, N. M., Lille, M., Poutanen, K., Mills, E. N. C., & Mackie A. R. (2012). Enzymatically structured emulsions in simulated gastrointestinal environment: Impact on interfacial proteolysis and diffusion in intestinal mucus. *Langmuir, 28,* 17349–17362.
 - Mackie, A. R., Mingins J., & North, A. N. (1991). Characterisation of adsorbed layers of a disordered coil protein on polystyrene latex. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions, 87,* 3043–3049.
 - Monogioudi, E., Faccio, G., Lille, M., Poutanen, K., Buchert, J., & Mattinen, M.-L. (2011). Effect of enzymatic cross-linking of β-casein on proteolysis by pepsin. *Food Hydrocolloids, 25,* 71-81.
 - Senocq, D., Dupont, D., Rolet-Repecaud, O., & Levieux, D. (2002). ELISA for monitoring the cleavage of betacasein at site Lys(28)-Lys(29) by plasmin during Comte cheese ripening. *Journal of Dairy Research*, 69, 491-500.
 - Singh, H., & Ye, A. Q. (2013). Structural and biochemical factors affecting the digestion of protein-stabilized emulsions. *Current Opinion in Colloid & Interface Science, 18,* 360-370.
 - van Aken, G. A., Bomhof, E., Zoet, F. D., Verbeek, M., Oosterveld, A. (2011). Differences in in vitro gastric behaviour between homogenized milk and emulsions stabilised by Tween 80, whey protein, or whey protein and caseinate. *Food Hydrocolloids*, *25*, 781–788.



Fig. 1. Residual immunoreactivity (RI) of β -CN fragments (f) determined in time-point samples collected during the *in vitro* digestion of NaCN (results were normalised against the immunoreactivity detected for undigested protein sample; native i.e. non-crosslinked, or crosslinked). Effect of (i) presenting NaCN in aqueous solution (1 mg/mL) or emulsion (1 mg/mL), (ii) crosslinking of the protein with TG before digestion, and (iii) carrying out the digestion experiments in the presence or absence of vesicular phosphatidylcholine (PC) in the gastric phase of digestion. Gastric samples have been marked with G and duodenal with D, followed by a number corresponding to the digestion time (min) after which the samples were taken. Extended version of Fig. 1 has been shown in the Supplementary Material (Fig. S3).



343 344

342

Fig. 2. Residual immunoreactivity (RI) of α S₁-CN fragments (f) determined in time-point samples collected during the *in vitro* digestion of NaCN. For more details see caption of Fig. 1. Extended version of Fig. 2 has been shown in the Supplementary Material (Fig. S4).

Postprint of: Böttger F., Dupont D., Dulko D., Bajka B., Mackie A., Macierzanka A.: Which casein in sodium caseinate is most resistant to in vitro digestion? Effect of emulsification and enzymatic structuring. FOOD HYDROCOLLOIDS. Vol. 88, (2019), pp. 114-118. DOI: 10.1016/ j.foodhyd.2018.09.042